



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Praveen

Course : B.Tech

Department : A.I.T.I

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----


IOAC


Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	-----------------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/8/2025

~~G. Praveen~~
Name of Student

G. Praveen,
Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-28

Name : J.N.S.V. Mohan

Course : B.Tech

Department : CIVIL

Years/Semester : II - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Handwritten Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s) Nil.

Date 02/02/2025

J.N.S.V. Mohan
Name of Student

J.N.S.V. Mohan
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Nayals

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name: *D. Jeevankumar*

Course: *B.Tech*

Department: *Civil*

Years/Semester: *(II) - (II)*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Signature]
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s) *Nothing to say.*

Date *2/2/2025*

Name of Student : *D. Jeevan Kumar*

Signature *D. Seenu*



Seenu
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : J Vinay k. Rolith

Course : B-Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : 2/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Challenging	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Dull	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	--	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Highly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Partly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Mostly Irrelevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	---	---	---	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	---	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist). A P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/8/2025

Name of Student K. Rohith.

Signature 





Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : k. Jaisidhika

Course : B-Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Signature]
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature

4-8-2004

P. Sat V. Venkatesh

P. S.

Principal

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : O. Venkata Prashanka

Course : B-Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/8/2025

Name of Student e. Venkata yashanta

Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/1/25

Name of Student P. Raja sekhar

P. Raja
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnpr...



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : P. RASA SEKHA

Course : BTech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Handwritten Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25-1-25

Name of Student T. Navon


Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist. AP)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : T. Naveen

Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/1/25

V. Satya
Name of Student

Satya
Signature



A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be "V. Satya", written in a cursive style.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A.P.

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : V. satya

Course : B Tech

Department : cse

Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------


IQAC


Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25-1-25

Name of Student R. Bhanu

Bhanu
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 001, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : R. Bahnu

Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/1/25

Name of Student SK-muneef


Signature





Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

NO

Date

25/11/25

Name of Student

T. Srinadh

Signature

[Handwritten Signature]



[Handwritten Signature]
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) V P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 20-25

Name : T. Srinadh

Course : B Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

No

Date 25/1/25

N. Siva
Name of Student

Siva
Signature

 IQAC


Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 20-25

Name : N. Siva

Course : BTech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(7)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(8)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

No

Date

25/1/25

Name of Student

M. Rohan

Signature

Rohan

 IQAC


Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 001, Palnadu District, A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : M. Rohan

Course : B Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following ^a

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

25/1/25

Date

V. Harsha

Name of Student

V. Harsha
Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (AP)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : V. Harsha

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

25/1/25
Date

D. Chandu
Name of Student

D. Chandu
Signature





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : D. Chandu

Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

25/1/25
Date

K. Suresh
Name of Student

K. Suresh
Signature



V. V. V.
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Pa...



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : K. Surya

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I-TIP

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25-1-25

Name of Student L. Nageswara Rao


Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 524 001, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : L. Nageswarra rao

Course : B-Tech

Department : cse

Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

NO

Date

25/1/25

Name of Student

S. Devaki

Signature





Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A P





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : S. Devaki

Course : B Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25-1-25

Name of Student Ch. Sri

Sri
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name: Ch. Sivi

Course: B-Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------


IQAC


Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/1/25

Name of Student P. Poojitha

Pooji
Signature

[Handwritten Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A P

[Handwritten Signature]
IQAC



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name: P. Poositha

Course: B-Tech

Department: CSC

Years/Semester: I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : m. ashwin

Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : J. Thidumala Devi

Course : mca

Department : mca

Years/Semester : II year III semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

J. Thisumala Devi
Name of Student

J. Thisumala
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Neelimasasi

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : 2nd year, 3rd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------


6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------


IQAC


Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Neelimasasi

Name of Student

K. Neelimasasi

Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : N. Jayasri

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : 2nd year, 3rd semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students


1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

N. Jayasri
Name of Student

N. Jayasri
Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Janaki Ram

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : 2nd year, 3rd semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

B. Tanalci Kamm

Name of Student

B. Tanalci Kamm

Signature



A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be "B. Tanalci Kamm".

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : *k. Abhi Sathvika*

Course : *MCA*

Department : *MCA*

Years/Semester : *2nd Year, 3rd semester*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<i>9</i>
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<i>9</i>
3	Depth of the course content	<i>9</i>
4	Extent of coverage of course	<i>8</i>
5	Extent of effort required by students	<i>9</i>
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<i>9</i>
7	Overall rating	<i>9</i>

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(<i>1</i>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(<i>1</i>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<i>1</i>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<i>2</i>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<i>1</i>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<i>1</i>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------



[Signature]
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Abhi Sathvika

Name of Student

K. Abhi Sathvika

Signature



Www

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : CH. VAN Yamini priyanka Course : B.tech

Department Airo

Years/Semester : 2-1/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4-8-2025
Date

CH.V.N. Yamini priyanka
Name of Student

Ch. Yamini Priyanka
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Vijaya lakshmi

Course : B-Tech

Department : AIT

Years/Semester : 2-II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--	-------------	---	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	--	---------	--------------	-----



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/2025
Date

G. Vijaya Lakshmi
Name of Student

G. vijaya lakshmi
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Gi. Sam Boyaz Course : B-Tech
Department : AE-P Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/2025

Date

G. Sam Royaz

Name of Student

Signature

[Handwritten Signature]



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Painadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : I. Gopi Chand. Course : B.Tech.

Department : AI-T Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	---	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Handwritten signature]

Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist). A P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

<input checked="" type="radio"/> 1) Very good	<input type="radio"/> 2) Good	<input type="radio"/> 3) Average	<input type="radio"/> 4) Poor	<input type="radio"/> 5) Very Poor	()
---	-------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

<input type="radio"/> 1) Very good	<input type="radio"/> 2) Good	<input type="radio"/> 3) Average	<input type="radio"/> 4) Poor	<input type="radio"/> 5) Very Poor	()
------------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

<input checked="" type="radio"/> 1) Very good	<input type="radio"/> 2) Good	<input type="radio"/> 3) Average	<input type="radio"/> 4) Poor	<input type="radio"/> 5) Very Poor	()
---	-------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

<input type="radio"/> 1) Very good	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 2) Good	<input type="radio"/> 3) Average	<input type="radio"/> 4) Poor	<input type="radio"/> 5) Very Poor	()
------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

<input type="radio"/> 1) Very good	<input type="radio"/> 2) Good	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 3) Average	<input type="radio"/> 4) Poor	<input type="radio"/> 5) Very Poor	()
------------------------------------	-------------------------------	---	-------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/2025

Date

D. Gopichand.

Name of Student

Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. Ramesh

Course : B.Tech.

Department AI-TI

Years/Semester : III/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 04-08-2025

Name of Student

M. Ramegh
Signature



A green handwritten signature, possibly reading "M. Ramegh", written over a faint rectangular stamp.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : D. Venkat Siva

Course : B.Tech

Department : AI-II

Years/Semester : II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Challenging	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Dull	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	--	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Highly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Partly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Mostly Irrelevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	---	---	---	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
---------------------------------------	---	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----



Usw

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

<input type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
---------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

<input type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
---------------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

<input type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
---------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

<input type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
---------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/8/2024

Name of Student D.venkat siva

Signature D. Siva



A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be "D. Siva".

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Saran Bera

Course : B. Tech

Department : AI

Years/Semester : 3/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

<input type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
---------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

21/8/2025

Date

b. narendra

Name of Student

[Handwritten Signature]

Signature



[Handwritten Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Venkatesh

Course : B.Tech

Department : AI-II

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
--	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	---	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/25
Date

G. Venkat
Name of Student

G. Venkat.
Signature





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : SIL-MD-ZAMEER

Course : B-TECH

Department : AI-II

Years/Semester : 2025 2:1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/08/2025

Name of Student SK.MD. ZAHIEER

Signature SK.MD. ZAHIEER



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : S. arun

Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 5-11

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : K. Greeshmanjali

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civi

Years/Semester : 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s) **NO**

Date 18/7/24

K. Gireeshmarjali
Name of Student

K. Gireeshma
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : K. Anil Babu.

Course : B-tech.

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : III - I.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s) ÷ No.

Date 18/7/24

Name of Student

K. Anil Babu.

Signature

K. Anil Babu

[Handwritten Signature]

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist). A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : P. J. S. Sagar kumar

Course : B-Tech

Department : CIVIL

Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Painadu (Dist), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s) : NO

Date 2/2/2025

P. J. S. Sagar Kumar
Name of Student

P. Sagar
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : SK Shida

Course : B.Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : 2025 II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Handwritten signature in green ink.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522001, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/2025
Date

SK. SAIDA
Name of Student

SK. SAIDA
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist. N.T.R.)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Rohit

Course : B.Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : III/2025

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(8)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(8)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(8)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----


6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(8)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----


Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/2025

Date

T. Rohit

Name of Student


Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist. N.T.R.)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V. Pawan Kumar Reddy

Course : B.Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : 2025 II/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

04/08/2025

Date

V. Pawan Kumar Reddy

Name of Student

V. Ramesh

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : CH. Srinivas

Course : B.Tech

Dt: 04/08/2025

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/25

Date

CH. Sriniva

Name of Student

CH. Sriniva
Signature



Handwritten signature in green ink.

Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : E. Srinivasa Rao

Course : B.Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

<input type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
---------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/8/2024

T. Srinivasarao
Name of Student

T. Srinivasarao
Signature



A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be "T. Srinivasarao".

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Bhanu Prakash

Course : B.Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	---	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	---	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/25
Date

B. Bhanu Prakash
Name of Student

B. Bhanu Prakash
Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : J. STALIN BABU

Course : B.tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Challenging	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Dull	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	--	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Highly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Partly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Mostly Irrelevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	---	---	---	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE-
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/25
Date

J. Stalin Babu
Name of Student

J. Stalin Babu
Signature



A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be "J. Stalin Babu".

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Nassik

Course : B-Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Signature]
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Painadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/08/25
Date

A. NASSK
Name of Student

Signature

[Handwritten Signature]



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Dinesh Kumar

Course : B.Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Challenging	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Dull	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	--	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Highly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Partly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Mostly Irrelevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	---	---	---	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----



Usw

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 04-08-2025

A. Dinesh Kumar
Name of Student

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: R. Ravi Teja.

Course: B.Tech

Department: IT

Years/Semester: 2 II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist). A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students


1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 04-08-2025

R. Ravi Jeyaraj
Name of Student

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : *M. Shanmuga*

Course : *B.tech*

Department : *I.T.*

Years/Semester : *II/I*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Signature]
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/8/2025

Name of Student

M. Shanmuka
Signature



[Handwritten signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : *K. Mohan Sai*

Course : *B.tech*

Department *AITI*

Years/Semester : *2/1*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<i>9</i>
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<i>9</i>
3	Depth of the course content	<i>9</i>
4	Extent of coverage of course	<i>9</i>
5	Extent of effort required by students	<i>8</i>
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<i>9</i>
7	Overall rating	<i>9</i>

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	---	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	--	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/8/2025

Name of Student

K. Mohansai
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : J. Madav Naik

Course : B.Tech

Department : I-II

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/08/2025

J. Madav Naik
Name of Student

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : *M. Anand.*

Course : *B.Tech*

Department : *AI-II*

Years/Semester : *II/I*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<i>9</i>
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<i>9</i>
3	Depth of the course content	<i>9</i>
4	Extent of coverage of course	<i>9</i>
5	Extent of effort required by students	<i>9</i>
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<i>8</i>
7	Overall rating	<i>9</i>

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/08/2025

M. Anand
Name of Student

Signature





Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Mounika

Course : B. Tech

Department : AI-II

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4-08-2025

T. Mourika
Name of Student

T. Mourika
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be "T. Mourika".



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : CH. Rama Krishna Course : B.Tech

Department : AI-II Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	--------------------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
-------------------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
-------------------------	------------------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
-------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	------------------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
-------------------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

u/8/2025

Date

C.H. Rama Krishna

Name of Student

Signature



A green handwritten signature, possibly "V. S. S.", written in a cursive style.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Suryam.

Course :

Department : AI-II

Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----


Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/2025
Date

G. Suryam
Name of Student

Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Omkhar

Course : Btech

Department : AII

Years/Semester : II⁽¹⁾ 2/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	--	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	--	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	--	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Handwritten signature
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

4) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Rohit

Course : B.Tech

Department AI-II

Years/Semester : II - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

04/08/2025
Date

B. Rohit
Name of Student

Rohit
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Painadu (Dist), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. JEEVANU

Course : B.TECH

Department : ~~AI-IT~~

Years/Semester : 2(U)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8.5

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/2025
Date

B. Jeevan
Name of Student

Jeevan
Signature



A green handwritten signature, possibly 'Jeevan', written over a blue horizontal line.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name :

Course :

Department : AI-IT

Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
 NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Nagasri

Course : B.Tech

Department AI-II

Years/Semester : III/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/25
Date

K. Nagasri
Name of Student

K. Nagasri
Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. GOWTHAMI

Course : B-tech

Department AI-I

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	--------------------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	------------------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
-------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
-------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	--------------------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
-------------------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/25

Date

K-GOWTHAMI

Name of Student

K. Gowthami.

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K.V.S Ramya

Course : B-tech

Department AI-II

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----


6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/25

Date

K.V.M Ramya
Name of Student

Ramya
Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V. Bhargava

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mech

Years/Semester : III / 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Handwritten signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

29/7/24

Name of Student

V. Bhargava

Signature

V. Bhargava



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

V. Bhargava



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Ajay Babu

Course : B.Tech

Department : ME

Years/Semester : IV / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Handwritten Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

29/11/24

Name of Student

P. Aravind Babu

Signature

(Handwritten Signature)



(Handwritten Signature)

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 20-25

Name: M. Karvasri

Course: B.Tech.

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	1
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	1
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2
7	Overall rating	1

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----







NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Venu Babu

Course : B. Tech

Department : Mechanical Engg.

Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Uru

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 29/8/24

P. Venkatesh Babu
Name of Student

P. Venkatesh Babu
Signature



Principal
NARASARAO PETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAO PETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Vaishnavi

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : 2nd year 3rd semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) - 522

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G. Vaishnavi
Name of Student

G. Vaishnavi
Signature

(Handwritten signature in green ink)



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A P

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name :

Course :

Department :

Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




 Principal
 NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 (AUTONOMOUS)
 NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature



A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be "V. S. S.".

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 - 25

Name : *Shairi Jasmin*

Course : *betech*

Department : *ECE*

Years/Semester : *III / II*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<i>9</i>
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<i>8</i>
3	Depth of the course content	<i>9</i>
4	Extent of coverage of course	<i>9</i>
5	Extent of effort required by students	<i>9</i>
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<i>9</i>
7	Overall rating	<i>9</i>

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(<i>1</i>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(<i>1</i>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<i>1</i>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<i>2</i>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<i>1</i>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<i>1</i>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------



[Signature]
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist. *[District]*)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

Name of Student Shaik. Jamin.

Signature SK. Jamin



SK. Jamin

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : P. Beula

Course : Betechn

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Handwritten signature
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist. Tirupuru)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

Name of Student P. Beula

Signature P. Beula



A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be "P. Beula".

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2021-25

Name : K. Prayanna

Course : BTech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : 1/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Handwritten signature in green ink.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

5/3/25

Name of Student

K. Prasanna

Signature

K. Prasanna

[Handwritten signature]



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.)





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name: Kancheti. SivaHarshini

Course: B.Tech

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

Name of Student Kancheti. Siva Harshini

Signature 



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name : Talluri. Anu yasaswini

Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9 ✓	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

Name of Student Talluri Anu yasaswini

Signature *T. Anuswini*



W
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: (2024-25)

Name : p. Krishna Ravalika Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Signature]

Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnady, A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

Name of Student P. Krishna Ravalika

P. Ravalika
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

Handwritten signature in green ink




NARASARAOPETA
ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 (AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : K. Rajitha Bai

Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Handwritten signature in green ink]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 (AUTONOMOUS)
 NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (A.P.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------


12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)


Date 5/3/2025

Name of Student K. Rajitha Bai

Signature 




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: (2024-25)

Name : N. Venkata Bhavani Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (D. A. P.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)


Date 5/3/25

Name of Student

N. Venkata Bhavani

Bhavani
Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name: Nireekshan

Course: B-Tech

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: V/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

5/3/25

Name of Student

Nireekshan. Deyi

Signature





Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name: L. Manmadha Kumar Reddy Course: B.Tech

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu District, A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

Name of Student

L. Mammatha Kumar Reddy

Signature







Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 - 2025

Name : Pathan Esub

Course : B.tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : III

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

Name of Student Pathan Esab


Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 - 25

Name : Y. Nagamanikanta. Raju Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

5/3/2025


Name of Student

Y. nagamoni konka . RAJU

Signature






Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name : N.N.V. Teenej Kumar

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IInd / II^{sem}

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Challenging	<input type="checkbox"/> Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> Dull	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrelevant	()
---	-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Highly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Partly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Mostly Irrelevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Completely Irrelevant	()
---	-----------------------------------	--	--	--	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

<input type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
---------------------------------------	---	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

<input type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
---------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

5/3/25

Name of Student

N.N.V. Teenejkumar

Signature

N.N.V. Teenejkumar



A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be 'N.N.V. Teenejkumar'.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : J.V. Jaswanth

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

5/3/25

Name of Student J.V.Jaswanth


Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 - 2025

Name: Ramachandrapu Lara

Course: B.TECH

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



WV

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnati (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 05/03/2025

Name of Student R. Lara

Signature R. Lara



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name : M. Naga Pavan

Course : B.Tech

Department :

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palamuru (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

5/3/25
Date

M. Naga pavan
Name of Student

M. Ravan
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : G. DAVIDRAJU

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

G. David Raju

Name of Student

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : G. Gopichandu

Course : BTECH

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnagaru (Dist. A.P.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

G. GOPICHANDU
Name of Student

G. GOPICHANDU
Signature



V. S. S.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name: V. Venkat Naik

Course: B.Tech

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



V. Venkat Naik

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

5/3/25
Date

V. Venkata Naidu
Name of Student


Signature





Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P



QAC
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name : **MUJAVAR MUHAMMAD ANAS** Course : **B-Tech**

Department : **ECE**

Years/Semester : **II/II**

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist. Chittoor)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date
5/3/2025

Name of Student
M. Muhammad Anas


Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.


NARASARAOPETA
ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 (AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 - 25

Name : T. TEJA

Course : BTECH

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
 NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Painadu / Dist - A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

5/3/25

Date

T. TEJA

Name of Student

T. Teja

Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name: J. Venkata sai

Course: B.Tech

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Handwritten signature in green ink.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Painadu (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

5/3/25

Date

J. Venkata sai

Name of Student

J. Venkata sai
Signature

[Handwritten signature]



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name: K Ajay

Course: B-tech

Department: E.C.E

Years/Semester: II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

5/3/25

Date

K. Ajay

Name of Student




Signature



Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name : Sk. Mahamud

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



(Signature)

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

5/3/25

Date

SK. mahamud

Name of Student

SK. mahamud

Signature



SKM

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A P





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name: D. Pranav Sai

Course: B.Tech

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(V)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Handwritten signature in green ink.

Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPETA - 522 804, Palnadu (Dist)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

5/3/25
Date

D. Pranav Sui
Name of Student


Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.







NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V. Vinod

Course : B-TECH

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

Name of Student V. Vinod

Signature V. Vinod



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

V. Vinod



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name: R. Sai Kumar

Course: B.TECH

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
---	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
---	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	--	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
---	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
---	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
---	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist. Anaparthi)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

5/3/25
Date

R. Sai Kumar
Name of Student

R. Sai Kumar
Signature

V. V. V.



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 to 2025

Name : Sudhaya Varma

Course : ECE

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------


12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

5/3/25
Date

S. Uday Varma
Name of Student


Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.







NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : K. John Joseph

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IInd / IInd

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

k-John Joseph
Name of Student

k-John Joseph
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name : K. Ashok Naik

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II | II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

K. Jishu K Nair
Name of Student


Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 - 25

Name : A. Maishaleth

Course : B.tech.

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

5/13/25

Name of Student

A. Maik aish

A. Maik aish.
Signature



A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be 'A. Maik aish'.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name : D. Sanjay

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

Name of Student D. Sanjay

D. Sanjay
Signature

[Handwritten Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A P



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : *K. Ravikiran*

Course : *B.tech*

Department : *ECE*

Years/Semester : *II/II*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<i>9</i>
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<i>8</i>
3	Depth of the course content	<i>9</i>
4	Extent of coverage of course	<i>9</i>
5	Extent of effort required by students	<i>9</i>
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<i>9</i>
7	Overall rating	<i>9</i>

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(<i>1</i>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(<i>1</i>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<i>2</i>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<i>1</i>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<i>1</i>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<i>1</i>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist): A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name: Sh. Jagal Shreef

Course: B.Ech.

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Handwritten Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

Name of Student

Sr. Nagulsharath

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25.

Name : J. Ravi Prakash

Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist). A P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

Name of Student J. Ravi Prakash

Signature Ravi




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A.P.

10/10/19
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 - 25

Name : D. Gopi

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IInd Year, IInd

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

5/3/25

D. Gopi
Name of Student

D. Luni
Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 - 25

Name : K. Adithya Harshavardhan Course : ECE (B.tech)

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 - 25

Name : V. Chandu

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

5/3/25
Date

v. chandu
Name of Student

v. chandu
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Prudhvi raj

Course : Btech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Challenging	2) <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate	3) <input type="checkbox"/> Inadequate	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Dull	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Irrelevant	(1)
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	--	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Highly Relevant	2) <input type="checkbox"/> Relevant	3) <input type="checkbox"/> Partly Relevant	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Mostly Irrelevant	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--	--------------------------------------	---	---	---	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	2) <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate	3) <input type="checkbox"/> Inadequate	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Poor	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Poor	(1)
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) <input type="checkbox"/> Excellent	2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate	3) <input type="checkbox"/> Inadequate	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Poor	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	(2)
---------------------------------------	---	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	2) <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate	3) <input type="checkbox"/> Inadequate	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Poor	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Very poor	(1)
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	2) <input type="checkbox"/> Good	3) <input type="checkbox"/> Average	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Poor	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Very Poor	(1)
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-------



Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	--	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

Name of Student P. Prudhviraj

Signature P. Prudhviraj



Handwritten signature

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name: J. Siddhaadha

Course: B.Tech.

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
---	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
---	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	--	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
---	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
---	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	---	---------	--------------	-------



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

5/3/25
Date

Name of Student : J. Siddhardha

J. Siddhardha
Signature



W

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 - 25

Name : T. Santosh

Course : B-tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

5/3/25

Date

T. Santosh

Name of Student

T. Santosh
Signature



A green handwritten signature.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : VAJJA Gopalakrishna Murthy Course : B-Tech

Department : CIVIL

Years/Semester : III-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8.9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s) *Nil*

Date *18/7/2024*

Name of Student *V. Gopala Krishna Murthy*

V. G. K. Murthy
Signature



V. G. K. Murthy
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 - 2025

Name: G. Siva Narendra Vasu Prasad Course: 2-1 B.Tech

Department: Civil

Years/Semester: 1st year / 1st

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(4)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s) *Good syllabus*

Date *18/7/2024*

Name of Student

G. Siva Narendra Varma Prasad

Signature

G.S.N.V. Prasad

[Handwritten Signature]

Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : Michael

Course : CIVIL

Department : CIVIL

Years/Semester : II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

18-7-24

Date

G. Michael.

Name of Student

Michael

Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name : L. Ravi

Course : civil - 2-1

Department : civil

Years/Semester : 2nd year 1st -

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

18/7/2024

Date

L. Ravi

Name of Student

Ravi

Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name : E. Jagadeeswararao

Course : 2-1 B.Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : 2nd year 1st sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

18/7/2024

Name of Student

E. Jagadeeswararao

Signature

E. Jagadeeswararao




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : J. pavani

Course : B.Tech

Department : CIVIL

Years/Semester : 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Signature]

Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

NO

Date : 18-07-2024

Name of Student J. pavani

Signature J. pavani



A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be "J. pavani".



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : R. Ajay Kumar

Course : B.Tech

Department : CMT

Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

No

Date 02/02/2025

R. Ajay Kumar

Name of Student

R. Hanyang
Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name: P.Lokesh

Course: B.Tech

Department: CIVIL

Years/Semester: 1st Year - 2nd Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 2/2/2024

Name of Student P. Lokesh

P. Lokesh
Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : S. Hussainvali

Course : B.Tech

Department : civil

Years/Semester : III - II sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Painadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Extremely good. Need to update software like autocad, Revit.

Date 02/02/2025

S. Hussain Vali
Name of Student

S. Hussain
Signature



WV
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : T. Yohanu

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9 ✓	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----


6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s) :- Develop & Provide systems to the students
the infrastructure

Date

02/02/2025

Name of Student

T. Yohanu

Signature

T. Yohanu..




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : K. Venkatesh

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	✓ Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Handwritten signature in green ink.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Very good Need to provide and develop to improve Internet connections to the CAD lab.

Date

2/2/2025

Name of Student

K.venkatesh

Signature

K.venkatesh.




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 - 2025

Name : M. Kisan

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : II - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Painadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s) **ND**

18/7/2024

Date

M - Kiran

Name of Student

M. Jany

Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Naga Thoun

Course : B.Tech

Department : ME

Years/Semester : IV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 11/7/25

A. Naga Tharan
Name of Student

A. Naga
Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist:)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. VeeramJanyulu

Course : B.Tech

Department : ME

Years/Semester : IV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	<u>8</u>	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>8</u>
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>7</u>
3	Depth of the course content	<u>8</u>
4	Extent of coverage of course	<u>7</u>
5	Extent of effort required by students	<u>9</u>
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>8</u>
7	Overall rating	<u>7</u>

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(<u>1</u>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(<u>2</u>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------



Principal

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 11/07/24

A. Veerajineyulu
Name of Student

A. Ven
Signature



Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V. Lokesh

Course : B.TECH

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : IV / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----


6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 11/7/24

U. Lokesh
Name of Student

C. L. Balaji
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.

Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A" Grade

Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax)

Visit us at : www.nrtec.in

E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2024-25

Name : Ch. Lakshmi Thrueni

Course : B.TECH

Department : Electronics & communication
engineering

Years/Semester : III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9 ✓	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.

Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.

Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47

Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A" Grade

Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax)

Visit us at : www.nrtec.in

E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------


Any other suggestion(s)

Date 22/2/25

Name of Student Ch. Lakshmi Thriveni

Ch. Thriveni
Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2021-22

Name : s.venkata yogi siva Reddy. Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Painadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/3/25

Name of Student G. Venkata yogi siva Reddy

Signature S. V. Y. Siva Reddy



[Handwritten signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Painadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.

Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.

Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Code : 47

Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A" Grade

Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax)

Visit us at : www.nrtec.in

E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2024-25

Name : Ch. Susmitha Reddy

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : 3 - 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<u>5</u>
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<u>4</u>
3	Depth of the course content	<u>3</u>
4	Extent of coverage of course	<u>2</u>
5	Extent of effort required by students	<u>1</u>
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u>3</u>
7	Overall rating	<u>2</u>

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(<u>2</u>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(<u>1</u>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<u>5</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<u>3</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<u>2</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------



Principal

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Kotapakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.

Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.

Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Code : 47

Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A" Grade

Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax)

Visit us at : www.nrtec.in

E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

22/2/25

Ch. Susmitha Reddy
Name of Student

Ch. Susmitha Reddy.
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.

Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.

Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Code : 47

Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A" Grade

Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax)

Visit us at : www.nrtec.in

E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2024-25

Name : M.Chinni

Course : E.C.E

Department : E.C.E

Years/Semester : 24-25 / 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(5)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.

Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.

Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Code : 47

Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A" Grade

Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax)

Visit us at : www.nrtec.in

E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

22/2/25

Name of Student

M. Chinni

Signature

M. Chinni



[Handwritten Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.

Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.

Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Code : 47

Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A" Grade

Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax)

Visit us at : www.nrtec.in

E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2024-25

Name : *G. Ratna Pratibha Joyal*

Course : *B-Tech*

Department : *ECE*

Years/Semester : *3-1*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<i>5</i>
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<i>4</i>
3	Depth of the course content	<i>3</i>
4	Extent of coverage of course	<i>2</i>
5	Extent of effort required by students	<i>2</i>
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<i>4</i>
7	Overall rating	<i>2</i>

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	<i>(5)</i>
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	<i>(4)</i>
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	------------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	<i>(3)</i>
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	<i>(2)</i>
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	<i>(1)</i>
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	<i>(2)</i>
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------------



Principal

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.

Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.

Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Code : 47

Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A" Grade

Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax)

Visit us at : www.nrtec.in

E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

22/2/25

G. Ratna Pratibha Joyal
Name of Student

Signature

Principal



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.

Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A" Grade

Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax)

Visit us at : www.nrtec.in

E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2024-25

Name : G. Meghana

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	3
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3	Depth of the course content	1
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1
7	Overall rating	4

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(5)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(4)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.

Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.

Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47

Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A" Grade

Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax)

Visit us at : www.nrtec.in

E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

22-2-25

Date

G. Meghana

Name of Student


Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.

Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.

Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47

Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A" Grade

Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax)

Visit us at : www.nrtec.in

E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2024-25

Name : D. Jaswanth

Course : BTech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.

Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.

Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47

Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A" Grade

Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax)

Visit us at : www.nrtec.in

E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

22/02/25
Date

D. Jaswanth
Name of Student


Signature







NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : SK. Ishagath

Course : M.C.A

Department : M.C.A

Years/Semester : 2nd year, IIIrd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------





Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sr. Isharath

Name of Student

Sr. Isharath

Signature



WV

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARACPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Rama Suprija

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : 2nd year 3rd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Rama Supraja

Name of Student

P. Supraja
Signature



Handwritten signature in green ink.





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Pavithra Pavani

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : 2nd year IIIrd Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Pavithra Pavani

Name of Student

K. Pavithra Pavani

Signature



A green handwritten signature, likely of the Principal, written in a cursive style.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : S. Bindu vyshnavi

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : IInd year IIIrd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Handwritten Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

S. Bindu Uyshnavi
Name of Student

S. Bindu .
Signature



[Handwritten signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : TGV. Sai kumar

Course : B. TECH

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II year 2/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




 Principal
 NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 (AUTONOMOUS)
 NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

04/08/2025

Date

TG.V. Sai Kumar

Name of Student

TG.V. Sai Kumar

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.


NARASARAOPETA
ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 (AUTONOMOUS)

4/8/2025

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : D. Susil kumar

Course : B.Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : III

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Handwritten Signature]

Principal
 NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
 (AUTONOMOUS)
 NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/8/2025

D. Subil
Name of Student

D. Subil
Signature



Subil
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 001, Palnadu (Dist.), A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Dinash Kumar

Course : Btech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Challenging	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Dull	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	--	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<input type="checkbox"/> 1) Highly Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Partly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Mostly Irrelevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Completely Irrelevant	()
---	---	---	---	---	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----



[Handwritten Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Painadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

4/8/2025

Name of Student

A. Dinash Kurloa

Signature

A. Kurloa



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Nagasai

Course : B.Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Challenging	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Dull	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	--	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<input type="checkbox"/> 1) Highly Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Partly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Mostly Irrelevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Completely Irrelevant	()
---	---	---	---	---	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
---------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date


04/08/2025

Name of Student

B Naga Sai

Signature

Naga Sai





Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. Kaathik

Course : B-Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 04-08-2025 Name of Student

M. Karthik
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Painadu (Dist) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name : P. Ashish Kumar

Course : B.Tech

Department : CIVIL

Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s) *Nil*

Date *02/02/2025*

Name of Student *P. Asish Kumar*

Signature *P. Asish Kumar*



[Handwritten Signature]
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-25

Name: Shaik. John Bassha

Course: B.Tech.

Department: Civil

Years/Semester: III - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------


12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date : 18/7/24.

Shaik. John Basha.
Name of Student


Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Prasad

Course : B-Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II Year - II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/6/25
Date

P. Prasad
Name of Student

Signature





Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : J. Narasimha Swami Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 01/8/25

J. Narasimhaswami
Name of Student

J. Narasimhaswami
Signature



[Handwritten signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Ravindra babu

Course : B.Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : 2-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

04-08-2024
Date

P. Ravindra Babu
Name of Student

P. Ravindra Babu
Signature





Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Gr. Hemanth

Course : B.Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Challenging	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Dull	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	--	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Highly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Partly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Mostly Irrelevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	---	---	---	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

04-08-2025
Date

G. Hemanth
Name of Student

G. Hemanth
Signature



[Handwritten signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : J. Vinay

Course : B.Tech

Department : I.T

Years/Semester : II-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Handwritten signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/8/2025

J. Vinay
Name of Student

J. Vinay
Signature



V.V.V.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. Pavankumar

Course : B-Tech.

Department : IT

Years/Semester : II/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Challenging	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Dull	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	--	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Highly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Partly Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Mostly Irrelevant	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--	--------------------------------------	---	---	---	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

<input type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
---------------------------------------	---	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Inadequate	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very poor	()
--	--------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1) Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> 2) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> 3) Average	<input type="checkbox"/> 4) Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> 5) Very Poor	()
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4/8/25
Date

M. Pavan Kumar
Name of Student

Signature



A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be 'M. Pavan Kumar'.

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. ARTUN

Course : B.EECH

Department : IT (Information Technology) Years/Semester : 2/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



[Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4-8-2025
Date

P. Arjun
Name of Student

P. Arjun
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Rowith

Course : ~~IT~~ B.Tech

Department : It

Years/Semester : 2 / 1 II year

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course


1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----


IQAC


Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

4-08-2025

Date

T. Ronith

Name of Student

T. Ronith

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name: *M. Raghucharan*

Course: *B-Tech*

Department: *CSE*

Years/Semester: *1-2*

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following *a*

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	<i>a</i>
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	<i>a</i>
3	Depth of the course content	<i>a</i>
4	Extent of coverage of course	<i>a</i>
5	Extent of effort required by students	<i>a</i>
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<i>a</i>
7	Overall rating	<i>a</i>

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(<i>1</i>)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	--------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(<i>2</i>)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<i>1</i>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<i>2</i>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<i>1</i>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<i>2</i>)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------------



[Handwritten Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601 Palnadu (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/01/25

Name of Student D. Ravindrea

D. Ravindra
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P






NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name : D. Ravindra

Course : B.Tech

Department : EST

Years/Semester : 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Handwritten Signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 -2025

Name : Valapula Paul Abhishek

Course : B.TECH

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Handwritten signature

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

25/1/25
Date

J. Nitesh Kumar
Name of Student

J. Nitesh Kumar
Signature




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name: J. Nitesh Kumar

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



[Handwritten signature]

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/1/25

Name of Student M. Veerendra


Signature





Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name : M. Veerendra

Course : CSE B.Tech.

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 7

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Handwritten signature

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/11/25

Name of Student M Nagaraju

M. Nagaraju
Signature

ok
IQAC

Vine

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024-2025

Name: M. Nagaraju

Course: B.Tech,

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 7.

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

25/1/25
Date

N. Kiranmai
Name of Student


Signature





Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2025

Name: NANDAM. KIRANMAI

Course: CSE

Department: BS & H

Years/Semester: I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

Any other suggestion(s)

25/1/25
Date

M. Kanyasi
Name of Student

M. Kanyasi
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students


1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

25/1/25
Date

M. Raghav
Name of Student


Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.). A.P






NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Mahesh

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : IV / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

25/1/25
Date

cb Bhavani
Name of Student

BhavanDech.
Signature



A handwritten signature in green ink, appearing to be "Usw".

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Painadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2025

Name : Ch. Bhavani

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------


6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 20-25

Name : N. Namdini

Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I year II semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------


6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : SK. Muneef

Course : B-Tech

Department : EST

Years/Semester : 5- II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------




Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/1/25

Name of Student Y. Prasad

Prasad
Signature



Prasad

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name: Y. Parvath

Course: B-Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25-1-25

Name of Student Ch. Harsha

Harsha
Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA - 522 601, Palnadu, (Dist.) A.P.



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 24-25

Name : Ch. Harsha

Course : B-Tech

Department : EST

Years/Semester : 5-D

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------	-------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Palnadu (Dist.), A P