

STUDENT FEEDBACK FORMS (2023-24)





Name	: SHA	7114	HSM	N	Course	· B.T	ECH		
Depart	tment:	EE	E		Years/S	Semester:	2-2		
	lease give			course on the fol					
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
treme Good	T-40	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)								8
2				real life situation	ons				889
3	Depth o	f the cou	rse conte	ent					8
4	Extent of	of coveraș	ge of cou	irse					9
5				by students					2
6	-	the state of the s	ng value	of project/ repo	rt				8
7	Overall	rating			- 1/				8
2. T	he syllabı								
1) Cha	llenging	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(2)
3. Y	our backs	ground fo	r benefit	ing from the cou	irse was				
l) Higl Releva	G 10 C	2) Rele	vant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	1,740	Completely relevant		21
4. R	ate the se	quence o	f units/m	odules of the co	urse				
) Exc	ellent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	r :	5) Very Poor		(1)
5 R	ate the ad	equatene	ss of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned	for the cour	se	
	ellent	2) Ade		3) Inadequate	-		5) Very poor		()
6 11	Jorg May :	blatogs	the nee	scribed readings	9				
	cellent	2) Ade		Inadequat	The second secon	or	5) Very poor	3	(2)
				-					
		7.95.05.50 F.124-141		in terms of extr	and the second second	100 144 W 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1			



PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 1) Very good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average Any other suggestion(s)

Date

SHALK ASMIN

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Af



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Sk. Faheem Ahmed

Course: BTECH

Department: C.S.E

Years/Semester: 1 / 1

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your	course on the fo	ollowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	2
5.	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	4

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2-)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ting from the course	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/m	odules of the cours	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refer	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
00		The state of the s	N. 1908-20 309-29		(-1)
6. Were you a	ble to get the pres	scribed readings?	1.55.45.55.64 		(1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor



2) Good

1) Very good

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
		1 - 7	171 001	J) very roor	(1)
 Rate the com Sciences, Pre Training / In 	ressional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current gr	oai scenarios.		relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric 1) Very good	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
I) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
other suggesti		sk-faheem A			Sk. Jan Signature
ite			nmeq		Sk. for
HC:	N.	ame of Student			Signature

Date

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Depar	tment :	MBT	4-		Years/	Semester :	2.1		
1. F	lease give	e a rating	of your c	course on the fo	llowing		I was a second		
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	100 - 5000 000 000 000	ng value (i ning persp		of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytical	abilities, or	i i	9
2	Applica	ability/rele	vance to	real life situati	ons				9
3		of the cour							9
4	Extent	of coverag	ge of cou	rse					9
5	Extent of	of effort re	equired b	y students					9
6	Relevan	nce/learnir	ng value	of project/ repo	rt				8
7	Overall	rating							9
	the state of the s	2) Adec	r benefiti	3) Inadequate			Irrelevant		1)
-	hlv				1000			2	
) Hig				Relevant	Irreleva	int In	relevant		
) Hig Releva	int			Relevant		int In	relevant		
) Hig Releva	int		units/me		ourse		elevant Very Poor		(†)
Hig Releva 4. R	ate the se	2) Ade	units/me	odules of the co	ourse e 4) Poo	r 5	5) Very Poor		(1)
Hig Releva 4. R) Exc 5. R	ate the se	2) Ade	f units/me quate ss of the	odules of the co	e 4) Poo	r 5	5) Very Poor	se	(†) (†)
Hig Releva 4. R) Exa 5. R) Exa	ate the se cellent ate the ad	2) Ade	f units/me quate ss of the quate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	r 5	for the cour	se	(1)
4. R 4. R 5. R 6. W	ate the se cellent ate the ad	2) Ade	f units/me quate ss of the quate	3) Inadequate text book and read 3) Inadequate	e 4) Poo	r 5	for the cour	se	(†) (†)
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc 6. W 1) Exc	ate the second ate the advellent	equence of 2) Ade dequatenes 2) Ade able to get 2) Ade	f units/me quate ss of the quate the pres	3) Inadequate text book and readings	e 4) Poo	k mentioned	for the cour for the cour f) Very poor f) Very poor	se	(†)



PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS),

NARASARAOPET - 522 601,

Guntar (DISL), A.P.

Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica	e al
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)	
	depth of the sylla obal scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /	
		on skill developme	al a fertilization			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)	
12.Evaluatio	n of the course i	s appropriate to dis	criminate the stu	idents		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())	
y other suggest	tion(s)				Λ	

02

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

DAOT



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name:	BI	toris	h
-------	----	-------	---

Course: B.Tech

Department : EEE

Years/Semester: 1V/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challengin	g 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			1		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) A	dequate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-------------------	-----------------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Роог	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	 Average 	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				The state of the s	



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 5) Very Poor 4) Poor (1) 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor (1) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 4) Poor (2) 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name by Student

Monature

W

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

07.01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:2023 - 2 924

mar	
-----	--

Course: B. Tech

Department: CIVIL Engineering Years/Semester: III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was						
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant		

2 Vary background for banafiting from the course was

5. 1 our background to benefitting from the course was						
Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(4)	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1.)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 7 (2)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				R
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	R
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		R
11. The curric	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date: 29/10/24

Name of Student : P. Asishkumar

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

the the state



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: di-Chana jakshmi Course: BITE	ch
-------------------------------------	----

Department: CSE Years/Semester: 3 - 9

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllable	The syllabus was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent
2) Adequate
3) Inadequate
4) Poor
5) Very poor
(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)



1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)
10.Rate the d	bal scenarios.	ibus of the course in		competencies expected	× = =
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good	2) Good	abus of the course in	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good	2) Good	abus of the course in	4) Poor	25 s 55	× = =
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

10/6/24 Date

D. Dhana Jakshmi Name of Student

D. Ahana Jakshmi Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) MARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: K. Krankhi kumad Course: BFECh

Department: Computer science and end Years/Semester: Il

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	ч
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	(
4	Extent of coverage of course	LI
5	Extent of effort required by students	2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	H
7	Overall rating	5

	1000	4		
4.	The	SVL	labus	was

	A DOUBLE OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON	- the second sec			
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(14)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)
-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
					F2

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Vary good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	1111
 Very good 	21 G000	3) Avelage	4) POOF	1 21 Very Poor	(3)





8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (14) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (g_) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3 Any other suggestion(s)

Date

25/10/2024

Name of Student

K. Kranthi kumar

Signature

K. Kranthi known.

PRINCIPAL

NARASARABULA - NO COTRING COLLEGE

(4.010 mm(0.5)

MARAUAINE 1 - 122 601

Gantur (Dist.), A.P.







Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Acade	nic Yea	ır:
---	---------	-----

Name	:-	Thanm	a'
		The Call of the Call	Lei

Course: B. Tech

Department:

C.S. E

Years/Semester: 11 /7

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 6 Extremely Very Moderately Good Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Q
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	- a
3	Depth of the course content	0
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	å
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	0
7	Overall rating	0

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

HI MIN W. W.

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Ade	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
---------------------	-------------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
The state of the s	The second secon		The state of the s	The state of the s	





) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi) & Practica
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.		- 14	competencies expected	by industry
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	04 54B	by industry
11. The curric	2) Good		4) Poor	04 54B	(\)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 25 10 24

Name of Student T. Thorrowi

Signature

PRINCIPAL

NABASARAOPETA FAMILIEERING COLLEGE

NARASAPATURE S22 601 Guntar (Dist.), A.P





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: P. Poremohand

Course: B. tech / CSE

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 2nd/1st semesten

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	0
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	0
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	0

-	TRYS.	1 2	4 4 -111	
Z.,	I he	gvi	labus	wae.

1) Challenging 2) Adeq	uate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
			The state of the s	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
---------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

13 P	21	The book of the second of the	The state of the s		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

13 Possillant	0.00	The war and the state of the st	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	12-1
		· ·	100K (F.120-107)	-7 - 0-7 - 00	(/)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPEIA ENGMEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOVICUS)
NARASARAOPEIA ENGMEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOVICUS)
NARASARAOPEIA ENGMEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOVICUS)
NARASARAOPEIA ENGMEERING COLLEGE

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
 Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In 	ofessional Cour	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social Se ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	**************************************
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	10 av = 10	**************************************
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor	10 av = 10	**************************************
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date 25/10/24

Name of Student : P. Ponem Chand.

Signature : P. Fren



NARASAKADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE NAGA SARAOPET - 522 SIGT



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: 5.V. Sadwik

Course: BTECH

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: I years Ist semester.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	a
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Refevant	- 1	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

Excellent 2) Adequa	e 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
---------------------	-----------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2)	Adequate 3)	Inadequate 4	l) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-----------------	-------------	--------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor /	A (A)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (4-)12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) Any other suggestion(s) - Please provide 19 brary House foo better learning.

Date: 25 10 8024 . Name of Student + S-V-Sadwik

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLVEGE MULTONOMOUS)

NAPAS PAGRET - 5 7 601 Geneur (Dist.), e.e.





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Sribitha

Course : AJECH

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: $\overline{R} - \overline{\Upsilon}$

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
3	Depth of the course content	0
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	0
7	Overall rating	17

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
	The state of the s		7	e / more runt	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

 Highly Relevant 	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)
---	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Ac	equate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------------	----------------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	A) Door	CANO.	1-1
I) Execution	2) Aucquate	Inadequate	4) POOF	5) Very poor	(2)
				10 10 112344	

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor	5) Very Poor (3)	
---	------------------	--



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	pressional Com;	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social Sective, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi ') & Practica
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11 The aurice	whom to be				
Very good		on skill developme			
very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	s appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	Section 1				
other suggesti					

25/10/24 Date Snik Hon Name of Student

Signature 4

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(SUTONOMOUS)
NARAS PAOPL 1 - 1 - 501
Guntur (Uisti), 6.5



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V	PREM	KUM	AR
----------	------	-----	----

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 2 htl / 1st

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 4 3 2 Extremely Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9.
4	Extent of coverage of course	2
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	a

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant (3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 60 %
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

 Very good 	2) Good	ing of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
9. Rate the con Sciences, Pr	aposition of cour	se in terms Humani se, Professional Ele		ciences, Engineering Sc etive, Project Work (PW	(3)
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
current glo	lepth of the syll: bal scenarios.	abus of the course it	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry
I) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		(2)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill development	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	

Date 25/10/24

Name of Student

sk Usama

B. Omkası sai

V. Premkuma

S. Bhazgav Gopi

Signature

V. Prounkumot

B. grupas Sai

SK. UDAMA

2 Bhargar Gropi


PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOVOLLS)

MARASARAORET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.







Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: B. Ganesh Reddy

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 11-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating	
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)		
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7	
3	Depth of the course content	9	
4	Extent of coverage of course		
5	Extent of effort required by students	7	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	=	
7	Overall rating	5	

	1924	44 4	
2.	The sy	Habus	was

	The state of the s					
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2.)	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant	1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	
--------------------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------------------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

		The state of the s			
 Very good 	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2-)



NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

l) Very good	2) Good	ing of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	nposition of cour ofessional Cour ternship etc.	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	100			r	by industry /
TOTALLE INC L	epui of the sylli	abus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	har industria
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2_)
) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good		4) Poor		(2)
11. The curric) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill development 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 11. The curric) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill development	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

25/10/24 Date

B. Ganesh Reddy Name of Student

Shut

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 501
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

0//01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Y. Bala Raja shekar Ridoly Course: B-Tect	lame :	Y. Bala	Raja shekar	Ridaly Course:	B-Tech
---	--------	---------	-------------	----------------	--------

Department: Computer Sagna Enginering ears/Semester:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	V 8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

	7		*	T		_
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant	1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
---	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

is there are	7. Take the design of the course in terms of extra remaining of sent remaining								
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	, (2)				



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	ing of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Training / In	sposition of cour ofessional Cour ternship etc.	rse in terms Humanit rse, Professional Ele	ties and Social Sective, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	0 000
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	bal scenarios.				of moustry
COLUMN TO THE TAX		or the course II	i relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	51 C. T 500
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(<u>2</u>)
) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	Average on skill development	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	51 C. T 500
) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		51 C. T 500
11.The curric) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill development 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)
11.The curric) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill development	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

25/10/2024

Name of Student

Y. Bala Raja Shekar Ridoly

Signature

1. Dung

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



77.01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Sk. Sheema

Course: B. Tech

Department: CS&

Years/Semester: I year / 1 sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabit	2. The syllabus was									
) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(9)					

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1)
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

 1) Excellent
 2) Adequate
 3) Inadequate
 4) Poor
 5) Very Poor
 (2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (§)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)



8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor ()) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) Any other suggestion(s)

85-10-24 Date Sk. Sheema Name of Student Sheems

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntar (Dist.)

DAOI



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name	10	V	ď
I THE PARTY OF		· V	877

Joshi

Course: B. Tech

Department:

cse

Years/Semester: II -II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	I
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)	
----------------------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----	--

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
5211.7-004.00401.000			Constitution (Constitution)		

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

PRINCIPAL

NABASABADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

1845MUNGTUAL

NARASARAOPET = 522 991 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So etive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11 The currie	ndum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
, B		913	criminate the stu	15	
	n of the course i				(1)
12.Evaluation) Very good v other suggests	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10-06-24

Date

V. Joshi

Name of Student

4.50ghi

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 4 501
Guntur (Dist.)

DAGI



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Ch	charan teja	Course: B- Tech
Department:	cse	Years/Semester: 1-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 7 4 3 1 Very Some how Good Moderately Moderate Poor Very Extremely Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	04
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

O	Relevan	certearning value	of project report	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		8
7	Overall	rating				9
2. Th	e syllabu	ıs was				10
1) Chall	enging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Yo	ur backg	round for benefi	ting from the cours	e was		
1) Highi Relevan		2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Ra	te the sec	quence of units/n	nodules of the cour	se		
1) Exce	llent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rat	te the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Exce		2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
6. We	ere you a	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?			
1) Exce	llent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
7. Rat	te the de	sign of the course	e in terms of extra l	earning or self-l	earning	-1.
I) Very		2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor p	4 (2)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guillur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cour			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.	CHAIN LOCK CONTRACTOR	100 00111100000000000000000000000000000	competencies expected	
current glo		abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	CHAIN LOCK CONTRACTOR	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

10-6-14 Date

ch.charanteje

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEEMING CULTERE

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Divya, Darla.	course: B-Tech
Department: CSE	Years/Semester: २००२

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Very Extremely Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Good Tolerable Good Good Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2) 1) Highly 3) Partly Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poer (1) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor



PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

			4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
	sional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good 2	() Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
1) Very good 2	m has focus	on skill developme	nt	il di	(9)
11. The curriculu	m has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good 2	() Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
The second secon	the course i	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good 2		1 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7			- 0/

10/06/24 Date Divya Davla Name of Student

Signature ya

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUR)
NARASARAOPET - 527 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: A Rakesh	Course: B-Tech
Department: AI	Years/Semester: 3/1

Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
31	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	19

	-		and the same of th			
			of project/ report	- 0		8
7	Overall rating		19			
2. Th	ne syllabi	us was				mellidi
1) Chall	lenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Yo	our back	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
1) High Relevan	1.7	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
Kelevan	11		Relevant	Irrelevant	merevant	
4. Ra	ite the se	quence of units/m 2) Adequate	Relevant sodules of the course 3) Inadequate		5) Very Poor	(1)
4. Ra	ite the se	2) Adequate	odules of the coun 3) Inadequate	4) Poor		(1)
4. Ra 1) Exce 5. Ra	ate the se	2) Adequate	odules of the coun 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
4. Ra 1) Exce 5. Ra 1) Exce	ate the se ellent ate the ad ellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate text book and refe	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

4) Poor

3) Average

5) Very Poor



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL
NABASHBADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
HAUTONOMOUS;
NAPASARAOPET - 522 ...
Guittur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cour			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	(0
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	711				2000

Date 31/8/24

Name of Student

A-Rakes Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

	lease give			ourse on the fo	The second secon				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
xtreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
T 2	Learnin	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)							9
2				real life situati	ons				9
3	the second second second		urse conte		AHEIMIE				9
4			age of cou		to the				8
5				y students					8
6			ing value	of project/ repo	And the second	N			9
7	Overall	rating		5-4	men y //2	1377			9
3. Y 1) Hig Releva	hly	ground f 2) Rel		ng from the co 3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	1, 46.	Completely elevant		(1)
	ate the se	quence o	of units/m	odules of the co	ourse				
4. R	00410004	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequat	te 4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poor		(2)
4. R	cellent								
1) Ex		equaten	ess of the	text book and r	eference boo	k mentioned f	or the cour	se	
1) Exe		_	ess of the equate	text book and r			or the cour		(1)
5. R	ate the ad	2) Ad	equate		e 4) Poc				(1)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOLIS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Inte	fessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11 The curricu	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-7.5505	1 + /		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	40.34.34.1
y other suggestie	on(s)				

31-08-24

Date

V. Deva Raj Kumar Name of Student

Signature

12 Deve

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 G01

Guntur (Dist.), A.P



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

ame: T. V Sandee	P Reddy	Course: Brack
-		

Department: TT Years/S	emester: II	I.
------------------------	-------------	----

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

	Rating
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
Applicability/relevance to real life situations	q
Depth of the course content	8
Extent of coverage of course	8
Extent of effort required by students	9
Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
Overall rating	9
1	broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations Depth of the course content Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report

 Challenging 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
---------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

 Highly 	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	50 m 1 M 1 m 1 m

		100000000000000000000000000000000000000			
 Excellent 	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	7.1.1

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
7. Rate the d	esign of the cours	e in terms of extra l	earning or self-	learning	MAAI



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cou	rse in terms Humanit rse, Professional Elec	ies and Social Se ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	(5) Very Poor	0. (2)
current glo	epth of the syl bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focu 2) Good	3) Average s on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 31/8/2024

Name of Student Tru Sarabep Reddy

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: SD. Nazma

Course: B.Tech

Department: IT

? The syllabus was

Years/Semester: II / 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	q
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

an title by there's	ALC: TT GILL				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
I) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

	THE CONTINUES OF			0.014
		"		
6. Were you	able to get the pres	cribed readings?		

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor



PRINCIPAL

MARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601,

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1	on skill developme	nt		
num has focus				
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2) Good				(1)
-	position of cours fessional Cours ernship etc. 2) Good	position of course in terms Humanit fessional Course, Professional Electrical Electrical (2) Good (3) Average epth of the syllabus of the course in the bal scenarios.	position of course in terms Humanities and Social Social Social Course, Professional Elective, Open Electoriship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor epth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the obal scenarios.	position of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences fessional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW ernship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor epth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected bal scenarios.

31-08-24

SO. Nazma

Date

Name of Student

Nazma-Sayyad. Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 203-24

Name :	B	Vagya	Moule
--------	---	-------	-------

Good

Course: B. Tech civil

Department: Civil

Good

Years/Semester: 11 - 11

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 7 3 Some how Good Moderately Moderate Poor Very Extremely Very Extremely Tolerable Poor Poor

Good

Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations Depth of the course content Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report Overall rating	S.No.	Particulars	Rating
3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1	[1] P. W. B.	9
4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3	Depth of the course content	8
6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4	Extent of coverage of course	9
	5	Extent of effort required by students	8
7 Overall rating	6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7 073	7	Overall rating	8

The syllabit	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your bar	ckground for benefi	ting from the co	urse was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(%)
Relevant	1.04	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

		BENEFIT STREET, STREET	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	Cerchary Christiana Chon Ch	WOOD WA
Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
*,	STATE OF THE OWNER OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER	The research of the same	N. W. L. CENTRAL		

2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
	2) Adequate	Adequate 3) Inadequate	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
-----------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

IVAC

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 5) Very Poor (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 3) Average 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (I)12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

29/10/2023

Date

B. Vagyanlaik

B. ragyanlaile Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTOMORIOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

DA



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: P. Lolcesh

Course: B Tech - CE

Department: CIVIL

Years/Semester: II- I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

The syllabit	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your bac	ekground for benefi	ting from the co	urse was	_ v	
15 11" 1.1.	2) Dalament	2) Doubles	4) Mostly	5) Completely	

78 5 7 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1	4) Mostly 5) Completely relevant Irrelevant	(2)
--	---	-----

	4. Nate the see	defice of different	odules of the cours			
1)	Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

1) Excenent	2) Aucquaic	2) madequate	4)100	5) Very root	N.N. 1

) Excellent	2) Adequate	 Inadequate 	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------	-------------	--------------------------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you	able to get the pres	scribed readings?			
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2_)

The state of the second	was come out their	Constitution of the forest	or of overa loarning o	and a county fundamental street	

3) Average



1) Very good

2) Good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Pgor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

29/10/2023

P. Lohesh

Signature

P. Lokeble

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

TOAC

Assel



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name:	7.1	40	ha	nu
-------	-----	----	----	----

Course: B. Tech - CIVIL

Department: Civil Engineening

Years/Semester: II-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	- 8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

1 2	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2		
-	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	1
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2.	The	syl	labus	was
----	-----	-----	-------	-----

	Li .				
1) Challenging 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
LOCAL INSPECTATION	Design of the Control				CORN INC

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor + (2)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering S tive, Project Work (PV	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	bal scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9/)
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)
) Very good	2) Good	Average on skill developme		5) Very Poor	(%)
11. The curric	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(9)
11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Date

Name of Student

Signature

29-10-2023

T-yohanu

NARASARAOPETA EN CHIEERING COLLEGE

(AUTOHOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name: K. Anil Basy Course: B-Toch civil Department: Civil engine eving Years/Semester: 11-11.

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the fo	llowing	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
11 21	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

		or project/ report			8
7 Overall	rating				8
2. The syllab	us was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		100
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	nodules of the cours	se		
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	quence of units/n 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	1000000	(z)
5. Rate the ad	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor tioned for the course 5) Very poor	(z) (1)
5. Rate the ad	Adequate lequateness of the 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate text book and refe	4) Poor	tioned for the course	

4) Poor

3) Average

5) Very Poor



1) Very good

2) Good

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	lepth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	2 3
current glo	bal scenarios.		186.1		by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bbal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	Average skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	2 3
current glo I) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		2 3
Current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	2 3

Date

Name of Student

Signature

29-10-2023

R. Anil BaBu.

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAGPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Depart	tment:	civ	iL		Years/S	Semester:	正江		
	lease giv		g of your o	course on the fo	-	T 4	1 2 1	2	
9 xtreme Good	ely	8 Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	5 Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particu	lars							Rating
1		-	(in terms of	of skills, concep	ots, knowled	ge, analytical	abilities, or		8
2				real life situati	ons				8
3	Depth	of the co	ourse conte	nt					9
4	Extent	of cover	rage of cou	rse					9
5	Extent	of effort	required b	y students					9
6	Releva	nce/lear	ning value	of project/ repo	ort				9
7	Overal	l rating			100 Gril 1				9
2. T	he syllab	ous was							
	llenging	the same of the sa	dequate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Dull	5) Irrelevant		(1)
3. Y	our back	ground	for benefit	ing from the co	urse was				
1) Hig Releva		2) Re	elevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	1000) Completely relevant	<i>t</i>	(1)
4. R	ate the s	equence	of units/m	odules of the c	ourse				
1) Ex	cellent	2) A	dequate	3) Inadequa	te 4) Poo	or	5) Very Poo	511	(1)
5. R	ate the a	dequater	ness of the	text book and i	reference boo	ok mentioned	for the cour	se	
	cellent		dequate	3) Inadequa			5) Very poor		(1)
6 V	Vere von	able to	get the pre-	scribed reading	s?				
	cellent		dequate	3) Inadequa		or	5) Very poo	r	(1)
							100		

4) Poor



2) Good

1) Very good

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The currie	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
and the second s	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	14) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		(1)

VAIJA Gopalakvishma Name of Student Murthy

V-G-K-Murthy Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Depar	ment:	°uiL	Engine	wad ening	Course Years/S	Semester:	0 13 10	tq/=	
1. P	lease give		g of your	course on the fol					,
9		8	Good	6 Moderately	5 Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremel
treme Good		Very Good	Good	Good	Moderate	Tolerable	1 001	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars							Rating
1	Learning	g value	(in terms spectives)	of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		9
2	Applica	bility/re	levance to	real life situation	ons				9
3			urse conte						7
4	Extent o	f cover	age of cou	ırse					8
5	Annual and Annual and Assessed	and the second second	the state of the s	by students					9
6	Relevan	ce/learr	ing value	of project/ repo	rt				9
7	Overall	rating							1 8
2. T	he syllabi	is was							
	llenging	-	lequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)1	rrelevant		(2)
				0					
3 V	our backs	round t	for benefit	ing from the cor	irse was				
-	-	-		ing from the cou		tly 5)	Completely		(1)
) Hig	hly	-	for benefit levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos		Completely Elevant		(1)
) Hig Releva	hly	2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva			1	(1)
) Hig Releva	hly	2) Re	levant	3) Partiy	4) Mos Irreleva	ant Irre			(1)
Hig Releva 4. R	hly ant ate the se	2) Requence	levant of units/m	3) Partly Relevant sodules of the co	4) Mos Irreleva urse e 4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poor		
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R	hly ant ate the se	2) Requence 2) Address equater	levant of units/m	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva urse e 4) Poc eference boo	or 5)	Very Poor	se	
) Hig Releva 4. R) Exc 5. R) Exc	hly ate the se- cellent ate the ad	2) Requence 2) Address equater 2) Address 2)	of units/m dequate ness of the dequate	3) Partiy Relevant odules of the co 3) Inadequat text book and re	4) Mos Irreleva urse e 4) Poo eference boo e 4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poor	se	(1)

Pour



2) Good

1) Very good

3) Average

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

P. Rate the composition of course in term Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Fraining / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) A		보다 그 사람이 되었다. 그리고 아이들에게 되었다. 그리고 아이들이 아이를 가게 들어 되었다. 아이들이 아이들이 다른데 되었다.	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) A	verage 4) Poor	5) Vary Poor	
		J) very roor	(1)
	verage 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) very good 2) Good 3) A	verage 4) roor	3) very roor	(1)
11. The curriculum has focus on skill of	levelopment		
1) Very good 2) Good 3) A	verage 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation of the course is appropri	iate to discriminate the	students	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) A	verage 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 29/10/2023

Name of Student

1.1) wigapicusad Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENDIMEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPE1 - 522 601 . Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name:Shai	k Mahaboob Subhani			
Department:	EEE	Years/Ser	nester:	/11

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extreme Good	ly Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particulars							Rating
1	Learning value broadening per	*/ HILL 1889	of skills, conce	pts, knowled	ge, analytical al	bilities, or		9
2	Applicability/re	elevance to	real life situat	ions				9
3	Depth of the co	urse conte	nt					8

4	Extent of	of coverage of cou	irse			9
5	Extent o	of effort required	by students			7
6	Relevan	ce/learning value	of project/ report			9
7	Overall	rating	The state of the s			8
2. T	he syllabi	ıs was				
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
2 37						
1) High	hly	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
1) Higl Releva	hly ant	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly Irrelevant		(1)
1) Higl Releva	hly ant ate the se	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		(1)
1) High Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R	hly ant ate the se- cellent ate the ad	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate equateness of the	3) Partly Relevant odules of the cours 3) Inadequate text book and refe	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) High Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R	hly ant ate the se- cellent ate the ad	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant modules of the cours 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	- 18
1) High Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	hly ant tate the se- cellent ate the ad	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate equateness of the 2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant odules of the cours 3) Inadequate text book and refe	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)



2) Good

3) Average

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

5) Very Poor

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor Any other suggestion(s)

Date

SK Mahabab Subhani

Jubban

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Good

Name: B. Renuka

Good

Course: EEE (B Tech)

Department: EEE

Good

Years/Semester: 1/11

Tolerable

Poor

Poor

 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

 9
 8
 7
 6
 5
 4
 3
 2
 1

 Extremely
 Very
 Good
 Moderately
 Moderate
 Some how
 Poor
 Very
 Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)

Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (え)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
11 7%	1 6		74 P		(2)
		on skill developme			
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
					- 10

Date

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name:	k.	Lavan	4	(X
transfer t		Berline St. Committee		100

Course: B. Tech (DSP)

Department : ECE

Years/Semester: III - 1

1. Please	Please give a rating of your course on the following								
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2		

	0	/	0	2	- 4	- 2	- 4-	
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8


2. The syllabus was								
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)			

Your back	ekground for benefi	iting from the co	urse was		
Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(f)

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate		5) Very Poor	(1)

\$160 WATER VI. 16 3	27 52 5920	TO THE WINDSAME		Ur		_
Rate the ar	dequateness of the	text book and refer	ence book men	tioned for the course		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(9_)	

	6/	scribed readings?	T		
Excellent	Adequate	 Inadequate 	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)





PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K-Lavanya Name of Student

K Lavanya



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CO. IL (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Name :	Kota	JUNE.	Vai	Tanuja	Course	: B.7			
Departn	nent: E			J	Years/S	Semester:	3-1 CE	MI)	
	ase give		of your o	course on the fo					
9		8	Cord.	6	5 Moderate	Some how	3	2	1
tremely Good		ery iood	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs							Rating
			in terms (of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytica	d abilities, or		8
				real life situati	ons				7
3	Depth of	the cou	irse conte	nt					9
4	Extent of	f covera	ge of cou	rse					8
5	Extent of	feffort	required b	y students					
6	Relevano	ce/learn	ing value	of project/ repo	rt				8
7	Overall r	ating							9
2. The	e syllabu	s was							
1) Chall	enging	2) Add	equate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant		
3 Vo	nr backe	round fe	or benefit	ing from the co	irse was				
1) Highl Relevan	у	2) Rel		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva		i) Completely rrelevant	((1)
4. Rat	e the sea	uence o	of units/m	odules of the co	ourse				
1) Exce		-	equate	3) Inadequat		or .	5) Very Poor		(1)
5. Rat	e the ade	equaten	ess of the	text book and r	eference boo	k mentione	d for the cour	se	
l) Exce	llent	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	or I	5) Very poor		(2)
6. We	re you at	ole to go	et the pres	cribed readings	?				
) Exce	-	The second second second	equate	3) Inadequat		or	5) Very poo	r	(1)
7 D	e the dec	son of t	he course	in terms of extr	a learning o	r self-learni	ne		
3 . 3 . 31	good	2) Goo		3) Average	4) Poor		Very Poor		



NARASARABPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUR) NARASARAOPET - 532 AUT Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	bal scenarios.				
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(1)
Very good The curric	2) Good	3) Average on skill developme 3) Average		5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1) (2)

Date

Name of Student Kotavu · Osi Tanuja

K- Vai Tanuja Signature

IQAC

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 GO1. Guntur (Dist.). A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024.

Name:	5h	aik.	Hazari.	Trshad.	Course:	B	Tech	111-1	[EMI
Departme	ent :	EC	E		Years/Se	meste	er : Ⅲ	do.	

Department: ECE

9	. 8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	q
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabor	is was		tion to be a second and a second a second and a second an		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

Your back	ekground for beneft	iting from the co	urse was		
Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the se	4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course							
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)			

5. Rate the a	dequateness of the	text book and refer	rence book men	tioned for the course	
	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	-	5) Very poor	(2)

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 681 Guntur (Dist.), A.F.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 2) Good 4) Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (1) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 5) Very Poor (2)1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

SHAIK HAZARI IRSHAD

Name of Student

SK-H. Trshad

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CO (AUTONOMOUS)

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name: Vssskumar

Course: BTech

Department : €C €

Years/Semester: 1 /1 (LDICA)

5) Very Poor

 Please 	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the fo	llowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
 Highly Relevant 	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/m	odules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ad	lequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
	** 2	scribed readings?			
6. Were you	ible to get the pre			5) Very poor	(2)

4) Poor

3) Average



1) Very good

2) Good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 661

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, ! agineering Sci tive, Project Work (PW	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The currie	rulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) Very good	Tary shows				
1) Very good 12.Evaluation 1) Very good		s appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date: 2

Name of Student : Vssskumar

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAE

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CULLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

01.01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

	40.00		. **
Name:	K.	Vams	1

Department: ECE

Course: B. Tech

Years/Semester: III (II (VL3I)

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	ч
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	H

	The syllabu	is was				
ĺ	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your ba	ckground for benefi	ting from the co	urse was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(3)

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate			5) Very Poor	(3)

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-----------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	2 2(3)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	--------



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOLIS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	n of the course i	s appropriate to dis	criminate the stu		
12.Evaluatio	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Date

K. Yamsi Name of Student

Signature

NAKASARAOPETA ENGINEERINGI COLLEGIS NARASARAOPET - 522 001

Output (Dist.), A.P.



Name : A	Prasanna	Lakshmi
----------	----------	---------

Course: B. Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester: IM - II Sem (VLSI)

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	A
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(11)

3. Your bac	ekground for benefi	iting from the co	urse was		
Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-----------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sective, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	a relation to the	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	2591000			
current glo) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo) Very good 11. The curric) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(4)

Date

A. Prasanna Lakshmi Name of Student A. Praganna Laleshy Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NAMES ARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOLIS)
NARAS ARAOPET - 522 001
Guntur (DISL), A.P.



Depart	tment:	FCE			Years/	Semester :]	II-IIse	m	
1. P	lease give		g of your	course on the fol	lowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
treme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable		Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	Commence of the commence of th	_	(in terms spectives)	of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytica	al abilities, or		8
2	Applica	bility/re	elevance to	real life situation	ons				8
3	Depth o	f the co	urse conte	ent					9
4	Extent of	of cover	age of cou	irse					9
5	Extent of	of effort	required l	by students					8
6	Relevar	ice/lean	ning value	of project/ repor	rt				8
7	Overall	rating							9
2. T	he syllab	us was			TIM			6216	JAL.
) Cha	llenging	2) Ac	lequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Y	our back	ground	for benefit	ing from the cou	irse was				
) High televa	3.5 (\$-0.)	2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	0.6) Completely rrelevant	у ((۲)
4. R	ate the se	quence	of units/m	odules of the co	urse				
) Exc	cellent	2) A	lequate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Poo	or	5) Very Poo	r	(1)
5. R	ate the ad	equater	ness of the	text book and re	eference boo	k mentione	d for the cou	rse	
	ellent	-	lequate	3) Inadequate			5) Very poo		(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Inadequate

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

4) Poor



2) Adequate

1) Excellent

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(9)

5) Very poor

(AUTONOME(IS)
NARASARADPET - 527 501
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(d) (k2)
current glo) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	127	by industry /
current glo Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	127	(d) (k2)
current glo I) Very good 11.The curric I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date -

Name of Student : M. Leela raga parani

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAGPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

M. L. N. Bavani Signature

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



DAQI



Name: A. Diuya	Name:	A.	Di	NYCH
----------------	-------	----	----	------

Course: B-Tech (VLSID)

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 3.2

 Please 	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the fo	llowing				
9	8	V	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	٦
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your bac	ekground for benefi	ting from the cor	irse was			
I) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)	ĺ

4 Rate the se	rouence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
			4	Tarrel 1	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	A) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

	l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	
_					1/2		

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)
-----------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

4) Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

IQAC

2) Good

1) Very good

NARASARAOPETA ENGLITERING COLLEGE

5) Very Pogr

NARASARADEET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	relation to the	5) Very Poor	by industry (2.)
	bal scenarios.	100000		5 50	0
1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5 50	0
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date 34-1-2

Name of Student A . Divya

A Diva. Signature



NARASLRAUPETA ENGLISHMENTER AND ASARAODET 122 EST

DAQI



Name:	/	Muth	
, same	1-	Muth	١7

Course: B. Tech

Department: C.S.E

Years/Semester: 3-3

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	3
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

Your bac	kground for benefi	iting from the co	urse was	- deleter	
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(8)

4. Rate the st	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	C		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

The second secon	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(a)
--	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



NABASABAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (0) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average (0) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (3) Any other suggestion(s)

Date 10/06/24

Y Myths? Name of Student





PRINCIPAL
NAHASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 301
Guntur (Dist.), 642

07.007



Name: Y. Nandini

Course : B. Tech

Department: CS€

Years/Semester: 2-2

Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was								
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant				

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (5)

I) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)
itere ruin		Tererune	- Interestant	in circum	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA FINGINEERING COLLECTION (AUTONOMOUS)

(2)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cour			ciences, Engineering S trive, Project Work (PV	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(&)
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course ir 3) Average	a relation to the	5) Very Poor	d by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	Lewisonies of the west	10005-03-00000 10-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30	100 (8	
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	100 (8	
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10/06/24 Date

Y Nondini Name of Student

Signature

431

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
MARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) - ...





Name: Patibandla Pousanthi

Course: B. Tech

Department: Computer Science and Engineering ears/Semester: 11-17

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2)1) Challenging 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2) 2) Relevant 1) Highly Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course (2)2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Excellent 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 5) Very poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor (2)6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 4) Poor 5) Very poor 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate (1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor



2) Good

1) Very good

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLL (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 GO1 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cour			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Very good	2) Good	Average Average Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	The second secon	on skill developme		1222	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		s appropriate to disc			713
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10-06-2024

Part of The

Date

Patibandla Prasanthi Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Nome: Asritha. Khajiayam como B. Tech

		8	7	ourse on the fo	5	4	3	2	1
tremely Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
No.	Particula	ars							Rating
		g value (i ing persp		of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytica	l abilities, or		8
				real life situati	ons				9
			rse conte						q
4	Extent o	f coverag	ge of cou	rse					8
5 1	Extent o	f effort r	equired b	y students					8
6 1	Relevan	ce/learni	ng value	of project/ repo	rt				8
7 (Overall	rating							9
3. You Highli	ır backg y	2) Ade	r benefiti	3) Inadequate ing from the co		tly 5) Irrelevant) Completely relevant		2)
4. Rat	e the sec	-		odules of the co	ourse				
) Excel	llent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	or	5) Very Poor	r	(1)
	e the ad	eguatene	ss of the	text book and r	eference boo	k mentioned	I for the cour	rse	
5. Rat	2.112.12.0	2) Ade		3) Inadequat			5) Very poor		(1)
5. Rat	llent	0.00							
) Excel		ble to ge	t the pres	cribed readings	.7				



NARASARAGPETA ENGINEERING COLI (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET : 500 491 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (1) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 5) Very Poor 4) Poor (2)Any other suggestion(s)

10/06/24

Date

Asritha. Khajjayam

Name of Student

K. Switha

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

DAGI



Name: Vijaya rani. chicamruvi

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 2, 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabu	s was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	N/

Your background for benefiting from the course was								
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)			
Dalascont		Dolovant	Irrelevent	Irrelevant				

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	c		
 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
	able to get the pre-				

7. Rate the de	esion of the cou	rse in terms of extra	learning or sel	f-learning	
The second secon	artyr - Ton to the second	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

10/06/24

Date

vijaya rani. chi lamturi Name of Student

vilaya.ch Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING CULLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Name: CH. Rohith

Course: B. TECH

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 2 -2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	٩
7	Overall rating	9

2. The synabl	is was	- 3VL			
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(4)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was								
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)			
Delevent	The state of the s	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant				

Contract to the contract of th		odules of the cours	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TW		-
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the d	esign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	a learning or self-	learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(D)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2.)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	mhum hae forme	3) Average	of		(2)
	the special contract of the second second second second	on skill developme		O.U. D.	40.
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(乳)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		111111111111111111111111111111111111111			

Date

10/06/24

Name of Student

CH. Rohith

Signature

Ch'idehiber



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1/1/1



Name: M. Svinu	Course: B-tech
Department: CSE	Years/Semester: 7 - 7

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the to	Howing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	B
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

 Challenging 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	nodules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<u>2</u>)
5. Rate the ac	lequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
6. Were you	able to get the pre	scribed readings?			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2_)
7. Rate the de	esign of the cours	e in terms of extra	earning or self-	learning.	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING DOLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 801

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The currie	nilum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	ariminate the stu	dents 5) Very Poor	
	4) 0000	3) Average	4) 1 001	1 3) very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation					

10-06-24

Date

Name of Student

Signature



Good

Name: B. Arun	Eumar
---------------	-------

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSC

Good

Good

Years/Semester: 2/2

Tolerable

Poor

Please give a rating of your course on the following									
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely	

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus	s was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			1		

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2.)



PRINCIPAL

NAKASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CULLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor 2) Good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) Any other suggestion(s)

IQAC

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



Name:	R. Anjanega	kumour	Course:	CRE B' tech
	ent: CSE			nester:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 2 7 6 1 Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Extremely Good Good Tolerable Poor Good Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	Ř
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2) 1) Challenging 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant Relevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course (2) 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course (2) 5) Very poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 2) Adequate 1) Excellent 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 4) Poor 5) Very Poor I) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 9



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAUPETA ENSINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 2) Good 1) Very good 5) Very Poor 3) Average 4) Poor (2)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 3) Average 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (2)2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good

Any other suggestion(s)

E. Anjaneya koman

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONUMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: S- Yaswarth Rahul

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

	most			
2	The:	culls	amus	WAS

			-		
 Challenging 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant	3-10-3046-9060	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	 Average 	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cour			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\)
11.The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(L)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
other suggest	ion(s)				
(2)					

Name of Student

IQAC

Date

PRINCIPAL
NAKASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 501
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: Ch. Rojesh

Course: B' Tech [LOICA]

11-1

Department: ECE - 3-1

Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	0

The syllabu	s was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

Your back	ekground for benefi	ting from the co	urse was		
Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	 Poor 	5) Very Poor	(1)
-------------	-------------	---------------	--------------------------	--------------	-----

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the de	sign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	i learning or sen	-icarning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)



(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Se tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
current glo					
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(1)
Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developme	nt		
Very good 11.The curric	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

Date 2

Ch. Rajesh Name of Student

Ch Lajor

Signature

PRINCIPAL MARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Good

Name :	(1)	02	9	1
Name:	JV.	170		

Good

Course: B

B. Tech

Department: Mcchanical

Good

Years/Semester:

Tolerable

11/1

Poor

Poor

 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

 9
 8
 7
 6
 5
 4
 3
 2
 1

 Extremely
 Very
 Good
 Moderately
 Moderate
 Some how
 Poor
 Very
 Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant	2) itelevan	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	12/

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

	1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
d					1	

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

	the facility of the control of the c		the beginning the second		
1) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
I A CLY SOUR	27 0000	- Janaan Land	17.00	-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	-



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEC

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	- ()
current glo	bal scenarios.	CONTRACTOR OF STREET	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	5) Very Poor	by industry
current glo	COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	abus of the course in	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	CONTRACTOR OF STREET	4) Poor	HE ADDRESS OF SELVEN PRESENTATIONS IN	by industry
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	HE ADDRESS OF SELVEN PRESENTATIONS IN	by industry
11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	by industry ()

28/08/23

Name of Student

JK. Adil

- (W A

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

1/6/11



Name:	B.	Ramanseelu
		, or cent

Course: B. Tech

Department:	Meci	han	rcal
-------------	------	-----	------

Years/Semester: \(\begin{align*} \begin{align*} \be

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your c	course on the ro	nowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	1
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

	rating				9
2. The syllab	us was				
l) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(()
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	nodules of the cours	se		
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1,)
		200004	- Look - Look	. 16 4	
5. Rate the ac	dequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
1) Excellent		3) Inadequate			(1)



PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGA (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by inducurrent global scenarios.		2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by inducurrent global scenarios.	Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours				
current global scenarios.	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
11 The curriculum has focus on skill development	i) very good	27.0000				
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1 6			
12.Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students			1 6		5) Very Poor	()

Date

Name of Student

25/08/23

B. Ramanjeelu

Signature

B. Ramanseelle

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: Bolla. Lakshmi Varsha Course: B. Tech

Department: CS€ Years/Semester: 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

I he syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2.)
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2)

O. West you	ante to get the pre-	scribed readings?			
 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 1) Very good Any other suggestion(s)

B. Parsha

Date : 10/6/2024

Name of Student : Bolla Lakshmi Varsha

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING EÖLLEGI (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 501 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: B. Suhay

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSC

Years/Semester: 3 /2#

1. Please	give a rating	g of your o	course on the to	Howing				
9	8 🗸	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

6	Relevan	ce/learning value	of project/ report			
7	Overall	rating				
2. Th	he syllabu	is was	TAT			***
) Chal	llenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
3. Yo	our backg	round for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
l) High Relevar	0.196.10	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Ra	ate the sec	quence of units/m	odules of the cour	se		
	-	quence of units/m 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
l) Exc	ellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	Section of Partitions	(2)
5. Ra	ellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor tioned for the course 5) Very poor	(2)
5. Ra	ellent ate the ad ellent	2) Adequate equateness of the 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate text book and refe	4) Poor	tioned for the course	

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average 4) Poor



1) Very good 2) Good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 691.
Guntur (Dist.), A.R.

5) Very Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1 1	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10.Rate the d	3	7		competencies expected	by industry
10.Rate the d current glo I) Very good	epth of the syllabal scenarios.	abus of the course in	4) Poor	competencies expected	8 8
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	8 8
10.Rate the d current glo I) Very good	epth of the syllabal scenarios.	abus of the course in	4) Poor	competencies expected	8 8
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 10/6/2024

Name of Student B. Suhas

Signature

C

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Disc.), A.P.

24.01



Name: 5. chandona sekhar

Course: B. Tech

Department: C.S.€

Years/Semester: 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9 V	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	1
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3	Depth of the course content	•
4	Extent of coverage of course	1
5	Extent of effort required by students	2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1
7	Overall rating	1

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
172(152c) V2	195 S. W.	414 - 234			
4. Rate the se	quence of units/m	odules of the coun	se		
	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	MATURE CAS HOLES	(1)
5. Rate the ad	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor tioned for the course 5) Very poor	(1)
5. Rate the ad	2) Adequate lequateness of the 2) Adequate	Inadequate text book and refe	4) Poor	tioned for the course	

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average



1) Very good 2) Good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 691
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	by industry /
current glo		abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
1) Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
Current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	S. S	(1)
1) Very good 11.The currie 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	by industry /

10-6-2024

5. chandora sekhal

Date Name of Student

S.chumdra 0

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

2/101



Name: 👌	·manvitha	Course:	B. Teich
Department	: CSE	Years/Sem	ester : 3-2

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Gøod	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
(6)	~				11.			
S.No. Par	ticulars							Rating

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

5	Extent	f affort required	by students						
_	Extent of effort required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report								
6	Overall rating								
7	Overall	rating							
2. TI	he syllabu	ıs was							
1) Chal	llenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)			
3. Y	our backg	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was					
 High Releva 		2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)			
4. Ra		quence of units/n 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)			
5. R:	ate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course				
1) Exc	The latest design of the lates	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)			
6. W	ere vou a	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?						
-	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)			
7. Ra			3) Inadequate	2 /4 /4000					
1) Very	good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)			



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

	fessional Cour			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	The state of the s
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The currie	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to dis	criminate the stu	dents	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
other suggesti	on(s)				

IQAC

Name of Student

Date

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



Name: O. Abhishikth

Course: B-tech

Department : C - S - E

Years/Semester : 3 4 year / 2 year

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Extremely Tolerable Good Good Good Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor ()

IQAC

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10.Rate the d	10			competencies expected 5) Very Poor	by industry /
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good	epth of the syllabal scenarios.	abus of the course in	4) Poor	competencies expected	
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie	epth of the syllabal scenarios.	abus of the course in	4) Poor	competencies expected	
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie 1) Very good	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	

Date 1016 2024

Name of Student O. Abhishi kt

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Name:	A .	Lakshmi	Nihanika	Course: B. Tech
Departm	ent:	CSE		Years/Semester: 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	q
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabu	s was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

I) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Stive, Project Work (PV	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.	1		5) Very Poor	
current glo	Market Committee of the	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
eurrent glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 10/6/24

1

Name of Student A. Lakshmi Nihanika

Signature

A-Niharita

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
HARASARAUPITA (MELLENCE COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOTO)
HARASARAOPET - 522 G01,
Guntur (Eist), 4, P.

10/10/



Name: 1-	Bandaru	Ansibabu	Course : B Tec	h

Department: GSE Years/Semester: 3. 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	Q
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	9

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

5, Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning
 Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

IQAC

2 The collaboration

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			riences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
water places in the contract of the contract o	The second second second second second second second second	on skill developme		I 2000000000000000000000000000000000000	177.202.0
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	and the con-				
y other suggest		Bandary Ami	baby		B.A.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAUPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



9		8	7	course on the fol	5	4	3	2	1
Extreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	CONTRACTOR OF COLUMN	ng value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or ning perspectives)							
2	Applica	bility/re	levance to	real life situation	ons				
3			urse conte						
4	Extent of	of cover	age of cou	rse					
5	Extent of	of effort	required b	y students					
6		Relevance/learning value of project/ report							
7	Overall	rating							
	he syllabi		equate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant	1	· 1
w) Cita	inchight, g	-//	- Cylinte) madequate	17,541	107	areae rans		
3. Y	our back	-		ing from the cou	the state of the s				
1) High Releva	A - T - A	2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva		Completely elevant	()
4. R	ate the se	quence	of units/m	odules of the co	urse				
) Exc	ellent	2) Ad	lequate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Poo	or 5) Very Poor		()
5. R	ate the ad	equaten	ess of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned	for the cour	se	
VI Exc	The second second second second	the second section is a second second	lequate	3) Inadequate	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	The state of the s) Very poor	-	()
	•			97.10					
		And on the or	AT THE PARTY	combined residence.	1				
6. W		the second second	er me pres lequate	cribed readings 3) Inadequate		in to) Very poor		al W

3) Average



Very good 2) Good

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
Sciences, Pro	position of cours ofessional Cours ternship etc.	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	eiences, l	Basic ctical
(1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
The second secon	The second second second second second	on skill developme	Market Committee	[zvyzakonose [190	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
12.Evaluatio	n of the course i	s appropriate to dis	criminate the stu	dents		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
ny other suggest	tion(s)					
					600	40
612024	I	3. Keerthi			650	Cere!

IQAC

NARASARADE TO SEE TO SE



Name: K.Bhawana	Course: B-Tech
Department: CSE	Years/Semester: T

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	18	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

L) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(()
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(7)
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/m	odules of the cour	se		
	quence of units/m	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(ع)
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor tioned for the course	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

Excellent 2) Adequate** 3) Inadequate** 4) Poor 5) Very poor (\(\bar{\chi}\))

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 7 Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)



NAPASARADPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

HVery good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
L) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.			5) Very Poor	0 G et 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10
		abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo	Display Section 2 Good		4) Poor		0 G et 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10
t) Very good 11.The currie	Display Section 2 Good	3) Average	4) Poor		0.000
1) Very good 11.The currie 11.Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	0.000

K. Bhavana

Name of Student

IQAC

Date 10-6-2024

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAGPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(ACTOMORIOUS)
NARASARAGPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature





Name: ALLAM.	TIRUMAL	A RATOCOURSE:	B-Tech.
Department : Mecho	in. Cal	Years/Se	mester: 111/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

7 Overall	rating				-+
2. The syllab	us was		11 13		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	odules of the cour	se		_
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)
5. Rate the ad	leguateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	ntioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you	able to get the pre	scribed readings?			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
7. Rate the de	esign of the course	e in terms of extra	learning or self-	learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)



MARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	The state of the s
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.	A 1000 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		5) Very Poor	
	DOMESTIC TO STATE OF STATE OF THE PARTY.	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
1) Very good 11.The currie	2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(<u>1</u>)

Date 24/08/2023

Name of Student A Tixmula Raju.

A Tisrola Roju

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: K. Durga Prasad

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester : I /I

I. Please	give a ratin	g of your c	course on the ro	mowing		11		
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	q
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	q
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/m	odules of the cour	se		
I) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
The state of the s	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
) Excellent					
Excellent Were you a	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?			

4) Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.			5) Very Poor	3 8
current glo		abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	- 10	3 8
ourrent glo) Very good 11.The curric) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date 10/06/24 Name of Student

K burga prasad

Signature

K BUNGEL

PRINCIPAL

MARASARAGPETA ENGINEERING CU. LEGE

(AUTOHOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 db1

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



)A()1



Name :	· Chatan Teddy	Course: R.	rech
Department :		Years/Semester:	

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

7 Overa	ll rating	201		11.5	8	
2. The sylla	bus was					
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)	
3. Your bac	kground for benefi	ting from the cours	e was		11	
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)	
4. Rate the	sequence of units/n	nodules of the cour	se			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)	
5. Rate the	adequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	1	
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)	
6. Were you	able to get the pre	scribed readings?		1		
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)	
7. Rate the	design of the cours	e in terms of extra l	carning or self-l	learning		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	A (&)	



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPEIA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good (1)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date: 10/06/24 Name of Student

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAUPETA TELEVISERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARADPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



removal to more a sec-





Name: B. Suryo Prasad		Course: Birech		
Department:	· ·	Years/Semester: 11/11		

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately . Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	q
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	q
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

5	Extent o	f effort required	by students			8	
6	Relevan	ce/learning value	of project/ report			8	
7	Overall rating						
2. T	he syllabu	is was					
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)	
3. Y	our backg	round for benefi	ting from the cours	e was			
1) Higl Releva		2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(9)	
4. R	ate the sec	quence of units/n	nodules of the cour	se			
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)	
5. R	ate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course		
1) Exc		2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)	
6. W	ere vou a	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?				
1) Exc		2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)	
7. R:	ate the de	sign of the course	e in terms of extra	learning or self-	learning		
	v good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	



PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLIEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
current glo	bal scenarios.		a relation to the	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	92 51
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	- 74	92 51
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date

10-06-24

Name of Student

B. Surya Prasad


Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARACPITA ESPRINSERING COLLEGE (AUTOMOMORS)

MATAGARACCET . C. ant

Guntur (Dist.), e. e.







Name: Ch.T. Malyadsi.	Course: B-	tech
Department: CSE	Years/Semester:	2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Extremely Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor Good

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

7 Overall	rating				8
2. The syllabo	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
3. Your backs	ground for benefi	ting from the cours	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(8)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	nodules of the cour	se		
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	itioned for the course	
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
6. Were you a	able to get the pre	scribed readings?			
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
7. Rate the de	sign of the course	e in terms of extra l	carning or self-	learning	1
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)



WARASARADPETA ENGINEERING CHILLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 801 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (5) 10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good Average (D) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

10/06/24

Name of Student

Ch.T. Malyadsi

Signature

PRINCIPAL

NABASARAUPETA ENGIDEFRINO GERGICE (AUTONOMOUS)

MARASARAGRET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.F.

IQAC

DACT



9		8 7	course on the fo	5	4	3	2	1
xtreme Good		Very Good Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars						Rating
1	Dec Se a S.Dellin a	g value (in terms ing perspectives	of skills, concep	ots, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		8
2	Applica	bility/relevance	o real life situati	ons				q
3	Depth o	f the course cont	ent					8
4	Extent o	f coverage of co	urse					89
5	Extent of	f effort required	by students					8
6	Relevan	ce/learning value	e of project/ repo	ort				9
7	Overall							8
	he syllabu illenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Dull	5) I	relevant	1	1)
3. Y	our backg	round for benef	ting from the co	urse was				
1) Higi Releva	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	13.77	ompletely evant	(2)
4. R	ate the se	quence of units/r	nodules of the co	ourse				
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequat	te 4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poor		(1)
5. R	ate the ad	counteness of the	text book and r	eference boo	k mentioned fo	or the cour	se	
	ellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequat			Very poor		(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

1) Very good 2) Good

5) Very Poor

Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
And the second second second	on skill developme		5) Very Poor	(2)
has focus o	on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Good		4) Poor	ar vo	(2)
	onal Course ip etc. Good	onal Course, Professional Election of the syllabus of the course in tenarios.	onal Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective of the Syllabus of the course in relation to the cenarios.	Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected tenarios.

10/06/24

A. Jagadeesh Name of Student

Jagadeesh

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Name :	Y. S	hive	asa	1
Name:	1		NOCK	٨

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester : II

 Please 	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the fo	llowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ting from the course	e was		
) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	odules of the cours	se		
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you a	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?			

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average



1) Very good 2) Good

MANAGERETA ENGLISHED HAME DE LE COM MANAGERETA ENGLISHED HAME DE LE COM MANAGERETA DE LA SECONO DEL SECONO DE LA SECONO DEL SECONO DE LA SECONO DEL SECONO DE LA SECONO DE LA

5) Very Poor

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.			= =	8 8
		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	= =	8 8
current glo Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor	= =	8 8
Overy good 11. The curric Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

10/06/2024 Date

Y. Shiva sa i Name of Student

YShiVaGai Signature

NAHASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONGMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.







Name: N.VINAY

Course: B. Tech

Department: C-5-E

Years/Semester: 2:2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	a
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

7	Floor car	labus was
Acres 1	Luc Svi	TRUEBLES WERS

1) Challenging 2) A	dequate 3) Inade	equate 4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
recto runt		A LONG TO A CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	255650000000000000000000000000000000000	1700 200 2000 1000	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor

Name: N. VINAY

NABASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NAMASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	Charles and the second second
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	all three works are all the second	4) Poor		(<u>1</u>)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

10/06/24

Date

N. VINAY

Name of Student

Ab way Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NAKASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 501
Guntur (Dist.), Aur

10.16



Name:	M.	Bo	008
-------	----	----	-----

Course :

B. Tech

Department:

CSF

Years/Semester: 7 - 7

1. Please	give a ratin	ig of your o	course on the ic	llowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	0

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (人)
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) F A

IQAC

PRINCIPAL MARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE PAUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 UG 1

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 2) Good 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor (3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor Provide extra fests and culturals. Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

10-06-2024

M. Bobas

PRINCIPAL

MARASARADPETA PROJUPT BING COLLEGE

Signature

(AUTOLIC MORE)

NARASARAOPET - 522 501

Guntur (Dist.); A.F.



Name: DNoga Revothi

Course: B.TECH

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: Z-2

1. Piease	give a ratin	g or your c	course on the re	mowing 8				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

The second secon	3) Inademate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
2) Aucquate	3) madequate	1 4) 17411	3) Intelevant	(1)
round for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	
equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
	2) Adequate round for benefit 2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate round for benefiting from the cours 2) Relevant 3) Partly Relevant quence of units/modules of the cours 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull round for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Irrelevant luence of units/modules of the course 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor	2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Inadequate

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (94)

4) Poor

5) Very poor



2) Adequate

1) Excellent

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
	ofessional Cours			riences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	E Transfer	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by indu	stry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by indu	stry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by indu	stry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by indu	stry /
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	by indu))

10/6/24 Date

D. Naga Levathi Name of Student D. Noga Rovath? (10 (6 (24) Signature

WAY

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NABASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Name: G.L.v. Arasad

Course : B- Tech

Department: C. S.E

Years/Semester: III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	-8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

Overan	THUIS .				
2. The syllabi	us was				- 1= 1
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefi	ting from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	nodules of the cour	se		W 5
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(t)
5. Rate the ad	lequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you a	able to get the pre	escribed readings?			
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
7. Rate the de	sign of the cours	e in terms of extra	earning or self-	caming	1/11
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios.	abus of the course it	relation to the	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor		
current glo I) Very good II.The curric I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	14) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	

Date 10/6/2024

Gn. L. V. Prasad Name of Student

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTOMOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 GOT Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name	. D.	Jago	deesh		Course	: Be	leco		
Depar	tment :	CS	2		Years/S	Semester:	3/2		
1. P	lease give	a ratin	g of your c	ourse on the fol	lowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
xtreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1			(in terms of spectives)	of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytica	l abilities, or		
2	Applica	bility/re	elevance to	real life situation	ons				
3	Depth o	f the co	urse conte	nt					
4	Extent of	of cover	age of cou	rse					
5	Extent of	of effort	required b	y students					
6	Relevan	ce/lean	ning value	of project/ repor	rt	15/			2001
7	Overall	rating							
2. T	he syllabı	is was							
1) Cha	llenging	2) Ac	lequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant		1)
3. Y	our backs	ground	for benefit	ing from the cou	irse was				
1) Hig Releva		2) Re	elevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	0.00) Completely rrelevant	у (2)
4. R	ate the se	quence	of units/m	odules of the co	urse				
1) Exc	cellent	2) A	dequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	er	5) Very Poo	r	(1)
5. R	ate the ad	equater	ness of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned	d for the cou	rse	,
1) Exc		-	dequate	3) Inadequate			5) Very poo		(1)
6 11	tere von a	ble to	ret the nres	cribed readings)				
1) Exc		- Contract of the	dequate	Inadequate		M.	5) Very poo	r	(1)
05		2		- N			0 150		10 810

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average



1) Very good

2) Good

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guitur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
The second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the second section in the second section is a second section of the s	ofessional Cours			tiences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	Cheffee and the reserved to a
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				by industry /
		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor		by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(\)
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(\)

Date

D. Tagadeesh

D. Jogodeesh Signature

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COL 1914

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 604

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

1/01



Name: G. Gayathri

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

V9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	V
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
Challenging	2) Adequate	3) inadequate	4) Dun	2) irrelevant	3(4)

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7 Data the d	erion of the com	rse in terms of extra	learning or celf	Joanning	10-
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(V)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	by industry /
current glo		abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
11.The curric	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 10 6 24

Name of Student

G. Gayathri

Signature

G. Gayathni

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 501

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.







Name: D. Teja Charan

Course: B. Tec

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: III - II

Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	q
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	0

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor ()

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor



NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good		a relation to the	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(\)

Date 10 6 24

Name of Student D. Teja Charan

Signature 9

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARIASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 501
Guntur (DISE), A.P.



Good

Name: P. Marrosa Devi

Good

Course: B. Tech

Department: TT

Good

Years/Semester: I 2/1

Tolerable

Poor

Poor

 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

 9
 8
 7
 6
 5
 4
 3
 2
 1

 Extremely
 Very
 Good
 Moderately
 Moderate
 Some how
 Poor
 Very
 Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating			
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)				
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9			
3	Depth of the course content	8			
4	Extent of coverage of course	8			
5	Extent of effort required by students	q			
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9			
7	Overall rating Ivad paratise	9			

2. The syllabus was (4) 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1)1) Highly 2) Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant Relevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 5) Very Poor 3) Inadequate 4) Poor (2)1) Excellent 2) Adequate 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)1) Excellent

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 572 001

Guntur (Dist.), A.F.

(1)

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
current glo	bal scenarios.	ec in money over home	10-5151 H. 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo	the state of the s	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	ec in money over home	4) Poor		by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(4)
Current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(4)

3 OSI2H Date P. Manasa Devi Name of Student P. Marasa Signature



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: Sk. Nurjahan	Course: B. Tech	
Department: IT	Years/Semester: 2/1	

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

7 Overal	7 Overall rating						
2. The syllab	ous was	mad	*****	10	97.0		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)		
3. Your back	ground for benefi	ting from the cours	e was	100 Jul			
) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Relevant Irrelevant							
4. Rate the se	equence of units/n	nodules of the cour	sc				
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)		
5. Rate the a	dequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)		
6. Were you	able to get the pre	scribed readings?					
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)		
7. Rate the d	esign of the course	e in terms of extra	learning or self-	learning			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)		



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
Sciences, Prof Training / Inte	fessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	(5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) very good	2) 0000	3) Average	14) 1001	3) very roor	(1)
		4 444 4			
11. The curricu	lum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		(1)

Date

Name of Student

31/08/24

Sk. Nurjahan

SkoNurjakano

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: SHAIK- HUSNA

Course: B-Tech

Department: AI

Years/Semester: II / I

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the to	Howing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	round for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the see	quence of units/n	nodules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	ntioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you a	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

	ofessional Cour			5) Very Poor ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11 The currie	ulum has focus	on skill developme	mt.		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
other suggest	ion(s)				

31/08/2024 Date

SK. Husna

Name of Student

Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: P. Naga sa?	Course: CAI
Department: AI	Years/Semester: 2-

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 2 Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Extremely Very Tolerable Poor Poor Good Good Good

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	q
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	Q
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate (1 1) Challenging 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely 1) Highly (7) Irrelevant Relevant Irrelevant Relevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 5) Very Poor 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 5) Very poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

NAHASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 601

I) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.			5) Very Poor	2 2
current glo		abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	2 2
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	50 55	2 2
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date

31-08-2024

Name of Student

P. Naga sa?

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

MARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name : \	Isai triv	eni cha	ind sika

Course: Btech

Department: CAI

Years/Semester: II/I

1.	Please	give a	rating o	of your	course	on	the	following
----	--------	--------	----------	---------	--------	----	-----	-----------

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	q
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

7	The	era il	labus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI (AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (t) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor (4) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 5) Very Poor 1) Very good Average 4) Poor 0.1 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor Any other suggestion(s)

31/08/24 Date

v. sai triveni chand rika Name of Student

N.S.T chandring

Signature

PRINCIPAL MARAGARADRE LA ENGINEE MAGICULTEGA FAULENDATE USE NARASARAOPUT - 522 001 Guotur (Dist.), A.P.



			· Bub	bakao		: CAI-	T	T	
	tment :	Albert .				Semester:	2 11		
-	lease give		of your c	ourse on the foll	And the second second				-
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2 Very	1
Good		ery iood	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Poor	Extreme
S.No.	Particula	ars							Rating
1	1000	yalue (i		of skills, concept	s, knowled	ge, analytical	abilities, or		9
2	Applical	oility/rele	vance to	real life situatio	ns				8
3	Depth of	f the cour	rse conte	nt					8
4	Extent o	f coverag	ge of cou	rse					9
5	Extent o	f effort re	equired b	y students					9
6	Relevan	ce/learnii	ng value	of project/ repor	t				9
7	Overall	rating							9
1) Cha	he syllabu allenging	2) Ade		3) Inadequate		5)	Irrelevant		(1)
1) Hig Releva	hly	2) Rele		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	0.00	Completely elevant	y	(1)
	tate the sec	quence of	f units/m	odules of the cor	urse				
4. R	cellent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	эг 5) Very Poo	r	(2)
1) Ex	tate the ad	equatene	ss of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned	for the cou	rse	
1) Ex	tate the ad	equatene 2) Ade		text book and re			for the cou		(1)
5. R	cellent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo				(1)
5. R 1) Ex	cellent	2) Ade	quate	and the second of the second o	4) Poo	or 5		r	(1)
5. R 1) Ex 6. V	cellent Vere you a	2) Ade	quate t the pres	Inadequate scribed readings:	4) Poo	or 5) Very poo	r	



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements (4) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 2) Good 1) Very good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average (1 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor (1 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good (1 Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31/08/24

Name of Student G. Subba Raco

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: M. Palcesh

Course : B. Tech .

Department: Mechanical

Years/Semester: 1V / 1

1. Please	give a raun	ig of your c	course on the ro	nowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	1
5	Extent of effort required by students	a
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabu	s was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

Your base	ekground for benefi	iting from the cor	urse was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
14720702-4411-11771-117	able to get the pre-				

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	SIN
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARADPET

Guntar (L.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Se tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		- Luciona de Con-		5) Very Poor	(1)
11.The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme			
 Very good Evaluation 	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average s appropriate to disc	14) Poor	5) Very Poor	(r)
11.The currie	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

Date 26 08 14

Name of Student M. Paalcash

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 661

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



	Danna		T M		mel1
Name:	BOMA	LL.	1	AIH	FOH

Course: B. TECH

Department: MECHANICAL

Years/Semester: III I

1. Please	give a ratin	g or your c	ourse on the 10	nowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	q
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	2

2. The syllabi	is was		700		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2,)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant	Collins accounts	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				Iran and a second	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

I) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
	20 30	N 69		30 39000	

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
	100				8

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
					7



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CONTROL (AUTONOMOUS)

			ciences, Engineering Setive, Project Work (PW	V) & Practica
	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	Trans.
f the syllah				(41)
has focus o	n skill develonme	nt		(2,)
****	The second secon	the quinter of the same	5) Very Poor	(2.)
				(2)
	1 - /	1,7 = 0.01	127 137 1301	Le !
1	Good	has focus on skill developme food 3) Average e course is appropriate to disc	has focus on skill development Good 3) Average 4) Poor de course is appropriate to discriminate the stu	has focus on skill development Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor a course is appropriate to discriminate the students

Date 24 08 2013

Name of Student B. Mahesh

B. Makesh. Signature

TQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Name :	A	SAT	SRINIVAS
Name:	A	JAL	2 471-7 011-

Course: B. TECH

Department : MECHANICAL Years/Semester : D I

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the to	llowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	-2
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	odules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
245400 NO.00	6.4			1 P A	
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<u>)</u>)
1) Excellent	2) Adequate		1000000		(1,)
Excellent 6. Were you a	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	1000000		(<u>L</u>)
6. Were you a	2) Adequate to get the pre 2) Adequate	Inadequate scribed readings?	4) Poor	5) Very poor	



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGRIFERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

I) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(1)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	and the second control of the second	4) Poor		(1)
1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 26 08 2023

Name of Student A . Sal salaivas

A. Soi Solviebs.
Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: ANGIREKULA VEERANJANEYUL Course: B. TECH

Department: MECANICAL Years/Semester: II Tal I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	a
7	Overall rating	9

is was				
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
	and an additional and a second	se 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
10 3		J 50	2 (2)	0.5780
equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
	ground for benefit 2) Relevant	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate ground for benefiting from the cours 2) Relevant 3) Partly Relevant quence of units/modules of the cours	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull ground for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Relevant Irrelevant quence of units/modules of the course	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant ground for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Irrelevant Irrelevant quence of units/modules of the course

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent
2) Adequate
3) Inadequate
4) Poor
5) Very poor
(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning
1) Very good
2) Good
3) Average
4) Poor
5) Very Poor
(1)



NAMES ARA OPETA ENGLICATION CONTROL (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARA OPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/08/2013

Name of Student A. Verranjaneyulu.

At Veranjone Kulu Signature

IQAC

NARASARADPETA ÉNGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: A. GOPI RATU

Course: B. TECH

Department : MIZCHANICAL

Years/Semester: 1 1

	1. Please	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the fo	llowing				
П	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	Q
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	Q

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
Districted by 181	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/m	odules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ac	lequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<u>L</u>)
6. Were you	able to get the pre	scribed readings?			
	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)



PRINCIPAL
NAMASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo Very good	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good	on skill developme			(1)
current glo) Very good 11.The currie) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good		nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 26 08 2013

Name of Student A Gopi Raju

A. Gophagu

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGILLERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Name :	k. Navya	
--------	----------	--

1, Please give a rating of your course on the following

Course: (222) BITECH

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 3-2

xtreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	102	earning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or oadening perspectives)							
2	Applica	bility/re	elevance to	real life situati	ons				
3	Depth o	f the co	urse conte	nt					
4	Extent of	of cover	age of cou	rse					
5	Extent of	of effort	required b	y students					
6	Relevan	ce/lear	ning value	of project/ repo	ort	depute Exc	16		BUT-
7	Overall	rating							
and the second	he syllabi	and the second second second	iequate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(1)
I) Cha	illenging our back; hly	2) Ac		3) Inadequate ing from the co 3) Partly Relevant		tly 5)	Irrelevant Completely		(1)
3. Y 1) Hig Releva	illenging our back hly ant	2) Acground 2) Re	for benefit levant	ing from the co 3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos	tly 5)	Completely		
3. Y 1) Hig Releva	illenging our back hly ant	2) Acground 2) Requence	for benefit levant	ing from the co	4) Mos Irreleva	tily 5) on the line of the lin	Completely		
1) Cha 3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc	our back hly ant ate the se	2) Acground 2) Requence 2) Ac	for benefit levant of units/m lequate	ing from the co 3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	tily 5) on Irre	Completely elevant Very Poor		(2)

3) Inadequate

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

4) Poor

4) Poor

5) Very poor

5) Very Poor



1) Excellent

1) Very good

2) Adequate

2) Good

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 3) Average 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor Any other suggestion(s)

10-6-24

K. Navya

Date

Name of Student

k Marya

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

7/(11



Name: K. Suvonshitha

Course: Btech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	q
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	q
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Carlot and Contract of the Contract			A STATE OF THE STA		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant	200	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

	- %				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPEIA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.			5) Very Poor	
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo l) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo l) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	100	
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date 10/6/24

Name of Student K.Suvovyhitla

Signature Suvershifta

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CRITERE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 501

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

DAOI



Name: k. Thirupalamma

Course: B. TECA

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 2 - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	- 4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Di a Otta Otta	micromite for content				
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(D)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) In	adequate 4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------------------------	------------------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
The same of the same of the same	bal scenarios.	2) Average	A) Poor	5) Vary Poor	(1 V
And the second second second	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good		10.00	5) Very Poor	(1)
Very good T.The curric	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	10.00	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	14) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10 - 6- 24 Date k. Thirupatamma
Name of Student

K.M-

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.







Name: B. uma Bhavani

Course: B-Tech

Department: CS €

Years/Semester: 2-2

Please give a rating of your course on the following										
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
remely	Verv	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremel		

Good	Good	Good	Good	Moderate	Tolerable		Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particulars							Rating
1	Learning value broadening per		of skills, concep	pts, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		8

1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2
7	Overall rating	2

2. The syllabus was								
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)			

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)



WARASARADHE TA ENGIATERING CONTEST

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (1) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (9) Any other suggestion(s)

10 -06-2024 Date

B. umaBhav an? Name of Student K. omaklaron Signature

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERIMA CELLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 601

DAGIL



Name: Gr. Keenthana Divya

Course: B-Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1)
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2.)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 527 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering S tive, Project Work (PV	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
current glo I) Very good 11.The curric Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

10-06- 24 Date Gr. keerthana Divya Name of Student

Gikuthana Liya Signature

IQAC





Name:	Gada	lam. J	Meghana	Course:	B. Tech
-------	------	--------	---------	---------	---------

Department: CSC Years/Semester: 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	- 1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

The syllabu	s was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your bac	ekground for benefi	ting from the co	urse was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	e			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	

Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-----------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-----------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the de	esign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	a learning or self	-learning	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	0(1)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING CULLEI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 do 1
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average (9) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (1) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (1)12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

10-06-24 Date Goodam. Meghano

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(ALLICINOMOLICA)

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(ALLICINOMOLICA)

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE





Name : B. Sninivas

Course : B. Tech

Department: Mechanical

Years/Semester: [V] I

I. Please	give a raun	g or your c	course on the re	niowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2
7	Overall rating	0

2. The syllabut) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
	5785-27 25 265	ting from the cours			
) Highly televant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/m	nodules of the cours	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(()
6. Were you a	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?			
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
7. Pate the de-	sign of the course	in terms of extra l	carning or self-	carning	100
The second secon	2) Good		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)



NARASARAOPETA ENCINEERING COLLE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(,)
-	bal scenarios.	1200	1 2 5	1 2 2 2 1	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(L)
) Very good	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(L)
) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developme	nt		()
11. The curric	2) Good			5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

Date 26 08 2013

Name of Student P. Solinivas

B. John of Signature

TOAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name:	Vutla	Kish	ose
-------	-------	------	-----

Course: B-TeCh

Department: Mechanical

Years/Semester: TIII

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabu	is was	24	<u></u>		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your ba	ekground for benefi	iting from the co	urse was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you	able to get the pres	scribed readings?			

7. Rate the di	Sign of the con	rse in terms of extra	remining or sen	The Carlotte Control of the Control	
1) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)



 Very good 	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.	The Same		competencies expected	by industry /
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	50 85	(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 24/08/23

Name of Student V. Kisho Se.

Signature 8408

IQAC

NARASARAUPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAGPET - 523 801 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

OAOI



Name :	Band	lamui	Naga	Basu
--------	------	-------	------	------

Course: B. Teh

Department: Mechanicay

Years/Semester: II/I

Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant	(1)
---	-----

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
		scribed readings?			

7. Rate the di	esign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	Hearning or sen-		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)



PRINCIPAL

HARASARAOPETA ENGIDEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOSET - 572 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.			5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo		abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 24/08/23

Name of Student
B. Nagaraju

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTON EXPUS)
NARASARAOPET - 582 601





Name:	K.	Naveen	
-------	----	--------	--

Course: B. Tech

Department: Medranical

Years/Semester: \V / 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabit	is was
--------------------------------	--------

1) Challenging 2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------------------	------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant	32	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-----------------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)



NARASARAOPETA ENGLISEERING CG: 1261

2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(_)_
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
acts & contract	on skill developme	nt		
0.000 m - 0.000 m - 0 .000 m	on skill developme	nf		
		the state of the s	The state of the s	T 2 2
m has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
2) Good		4) Poor	8	()
	sition of cours ssional Cours ship etc. 2) Good	ition of course in terms Humanit ssional Course, Professional Elec- aship etc. 2) Good 3) Average th of the syllabus of the course in scenarios.	ition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sesional Course, Professional Elective, Open Electionship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor the of the syllabus of the course in relation to the seconarios.	ition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences (Project Work (Poship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor the of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected scenarios.

25/08/23

Name of Student

K. Navcen

Signature

K. Nauen.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOLES)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: Datti chandu	Course:	Big	Tech
Department: Mechanical	Years/Sen	iester :	10/9

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	odules of the cour	se		
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
	Marin was the same	scribed readings?			
6. Were you a	ible to get the pre				

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning



i) Very good 2) Good 3) Average

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGUEERING COLLE
(AGTURDMONS)
NARASARAOTE 1 512 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios.	abus of the course in	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industr
current glo	bal scenarios.			1	by industr
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industr
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	1	by industr
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	by industr

25/08/23

Name of Student

D. Chandu

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name:	4.	Anjal	1
-------	----	-------	---

Course: B. Tech

Department : AI

Years/Semester: IV I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	18
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2.	The syllabus was	

1) Challenging 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	0.00

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------	--

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----


6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(I)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor ()





l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current gre	bal scenarios.	1 20 7	11-20-22-2	The state of the s	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		on skill developme			(1)
11. The curric				5) Very Poor	(1)
11.The curric	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 31 8 24

Y. Argali Name of Student

y Aryali Signature



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 891 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: Shaik Appapuram Fisioz Course: B. Tech

Department: Information Technology Years/Semester: 3/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabit	2. The syllabus was							
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)			

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1)
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor 2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10.Rate the d current glo Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(L)
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo) Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
Current glo Very good 11. The curric Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

3,101/2024

Shaik Appapuram Franz

SK.A. TISTOZU

Date

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CULLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

07.01



Name :	SK.	Said	lavali	

Course: B. Tech

Department: Information Technology Years/Semester: 11 - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

1 Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations 3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	S.No.	Particulars	Rating
3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1		9
4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3	Depth of the course content	9
6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4	Extent of coverage of course	9
	5	Extent of effort required by students	9
7 Overall rating	6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7 Overall rating	7	Overall rating	9

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/m	nodules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you a	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1

4) Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

NARASARADOTTA THE BEEFING COLLEGE

5) Very Poor

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	epth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	3 2 3	by industry /
current glo Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor	3 2 3	by industry /
current glo Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	3 2 3	(†)
Current glo Very good 11. The curric Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(†)

31-8-2024

Date

Shaik. Saidavali

Name of Student

sk. Saidavali,

Signature

PRINCIPAL

MARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601



Name: G. soneetha	Course: B. Tech
Department: TT	Years/Semester: III - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 8 4 3 2 Very Extremely Poor Good Moderately Moderate Some how Very Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	a
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	Q
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	q
7	Overall rating	9

U	Acciovan	co rearring value	or project report			9	
7	Overall	rating					
2. 7	The syllabi	ıs was					
1) Challenging		2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(()	
3. \	Your backs	ground for benefi	ting from the cours	e was			
1) Hig Relev	ghly	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)	
4. F	Rate the se	quence of units/n	nodules of the cour	se			
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2_)	
5. F	Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course		
i) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(고)	
6. V	Vere you a	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?				
I) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)	
7. F	Rate the de-	sign of the course	in terms of extra l	earning or self-l	carning		
	ry good	The state of the s	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	110	



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CULLEC (AUTONON 1.5) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Rate the flex Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So etive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	lepth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2_)
current glo) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		7 .
current glo) Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor		7 .
ourrent glo) Very good 11.The curric) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	

3) - 8-24 Date Gr-Suneetha Name of Student

C. Aunethe Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 G01
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

DACL



Name:	SK.	Sha	bec	na
-------	-----	-----	-----	----

Course: IT

Department:

Years/Semester: 3rd/1

1. Ficase	give a ratin	g or your c	ourse on the re	ntowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	q
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllab	us was				
 Challenging 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the se	equence of units/n	odules of the cour	se		
 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the a	dequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
5. Rate the act) Excellent	dequateness of the	text book and refe 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
1) Excellent		3) Inadequate			(2)

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOLIS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

5) Very Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Sciences, Pro	position of cour ofessional Cour- ternship etc.	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi) & Practic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	N. 51	= 8
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	= 8
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	N. 51	= 8
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 31/08/24

Name of Student SKShabeena

Signature Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING SOLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC



Name: K. Anjani	Course: B. Tech
Department : [T	Years/Semester: 3

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 7 Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Extremely Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor Good

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
.1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Of
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating indirection	8

2. The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	ground for benefit	ing from the course	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/m	odules of the cours	se		
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
	equateness of the 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
l) Excellent	2) Adequate				(2)

4) Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL

NARASARABPETA THRUSELPHE COLUMN

(AUTONOMORIS)

NARASARAOPET - 527 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering S tive, Project Work (PV	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	rulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)
		1 2/ 32 20 0	1.00 0.000		
y other suggest	ion(s)				

31824 Date

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Name: M. Meghana

Course: Brech

Department: IT

Years/Semester: 3/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	Q
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1A Escallant	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	1) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) madequate	4) 1 001	2) very poor	()

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(۵)
	-	4			

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(-(-)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING CULLEGE
(AUTONOMOUB)
NARASARAGGET - 522 691

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students I) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31/8/24

Name of Student

M. Meghana

Signature

10,

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601





Name :	Aisha Suleiman	shaik	Course:	CSE(AI)	Bitech
Name:	Hisna Juleiman	main	Course:	COF(HT)	12

Department: (6 F (AJ) Years/Semester: 3-1

I. Please	give a ratin	g or your c	course on the ic	mowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	\$
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
4. Rate the se	quence of units/m	nodules of the cour	se		
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
	-				
5. Rate the ad	lequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
	equateness of the 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate			()
l) Excellent	2) Adequate				

4) Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL

NABASARADPEIA ENGINEERING COLLEGI

(AUTONOMOUS)

NABASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntir (Dist.), A.E.

5) Very Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Ele	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
-	bal scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
-		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
Very good The curric	2) Good	3) Average on skill developme		5) Very Poor	()
Very good The curric	2) Good			5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	()
1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()

Date 31/8/24

Akha Suleiman Shaik

Name of Student

Aph Heman

Signature

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: A. Jyoth?	Course: B. Tech
Department: CCE(AZ)	Years/Semester: 3+ 7

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	I
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

5 Exte	nt of effort	required l	by students			9
6 Rele	vance/learr	ning value	of project/ report	TANTE I	les	8
7 Ove	all rating					9
2. The syl	labus was					
l) Challengi	ng 2) Ad	lequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your ba			ing from the cours	e was 4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
l) Highly Relevant	2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	20 2000
Relevant 4. Rate th	e sequence		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	Irrelevant		(2)
4. Rate the Excellent 5. Rate the	2) Ac	of units/m dequate	Relevant sodules of the cours 3) Inadequate text book and refe	Irrelevant se 4) Poor rence book men	5) Very Poor	(হ)
4. Rate th	2) Ac	of units/m	Relevant sodules of the cours 3) Inadequate	Irrelevant se 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
4. Rate th) Excellent 5. Rate th) Excellent	2) Acces adequaters 2) Acc	of units/m dequate ness of the dequate	Relevant sodules of the cours 3) Inadequate text book and refe	Irrelevant se 4) Poor rence book men	5) Very Poor	(ع)

4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETS EN SETTING COLLEGE (AUTONOMO S) NARASARACPET 532 601

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	fessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		Average on skill developme		5) Very Poor	(1)
11.The curric				5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

BIBOILE

Date

Avula Typthi

Name of Student

A-Tgoth? Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601





Name: G. Bhavan Kausik Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE(AI) Years/Semester: IV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	a
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was					
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1)
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course
 Adequate
 Adequate

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor



8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 5) Very Poor (2)3) Average 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 1) Very good 3) Average (1 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31108/24

G. Bhavan Kausik Name of Student G.B. Kausi K Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601







Name: G. Gopi Krishna	Course: B. Tech
Department: CSE- AI	Years/Semester: 111/ I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	19
7	Overall rating	8

 Challenging 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	odules of the cour	se		
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6 Worm you a	able to get the pro-	scribed readings?			
	ALTER WILLIAM BOARD TO SEE STATE	THE STREET SHIPSTIFF			

4) Poor

5) Very Poor



2) Good

i) Very good

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601,

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
. Rate the com	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)
) Very good		Average on skill developme		5) Very Poor	(/)
Very good 11.The currie				5) Very Poor	(2)
11.The curric 1) Very good	rulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	14) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Date


31/08/24

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601







Name :	M. GOPP	Course:	R-Tel
varie .	The Clot	Course.	12 10

Department: (SFC At). Years/Semester: 10/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/m	odules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you a	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?			
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	1.1

4) Poor

5) Very Poor



2) Good

1) Very good

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 5: - 301
Guntur (Dist.), A.E.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 2) Good 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31/08/24

Name of Student M - GOP

Signature

IQAC

MARASARAGPE ALIGNOFF REPLECTION OF THE MARASARAGPE TO SEE TO SEE THE COUNTY OF T

0101



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: 22	471A4351	Course: B.tech
Department:	cse(A1)	Years/Semester:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	a
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating 711032 013045	9

2. The syllabu		Topic and a second	Traverse	Comments of the comments of th	
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
I) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/n	nodules of the cour	se		
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		AND DAMPED AND ASSESSMENT OF A	one con	NA 1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
l) Excellent	2) Adequate				(2)

4) Poor

Average

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET Guntur (Dist.), a.e.

5) Very Poor



2) Good

1) Very good

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(p)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	bbal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		on skill developme		(C) M D	() N
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluatio	n of the course i	s appropriate to dis	criminate the stu	odents 5) Very Poor	(1)
I) very good	2) 0000	2777778			
ny other sugges	danta)				

Date 318/24

Saheda Shaik Name of Student

Servede Signature



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Name:	M.	Sa	Sar	ith
-------	----	----	-----	-----

Course: BFECH

Department: AI

Years/Semester: 3rd year 1st semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	q
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was			
	A SANCTON CONTRACTOR OF THE SANCTON CONTRACT	The second and the second	

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	****

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1 Excellent	2) Adequate	 Inadequate 	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
Ch. Stranger and Contract of	-/ receipmen	- A section of the section	THE VIEW STATES	Section of the Control of the Contro	1 2

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

5) Very Poor 3) Average 4) Poor i) Very good 2) Good



NARASARADPETA ENGINEERII NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 2) Good 1) Very good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31/8/24

Motupalli Sasanth

Name of Student

Signature

. Coloud

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA FIGUREERING COLLEGE
(AUTOMOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: IK Venkata Sumanth Course: B. Tech

Department: (SE(AI) Years/Semester: 3" year I Semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	1
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1)

Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2.)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor /(1)

1QAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
MARASARAOPET - 52 : 314
Guntur (Dist.). 4

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ences, Engineering So ve, Project Work (PW	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stude	ents 5) Very Poor	(1)
V CI V EDUU					

1/09/2024 Date

K. Venkata Sumunth

Name of Student

K. Weelf Signature

NARASARADPETA EMUMETERING CÖLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



treme	9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 emely Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very									
Good	ly	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable		Very Poor	Extremel Poor	
S.No.	Particu	lars							Rating	
1	TOTAL STREET, U.S.	ng value (ir ning perspe		of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytical ab	ilities, or		8	
2	Applic	ability/rele	vance to	real life situation	ons				q	
3									9	
4	and the second second	of coverage	A local delication and the same						9	
5		of effort re							q	
6			g value	of project/ repo	rt				8	
7	Overal	l rating							9	
2. T	he syllab	ous was		2	banda					
) Cha	llenging	2) Adeq	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) In	relevant	(7)	
a mindre primiter	And the last of the last of the last of	ground for	benefiti	ng from the co	irse was					
) Hig Releva		2) Relev	ant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	* C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	ompletely evant	(1)	
4. R	ate the s	equence of	units/m	odules of the co	urse					
) Exc	cellent	2) Adeq	juate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	or 5) '	Very Poor		(1)	
5. R	ate the a	dequatenes	s of the	text book and re	eference boo	k mentioned fo	r the cours	ie		
) Exc	cellent	2) Adeq	juate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	r 5)	Very poor		(1)	
		320	the more	cribed readings	7	•				
6. W	Vere you	able to get	the pres	critica readings						



NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING CHITERE (AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 644
Guntur (Dist.), A.F.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	December 1			
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	December 1	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	

Date 31-8-24 Name of Student

ch:-Matrest

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



9		8	7	ourse on the fo	5	4	3	2	1
xtreme Good		ery lood	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs							Rating
1	The second second second	yalue (in ng perspe		of skills, concep	ots, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		٩
2	Applical	oility/relev	vance to	real life situati	ons				q
3		the cours							9
4	Extent o	f coverage	e of cou	rse					2
5		Annual State of Contract of Co	The second second second	y students					2
6			g value	of project/ repo					q
7	Overall	rating			DELITE LANG.	DED WELL	11		9 1
3. Y 1) Hig Releva	hly	round for 2) Relev		3) Partly Relevant	urse was 4) Mos Irreleva	Control of the Contro	Completely levant		(1)
		72		adular of the co	ourse				
4. R	ate the sec	juence of	units/m	oddies of the ce					
-	ate the sec	2) Adeq		3) Inadequat		or 5)	Very Poor		(1)
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adeq	juate		te 4) Poo		SIDSE # 10 SEAS		(1)
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adeq	uate s of the	3) Inadequat	te 4) Poo	k mentioned f	SIDSE # 10 SEAS	se	(1)
1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	ate the ad-	2) Adeq	s of the	Inadequate text book and records and	te 4) Poor te 4) Poor te 4) Poor	k mentioned f	or the cour	se	



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CULLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.F.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(1)
Very good II. The currie	2) Good	on skill developme	nt		(1)
1) Very good	2) Good			5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	1) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

31/08/2024 Date

C. Navendra Kumar Name of Student

c. Roug Signature

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: R.	Sano	eef)
----------	------	-----	---

Course: B. Tech / CSE

Department: CSE

Years/Semester : 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 6 Extremely Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor

Particulars	Rating
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Rating
Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
Depth of the course content	9
Extent of coverage of course	7
	- 8
	9
Overall rating	8
	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations Depth of the course content Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report

The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2)3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2) Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPPTA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPE1 - 522 591

Guntur (Dist.): A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 5) Very Poor 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Роог 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

25 10 2084

Date

R. Sandeep

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA FUCINEERING COLLEGE (AU FOLIO HOUS)

Bumur (Dist.), A.

MARASARAO ET - 52" : "-





(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name	8 N				Course	11			
Depar	rtment :				Years/	Semester:			
1. I	Please giv	e a ratin	g of your	course on the fo	llowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very				
S.No.	Particu	ars							Dating
1	Learnin	g value	value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or g perspectives)						Rating
2	Applica	bility/re	levance to	real life situati	ons				7
3			urse conte						8
4	Extent	of cover	age of cou	rse					0
5	Extent	of effort	required b	y students					88
6	Relevar	ce/learn	ing value	of project/ repo	ort				7 ,
7	Overall	rating			Cred .	1/41	Y 1		8
	he syllab	_	equate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	1 (1)
3. Y	our back	ground f	or benefit	ing from the co	urse was				
1) Hig Releva		2) Rel	evant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva) Completely rrelevant	(2)
		-		odules of the co	ourse				
1) Exc	cellent	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	r	5) Very Poor		(2)
5. R	ate the ad	equaten	ess of the	text book and re	eference boo	k mentioned	d for the cours	e	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	г	5) Very poor		(2)
6. W	/ere you a	ble to g	et the pres	cribed readings	?				
	cellent		equate	3) Inadequat		r	5) Very poor		(2_)
1 1	ate the de	sign of t	he course	in terms of extr	a learning or	self-learnin	ng	3	
7. R	nice the de								



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 5) Very Poor 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sk Gousta parveen

Name of Student

Signature

NARASARABPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NARASAMADIET -500 101

Suntur (Dist.), Lr.







(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: 5.	Rane
----------	------

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester : II I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	112
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
I	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	1
5	Extent of effort required by students	2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	10
7	Overall rating	7

~	ment.	3.000	or over		
Z.	I ne	SV	Habı	IS.	was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)
	-		17	- Jarrete tulis	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(3)
Relevant	27	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(0)
N. Control		2) masequate	17,1001	3) very root	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(Lp)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(0)
-------------	---------	---------------------------	---------	--------------	-----



NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (5) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)Any other suggestion(s)

25 10 2024.

Name of Student S. Rahul

Signature

IQAC

NARASARAGPETA ÉNGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAGPET - 2.60





Name:	D. Jyothi
-------	-----------

Course: MCA

Department: MCA

Years/Semester TING (1 Sem)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 8 7 3 Extremely Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	a
4	Extent of coverage of course	q
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1)

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1) Relevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 7(1)

y

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.R.

I) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo I) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	II II	
current glo l) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(+)

24-9-24 Date A Jyothi Name of Student

Signature :

MOAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: KON	AKANDLA. SW	APNAKUMARCourse: MCA	
Department:	McA	Years/Semester : ① [[Sem]

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7.	Overall rating	9

) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	odules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ac	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
	ible to get the pre	scribed readings?			
6. Were you		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(()

4) Poor

3) Average



1) Very good

PRINCIPAL
NAMASARAOPETA ENGINEFRING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi) & Practica
I) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	- (1)
current glo	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.			E/ (5%)	e1 15
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	e1 15
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	E/ (5%)	e1 15
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	e1 15

Date 24 - 9 - 2024

Name of Student K. Swapna kumali

K. Swapna kumai.

7.71.2



PRINCIPAL
MARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: T. Scei Koshra	Course: MCA
Department: 6AcA	Years/Semester: of Ye + 15 500

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9
	TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	

7 Overall	rating				7
2. The syllabi	ıs was	10 N/16			
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
 Highly Relevant 	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/m	nodules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	ntioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you a	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
7. Rate the de	sign of the course	in terms of extra l	earning or self-	learning	10
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	1 (1)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE NARASARAOPET - 522 501 Guntur (Dist.), 527

Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering S Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PV Training / Internship etc. Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected	
	(1-)
10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected	
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)
The poor	-

Date 24-424

Name of Student : T. Scotk & Shr

The known

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 501

Guntui (Dist.), e.e.

DAOI



1. F	Please give	a rating	of your	ourse on the fol	lowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
xtreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1									9
2	Applica	bility/re	levance to	real life situation	ons				8
3	Depth o	f the cou	irse conte	nt					9
4	Extent of	of covera	ge of cou	rse					9
5	Extent of	of effort	required b	y students					9
6	Relevan	ce/learn	ing value	of project/ report	rt				9
7	Overall	rating		I X II A FAX F	100			10.0	9
	ghly	2) Adground f	or benefit	3) Inadequate		tly 5	5) Irrelevant 5) Completely rrelevant		(1)
		-	of units/m	odules of the co	urse		5) Very Poor		(1)
	TO E !	leonaten	ess of the	text book and re			d for the cour		(1)
1) Ex	Rate the ad		equate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo)I	3) very poor	(6)	
1) Ex 5. F 1) Ex 6. V	cellent Vere you	2) Ad	et the pre-	scribed readings	2		7 (83		
1) Ex 5. F 1) Ex 6. V	cellent	2) Ad	10	2 3	2		5) Very poor		(1)
5. F 1) Ex 6. V 1) Ex	Were you a	2) Ad able to g 2) Ad	et the pre-	scribed readings	? e 4) Poo	эг	5) Very poor		

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 501.
Guntur (Dist), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	(3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.		al strong	5) Very Poor	8 8
current glo		abus of the course ir 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor	- 15 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18	8 8
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	- 15 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18	8 8
1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	8 8

Date 24-9-24

Name of Student Y. Rajamohan Reddy

Y-Royac_ Signature

NARASARACPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2	Student	Feedback F	form on c	urriculum f	or the A	Academic	Year:	2073-7	4
---	---------	------------	-----------	-------------	----------	----------	-------	--------	---

Name:	G	Sujetka	

Course: € 2-2 - ECPC

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 2 - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	1
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	a

The syll	abus was
----------------------------	----------

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)	
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----	--

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant	1 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequ	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	
-----------------------	-------------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor / - (,2)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 501

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements (1) 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 3) Average (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 3) Average 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average (1) 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 3) Average 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student G. Sylla

G. Syllha Signature

IQAC

NARASANADPETA ENGRERANG COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 501 Guntur (Dist.), A.M.

21.01



Name: Sk Hazeera

Course: 2-2, ADC

Department : EC€

Years/Semester: 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	q
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

plif with the second se	-				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
I) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.	y see	a relation to the	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5. 55.	8 8
current glo I) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	8 8
current glo I) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5. 55.	8 8
current glo I) Very good 11.The curric I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	8 8

Date

SK. Flazeera Name of Student Signature Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

2401



Name: D. yamuna

Course: IOT

Department : ECE

Years/Semester: 2 - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following Extremely Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

And the second second second second	-		the contract of the contract o		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
---------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			4.		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
					A.

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--	--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
and the second s	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
Very good 11. The currie	obal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
11. The curric	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date

D-yamura Name of Student D'yamuna Signature



PRINCIPAL.
NARASARAUPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Name:	K.	Ginan	es	ww
-------	----	-------	----	----

Course : EDC

Department: E · C E Years/Semester: I I

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the fo	llowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	q
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	Q
5	Extent of effort required by students	g
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

 1) Excellent
 2) Adequate
 3) Inadequate
 4) Poor
 5) Very Poor
 (Z_)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (>>)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
). Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo I) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo I) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo I) Very good 11.The curric I) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date

K. Gnanes war.

K. Ginaneswar. Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 501 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: & Bala Prasanna Unadaicourse: ECPC

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	A

2. The syllabus was

	and the second second second second		the state of the s			
1	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3)	Inadequate 4) Poor	5) Very Poor (2-)	
-----------------------------	--------------------	-------------------	--

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 5) Very Poor 4) Poor (1) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)2) Good 1) Very good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor (2) 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 4) Poor (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student R. Bala Prasanna Umadevi

R-umadevi

Signature

A

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guittur (Dist.), A.P.

DAOI



	0.000			me char				, U.	
-35000	tment :			course on the fo		Semester: []	-11		
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
treme Good	4	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF		(in terms of spectives)	of skills, concep	ots, knowled	ge, analytical al	oilities, or		9
2	Applica	bility/re	levance to	real life situati	ons				9
3	Depth o	f the co	urse conte	nt					9
4	Extent of	of cover	age of cou	rse					9
5	The state of the s			y students					9
6	Relevan	ice/learr	ing value	of project/ repo	rt				9_
7	Overall								0
	he syllabi			1					
) Cha	llenging	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Dull	5) Ir	relevant		2)
3. Y	our backı	ground t	or benefit	ing from the co	urse was				
) Hig Releva		2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva		ompletely evant	'	D
4. R	ate the se	quence	of units/m	odules of the co	ourse	***			
) Exc	ellent	2) Ad	lequate	3) Inadequat	te 4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poor	T/	(T)
5. R	ate the ad	equaten	css of the	text book and r	eference boo	k mentioned fo	r the cour	se	
	ellent	-	lequate	3) Inadequat	the state of the s		Very poor		(4)

4) Poor

4) Poor

5) Very poor

5) Very Poor

IOAC

2) Adequate

2) Good

3) Inadequate

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

1) Excellent

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

(4)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good Average (1)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good Any other suggestion(s)

D. Ue era brohmochari

Name of Student

D.v. Brehnechos

Signature

IQAC

Date

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

3/4/11



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: えぬ 3 – シュ

Name: P. Akshay

Course: EMW7L

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 2 - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	- 5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7,
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

			The second second second		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	-

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	The second secon			



MARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CULLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.F.

 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	A
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo l) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		A
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date

P-Akshay Name of Student P. Akshay Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARAS AR ACTUAL - 522 601
Guntur (Disc.), A.P.

14.01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: P. Karya Sree

Course : ADC

Department : E (E

Years/Semester: 1

I. Please	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the to	Howing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)	
			the second secon	A TANK THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PART		

3 Your background for benefiting from the course was

E. F. C.	regressive for oction	ting train the sail		1.000	
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEFRING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.	1000		5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good	2) Good	1000	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
1) Very good 11. The currie 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date

Name of Student P. Karya Stee

P. Yavyasra Signature

PRINCIPAL NABASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPEY - 522 601 Guntar (LAST.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : KOH	ha Gopi	Course: B-Tech
Department:	Mehanicae.	Years/Semester: IV /

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	- 1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
-1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	q
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was								
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)			

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2) Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average



NARASARAOPET - 522 601

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cour			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11 The currie	alum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
		on skill developme			- W
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Date

25/08/23

Name of Student

K. GOR

Signature

14.620P;

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24.

Name: D. Jose Ph.	Course: B-Tech
Department: Merlan Col	Years/Semester: \\

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following Some how Very Moderately Moderate Poor Very Extremely Extremely Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor Good Good

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the see	quence of units/m	odules of the cours	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course 5) Very poor	(1)
1) Excellent	2) Adequate				(1)

4) Poor

3) Average

5) Very Poor



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOLIS)
NARASARADPET - 522 COL

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<u>~(</u>)
y very good	12) 0000	3) Average	14)1001	3) Very 1 001	(1)
11.The currie	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation) Very good	of the course i	s appropriate to disc	eriminate the stu	dents 5) Very Poor	(4)
	ion(s)	1			

D. TOSEPA Name of Student

IQAC

Date 26/08/24.

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

D. TosPeh.
Signature





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: G. Sandce?	Course: B-Tech
Department: Mechanical	Years/Semester: 1 / 7

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Extremely Good Tolerable Poor Good Good Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	2

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 5) Completely 2) Relevant 3) Partly Mostly (2) Relevant Irrelevant Relevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 5) Very Poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor (5) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 1) Excellent 5) Very poor (<u>4</u>) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 4) Poor 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 5) Very poor (4)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 G01
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by incurrent global scenarios.	
10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by incurrent global scenarios.	(0)
10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by incurrent global scenarios.	120-110
Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1 Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(>)
12.Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	(2)

Date 26/08/24

G. Sandeer

G. Sandee?

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601







S.No. Particulars 1 Learning value (in terms of skill broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real land 1 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by studies of Relevance/learning value of proceedings of the course of	on the follow 6 derately Mo Good ls, concepts, k ife situations lents ject/ report	oderate Sor Tol	lerable	3 Poor ties, or		Poor Rating 7 9 8 9 8 7 7
9 8 7 xtremely Very Good Mo Good Good Good Good Good Good G	derately Mo Good Mo derately Mo Good Mo desately Mo de	5 oderate Sor Tol	ne how lerable	Poor ties, or	Very Poor	Extreme Poor Rating 9 9 8 9 7 7
S.No. Particulars 1 Learning value (in terms of skill broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real language of course of the course content service of the course content service of the course of course of the c	derately Mo Good Is, concepts, k ife situations lents ject/ report madequate om the course eartly	oderate Sor Tol	ne how lerable	Poor ties, or	Very Poor	Extreme Poor Rating 9 9 8 9 7 7
S.No. Particulars 1 Learning value (in terms of skill broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real land land land land land land land la	ls, concepts, k ife situations lents ject/ report madequate om the course	Tole cnowledge, are selected as the control of the	erable nalytical abilit	ties, or	Poor	Poor Rating 9 8 9 8 7 7
1 Learning value (in terms of skill broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real 1 3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by stude 6 Relevance/learning value of profession 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) I 3. Your background for benefiting from 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) I Relevant Relevant Relevant 3) I	lents ject/ report nadequate om the course	4) Dull				7 9 8 9 8 7 7
broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real 1 3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by stude 6 Relevance/learning value of pro 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) I 3. Your background for benefiting fro 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) I Relevant Rel	lents ject/ report nadequate om the course	4) Dull				9 8 9 7 7
3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by stude 6 Relevance/learning value of proceeding 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) I 3. Your background for benefiting from 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) I Relevant Re	ients ject/ report nadequate om the course	was	5) Irrel	evant	(2)	8 9 8 7 7
4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by stude 6 Relevance/learning value of pro 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) I 3. Your background for benefiting fro 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) I Relevant Rel 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules	nadequate and the course partly	was	5) Irrel	evant	(2)	9 7 7
5 Extent of effort required by stude 6 Relevance/learning value of pro 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) I 3. Your background for benefiting fro 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) I Relevant R	nadequate and the course partly	was	5) Irrel	evant	(2)	9 7 7
6 Relevance/learning value of pro 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) I 3. Your background for benefiting from the property of the proper	nadequate and the course partly	was	5) Irrel	evant	(2)	8 7
7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) I 3. Your background for benefiting from the sequence of units/modules. 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules.	nadequate om the course Partly	was	5) Irrel	evant	(2)	7.
2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) I 3. Your background for benefiting from the sequence of units/modules. 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules.	om the course	was	5) Irrel	evant	(4	7'
3. Your background for benefiting from 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) I Relevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules	om the course	was	5) Irrel	evant	(1)
Your background for benefiting from the sequence of units/modules 3. Your background for benefiting from the sequence of units/modules. 3. Your background for benefiting from the sequence of units/modules.	om the course	was	5) Irrel	evant	(2)	_)
1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) I Relevant Rel 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules	artly					
1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) I Relevant Relevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules	artly					
4. Rate the sequence of units/modules			5) Com	pletely	(2	Υ
		Irrelevant	Irreleva		(2	
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3)	of the course	e		•		
	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor	()	<u>(L</u>)
5. Rate the adequateness of the text b	ook and refere	ence book me	entioned for th	he course		
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3)	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry poor	0	2)
6. Were you able to get the prescribed	readings?					
	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery poor	.(2)



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING EDELLIGE (AUTONOMOUS) STWASARAOPET - 527 881 Guntus (Dias II de M.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	by industry /

Date 26/08/24

R. Sa. Janesh.


PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601







(AUTONOMOUS)

Student	Feedback	Form on	curriculum	for the	Academic	Year:	0023-	24.
Student	recuback	LOLIN ON	Curriculum	IUI CIIC	Academic	rem.	acr.	

Name: KATTA. MAHESWAR. course: B-TECh.

Department: McCharical Years/Semester: II_/I .

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabil	is was	77			
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(A)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
. (37 (3703301000)	250 (5400) 3.min.	C Assessed Annual	100000000	1520 Part 500000	12-11

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(8)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6 Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

5) Very Poor 3) Average 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		(<u>a</u>)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2_)
i) very good			7		
services at the	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(2.)

Date 26/08/2024. Name of Student K. Mathesway

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPI IN EQUITIFICATING COLLEGE (Automorphies) NARASARACHET - 572 801 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-214

Name: NUTHAKKI. RAKESH.	4
Department: Mechanilal	Years/Semester : V

Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(4)
	1	ing from the cours			
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/m	odules of the coun	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5 Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
THE ACCRECATION SHALL SHALL	The state of the s	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(5)
The second second second second second	2) Adequate	20 8			
1) Excellent		scribed readings?			



1) Very good 2) Good

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING GÖLLEĞI (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 3) Average 5) Very Poor (M) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 5) Very Poor 4) Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (A)Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24 08/2094

Name of Student N. RAKESH.

17 11 11 10 13

PRINCIPAL NARASAHAOPETA EN MINEERING COLLEGE (AUTOROGOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601




Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : € · Ku	mar Pradecp	course: Bitech	
Department:	C·S·E	Years/Semester: I-L	

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 2 Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Extremely Very Good Tolerable Good Good Good Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 1) Challenging 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely 1) Highly 2) Relevant Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 4) Poor 3) Average 5) Very Poor



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	relation to the o	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	VI CONTROLLE CONTROL SECTION	The second secon	2007 - \$100 TO WANTED TO SERVICE ON THE SERVICE ON	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	2007 - \$100 TO WANTED TO SERVICE ON THE SERVICE ON	(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date

E. Kuman Pradecp

Name of Student

Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: k. Subbu	
----------------	--

Years/Semester: 1 -1

Course : B. Tech

Department: C.S.&

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Extremely Tolerable Good Good Good Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8,
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	-8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	85
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

3	The cul	labore	332000

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(9)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

	And the second s		12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
			1.		

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2_)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
7.0	1 -/	1,11118	1,7200	27.007.001	
10.Rate the de	epth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
current glob	bal scenarios.				
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	1100	- 15 10 - 28	- 13 - 00	W-11.	364-65
11. The curriet	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				- "	
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)

Name of Studenk - Subbu.

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 50

Signature

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Date



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Good

Name :	D.	venkata	Vinoid	
--------	----	---------	--------	--

B. Tech Course:

Department: CSE

Good

Good

Years/Semester: | - |

Tolerable

Poor

Poor

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Moderately Very Good Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was (2)1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant

3 Your background for benefiting from the course was

J. I Our out	aground for better	mig monit die ess	DET STATE TY SALE		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1)	Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
----	-----------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average



PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

MARASARAOPET - 522 661

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Coun			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in	a relation to the (5) Very Poor	by industry /
i) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) 1001	5) Very Poor	10
11.The currie	ulum has focus	on skill developme	ent		
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to dis	criminate the stu	dents	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
y other suggest	ion(s)				D.Vin
te	8	Name of Student	D. Venka	ra vinod	Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGILLE MINIS COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



07.01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name:	D uda	y kouthik
-------	-------	-----------

Course: B. Tech

Department:

C-S-F

Years/Semester: 1 - 1

1	Please	nive:	a rating of	vour	course	on	the	following	
- 1	· I ICasc	PIAC !	a raume or	vou	Course	OH	LHC.	IOHOWINE	

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

-	WHEN !			
Z.	The	SV	labus	was

1) Chanceging (2) Adequate (3) inadequate (4) Dun (3) inelevant (4)	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
---	----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

	the second second second	6	Market St. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequ	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(\$)	
-----------------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------	--

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	 Inadequate 	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
		10			10

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)



PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	competencies expected	
emes w	12 12 12	on skill developme		5) Very Poor	(()
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggesti	ion(s)				

Name of Student

IQACI

Date

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINELRING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPE I - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: S.V. Sadu	ik
-----------------	----

Department: CSE Years/Semester: I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	18	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Course: B. Tech

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was					
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant	1005/000000000000	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	22 105

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

101 ESSESSION - 100 E	1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-----------------------	--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor 2) Good 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2)12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature

IQAÉ

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for	the Academic Year:	2023 -	24
---	--------------------	--------	----

Name: A Nagurbi

(3-2) B. Tech

Department: ECF

Years/Semester: III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Ac	lequate 3) Inadequat	te 4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	72

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good



NARASARAOPETA ENGINETERING CO

NARASARAOPET - 522 60 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (1) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

A - Lagurbi Name of Student

IOAC

A Noguy bi

HARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

DAOL



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

A. Solavya. Name:

Course : BTet D I P (3-2)

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

			and the second second		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3 Voor background for benefiting from the course was

J. I Our Day	5. Total background for benefitting from the course was								
Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)				

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		U. L			

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
				2.2	10

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poer	(2)	
The second secon					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINTERING COLLEGI (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.). A.F.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 5) Very Poor (2)9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor (2)12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

A. SHONYO

Name of Student

A.S. Barya.

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: 5k.5. Moulali

Course: B-Tech (LDICA)

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

1 Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations 3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	S.No.	Particulars	Rating
3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1		8
4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3	Depth of the course content	9
6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4	Extent of coverage of course	9
A SECOND CONTRACTOR OF THE SECOND CONTRACTOR O	.5	Extent of effort required by students	8
2 Obert edica	6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
/ Overall rating	7	Overall rating	9

The syllabu	s was				
t) Challenging	2) Adequate	2) Inadequate	A) Dull	5) Irralasment	CAN

3. Your ba	ckground for benefi	iting from the co	urse was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	e			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	

	Here I describe the second second	MATERIAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	The state of the s	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

Very good	2) Good	 Average 	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
-----------	---------	-----------------------------	---------	--------------	-----



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (1) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (1) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (1) Any other suggestion(s)

SK. S. Moulali


Date Name of Student

SRSMOULA

Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



2/()!



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name:	A.LAKCHMI	HARCHITHH	Course:	B:TECH
Departme	ent: CIVIL		Years/Semest	er: 1111

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particulars							Rating

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Duli	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
 Highly Relevant 	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/m	odules of the cour	se		
The state of the s	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (1)10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (3) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1 Any other suggestion(s)

Date 27/9/0023

Name of Student A.L. HORSHITHE

Signature -

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE



	D.0	กเก	ana	m on curri	Course	- 1	Tech	ear: a	2032-24
Departn		Cai				Semester:	MA		
1. Ple	ease give	a rating	of your c	ourse on the fol	lowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
tremely Good		ery iood	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs							Rating
1	The Party of the P	yalue (i		of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytical	abilities, or		9
2				real life situation	ons				9
3			rse conte						9
4			ge of cou						10
5	Extent o	f effort r	equired b	y students					2
6	Relevan	ce/learni	ng value	of project/ repo	rt				4
7	Overall	rating							9
1) Chal	e syllabu lenging our backs	2) Ade		3) Inadequate		5) Irrelevant		
1) High Relevan	ly	2) Rele		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irrelev	100) Completel relevant	у	(1)
4. Ra	te the sec	quence o	f units/m	odules of the co	ourse				
1) Exc	ellent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	or	5) Very Poo	ог	(2)
5, Ra	te the ad	equatene	ss of the	text book and r	eference boo	k mentioned	for the cou	rse	
1) Exc		2) Ade		3) Inadequat	-	-	5) Very poo		(1)
6. W	ere vou a	ble to ge	t the pres	cribed readings	?				
1) Exce	-	2) Ado		3) Inadequat		OF	5) Very poo)F	(I)
7. Ra	re the de	sign of th	ie course	in terms of ext	ra learning (r self-learnii	ng		
1000	good	2) Goo	d	3) Average	4) Poo		Very Poor	1	111

WAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Sciences, Pr				ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	THE ROLL OF THE PARTY OF THE PA
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		and the state of t	est.		
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme			
11.The currie	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Date 20 9 19093

Name of Student D. McMoma

Signature D Memory

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE



			adr			: Bite			
				securo	The state of the s	Semester:	212		
9	lease give	8	g or your o	ourse on the fo	nowing 5	4	3	2	1 1
xtreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable		Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	. table 50 / 5 / 10 / 7 / 10 / 6		(in terms of spectives)	of skills, concep	ots, knowled	ge, analytica	l abilities, or		9
2		40.0	Transmission of the latest the la	real life situati	ons				9
3	Depth o	f the co	urse conte	nt					9
4	Extent of	of cover	age of cou	rse					2
5	Extent of	of effort	required t	y students					
6	Relevan	ce/lean	ning value	of project/ repo	ort		4.		8
7	Overall	rating			157		4		9
2. T	he syllabi	ıs was							
1) Cha	llenging	2) Ac	lequate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Dull	5) Irrelevant		(上)
3. Y	our back	ground	for benefit	ing from the co	urse was				
1) Hig Releva	9 50	2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva) Completely	e ji ti	(2)
		anence	of units/m	odules of the co	mirce				
	cellent	-	dequate	3) Inadequa	1	or:	5) Very Poor		(2_)
5 D	ata tha ad	agustar	acr of the	text book and r	reference bo	de montiones	I for the coun	re .	
war of miles and	cellent	-	dequate	3) Inadequa		Commence of Commence of the Co	5) Very poor		(2)
6 11	Vera von	ble to	ret the pre-	scribed readings	57				
	VALUE VILLE	THE RES T	the time the	CHILDREN LOUGHING	9.1				



1) Very good 2) Good

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEC (AUTONOMOUS) NARASATADDET - 522 601 Guillar (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	lepth of the syllabla scenarios.		4) Poor	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	abus of the course in	Links of the second	0.000	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Name of Student

Retriosadh

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAGPET - 522 601

Guntar (Dist.), A.P.







Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2029-24

Name: B. Jagadeesh	Course: B-Tech
Department: CSE (Lyber Security)	Years/Semester: 2/2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 4 3 2 8 Moderate Some how Роог Very Extremely Moderately Very Extremely Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor Good Good

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	V
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

7 Overall	rating				17
2. The syllabi	us was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	nodules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ad	lequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	ntioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(5)
6. Were your	able to get the pre	scribed readings?			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
7. Rate the de	sign of the course	e in terms of extra	learning or self-	learning	10
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.			5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
turrent glo Very good 11.The currie	2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good 11.The currie 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(/)

B. Jegodeesh Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARADPIT - 322 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





		100		Secusity)		Semester:	42		
9	icase give	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1 1
treme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some hor Tolerable	w Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	201	g value (ing persp		of skills, concept	s, knowled	ge, analytic	al abilities, or		9
2	Applica	bility/rel	evance to	real life situation	ons				8
3	Depth o	f the cou	rse conte	nt					3 9
4		of covera							9
5				y students					9
6		And the second of the second	ng value	of project/ repor	t				9
7	Overall	rating							19
2. T	he syllabi	as was		W.	-130:			-110	
) Cha	llenging	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irrelevant	- 9	(1)
3. Y	our backs	ground fo	or benefit	ing from the cou	rse was				
) Higl Releva	hly	2) Rele		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	10.00	5) Completely Irrelevant	у	(2)
4. R	ate the se	quence o	f units/m	odules of the co	urse				
	ellent	2) Add		3) Inadequate		or	5) Very Poo	r	(2)
5. R	ate the ad	equatene	ss of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mention	ed for the cou	rse	
) Exc	ellent	2) Add	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	or	5) Very poo	r	(1)
6. W	ere you a	ible to ge	t the pres	scribed readings'	?				
	ellent	2) Ade		3) Inadequate		эт	5) Very poo	r I	(/)

4) Poor

3) Average

2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASADAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

2)

5) Very Poor

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Sciences, Pro				ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	1	a relation to the	5) Very Poor	6 6
current glo	bal scenarios.	abus of the course in 3) Average		5) Very Poor	by industry (2)
current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	6 6
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		6 6
11. The currie 1) Very good 11. The currie 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	14) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	6 6

G. Ganes

Name of Student

Gr Granes

PRINCIPAL

NAKASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARADPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





9	8	7	6	llowing	4	3	2	1
remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
No. Part	iculars							Rating
	ming value idening per	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	of skills, concep	ots, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		9
			real life situati	ons				3
	th of the co	and the second section is a second section of	and the last of th					9
100	ent of cover	40	the state of the s			-		9
	ent of effort							8
	vance/lean	ning value	of project/ repo	rt				8
3. Your b Highly	ackground	dequate for benefit elevant	3) Inadequating from the co		tly 5) (rrelevant Completely levant		2)
AV 22 18/20	-	of units/m dequate	odules of the co		1.77.20	Very Poor		(5)
5. Rate th	e adequater	ness of the	text book and r	eference boo	k mentioned f	or the cour	se	
) Excellen	2) A	dequate	3) Inadequa	te 4) Poo	or 5)	Very poor		(1)
6. Were y	ou able to 1	get the pres	scribed readings	5?				
) Excellen	2) A	dequate	3) Inadequa	te 4) Poo	or 5)	Very poor		(5)



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA Editor Projecti (AUTONOTITE DE

NARASARAOPET - 522 604

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	
current glo	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
1) Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
current glo	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good aulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Name of Student Psudhakar Babu

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NAPASAPAOPET - 522 601

Guntar (Dist.), A.P.



		Dota				Semester: [1 1 2		
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your c	ourse on the fol	lowing	4	3	2	1
xtreme			Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	Learnin	1000		of skills, concept	s, knowled	ge, analytical al	bilities, or		8
2	Applica	Applicability/relevance to real life situations							9
3	The second second second second	f the course	ACCRECATE VALUE OF THE PARTY OF	ALAN CONTRACTOR CONTRA					9
4		Extent of coverage of course							8
5	Extent of effort required by students						9		
6		Relevance/learning value of project/ report							9
7	Overall rating							9	
3. Y 1) Hig Releva	hly	ground for l	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY NAMED IN COLUMN 2 AND ADDRESS O	ing from the cou 3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	1 To	ompletely evant		(2)
05 63	ate the se	quence of u	mits/m	odules of the co	urse				
4. R	Rate the sequence of units/modules of the core Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate				4) Poo	н 5)	Very Poor		(1)
_	cellent	(SATATI SOURCE)							
1) Ex	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	lequateness	of the	text book and re	ference boo	ok mentioned fo	or the cour	se	
1) Ex	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	lequateness 2) Adequ		text book and re		the state of the s	or the cour	and the state of t	(1)
5. R	tate the ad	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	the state of the s	THE PARTY NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.	and the state of t	(1)
5. R 1) Exc	tate the ad	2) Adequ	ate		4) Poo	or 5)	THE PARTY NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.		(1)
5. R 1) Ex-	tate the ad cellent Vere you a cellent	2) Adequable to get to 2) Adequal	he pres	3) Inadequate	2 4) Poo	or 5)	Very poor		

PRINCIPAL NAKASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CULLEGE (AUTONO CO) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Control of the contro	fessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios.	The second secon		5) Very Poor	n. W. W. Green C. R. C.
current glo	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	Became and acreamon the constant	naminicación describ
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good 2) Good 2) Good 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Name of Student

B. V. Siva Roddy

Signature

B. v. Siva Reddy

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Vame		Sra				: 37	- Control of		
		Dale				Semester: J	F - 1		
1. P	lease give	8 a rating	of your c	ourse on the foll	owing 5	4	3	2	1
treme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	Learnin	Control of the Contro		of skills, concept	s, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		8
2		Applicability/relevance to real life situations							
3	-	Depth of the course content							8
4	Annual Company of the	Extent of coverage of course							9
5	Extent of effort required by students							9	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report							9	
7	Overall rating							9	
3. Y) Hig	hly	ground fo 2) Rele		ing from the cou	4) Mos		Completel levant	у	(5)
4. R	ate the se	equence of	f units/m	odules of the co	urse				
) Ex	cellent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poo	r	(9)
5. R	ate the ac	dequatene	ss of the	text book and re	ference boo	ok mentioned f	or the cou	rse	
1) Excellent 2) Adequate		3) Inadequate	4) Poo	or 5)	Very poo	r	(1)		
6. V	Vere you	the state of the s		scribed readings					
) Ex	cellent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Po	or 5)	Very poo	or	(2)
		wion of th	o course	in terms of extr	a learning o	r self-icarning		2 1	
7. R	ate the de	DSIEH OF IT	ic course	his certain or end	4) Poor		Very Poor		The second secon

PRINCIPAL NAKASARAOPETA ENBINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONON 25) NARASARACPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cour			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
The second secon	The Part of the Pa	s appropriate to disc	The second secon		
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggest	ion(s)				

Name of Student

P. Sai Sravantli

Signature

P.sai Sravanthi

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NAPASARAOPET - 122 601 Gunun (Dist.), A.P



		Duta a rating of		ourse on the fol	lowing				
9	reade give	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Ktreme		Very G Good	lood	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	Learnin	275.5		of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytical	abilities, or	1.6	9
2		applicability/relevance to real life situations							
3	The second secon	Depth of the course content							9
4	Extent of coverage of course							9	
5	Extent of	Extent of effort required by students							8
6	The second secon	Relevance/learning value of project/ report							8
7	Overall rating							9	
3. 3		ground for b	-	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos	10.00 March 10.00	Completely	(1)
1) Hig Relev	ant			Acces of the same			EDITAL PERSON		
Relev		quence of u	nits/m	odules of the co	urse	1			
Relev.		quence of u		200	_) Very Poo	r	(5)
4. F	Rate the se	2) Adequ	ate	odules of the co	e 4) Poo	я 5) Very Poo		(9)
4. F 1) Ex 5. F	Rate the se	2) Adequ	ate of the	odules of the co	e 4) Poo	or 5) Very Poo	rse	(9)
4. F 1) Ex 5. F 1) Ex	Rate the se cellent Rate the ad	2) Adequi	of the	odules of the co 3) Inadequat text book and re 3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	or 5) Very Poo	rse	
4. F 1) Ex 5. F 1) Ex	Rate the se cellent Rate the ad	2) Adequi	of the	3) Inadequat	eference boo	ok mentioned or 5) Very Poo	rse	
4. F 1) Ex 5. F 1) Ex 6. V 1) Ex	Rate the se cellent Rate the ad cellent Were you a cellent	2) Adequi	of the sate	odules of the co 3) Inadequat text book and re 3) Inadequat	e 4) Poor eference boor e 4) Poor ? e 4) Poor	or 5 ok mentioned or 5	of or the country poor	rse	(3)



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEC (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
	ofessional Cour			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(2)
i) very good	1 2) Good	13) Average	4)1001	3) very 1001	(4)
	ion(s)				
y other suggest	managery at				

Name of Student

B. Ashok

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAGDET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

9	100	8/	7	course on the fol	5	4	3	2	1 1
xtreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul								Rating
			(in towns	of skills, concep	to Imanifod	na analytical	abilities or		Raung
1	The Committee of the A		spectives)	or skins, concep	is, knowied	ge, analytical	aomues, or		
2		400000000000000000000000000000000000000		real life situation	ons				
3			urse conte						
4	The second second	Extent of coverage of course							
5		Extent of effort required by students							
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report								
7	Overall rating								
2. T	he syllab	us was							
1) Cha	llenging	2) Ad	lequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(1)
3. Y	our back	ground	for benefit	ing from the cou	irse was				
1) Hig Releva		2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva		Completely elevant	t	(2)
4. R	ate the se	quence	of units/m	odules of the co	urse				
1) Ex	cellent	2) Ac	dequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	or 5) Very Poo	E:	(5)
5. R	ate the ac	lequater	ness of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned	for the cour	rse	
The second second second	cellent	-	dequate	3) Inadequate	The second second	the same of the sa) Very poo		(1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor



2) Good

1) Very good

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 3) Average

> PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	_ (1)
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)
Very good	2) G00d	3) Average	4) 1001	3) very roor	(1)
other suggest	ion(s)				

p. eatet.

Name of Student

IQAC

10/06/2020

Date

NARASARARDET : 532 841

D. Satish.

Signature



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name :	G.	chattanya	
Name .	O.	C. Car	

Course: B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 3-2

 Please give a rating of your course on the followi 	1.	Please	give a	rating of	your course	on the	following
--	----	--------	--------	-----------	-------------	--------	-----------

9	8	7	6	5	-4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2	The	SV	lahus	was

			- Andrewson - Andr			
1	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	the state of the s		National Control of the Control of t	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TW
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(†)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

To de to the first of the contract of the	2.5 V 7.5 march	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poors	1 4 1
1) Very good	2143000	3174VCEREC	41 FOOI	1 24 VCEV PARE	
1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					

1QAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	lepth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in	a relation to the o	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				MR SOURCESTER
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good rulum has focus	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		MR SOURCESTER
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

10-6-2024 Date

Name of Student


PREMICIDAL

MARASARAOPETA ENGREETORIS VALITORIGAMOUSI

NARASARAOPET - 57 J Guntur (Disc.), A.P.

R. Charter Signature

QAC





Name	:: K	Ni Xhi	tha		Course	: B-Je	ch		
Depar	rtment : (SE			Years/S	Semester: 5	3-2		
1. I	Please give	a rating	g of your o	course on the fo	llowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Xtreme Good		ery iood	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars							Rating
1	broaden	ing pers	pectives)	of skills, concep		ge, analytical	abilities, or		9
2	Applical	bility/re	levance to	real life situati	ons				8
3	Depth of	f the cou	urse conte	nt					9
4		-	ige of cou						B
5	_			y students					The second secon
6	Relevan	ce/learn	ing value	of project/ repo	rt				8
7	Overall	rating							9
1) Ch	The syllabu allenging	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequating from the co		5)	Irrelevant		(1)
1) Hig Relev	ghly	2) Re		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva		Completely elevant		(2)
	Rate the sec	quence (of units/m	odules of the co	ourse		1.		
4. F		2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequat	te 4) Poo	or 5	Very Poor	2.1	())
	cellent	207.7.55	- quare	8 8					
1) Ex				text book and r	eference boo	ok mentioned	for the cours	se	
1) Ex		equaten		text book and r	THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO I	The second secon	for the cours) Very poor	se	(2)
1) Ex 5. F 1) Ex	Rate the ad	equaten 2) Ad	ess of the	and granteen services and the services of	te 4) Poo	The second secon		se	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

4) Poor

1) Very good

2) Good

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The currie	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggest	ion(s)				

Name of Student

IQAC

10/6/2024 Date

> PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

K'Nikhitha Signature

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

0/101



	tment : 1	000000000000000000000000000000000000000				Semester: 7	IL/I			
	lease give		of your c	ourse on the foll		1 4				
9 xtreme	alv.	8 Very	Good	6 Moderately	5 Moderate	Some how	Poor	2 Very	Extremel	
Good	W	Good	Good	Good	Moderate	Tolerable	1001	Poor	Poor	
¥1										
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating	
1	Learnin broaden		9							
2										
3	Depth of the course content								8 8 7	
4	Extent of	of covera	ge of cou	rse					8	
5	Extent of	of effort i	required b	y students					7	
6	Relevan	ce/learni	ing value	of project/ report					9	
7	Overall	rating							8	
3. Y 1) Hig Relev	hly	ground fo		ng from the cour 3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos		Completely clevant		(2)	
4. R	tate the se	quence o	of units/me	odules of the cou	ırse					
1) Ex	cellent	2) Add	equate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	or 5	Very Poor		(<u>1</u>)	
	ate the ad	equatenc	ess of the	text book and ret	ference boo	k mentioned	for the cour	se		
5. R	cellent	2) Ada	equate	3) Inadequate	4) Poc	or 5) Very poor		(5)	
-		ble to ge	et the pres	cribed readings?						
1) Ex	Vere you a	mie in ge		3) Inadequate	4) Poo	or 5) Very poor	6	(2)	
1) Ex	Vere you a	-	equate	5) madequate						
6. V	cellent	2) Ado		in terms of extra	learning o	r self-learning	2	10	2.1	



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 1) Very good 2) Good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 3) Average 4) Poor (ΔL) 1) Very good 2) Good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (1) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 1) Very good Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/08/2023

Name of Student CH, Hotali Koshna

chimitatilaisha.

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE IAUTONOMOUS) PARASARAGUET - 522 601

07.01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name: P. Anjali

Course : CSD

Department: Data science

Years/Semester: T/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No. Par	ticulars							Rating

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	Ц
4	Extent of coverage of course	1 4
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)

1) Highly Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (l')

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practice
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
l) Very good			Annanananan		7,11,000
			W2		
	1 1 1	on skill developme		5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		(1)

P. Anjali Name of Student P. Anjali Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form or	curriculum for	the Academic Vear	2023-24
Student I ceuback I of in or	t cui i icuiumi ivi	the Academic I car.	CC C CC C

Name: B. Maha Srinivasa Rao

Course: B. Tech

Department : CSE (DATA SCIENCE)

Years/Semester: I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	-7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

The syllabus was
 Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Dr. T. Com Da	capitalia ioi better	ting from the eo	oth the Tribut		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	10 0				

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERIGI CU. - DE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2-1 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (1) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

B. Wato Srinswha Rao.

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name: P. Teja	Course: B- Tech		
Department: C5E (Data	Science)	Years/Semester: I/	I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely
							1001	1001

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant	I DEST	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

	1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)	
1			*!				E

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 7 (1)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			tiences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	et	8 8
current glo I) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Current glo I) Very good 11. The currie I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	8 8

P. Teja Name of Student

P. Teja Signature

NAHASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE PRINCIPAL (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARACPET - 527 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

to a stockhool



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name:	B	Naga	lah
	0	(

STLD Course:

Department:

ECE

Years/Semester: 11/2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1
7	Overall rating	9

2 The syllabus was

	at The Symmet	and the same	The second secon	ALL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF	- Aleksania III	
Ì	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	1100000

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------------------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	1 2
-----------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARACPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good (1) Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

B. Nagalah


Name of Stude

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARAS AS ACRE 1 - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: K. Indu

Course : ED C

Department: ECE

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

Years/Semester: 2-1

9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	
xtreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
F.						11			
S.No.	Particula	ars							Rating
1	A Company of the con-		(in terms of spectives)	of skills, concep	ots, knowled	ge, analytical a	abilities, or		9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations							9	
3								8	
4								*	
5	5 Extent of effort required by students							9	
6	Relevan	ce/lean	ning value	of project/ repo	ort				8
7	Overall	rating							9
	he syllabu llenging		lequate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(2)
3. Y	our backs	ground	for benefit	ing from the co	urse was				
1) High Releva	-314717	2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	25%	Completely elevant	9	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

3) Inadequate

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

2) Adequate

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

TOAC

1) Excellent

PRINCIPAL
NARASARABPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

(2)

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	fessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	The second secon	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Very good The curric	2) Good ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
Very good The curric	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

Name of Student

K. Zudn

Signature

PRINCIPAL

(AUTONOMDUS) NARASARADOET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name: Burile. Asha

Course: STLD

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 2 - 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

1 Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations 3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Rating
3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students	9
4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students	8
5 Extent of effort required by students	9
	8
6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
	7
7 Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

-	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			100		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	------------------------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2.)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

gineering Sciences, Basic et Work (PW) & Practical
ry Poor (2)
ry Poor (2)
ry Poor (2)

Name of Student Burile - Asha

Signature Ash

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



	N. m					: EDC	9		
Depar	tment : 6	election	vics and	communication engineering	Years/	Semester: 1	1		
1. F	lease giv	e a ratin	g of your	course on the fol	lowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
xtreme Good	ly	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particu	lars							Rating
1			(in terms (of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		8
2	Applica	ability/re	elevance to	real life situation	ons				7
3	Depth o	of the co	urse conte	nt					9
4	Extent	of cover	age of cou	rse					8
5	Extent	of effort	required b	y students					9
6	Relevan	nce/learn	ning value	of project/ repor	t				8
7	Overall	rating							9
2. T	he syllab	us was							•
1) Cha	llenging	2) Ad	lequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) It	relevant		2)
3. Y	our back	ground :	for benefit	ing from the cou	rse was				
1) Hig Releva		2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	0.5	ompletely evant		1)
4. R	ate the se	quence	of units/m	odules of the co	urse				
1) Exc	cellent	2) Ac	fequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	r 5)	Very Poor		(1)
5. R	ate the ac	lequater	ess of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned fo	or the cours	se	
	cellent	-	lequate	3) Inadequate		The second secon	Very poor		(1)
6. W	ere you :	able to g	et the pres	cribed readings	,				
l) Exc	Contract to the second second second	-	lequate	3) Inadequate	The second second	or 5)	Very poor		(1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor



7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

(2

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	a relation to the	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	3	50 0
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo l) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	3	50 0
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	14) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

N. Mohana Ras Name of Student

Signature

IQÁC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAGEET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

	3 . A.	11	
Name:	P. Meg	henaci	

Course: SS

Department: ECE

Years/Semester : 11 1

	Diagra	airea a	enting of	******	annera	-	the	fallowing	
١.,	riease	give a	raung or	your	course	on	me	following	

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
7	Overall rating	7

7	The c	vilabus	SAMPLE
44.4	Luc a	VIDADUS	VV (15)

	and granters.	The state of the s	and the later with th		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

	 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
П						

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	7(1) - "



NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 3) Average 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average (1 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good (2)

Date

Any other suggestion(s)

Name of Student

P. MeghoredL

Signature

IQAC

MARASARAOPETATRIGITIMAGEGITERI
TAUTONOMOUS)
MARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntus (Distribute)

)/()1



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name:	1.	Oday	kiray
-------	----	------	-------

Course: \$\$

Department : ECE

Years/Semester: II II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1/
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	q
7	Overall rating	9

7 The most Halance	Carried Sales
2. The syllabus	WHE.

Z. THE SYMADU	5 Was	Treat -	and the second	171	
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

5. Four background for benefitting from the course was							
2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)			
			2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly	2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely			

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			_		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(인)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(5)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the syllabla scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	2 1373 	260
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(+)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	2 1373 	260
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(+)

Name of Student

Signature in

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 GU1
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : V	Lakshmi	priya	Reddy	Course:	EAC

Department: ECE Years/Semester: Q - 1

/9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	q
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Oyerall rating	9

) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/m	odules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	agustanas aftha	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	0
Rate the ad	equateness of the				
and the second second second second second	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	and the state of t	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)



2) Good

3) Average

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(t)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.	The state of		competencies expected	
current glo		abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo I) Very good 11. The curric I) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	14) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

V-latshm: priya Reddy

Signature Food

NE CONTRA

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 . Guntur (Dist.), A.P.







Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24+

Name	J	N	10	4	ha	U
1 100.110	16		1.44	-		V 1

Course: 2-1, EDC

Department : E C E

Years/Semester: 2 - 1

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your c	ourse on the fo	llowing	
	-	-		*	

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9


The syllabu	is was		01		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your bac	ekground for benefi	ting from the cor	urse was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
	C-1, **********************************	S.6400-11A00-11		I A SAV	

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
7. Rate the d	esign of the course	in terms of extra le	carning or self-l	learning 1	





PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.	and the second and the second	The Section of the Se	5) Very Poor	
current glo		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	and the second and the second	4) Poor		
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		

J. Madhavi Name of Student

Signature J-Madhav

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGA (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 GU1 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



zeparune	nt ;	E(E		Years/S	Semester:	- I		
1. Plea	se give	a rating	g of your c	ourse on the fo	llowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
tremely Good		ery ood	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
No. P	articula	rs							Rating
1415.11			(in terms of	of skills, concep	ots, knowled	ge, analytical	abilities, or		8
2 A	pplicab	ility/re	levance to	real life situati	ons				8
3 D	epth of	the co	urse conte	nt					9
4 E	xtent of	cover	age of cou	rse					8
5 E	xtent of	effort	required b	y students					9
6 R	elevano	e/learr	ing value	of project/ repo	ort				8
7 0	verall r	ating							9
2. The) Challer 3. Your	nging	2) Ad	equate for benefit	3) Inadequating from the co		5)	Irrelevant		2)
) Highly Relevant			levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	12.	Completely elevant	,	D
4. Rate	the sea	uence	of units/m	odules of the co	ourse				
) Excell	- A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A	-	lequate	3) Inadequa		or 5) Very Poo	E-	(2)
5. Rate	the ade	auaten	ess of the	text book and i	eference boo	k mentioned	for the cou	rse	
		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY NAMED IN	lequate	3) Inadequa		AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.) Very poo	V-0-0-0	(2)
					57				
) Excell	e vou al	ole to s	et the pres	cribed reading					



NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 801, Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences. Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good (1) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 2) Good 4) Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (2)Any other suggestion(s)

L. Srinivasa Ras

Name of Student

L- Frinwasakao

Signature

IQAC

Date

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

04.01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 23-24

Name: A. Yosa	LSWI
---------------	------

Course: SS

Department : ECE

Years/Semester: 2 - 1

1. Please	give a raun	g of your o	course on the re	mowing	A5			
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabil	is was				·
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

Your ba	ckground for benef	iting from the co	urse was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	3 13
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------

) Very good	2) Good	45.4	AN TO	5) Very Poor
-------------	---------	------	-------	--------------



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CULTEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.		100000	competencies expected	8 8
current glo	The second secon	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		8 8
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Name of Student : A Yasaswit

Signature

A & Boson

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 GO1

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name:	VELAHALA.	HARITRASAD	Course:	SS
-------	-----------	------------	---------	----

Department: € C€ Years/Semester: II – I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	2
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The sylla	ibus was
--------------	----------

	Although the Co.	The second secon	A Laboratoria Carteria Carteria Laboratoria del Carteria	and the second s	
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

The state of the s					
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant	10	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	A CONTRACTOR

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	7(2),

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good (1) 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 1) Very good 3) Average 5) Very Poor 4) Poor (1) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor Any other suggestion(s)

Date

V- Hari prosed

Name of Student

U Hoor possed

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 501

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



1. P	ease g	ive a ratin	g of your o	course on the fo	llowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
tremel Good	у	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Partic	culars							Rating
1	Learn	Pinner		of skills, concer	ots, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		9
2	Appli	icability/re	elevance to	real life situati	ons				9
3	Depti	h of the co	urse conte	nt					9
4	Exter	it of cover	age of cou	rse					9
5	Exter	nt of effort	required b	y students					9
6	Relev	ance/learn	ning value	of project/ repo	ort		T.		a
7	Over	all rating				1.7			9
) Cha	llengin our ba	ckground	lequate for benefit levant	3) Inadequating from the co			relevant		(T)
Releva		28,000		Relevant	Irrelev		levant		
4. R			of units/m dequate	odules of the co		or 5)	Very Poor		(1)
-		manage the second state of the	ness of the	text book and r		CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T	or the cour		(0)
I) Exc	enem	2) /40	acquate	3) madequa	3770	2)	very poor		(2)
6. W	ere yo	u able to g	get the pres	scribed readings	s?				
) Exc	ellent	2) A	dequate	3) Inadequa	te 4) Po	or 5)	Very poor		(2)
7 R	ite the	design of	the course	in terms of ext	ra learning c	r self-learning		1	n
	y good			3) Average	4) Pao		ery Poor		7.5.7



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Coun			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.	-	1.00000	5) Very Poor	5 5
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo I) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		5 5
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: A. Phitomin Paul Course: EDC

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: I/I

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your c	course on the to	llowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	q
7	Overall rating	0

) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(4)
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	odules of the cour	se		
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5 Pare the a	lequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
D. Ponte the at		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
	2) Adequate		1		
) Excellent		scribed readings?			

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average



1) Very good

2) Good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING CRILE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cour			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9-)
current glo	lepth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	100-000	
current glo I) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo I) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	100-000	
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name:	K.	Jahn	avi	Sai	Pny	a
-------	----	------	-----	-----	-----	---

2) Good

1) Very good

Course: Blech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

1.1.20.1						
6	Relevan	ice/learning value	of project/ report			8
7	Overall	rating				8
2. 7	he syllabi	us was	1 110	1		
1) Cha	allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Y	our back	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
1) Hig Releva		2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
	ALL DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON	1	odules of the coun		The state of the s	
	tate the se	quence of units/m 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor tioned for the course	(2)
1) Exe	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	1 2 8	(1)
5. R	cellent tate the ad	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate text book and refe 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	tioned for the course	

4) Poor

3) Average

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering S tive, Project Work (P	Sciences, Basic W) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	bal scenarios.		132		
		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	1 / 2		5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme 3) Average		5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

Date 10 | 6 | 2024

Name of Student K-Jahravi Sai Priya

K. Jahrary Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARABPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 23-24

Name: PLDICODATE FOR	Name:	PLakshmi	Pronocthi
----------------------	-------	----------	-----------

Course: 583.

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: I - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

13 01 11	23 4.4	23 1 1	(A) (D., II	EVI	7.4.1
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	Inadequate	(4) Duli	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	332

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			Į.		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(ユ)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601,

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Sciences, Pro				ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11.The currie	rulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluatio	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(ح)
	27.0000	Directage	771001	3, 100, 100,	100
if tell Billia					

P. Lakshmi Rarathi Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL


NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAGPET - 527 601

Guntar (Dist.), A.P.







Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 24

Name: Sk-Nasvin

Course: B. Tech, ADC

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 2:2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

And the second s		- type -	The state of the s		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2)	Adequate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-----------------	------------------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 801 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 3) Average 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor (2)2) Good 4) Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (1) 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average Any other suggestion(s)

Sk-Nasrin Sultana

Date

Name of Student

8k-Nastro

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.







Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name: P. Syninosachari

Course: EMWTL

Department : EC€

Years/Semester: 2:2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

The state of the s			1717-2017	
1) Challenging 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				10	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				- H



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average () 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (1) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 1) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Frinjivasachavi.

Name of Student

Tichon 1

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOLIS)
NARASAMA OPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1/01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: A. Samba Siva Rao Course: AD	Name :	A. Samba	Siva	Rao	Course :	f D	1
------------------------------------	--------	----------	------	-----	----------	-----	---

Department: ECE Years/Semester: II - II

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	æq
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

7 Overall	rating		12	AS I	9
2. The syllabi	us was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefi	ting from the cours	e was		1072
Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	nodules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you a	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
7. Rate the de	sign of the course	e in terms of extra l	carning or self-l	carning	1000
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor,	LAY



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	bal scenarios.	ibus of the course in		competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	The state of the s	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		

Any other suggestion(s)

A . Samba Sira Rao

Date

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NABASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: K. Rajeswavi

Course : B. Tech

Department: Corn puter Science Engineering Years/Semester: I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(3)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	3

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

	l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3.)
--	--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-----------------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)-
-------------	---------	---------------------------	---------	--------------	------



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3)9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (4) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) Any other suggestion(s)

25-10-2024

Date

K. Rajeswayi

Name of Student

NARASANAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Gental (Dist.), A.P.



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name:	A	5	hyani
-------	---	---	-------

Course: B. Tech / Cst

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 11 / 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	a
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

-	PR14	and the second	M. A. Sans	
L.	The	SV	labus	was
		03.	THE COLD	44 6665

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

l) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-------------------------------------	---------	--------------	------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Ac	equate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------------	----------------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)	
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----	--



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 801

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
position of cours ofessional Cours ernship etc.	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sective, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi ') & Practic
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(1)
ulum has focus	on skill developmer	nt	1 22 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	(1)
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	1 -1
- P. D. S.		The state of the s	778 Danie 2 W Let 19-25	(9)
	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud		(9)
	position of countries of countr	position of course in terms Humanit of signal Course, Professional Electriship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 2) Good 3) Average 2) Good 3) Average 2) Good 3) Average 3) Average 3) Good 3) Average 3) Average	position of course in terms Humanities and Social Seriessional Course, Professional Elective, Open Electric Ele	2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor position of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences of Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW ernship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor peth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected bal scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor plum has focus on skill development

IQÁC

A · Shyam Name of Student

25/10/2024

Date

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sr-	Jasmi	h	0
------------	-------	---	---

Course: MBA

Department: MBA

Years/Semester: 2 0

I. Please	give a raun	g or your c	course on the re	nowing		97 mm - 12		
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	a
5	Extent of effort required by students	0
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	é
7	Overall rating	3

) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		77
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/m	nodules of the cour	se		
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Laction	The state of the s	25 m		K 5%	241 5
		- 22 /ħ			24.5
		- 22 /ħ		tioned for the course 5) Very poor	(1)
5. Rate the ad) Excellent	equateness of the 2) Adequate	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	(1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average



1) Very good

2) Good

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) N°20ASARAOPET - 302 601 Guntur (Dist.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	lepth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry
10.Rate the d	enth of the syll:	abus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry
current glo		abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry
current glo Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	bý industry (1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry (1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

11-9-24

Date

sk-Jasurkie

Name of Student

Alps.

Signature

IQAC


PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 501.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





	1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9 8 7 6 5 4						2	1	
Good		Very Goo Good	d Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor	
S.No.	Particul	ars						Rating	
1		g value (in terr ing perspectiv		concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or					
2	Applica	bility/relevanc	e to real life situati						
3	Depth o	f the course co	ntent		9				
4		of coverage of							
5		of effort require						9	
6	and the private formation of	and designed by the part of the second of th	lue of project/ repo	ort				8	
-7	Overall	rating					4.7	9	
3. `		-	efiting from the co	urse was		rrelevant		(b)	
1) Hig Relev	e	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irrelev		Completely levant	2 39	(1)	
4. 1	Rate the se	quence of unit	s/modules of the co	ourse				12	
1) Es	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequa	te 4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poor		()	
5. I	Rate the ad	equateness of	the text book and r	eference boo	ok mentioned fe	or the cour	se		
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequa	te 4) Poo	or 5)	Very poor		(1)	
	Were you a	ible to get the	prescribed reading	5?					
0.	cellent	2) Adequate	the state of the s	The second second	5)	Very poor		(1)	



NARASARADPETA ENGILEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), AJE

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	Complete and the second
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	epth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	and a later relative to the contract of the co	on skill developme	and the second s		
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
other suggest	ion(s)				
11/9/	6	Name of Student	c/0/	2.	8

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Name : 61	Sahit	hisuma
-----------	-------	--------

Course: MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester: 2.1

1.	Please	give a	rating of	f vour	course on	the	following
	Trease	EIVC a	raume o	i your	course on	uic	TOHOWING

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	3
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	a
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2.	The syllabus was	
	The second secon	

	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)	Ī
--	----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----	---

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(,)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor	5) Very Poor ()
--	----------------	---

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	competencies expected	50 5
current glo		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo l) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	N 2	8" 8
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

119/24

Date

Gisalithisuna

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





	lease give		_	ourse on the follo	5	4	1 2 1	2	17#16
y xtreme Good		8 /ery lood	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extrem Poor
S.No.	Particula	urs							Rating
1	Learning	y value	(in terms of	of skills, concepts	s, knowledg	ge, analytical a	abilities, or		8
2				real life situation	ns				9
3	and the second second second	and the second second second	urse conte	And in case of the last of the					9
4	-		age of cou						q
5	Extent o	f effort	required b	y students					9
6	Relevan	ce/learn	ing value	of project/ report	,				9
7	Overall	rating							P
	llenging our backg	-	equate for benefiti	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)1	Irrelevant	()
1) Hig Releva		2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	100 mm	Completely elevant	()
	ate the sec	quence	of units/m	odules of the cou	irse				
4. R	cellent	2) Ac	lequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	r 5)	Very Poor	5	()
4. R	circin					HINDESERVE SUR HAV			
1) Ex		equaten	ess of the	text book and ref	ference boo	k mentioned f	or the cour	SC	
1) Ex	ate the ad		ess of the lequate	3) Inadequate	The second second second		or the cour Very poor		()
5. R	ate the ado	2) Ad	lequate		4) Poc				()

4) Poor

3) Average

5) Very Pour



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industr	y/)
current glo	bal scenarios.			E E	by industr	y/)
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor	E E	by industr)
) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Alverage	4) Poor	E E	by industr))
current glo I) Very good 11.The curric I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Alverage on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	by industr))

Date 11-9-24

Gi. Panc

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: NAIDU GOPI

Course: MBA

Department: MBA

Years/Semester: II nd year & Mist sem

1. Picase	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the to	llowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	0

2. The syllabi	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	351 V.

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntar (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios.	abus of the course in	a relation to the o	5) Very Poor	by industry /
	bal scenarios.	I lessa to the			
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date 11-9-24

Name of Student NAIDU GOPI

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature Gop



Name: YARR	AGUDOI. DEVADASU	Course: M.B.A
Department:	A.8.M	Years/Semester: \\ \\
1. Please giv	e a rating of your course on the follo	owing

Moderately

Very

Good	Good	Good	Tolerable	Poor	Poo
S.No.	Particulars				Rating
1	Learning value (in to broadening perspect	Control of the Contro	nowledge, analytical abilitie	es, or	3
2	Applicability/relevan	nce to real life situations			9
3	Depth of the course	content			a
4	Extent of coverage of	of course			é
5	Extent of effort requ	ired by students			0

Moderate Some how

	7.7					
3	Depth o	f the course conte	ent			0
4	Extent of	of coverage of cou	irse			2
5	Extent of	of effort required l	by students			0
6	Relevan	ice/learning value	of project/ report			9
7	Overall	rating		100.0	59	3
2. T	he syllab	us was				Zietik
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
		ground for benefit	-	1	1000	
1) High	hly	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(I)
1) Higi Releva	hly int	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly Irrelevant		(1)
1) Higi Releva	hly int ate the se	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		(1)
1) High Releva 4. R 1) Exc	hly ant ate the se cellent	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant odules of the count 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	Irrelevant	(1)
1) High Releva 4. R 1) Exc	hly ant ate the se cellent ate the ad	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant odules of the count 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
1) Higi Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	hly ant ate the se cellent ate the ad cellent	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant odules of the count 3) Inadequate text book and refe 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

4) Poor

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

NAKASAKAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

Very

Poor

Extremely

te the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / rent global scenarios. good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) e curriculum has focus on skill development) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
te the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / rent global scenarios. good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) e curriculum has focus on skill development good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)	Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours				
rent global scenarios. good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) e curriculum has focus on skill development good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)	11 The currie	nlum has foone	on skill developme	nt	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	the second second second second second	The state of the s	The second secon	All the second s	I I Service Common Comm	
duation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	12 Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)	THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF					(1)
) Very good	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	14) Poor	5) Very Poor	(

Date 1 09 24

THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE

Y. Devodosu Name of Student Y. De woosu Signature

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 661 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name : B/	ALIJEF	ALLI	BRAHMAI	AHCourse	: M.B.	A
Department	100 EST 100 ES	3.5	d C		Semester : 1	209/1
1. Ficase	give a raun	g or your c	course on the fo	nowing		1 2
9	/ 8	_ 7	- 6	5	4	3
ctremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

6	Relevan	ce/learning value	of project/ report			9		
7	Overall	rating						
2. 7	The syllabu	ıs was						
1) Ch	allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)		
3. 1	our backg	round for benefit	ing from the cours	e was				
l) Hig Relev		2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)		
4. F	Rate the sec	quence of units/m	nodules of the cours	se				
) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)		
5. R	tate the ade	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course			
-	tate the ade	equateness of the 2) Adequate	text book and refe 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)		
) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	The state of the s			(1)		
6. V	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate			(1)		
6. V	cellent Vere you a cellent	2) Adequate ble to get the pre	3) Inadequate scribed readings?	4) Poor	5) Very poor			



NARASARADPETA ENGINEERINGIOLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very

Poor

Extremely

Poor

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	The state of the s
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11.The currie	rulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
11. The curric Very good	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	1 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
reij good					

11 09- 24 Date

Brahmarah Name of Student R. Dahan L.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARACPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARACPET - 522 601.

Grand (Dist.), A.P.



9		8	7	ourse on the fo	5	4	3	2	1
xtreme Good	0.60	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	Transfer of the second		(in terms of	of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytical	abilities, or		3
2				real life situation	ons				9
3		Andrews Telephone	urse conte						9
4			age of cou						
5	-			y students					2
6	and the second second second		ning value	of project/ repo	rt				9
7	Overall	Overall rating							9
I) Cha	he syllabi	2) Ad	lequate	3) Inadequate		5	Irrelevant		1)
1) Cha 3. Y 1) Hig	illenging our backs	2) Ad		3) Inadequateing from the could also Partly Relevant		tly 5) Irrelevant) Completely relevant	, (
3. Y 1) Hig Relevi	illenging our backs hly int	2) Adground (2) Re	for benefit levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos	tly 5) Completely	· (+
1) Cha 3, Y 1) Hig Releva 4, R	illenging our backs hly int	2) Adground (2) Requence	for benefit levant	ing from the cou	4) Mos Irreleva	tly 5) Completely		+
1) Cha 3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Ex	our backs thly ant tate the se	2) Adground (2) Requence (2) Ad	for benefit levant of units/m dequate	ang from the country Relevant	4) Mos Irrelevi	tly 5 ant Ir	Completely relevant 5) Very Poor		p
1) Cha 3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Ex	our backs thly ant tate the se	2) Adground (2) Requence (2) Address (2) A	for benefit levant of units/m dequate	3) Partly Relevant odules of the co	4) Mos Irrelevi	tly 5 int Ir	Completely relevant 5) Very Poor	se	p
1) Cha 3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Ex 5. R 1) Ex	our backs thly ant tate the se cellent ate the ad	2) Adground file 2) Requence 2) Address equater 2) Address 2) Addr	for benefit levant of units/m dequate ness of the dequate	ang from the count odules of the count odules	4) Mos Irrelevi	tly 5 int Ir	Completely relevant 5) Very Poor	se	(f)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1. The curriculum has focus on skill development	Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1. The curriculum has focus on skill development			abus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	Very good		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (11.The curric Very good	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1	Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Name of Student

Date

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



Name: P. Sadhik

Good

Course: Brech

Department: CSE(AIML)

Good

Years/Semester: 2-2

Tolerable

Poor

Poor

 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

 9
 8
 7
 6
 5
 4
 3
 2
 1

 Extremely
 Very
 Good
 Moderately
 Moderate
 Some how
 Poor
 Very
 Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	1
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	1 7

Good

The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant	1	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3)) Inadequate 4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-----------------------------	----------------------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	())
			1		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2_)

IQÁC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	fessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glol	bal scenarios.	EDNAMA ESWOSOCONACIO	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo		3) Average		SCHRENO COLLEGE DE LA	()
current glot 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum bas focus	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glot 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	SCHRENO COLLEGE DE LA	(1) (2)
current glot 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Date

P. Sadhik

Name of Student

P. Sadhile Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

MARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUR)

NARASARAOPET - 522 683

Gaussia Licalopat

17,(1)



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 24

Name: K. Ganesh Kumar

Course:

Btech

Department: CSE (Al&mL)

Years/Semester: 11 - 11

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following Some how Poor Very Extremely Moderate Very Good Moderately Extremely Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor Good

1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
	broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

De 1110 Systemotic Trans				
1) Challenging 2) Adeq	uate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant	1	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very	Poor (2	J
---	---------	---



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERHIG COMEGE NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average .) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (1) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

k Ganesh kumar

Signature

K. Ganesh



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: VULA VALA ANJL

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE (AIEML)

Years/Semester: 2-2

Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was								
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inademate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)			

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was									
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)				
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant					

				V-200760 - 30	
1) Evcellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) madequate	4) POOL	3) very root	

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	1 (1)
	_				
7. Rate the d	esign of the course	in terms of extra le	carning or self-	carning	1 1



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntui (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 2) Good 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor Any other suggestion(s)

Date

VULAVALA ANIL

Name of Student

Signature Vo Am

1/1/



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 604 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



9	8		course on the fo	5	4	3	2	1
tremely Good	Ve Go		Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No. P	articulars	5						Rating
	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)							9
2 A	Applicability/relevance to real life situations							8
10.0	Depth of the course content						q	
	Extent of coverage of course						9	
	Extent of effort required by students						8	
	Relevance/learning value of project/ report						9	
7 C	verall ra	ting						9
Challe 3. You	r backgro	and the second second second second second	3) Inadequate	urse was		relevant		(2)
l) Highly Relevant		2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irrelev	111 March 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ompletely evant		(1)
4. Rate	the sequ	ence of units/n	nodules of the co	ourse				
l) Excell	ent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Po	or 5)	Very Poor		(1)
5. Rate	the adec	quateness of the	text book and r	eference bo	ok mentioned fo	r the coun	se	16
l) Excell		2) Adequate	3) Inadequat			Very poor		(1)
6. Wen	e you abl	e to get the pre	scribed readings	17				
		2) Adequate	3) Inadequa		or 5)	Very poor	8	(2)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Coun			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11 The currie	nılım has forne	on skill developme	nt		
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
720 0 9		s appropriate to dis	criminate the stu		
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Date

Name of Student

K. Gewara Rao

Signature

K. Ekwas Sew

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASAMACPET - 522 601



Name:	G	Panyasri
-------	---	----------

Course: B.tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: T_ I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9
		- Annual Control

2.	The syl	labus	was

at the symbols was									
1	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)			

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

!) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Adequate) Adequate 3) Inadequate	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOFET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), AJP.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (+ 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (1) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 501

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

040



	M. Dha			0
Name:	M. Dha	rani	laks	MMI

Course: B- Tech

Department: CS€

Years/Semester: 1 - 1

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)	
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----	--

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequat	e 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------------------	-----------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

2) Good 4) Poor-5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

-		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
 Rate the composition of course Sciences, Professional Course, Training / Internship etc. 			50 - 10 : [16 10 : 17 10] : 이 3 : 5 : 10 : 10 : 10 : 10 : 10 : 10 : 10	
) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	- ((1))
) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curriculum has focus or	n skill developme	nt		
) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation of the course is a	appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)
I I CET ECOU E COUCE	Systretage	171001	3) 1001	12)

M. Dharani latihmi

Name of Student

H. Blacari Signature

E CO A ST

Date

PRINCIPAL
MARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Suntai (Dist.), A.P.



Name: U. Naga Harshitha

Course: B. Tech

Department: C-S-E

Years/Semester: 1-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant	5.7450.510 e-500.440	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good rulum has focus		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date

U Naga Harshitha Name of Student

U Nagattarshithe, Signature

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING CULLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 501 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

22 601



Name: 9K. Sadiya tabassum Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE Years/Semester: 1-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabu	s was	10	73		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was									
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)				

4. Rate the so	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
10 Para all and	2) Adagwata	3) Inadaquate	A) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the a	dequateness of the	text book and refer	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

The Colonial Colonia	and the second section is a second section of the section of the second section of the sect	scribed readings?	To a second	2.11	70.00
) Excellent	2) Adequate	 Inadequate 	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	13 1
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor 2) Good 5) Very Poor (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good (1)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor (2 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sk. Sadiya tabassum Name of Student Sk Sadun Talassum.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPLIA INDINEFRING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOLUS)
NARASARAGETT - 501
Guntur (District Aug.)

1, 30) Mu 8

1/1/01



Name: G. Lurdhu Sowmya

Course: B. tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: I - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	q
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adec	uate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(+)	
------------------------	--------------------	---------	---------------	-----	--

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

I) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(3)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	125-200

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	-(1).

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor 7	4 (1)	
--	--------------	---------	------------	---------	----------------	-------	--

IQAC.

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

	active or consessi	ng of elective in rela		7 HOLTMAN WILLIAM LEED	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering S tive, Project Work (PV	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	epth of the syllabal scenarios.	abus of the course in	n relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry
current glo		abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	and the second of the second of the second of	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(2)
11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date

G. Lurdhu Sowmya

G. Lindhu Sowmya. Signature

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: Himasree Veeravalli

Course: B. Tech

Department: C-S-E

Years/Semester: 1/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9 🗸	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	q
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2 Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant

2 Vour background for banefiting from the course was

J. I Our bac	kground for ocher	ung nom me co	moe was		
Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)



NARASARAOPETA ENGULERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

(2)

NARASARAOPET - 522 604

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cour			ciences, Engineering S tive, Project Work (PV	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	. (2)
11. The currie	rulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
11. The curre 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(9_)
1) Very good				1 / 4	

Date

V. Himastee Name of Student

Himastee.

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAUPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: Sk. Kasmin Taj

Course: B. teck

Department: C.S.E

Years/Semester: 1/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

						_
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

STATE OF LEGISLANDS AND AND AND AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY		-	Control Section Control Sectin Control Section Control Section Control Section Control Section			_
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)	
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant		

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	1111355 73	100			

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)	1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
---	--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

5) Very Poor (2)



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601,

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.	nomicoralisations agreement	POSITIONED RECEIVED	S) Very Poor	347 3985 4A103 III
	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAME	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	2) Good	nomicoralisations agreement	4) Poor	SITCLEONSE IN MEDINALISMO	347 3985 4A103 III
current glo 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	SITCLEONSE IN MEDINALISMO	347 3985 4A103 III
Current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Date

Sk. Yaymin Taj Name of Student

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Gr. Harshitha sri

Course : B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 1-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-----------------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 5) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1 (2)



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good (2)10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average (2) 1) Very good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good Any other suggestion(s)

Date

6. Harshitha Sxi

Name of Student

G. Houldha Su Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 52% 50%

Guntur (Dist.). A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Mylavarapu Lakshmi Gjayathri Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE Years/Semester: I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	- 4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was									
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)				

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

APP A SPEEL SPEEL	orePromise to conten	Company of the Company	W. 100			
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			1		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
		70-			

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
11. The curric	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)


Date

M. L. Gray athis.

Name of Student

Gazather Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 5614 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: 5K. Nagoonbee

Course: B. Tech

Department: C5E

Years/Semester: | |

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

- 3							-
-	 Challenging 	Adequate	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(9)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	1000

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			1		

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	201



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 5) Very Poor (() 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor (2) 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average (I)1) Very good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 2) Good 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 5) Very Poor 3) Average 4) Poor 1) Very good Any other suggestion(s)

sk · Nagoosbee

Name of Student

SK-Magaabbee

Signature

IOAC

o elle ica exerci a eller

Date

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 60† Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

DV.(11



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Venkata Sravantli, M Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 1-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	q
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	Q
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequ	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2.)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2)



8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

M. u. Sravantei

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING CONTEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

Date

7/41



	Please give		f your c	ourse on the fol					
xtrem Good		8 Very Good	7 Good	6 Moderately Good	5 Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	THE STREET STREET, STR	g value (in		of skills, concept	ts, knowled	ge, analytical	abilities, or		8
2				real life situation	ons				00000000000000000000000000000000000000
3	and the second second second	f the cours	and the second second second						8
4	The State of Contract of Contr	of coverage							8
5	_			y students		V 20			8
7	Overall		g value	of project/ repor					8
	allenging	2) Adeq		Inadequate ng from the cou		5)	Irrelevant	((1)
1) Hi	ghly	2) Relev		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	-	Completely elevant		(2)
4. 1	Rate the se	quence of	units/me	odules of the co	urse				
I) Ex	cellent	2) Adeq	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	r 5) Very Poor	2.	(2)
5. 1	Rate the ad	equateness	s of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned	for the cour	se	
	cellent	2) Adeq	did his bis and the later of th	3) Inadequate	Control of the September of September 1	The second section is a second) Very poor		(1)
6. \	Vere you a	ble to get	the pres	cribed readings	2				
	cellent	2) Adeq		3) Inadequate		91 19) Very poor	-	(2)

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

NARAS ARAGOLIA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONONOUS) MARASARADEET - 522 684 Guntur (Dist), A.P.

(2)

5) Very Poor

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering So tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	. 5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.		(competencies expected	
		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date

8k. Mahilyaz Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL NAKASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name:	P-sid	hu
Name:	P-sid	he

Course: B-Tech

Department: CS€

Years/Semester: I-I

1.	Please	give a	rating o	of you	course	on the	fol	lowing	
----	--------	--------	----------	--------	--------	--------	-----	--------	--

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Q
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	g
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

 9771	labus was
 1. Ph/9. C3/1	TOTALLE TIME

	111111111111111111111111111111111111111				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant	11.00	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) A	dequate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
-------------------	-----------------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
					340.00

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2 (1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARASPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dista), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	fessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
121202222000000000000000000000000000000			741		
1) Very good	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(Q)
12 Exploration	of the course i	s appropriate to dis-	eriminate the stu	donte	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggesti	on(e)				

Date

P. Sidhu Name of Student

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: A.S. N.V Sasank

Course: B. tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: I-L

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	-8
5	Extent of effort required by students	- 8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2)) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
-------------------	------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Name and Address of the Owner, when the Owner, which	The second secon				
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(4)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	(5.772)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
-------------	---------	---------------------------	---------	--------------	-----



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3)1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good Any other suggestion(s)

Date

APPAPURAPU SHAMMUKA 'NACIA VENKATA Name of Student

Signature

Dasanh

SASANK

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 527 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name	:Sd-S	Shaj	itha
	· och		CILIO

Course: B-tech

Department: Cyben Security

Years/Semester: TSt - Ind

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the to	Howing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	a
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	Š

	2. The syllabi	us was		-			
1	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)	

Your ba	ekground for benefi	iting from the co	urse was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

	11 22 577	1 2 1 2	45. 65		- 4 5
 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (



PRINCIPAL
NABASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (1 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average Any other suggestion(s)

Date

There is a series of the series

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NAKASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntar (Dist.), A.P.



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Fo	rm on curriculum	for the Academic	Year:	2023-	24
---------------------	------------------	------------------	-------	-------	----

Name: S. Naga Saras wathi

Course: B-tech

Department: cyber Security

Years/Semester: ISt_ Ind

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	0-
5	Extent of effort required by students	a
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	0

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant	v =	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
I.					

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (*(*/)



NAKASARADPETA ENGILLERIVE DOLLEGI-(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
11.The currie		on skill developme	The state of the s	1000	1970-1971
11.The currie	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11.The currie	2) Good	The state of the s	4) Poor		(1)
Very good I1.The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		

Date

8-N-Soraswothi

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Depar	rtment : (Cyben S	ecwa	J	Years/S	Semester: 1	11_		
1. 1	Please give	e a rating of	your c	ourse on the fo	llowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Good		Very C Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1		g value (in ing perspec		of skills, concep	ots, knowled	ge, analytical	abilities, or		7
2	Applica	bility/releva	ance to	real life situati	ons				6
3		f the course	- Contractor Contractor	The Park and the P					8
4 Extent of coverage of course								7	
5		of effort req							7 8 8
6	and the same of the same	Commence of the last of the la	value	of project/ repo	rt				8
7	Overall	rating							7
2. T	he syllabi	is was							
1) Cha	allenging	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant	(2)
3. Y	our backs	ground for b	enefiti	ng from the cou	irse was				
1) Hig Releva	hly	2) Releva		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mosi Irreleva		Completely elevant	(2)
4. R	ate the se	quence of u	nits/mo	odules of the co	urse				
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	r 5) Very Poor		(1)
5. R	ate the ad	equateness	of the t	ext book and re	eference boo	k mentioned	for the cour	se	
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate) Very poor		(1)
6. W	Vere you a	ble to get th	ne prese	ribed readings	?				
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequat		. 5) Very poor		(2)

4) Poor

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

(2)

5) Very Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements (2) 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average 1) Very good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 5) Very Poor 2) Good 4) Poor (2) 3) Average 1) Very good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

1/3/

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntar (Dist.), A.P.







Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 2024

Name: Rizwana.	Shaik
----------------	-------

Course: EDC

Department: E.C.E

Years/Semester: II-I

1. Ficase	give a raun	g or your c	course on the re	gniwom				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars		Rating
I	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, l broadening perspectives)	knowledge, analytical abilities, or	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations		9
3	Depth of the course content		7
4	Extent of coverage of course		9
5	Extent of effort required by students		8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report		7
7	Overall rating	C. C	2

2. The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) A



PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING CRICEGO

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 461

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 5) Very Poor 4) Poor (2) 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor (2) 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)3) Average 2) Good 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 4) Poor (1) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:2023 - 24

Name: Stisadhila

Course: Analog digital Communication

Department: ELE

Years/Semester: $\Omega - \Omega$

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	2

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(7)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			1		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			U		

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
					# M

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

D. V. aut. consist 25	Child	2) Armenica	A V Decision	ZVXI David	100000
) Very good 2)	CTOOL	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good (1) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 1) Very good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (1) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 3) Average 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

sk-sadhiha

Name of Student

St. sall be Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: cusuleman - ushaik

Course: IOT

Department: ECE

Years/Semester:

2/2

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the fo	llowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Q
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	q

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 5) Completely 4) Mostly (2) Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 5) Very Poor 4) Poor (1)5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	11/
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	The second secon	1	1977-298
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluatio	n of the course i	s appropriate to dis	criminate the stu		8
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ion(s)				

Date

cesuleman ashaik

Name of Student

Seleman Shake Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI NARASARAOPET - 572 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 20134-244

Name: Sk. Sabirunnisa

Course : ECPC

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 2:2

I. Please	give a rati	ng of your cor	arse on the f	ollowing	
149	- 0				

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	a
3	Depth of the course content	q
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(4)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
---------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Add	equate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
---------------------	----------------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

77 74	47 A T	se in terms of extra	S 127.5	
16 APRIL 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	· 《中国中国共和国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中国中	COUNTY TO PERCHASING THE DESIRES	LOSSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSE	114 414 414 414 414
The Address of the Control of the Co	CHARLEST AND LANGE SERVICES.	No. 411 45-14153 531 4-74141	ACCRETATION OF SCHOOLS	45.1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

 Very good 2) C 	Good 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)/
------------------------------------	-----------------	---------	--------------	------



NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE 14UTONGMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry
current glo I) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		([)
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	([)

Date

Name of Student Sk. Sabirunnia

Signature Jabreannis

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
NARASARAOPET - 522 GO1
NARASARAOPET - 522 GO1
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year 2023/24

				-		
Name	:	4	37		9	P.sai

Course : ADC

Department : E.L.E

Years/Semester: 2-2

I. Please	give a ratin	ig of your o	course on the 10	nowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabit	is was		=W		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your bac	ekground for benefi	iting from the co	urse was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(I)

Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-----------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

		21.1	43.70	71.41	2012-10
) Excellent	2) Adequate	 Inadequate 	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
-------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average 1) Very good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor (1) 3) Average 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

P.501.

Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



****		3. Bharqu			ECPT			
Depar	rtment :	ECE		Years/	Semester: 1	I		
			ar course on the foll	owing				
9 xtreme		8 7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Good		Very Good Good	d Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	lars						Rating
1	10 TO	ng value (in tern ning perspective	ns of skills, concept	s, knowled	ge, analytical ab	oilities, or	Ti .	
2			to real life situatio	ns				
3		of the course co						
4		of coverage of c	A T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T					
5	Extent	of effort require	d by students					
6	Relevar	ce/learning val	ue of project/ report	t .				
7	Overall	the same of the sa		-				
r) Cha	The syllab allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Ir	relevant	(1)
1) Hig Releva	ghly	2) Relevant	fiting from the cour 3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva		ompletely evant	(L)
4.7	ate the se	quence of units	modules of the cou	irse				
4. K	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	r 5)	Very Poor		(7)
I) Ex	tate the ad	equateness of t	he text book and ref	ference boo	k mentioned fo	r the cour	se	
 Execute F. R. 	tate the ad	equateness of t	he text book and ref	The second secon		r the cour Very poor	and the same of th	(2)
5. R	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo			and the same of th	(2)
5. R) Exc	cellent	2) Adequate		4) Poo	r 5)'			(2)
5. R 1) Exc 6. W 1) Exc	vere you :	2) Adequate the p	3) Inadequate rescribed readings?	4) Poo	r 5)	Very poor		



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(వై)
	ofessional Cour			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
i) very good	27,000	Jirrorage	1,71.001	10) 100	4
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
H) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to dis	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(2)
	1. A. C.				7.1-5
y other suggest	ion(s)				
IV Utilet auggest					

Date

R. Bhargar Name of Student

R Bhalgav

Signature

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) (AUTONOMOUS)



(AUTONOMOUS)

Depart	tment:	Ec	E		Years/	Semester : II	-II		
1. P	lease give	e a ratin	g of your o	ourse on the fol	lowing				10
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
treme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1			(in terms of	of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytical a	abilities, or	2	9
2	Applica	bility/re	levance to	real life situation	ons				9
3	Depth o	f the co	urse conte	nt					8
4	Extent of	Extent of coverage of course							9
5	Extent of	of effort	required b	y students					8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report					U			
7									8
2. T	he syllabı	us was							
l) Cha	llenging	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) 1	rrelevant	(1)
		T-		ing from the cou					
l) Higl Releva		2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	100	Completely devant	(2)
4. R	ate the se	quence (of units/m	odules of the co	urse				
I) Exc	ellent	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	r 5)	Very Poor		(1)
5. R	ate the ad	equaten	ess of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned f	or the cour	se	
D Evo	ellent	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	r 51	Very poor		(2)

4) Poor

4) Poor



2) Adequate

2) Good

3) Inadequate

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

1) Excellent

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

(2)

5) Very poor

5) Very Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor (2)4) Poor 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good Any other suggestion(s)

Date

T. Brahma Reddy

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024

Name:	9.	Thrisonu
	4.	110120110

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 111 - 1

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	
Extremely Good	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	+
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	19

2. The syllabu	s was				
)-Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2) Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the s	The second secon				
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor



PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

IQAC

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature

01101



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name		ch. Aw	ali
------	--	--------	-----

Course:

Department: CSL

Years/Semester: 111-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	1
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	6

620			
3 .	The syl	1 T	
	I DA CVI	In Pance	VIDEO

4.5 (200) 44	PANATRA CHICAGO	THE STATE OF THE S	-		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	()	
---------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----	--

Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)
---	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	and the same of th	5) Very poor	(2)
				DI CARTONESCO	M205-0-6

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	_
---------------------------------	---------	--------------	-----	---



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 21 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor Any other suggestion(s) No

25-10-24 Date

Ch. Avyah Name of Student Anjoh: Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NABASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

07.01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: N vignesh

Course: B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: m/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	g
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	a
5	Extent of effort required by students	a
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	2

	PROFESSION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IN COLUMN TO ADDRESS OF THE PARTY NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IN C	1241-04	MEDICAL PROPERTY.	
4.	1 ne	SVI	labus	was

The second secon	_		-		
Challenging	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
---------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	nessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social Social Social Societive, Open Elec	riences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	riences, Basi ') & Practic
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
10.Rate the d	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	abus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		0.
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		0.
1) Very good 11. The currie 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developmen	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	0.

Date 25/10/24

Name of Student NNignesh

N lighesh Signature

IQAC

MARAGARABETTA ENDREFERENCE A TAUTONOMIO DE SEZ GOT. GUITONO EL SEZ GOT. GUITONO EL A P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name:	N.	As	ho	k
-------	----	----	----	---

Course: B. Teck

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: III /I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	٦
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	Q
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2
7	Overall rating	0

	marks	4000	\$0.00 miles	
2.	The	SV	labus	was

The second secon	and the second s		1010		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(+)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)	Ī
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----	---

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			4		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) (Good 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
-------------------	-----------------	---------	--------------	-----



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 GO1

1) Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ressional Com	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social Sective, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi) & Practic
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
current gr	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	abus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry
10.Rate the d	epth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the	Competencies avacated	Living to the second
current gre	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry
l) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	Average on skill development	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
l) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill development	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 25/10/24

Name of Student N. Ashok

Signature Askok

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Gintur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Shaik Mohammad Thoheer Cou	irse: B. tech
----------------------------------	---------------

Department : CSE Years/Semester : \\\ / \|

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Verv	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Rating
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	~
3	Depth of the course content	- X
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	- 8
7	Overall rating	9

 Challenging 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(9)
		1 - y and dame	1) Dun	(3) irretevant	(&)
3. Your back	ground for benefi	ting from the cours	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(&)
4. Rate the s 1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5 D				on mere M	C 100 A
5. Rate the a			rence book men	tioned for the course	
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1-)
6. Were you	able to get the pre	scribed readings?			



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
societices, ri	position of cour ofessional Cour ternship etc.	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social Se ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi) & Practica
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current git	oat scenarios.			competencies expected	200
current git	lepth of the syllobal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		200
l) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		(2)
1) Very good 11. The currie 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill development	4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	200

Date 85/10/8084

Sk. Mohammod Thaheer Name of Student

K the Thaneur

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQÁC



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name:	A. C	hamund	eswari
-------	------	--------	--------

Course: B. Tech
Years/Semester: 31d | Ist

Department: CSE

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	0
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	10
7	Overall rating	6

2.	The syl	labus was	
4.	The syl	ladus was	

The state of the s	1			Later Control	
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(<u>1</u>)
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	The state of the s	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<u>1</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	--------------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 3) very Poor	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--	--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) Any other suggestion(s)

25/10/24

Date

A. Chamundeswari

Name of Student

chamunderwan Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Good

Name: K. Jayamma

Course:

Department: OSE

Tolerable

Years/Semester: 38d 1St

Poor

Poor

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 6 Extremely Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Very Poor Extremely Good Good

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	- Aming
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	1 7
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was					
f) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Ina			

Control of the Contro					
hallenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

13 777 1.1	A 1 10 1				
Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1)	Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

4 A 14 P S C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	44				
1) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	150,000,000,000	I SAME THAN IN SAME		2) 101 1001	(2-)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/10/24

h. Jaya. Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAGPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

2/1/11



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: T. Dusga Bhavani

Course : B. Tech

Department : CS E

Years/Semester: 3rd 1 St Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	c
3	Depth of the course content	0
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	- 8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

IV CIL II	A1 1 1	TO STATE OF THE ST			
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	191
				- Juitere vaint	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2_)
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1-)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

PACIFIC CONTRACTOR	***	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	No. of Contrast of	doned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(()

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)	1
						4

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
					12



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	resolvant Com	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ties and Social Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi) & Practic
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
10.Rate the d current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios.	abus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry
10.Rate the d	epth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	ar industra
0	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	a relation to the o	5) Very Poor	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
I) Very good 11.The curric I) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developmen	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	

Date 25/10/14

T. Duga Bavani Name of Student Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 501



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

-		8	7	ourse on the fo	5	4	3	2	1
Xtrem Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	broader	ung perspe	ectives)	of skills, concep		ge, analytical	abilities, or		5
2	Applica	bility/rele	vance to	real life situation	ons				a
3		of the cours							8
4		of coverage							8
5				y students					8
6			g value	of project/ repor	rt				7
7	Overall	rating							8
l) Cha	he syllabi illenging 'our backg	2) Adeq		Inadequate ng from the cou		5) 1	rrelevant	(2)
l) Hig Releva	hly	2) Relev		3) Partly Relevant	4) Most Irreleva		Completely levant	(1)
4. R	ate the sec	quence of	units/mo	dules of the co	urse				
l) Exe	cellent	2) Adeq	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poor		(2)
5. R	ate the ad	equateness	of the t	ext book and re	ference bool	mentioned f	or the cours		
) Exc	cellent	2) Adeq	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		Very poor		(2)
		ble to get t	the prese	ribed readings?)				
6. W	ere you a	ore to get i	me hiror	Troca readings:					



PRINCIPAL
NABASARADPETA ENSINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOLIS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
P. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
current glo) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		()

Date

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name:	K. Sow	any	0
	()	1	

Course: B-Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	u
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall-rating	6

2.	The	syllabus	was		

	1				
 Challenging 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant	Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)	
---	---------------------	-------------	--------------------	-----------	---------------	-----	--

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Ade	equate 3) In	adequate 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
---------------------	--------------	------------------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(ව)]
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------------------	---

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(న్ల)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
-------------------------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----



PRINCIPAL
NAHASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3)9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 5) Very Poor (2)Any other suggestion(s)

> F. Sowjanya Name of Student

IQAC

Date

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASADAOPET - 502 501
Guntar (Dist.), A.P.

Signature

07.01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: S. DOLA SANKAR	Course: IT
Department: IT	Years/Semester: TV - T
1. Please give a rating of your course on the	following

Moderately

Good	Good	5000	Good		Tolerable	ESSUES	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particulars							Rating
1	Learning value broadening per		of skills, conce	pts, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		8
2	Applicability/re	levance to	real life situat	ions				9
3	Depth of the co	urse conte	nt					9
4	Extent of cover	age of cou	rse					9
5	Extent of effort	required b	y students					9
6	Relevance/learn	ning value	of project/ rep	ort				8
7	Overall rating			THEM				0

Moderate

Some how

Poor

Very

Extremely

	broadening perspectives)						
2		Property and the second	real life situations	ia a		9	
3	Depth o	f the course conte	ent			8	
4	Extent of	of coverage of cou	ırse			9	
5	Extent of effort required by students						
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report						
7	Overall	rating		THEFT		9	
	The syllabi						
 Character 	allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)	
	11011	ground for benefit 2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)	
1) Hig	ghly		3) Partly	4) Mostly		(2)	
1) Hig Releva	ghly ant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)	
1) Hig Relevi	ghly ant Rate the se	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	Irrelevant		
1) Hig Relevi	ghly ant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		(2)	
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Ex	ghly ant Rate the se cellent Rate the ad	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate equateness of the	3) Partly Relevant odules of the court 3) Inadequate text book and refe	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)	
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Ex	ehly ant Rate the se- cellent	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant sodules of the cours 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Very Poor		
1) Hig Relevi 4. R 1) Ex 5. R 1) Ex	Rate the secollent	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate equateness of the 2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant odules of the court 3) Inadequate text book and refe	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)	

4) Poor

3) Average

5) Very Poor



2) Good

1) Very good

Extremely

Very

Good

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.			5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		()
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good aulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 31 08 2024

S. Dola Samkan Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601





Depar	tment:]	T			Years/S	Semester: U	11		
1. P	lease give	a rating	g of your o	ourse on the fo	llowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
xtreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1		_	(in terms of	of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytical	abilities, or		
2	Applica	bility/re	levance to	real life situati	ons				9
3	A. Parkers	Charles Street, Street	urse conte						8
4	Extent of	of covera	age of cou	rse					9
5	Extent of	of effort	required b	y students					0
6	Relevan	ce/learn	ing value	of project/ repo	rt				8
7	Overall	rating							9
	he syllabi llenging		equate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(1)
		10000		ing from the co		1.5)	merevane		
I) Hig Releva	hly	2) Rel		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva		Completely elevant	((1)
4. R	ate the se	quence (of units/m	odules of the co	ourse				
1) Exc	ellent	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poc	nr 5) Very Poor		(1)
5. R	ate the ad	equaten	ess of the	text book and r	eference boo	k mentioned	for the cour	se	
I) Exc	ellent	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	эт 5) Very poor	8	(2)
6. W	ere you a	ble to g	et the pres	cribed readings	2				
and the second	ellent	-4-1	equate	3) Inadequat		or s) Very poor		(1)



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENCINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 3) Average 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31 8 2024

Name of Student T: Yogendra

Signature

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

P.1 /2 - A ..

to, we rear s



7/(11



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: K. Hemanth	Course: IT
Department: TT	Years/Semester: 1 - 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	q
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7 9	Overall rating	9

6	Relevan	ce/learning value	of project/ report			8
7	Overall	rating	17	Tural		9
2. Ti	he syllabu	is was				Tida .
1) Chal	llenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
l) High Releva		2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Ra	ate the sec	quence of units/m	nodules of the cours	se	1	
Exc	ellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Ra	ate the ade	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
-		equateness of the 2) Adequate	text book and refe 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	tioned for the course 5) Very poor	(2)
) Exc	ellent	2) Adequate				(2)
6. W	ellent ere you a	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate			(2)
6. W	ellent ere you a ellent	2) Adequate ble to get the pre 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate scribed readings?	4) Poor	5) Very poor	



NARASARADPETA EDGINI ERILIG COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development (1) 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor (2)1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31-8-24

Name of Student kitlemanth

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTOMOMOUS)

Aleria.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name :	VI.	Rava	1	ka
ryanne .	3/3	LOW	1.7	100

Course : B. Tech

Department: CSE (AI)

Years/Semester: [V]

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following								
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	q
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
		ing from the cours	I state of the sta	1.57.33.53.53	
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/m	odules of the cours	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ad	lequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
	equateness of the 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
1) Excellent	2) Adequate				(1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

3) Average



1) Very good 2) Good

NARASARAOPETA ENGLES ANG COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	fessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sci tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	11/
		on skill developme			
C . T. T	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
I) Very good					
	of the course is	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 31/8/24

V. Ravalika Name of Student v Ravalika Signature







Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: M. Kavya	
----------------	--

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE(AI)

Years/Semester: IV L

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating			
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9			
2	2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations				
3	Depth of the course content				
4	Extent of coverage of course				
5	Extent of effort required by students	9			
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8			
7	Overall rating	q			

	The syllabu	is was				
W	Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your bac	ckground for benefi	ting from the co	urse was		
/ Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1):
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

The commence of the same		rse in terms of extra	The second secon		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	110



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 501
Guittui (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9_)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	a relation to the (5) Very Poor	by industry (
current glo	bal scenarios.				by industry (
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor		by industry (
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry (
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	() ()

Date 31 08 24

M. Kavya Name of Student

Signature M. Kauy

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NABASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

07.01

IN TI



Depar	tment :	rn.8.	A		Years/S	Semester : 🥳	1 - 1		
1. P	lease give	a ratin	g of your c	ourse on the fol	lowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	Construction for a second	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	(in terms of	of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytical	abilities, or		7
2	Applica	bility/re	levance to	real life situation	ons				9
3	and the second second second second		urse conte						2
4			age of cou						2000
5			required b						a
6			ning value	of project/ repor	rt				9
7	Overall	rating			-				8
	he syllab								
1) Cha	allenging	2) Ad	lequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		
3. Y	our backs	ground t	for benefiti	ng from the cou	irse was				
l) Hig Releva	hly	The state of the same	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva		Completely elevant	y ((1)
4. R	ate the se	quence	of units/me	odules of the co	urse				
l) Ex	cellent	2) Ac	lequate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Poo	r 5) Very Poo	r	(1)
5. R	ate the ad	lequater	ess of the	text book and re	eference boo	k mentioned	for the cour	rse	
) Ex	cellent	2) Ac	lequate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Poo	or 5) Very poo	r	(1)
6. V	Vere you a	ible to g	et the pres	cribed readings	2	1			
	cellent		lequate	3) Inadequat		or E) Very poo	r	(1)

4) Poor

Average

5) Very Poor



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	lepth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Very good	2) 0000				
		s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	


Name of Student

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAGPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



Date





			havi		**					
Depar	tment:	M.B.F)		Years/	Semester: 3.1				
1. I	lease giv	e a rating	of your o	course on the fol	lowing					
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extrem	
S.No.	Particul	lars							Rating]
1	The state of the s	ig value (ii iing perspe		of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytical al	oilities, or		9	
2	Applica	bility/rele	vance to	real life situation	ons				9	1
3	Depth o	of the cour	se conte	nt					0	
4	Extent	of coverag	e of cou	rse					8	
5				y students					9	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report								6	
7	Overall	rating			100,000				9	
1) Cha	he syllab illenging our back	2) Adeq		3) Inadequate		5) Ir	relevant		ф <u></u>	
1) Hig Releva	hly	2) Rele		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	100	ompletely evant	V	(1)	
4. R	ate the se	quence of	units/m	odules of the co	urse					
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adec	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	5)	Very Poor		(1)	
5. R	ate the ac	lequatenes	s of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned fo	r the cour	se		
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adec	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poc	r 5)	Very poor		(1)	
6. V	Vere you	able to get	the pres	cribed readings'	?				_	
	cellent	2) Adec		3) Inadequate		5)	Very poor		(1)	
17 4		G		in terms of extr	learning o	r self-learning	,	10.	А.,	
Vicinian	ate the de	sign of the	o course.	III ICIIIIS OF CVIII	to description of the					



PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARADEET - 522 561

Guntur (Dist.). A.c.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2/
9. Rate the compo Sciences, Profe Training / Inter	essional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic 7) & Practica
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(%)
	al scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
1) Very good	2) Good			5) Very Poor	())
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average on skill developme 3) Average		5) Very Poor	(J)
1) Very good 11.The curricu 1) Very good	2) Good dum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(J)


11/09/2024

St. Subhaul Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 E01
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.







Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name:	P	Jaya	Vys	hnavi
			()	

Course: B. tech (VLSI)

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 111 -1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		
1) Challenging 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

	1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--	--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	-(1)

IQA

NARASARAOPETA ENGINFERING COLLEG (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 572 001 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor (1 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 1) Very good Any other suggestion(s)

Date

ANT YOU THE STATE OF

STREET TO REAL HARMAN

P. Jaya Myshnavi

Name of Student

Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENCINEERING COLLEGE PRINCIPAL (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAUPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculus	1 for the Academic	Year: 2023	- 2024
-------------------------------------	--------------------	------------	--------

Name: A Santan Kumar

Course: B-Tech (3-2 Semester)

Department: €C€

Years/Semester: 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	-

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Ad	equate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------------	----------------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINE PRING COLLE (AUTONOMICOS)

NARASARADPET - 622 60%



 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	by industry /

Date

A Santan Kumal

Name of Student

A. Santan kumar.

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback	Form on	curriculum f	or the A	Academic '	Year:	2023-2006
------------------	---------	--------------	----------	------------	-------	-----------

Name:	N	Modhu Southon Rao	Course:	B. Tech

Department: ECE Years/Semester: 3-2

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your co	urse on the fo	Howing			
9	- 8	7	6	5	4	3	2

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	٩
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 681
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average 2) Good 1) Very good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (1 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor (2) 3) Average 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good Any other suggestion(s)

N. Modhu Sodhan fa o

Date

Name of Student

Signature

N. Madhy

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

and the state of the state of RESTRICTED TO 1 2 4 1 E



	3.An1	ku	ma's	Course	: 13.TC	ich !	20	
Department	Ec	E		Years/S	Semester:	3-2		
1. Please	give a rating o	of your o	course on the fo	llowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
.No. Parti	culars							Rating
1 Lear			of skills, concer	ts, knowled	ge, analytical a	ibilities, or		9
			real life situati	ons				8
	th of the cour							9
4 Exte	nt of coverag	e of cou	rse					9
	nt of effort re		4					q
		g value	of project/ repo					9
7 Over	all rating			GAYPU	V . X . V			9
2. The syll	labus was							
Challengii	ng 2) Adec	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) 1	rrelevant		
3. Your ba	2) Relev		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	5. T. C.	Completely levant		(2)
			1					
elevant	sequence of	units/m	odules of the co	urse				
elevant 4. Rate the			odules of the co		r 5)	Very Poor		(1)
4. Rate the) Excellent	2) Adec	quate		e 4) Poo		(35)		(1)
4. Rate the) Excellent	2) Adec	quate s of the	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	k mentioned f	(35)	se	(1)
4. Rate the Excellent 5. Rate the Excellent	2) Adec	quate s of the quate	3) Inadequat text book and re 3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	k mentioned f	or the cour	se	
4. Rate the Excellent 5. Rate the Excellent	2) Adec	quate s of the quate the pres	Inadequate text book and record text book and	e 4) Poor eference boo e 4) Poor	k mentioned f	or the cour	se	
4. Rate the Excellent 5. Rate the Excellent 6. Were year Excellent	2) Adec	s of the quate the pres	3) Inadequate text book and readings cribed readings.	e 4) Poo	k mentioned f	or the cour Very poor	se	(2)



PERINCIPAL WAKASARADPETA ENGINEERING SWAYEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET . 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sective, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
10.Rate the d	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	by industry /
10.Rate the d	The state of the s	abus of the course in	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
10.Rate the d current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor		
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date

B. Anil kuma 6 Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CULCEGE (AUTONOMOUS) MARASARAOPET - 522 401 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: T. Nagaiah	Course :	B. Tech
C To Chiques Coin	4	7

Years/Semester: Department: (DW DW

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 4 3 2 Extremely Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	q
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	C
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2_
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)			

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(y)
-----------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(9)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Poor

Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ressional Com	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi) & Practic
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(y)
10.Rate the d	epth of the sylla	ibus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry
10.Rate the d	epth of the sylla	ibus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry
200	cpth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good ulum has focus	3) Average on skill development	4) Poor		
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill development	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	

RT/10/24

T, Nagad

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

DAOL



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: U. Pavit&a

Course: CSE (B-TE(H)

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 3 dd year 1 st semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(A)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	10 M12

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
				1	

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) (Good 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
-------------------	-----------------	---------	--------------	-----



PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/10/24

Name of Student U.PavitXa

u. Pavitaa Signature

LA

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Shaik.Sumaya

Course: B. Tech

Department:

C.S.E

Years/Semester: The -T

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	3
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	1
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1
7	Overall rating	0

2. The syllabus was

		177.000			
1) Challenging 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----





NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3)9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor Any other suggestion(s)

Date : 25/10/24

Name of Student : Shaik . Surraya

Signature : SK. Surnaya

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 501

Guntur (Dist.), A.F.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Yes	Student	Feedback	Form o	on curric	ulum for	the Aca	demic Yea
--	---------	----------	--------	-----------	----------	---------	-----------

N	a	n	16	•	2	

B-Tech

Department:

Years/Semester: 3rd-1st semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	- 1
Extremely Good	Vory Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	A
4	Extent of coverage of course	i
5	Extent of effort required by students	1
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
3. Your back	ground for benefi	ting from the cours	se was		5

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	())
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(7)
--------------------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

Committee of the Commit	Water and the same of the same				
1) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA INGINEERING COU (AUTONOMOUS).

NARASARADDE1 - F22 501

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	diessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social Sective, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current gro	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		<u> </u>
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good		4) Poor		<u> </u>
1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good sulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill development	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	<u> </u>

25/10/29 Date

K. Clarita

Name of Student

Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P. PRINCIPAL



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: D. Dhanusha

Course: coe

Department : CS €

Years/Semester: III - T

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	P
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

-	P. Carrier and Co.				
1) Challenging	Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	201253

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

J) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D. Dhanusha. Name of Student



IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NABASARAGPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: G. Vasta P	masad
------------------	-------

Course: B.tech

Department: CSE (AIML)

Years/Semester:

I. Picase	give a ratin	g or your t	course on the re	mowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	0
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



PRINCIPAL
NABASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guittur (Dist.), A.P.

 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	fessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	by industry /

Date

A. VOTO PERSON

G. Verra Pra sacl

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-24 Name: Lingamall vasu geva. course: CCE (Cyber Security) B. tech ubbadao Years/Semester: 2 (2 Department: CSE 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following Very Moderately Extremely Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor Good S.No. Particulars Rating Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations 2 Depth of the course content 3 Extent of coverage of course 4 Extent of effort required by students 5 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 6 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (9) 1) Challenging 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely 1) Highly (1) Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course (1) 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor 1) Excellent 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate (9) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good



PRINCIPAL
NAKASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	tiences, Engineering Sci tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluatio	n of the course i	s appropriate to dis	criminate the stu		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
y other suggest	tion(s)				

IQAC

Date

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature


17 ();



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

1. P	lease give	8	g of your o	ourse on the fol	5	4	3	2	1
Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	broaden	ing pers	pectives)	of skills, concep		ge, analytical a	bilities, or		9
2				real life situation	ons				00
3			urse conte						
5	The second second second	and the second section of the section of the	age of cou	rse y students					9
6				of project/ repor	rt	-			7
7	Overall		ing value	or project repor		THE K			200
1) Cha	he syllabi llenging our backs	2) Ad	equate for benefit	3) Inadequate		5) I	rrelevant	(1)
I) Hig Releva		2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva		Completely levant	(()
4. R	ate the se	quence	of units/m	odules of the co	urse				
1) Exc	ellent	2) Ac	lequate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poor		(1)
5. R	ate the ad	equaten	ess of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned fe	or the cour	se	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Ad	lequate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Poo	or 5)	Very poor		(1)
6. W	ere vou a	ble to g	et the pres	cribed readings	2				

4) Poor



7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 3) Average

> PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor 2) Good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good Average 1) Very good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good Any other suggestion(s)

11/9/2024 Date

Name of Student

2. Satural Signature

IQAC

NARASAHADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 501 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

04.01



Name	Sd.	Ani	NOW		Course	: M. B./	7		
Depa	rtment :	M.B	A.		Years/S	Semester : 2.	1		
1. 1	Please give	a rating	of your	course on the fol	lowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	are							Rating
1	Learnin	g value (in terms o	of skills, concep	ts, knowledį	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		9
2				real life situation	ons				OI
3			rse conte						9
4	Extent o	f covera	ge of cou	rse					
5	Extent o	f effort i	equired b	y students					3
6	Relevan	ce/learni	ng value	of project/ repor	t				8
7	Overall	rating							9
_	The syllabu			5 / 1,3-53					110
 Character 	allenging	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Ir	relevant	(1)
3. \	our backg	round fo	or benefit	ing from the cou	rse was				
1) Hig Relev		2) Rele	evant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Most Irreleva	250	ompletely evant	(1)
- and the	late the sec	quence o	f units/m	odules of the co	urse				
4. F	cellent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	r 5)	Very Poor		(1)
		1							
1) Ex	tate the ad-	equatenc	ss of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned fo	r the cour	se	
1) Ex 5. R	tate the ad-	equatene 2) Ado	The second second second second	text book and re 3) Inadequate	The state of the s		or the cour Very poor		())
5. R	cellent	2) Add	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo		The land of the land of the land		(1)
5. R) Ex	cellent	2) Add	equate	The second second second second second	4) Poo	5)	The land of the land of the land		(1)
5. R) Ex 6. V) Ex	vere you a	2) Add	equate t the pres	Inadequate cribed readings	4) Poo	r 5)	Very poor		72 122

iQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11 The currie	rulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
	The second second	on skill developme			
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluatio	n of the course i	s appropriate to dis	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
1) 111, 8004	100	1 -7 1 1	1 - 3 - 3 - 3	1 32 33 32 3 3 3	1.1.7
	ion(s)				

Date 11/9/2024 Name of Student

Sd. Anwar

PRINCIPAL NABASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARADPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



		Ajau			Course		57.00		
Depar	tment :	MBI	A		Years/	Semester: 2	.]		
	lease giv			course on the fol					
9 xtreme Good		8 Very Good	7 Good	6 Moderately Good	5 Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	lars							Rating
1	1 77-07-03-03-43-53-53-53-53-53-53-53-53-53-53-53-53-53	ig value (in ning perspe		of skills, concept	ts, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		9
2	Applica	bility/rele	vance to	real life situation	ons				9
3	The second second second	of the cours			-81				godda
4	-	of coverage		The state of the s					8
5				y students					2
6			g value	of project/ repor	rt				g
7	Overall	rating							q
э т	he syllab	ne wae		15					
	llenging	2) Adeq	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) I	rrelevant	1	1)
-				ing from the cou		1 51			
 High Relevant 		2) Relev	ant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	3.5	Completely levant		1)
4.0			to one the second) i ci	12000000				
	cellent	2) Adeq		odules of the co		or (5)	Very Poo	r	(1)
1 4	certent	Z) Aucq	times:	5) madequare			rely roo		di
5. R	ate the ac	lequatenes	s of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned f	or the cour	rse	
l) Ex	cellent	2) Adeq	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	or 5)	Very poor		(1)
6. W	Vere you	able to get	the pres	scribed readings'	?	= ""			
I) Ex	cellent	2) Adeq	pate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	or 5)	Very poo	r	(1)
	ate the de	sign of the	CORTS	in terms of extra	a learning o	r self-learning		1 4	
7. R		The second section is a second		The second second second second second				ART - 187 E	

iQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 CO1

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poer	5) Very Poor	()
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	1/2	
11 701		on skill developme				
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(0	h
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to dis-	criminate the stu	dents		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
y other suggest	ion(s)					1

Name of Student P. Ajay

IQAC

U/9/2024 Date

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 501

Guatur (Dist.), A.P.

07.01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

				MARMUNAR	V10	: M.	33		
	tment:	90	200	W 749		Semester:	$II \mid II$		
9	lease give		g of your o	course on the fo			1 2 1		
xtreme Good		8 Very Good	Good	6 Moderately Good	5 Moderate	Some hov Tolerable		Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	Learnin	g value	(in terms of	of skills, concep	sts, knowled	ge, analytic	al abilities, or	NI .	9
2				real life situati	ons				8
3	Depth o	f the co	urse conte	nt					9
4	Extent of	f cover	age of cou	rse					9
5				y students					9
6			ing value	of project/ repo	rt				9
7	Overall	rating							8
2. T	he syllabı	ıs was							
1) Cha	llenging	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irrelevant		
3. Y	our backs	ground f	or benefit	ing from the cor	urse was				
1) Hig Releva		2) Rel	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva		5) Completely Irrelevant	5 N	(1)
4. R	ate the se	quence (of units/m	odules of the co	ourse				
1) Exc	cellent	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	or	5) Very Poor		(1)
5. R	ate the ad	equaten	ess of the	text book and re	eference boo	k mentione	d for the cour	se	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	or.	5) Very poor		(1)
6. W	ere you a	ble to g	et the pres	cribed readings	?				
	cellent	-	equate	3) Inadequat			5) Very poor		(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poop (1)



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 572 601

G. HULL (DISL.), A.F.

Sciences, Professional Training / Internship et		ties and Social Sc ective, Open Elec-	riences, Engineering Sci tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic
) Very good 2) Goo	A TOP REPRESENTATION			
	d 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) Very good 2) Goo	focus on skill developm	ent		
Very good 2) Goo		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ourse is appropriate to did	scriminate the stu	dents 5) Very Poor	

Date 11 9/24

Name of Student P. Saikuman P. Sailana

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

IQAC



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name	Shaik. I	husiant	Bî	Course	:B.Tech		
Depart	ment: Cybes	Secus			Semester : \mathcal{T}^{8}	t - <u>II</u>	d.
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2
Extreme Good	ly Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor
S.No.	Particulars						
1	Learning valu	e (in terms	of skills, concer	ots knowled	ce analytical a	bilities or	

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	odules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ad	lequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
5. Rate the ad	lequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course 5) Very poor	(1)
1) Excellent	2) Adequate				(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor

PRINCIPAL

Extremely Poor

NARASARADPE LA ENGLISEEM DE L'OLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements (2) 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. (2) 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor (1)Average 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 1) Very good 2) Good Any other suggestion(s)

Date

11 154

Shaik. Khuran Bi

Sk. Khuran Bi

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: Sk.	Amanulla	Course: B. Tech
Department :	cyber security	Years/Semester: Tst-Ind

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 4 Very Extremely Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was 5) Irrelevant 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull (2) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2) Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 5) Very poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

4) Poor



2) Good

3) Average

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 60\$

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	Average on skill developme			(1)
) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good 11.The curric) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	14) Poor	5) Very Poor	

Date

SK. Amanulla Name of Student SK Aman Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENG!NEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name: K. Nandini

Course: B. Tech

Department : CSE (DATA SCIENCE) Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Moderately Moderate Some how Extremely Very Good Poor Very Extremely Tolerable Good Poor Good Good Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	10
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	98
3	Depth of the course content	10
4	Extent of coverage of course	10
5	Extent of effort required by students	08
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	10
7	Overall rating	0.8

2. The syllabus was 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 1) Challenging

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(3)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)	ľ

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average



WARMSAKAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements (2) 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (3)1) Very good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average (2) 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (92) Any other suggestion(s)

1/10/24 Date

K. Nandini Name of Student K. Mandini Signature

NARASARAGPETA ENGINEEMING COLLEGE

NARASARAOPET - 622 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.K.

IQAC

)/()1



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 24

Name: Sk. Dilshad

Course: Data science

Department: (SE (Datas (jence)

Years/Semester: 1/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabus was

-						
	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(3)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		1			

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (3)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor Any other suggestion(s)

Sk. Dilshad

Date Name of Student

Sk-Dilled

Signature

IOAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 572 501
GUOLUI (DISL.), A.P.

2/01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: K. Şai Manîl	Canta	
--------------------	-------	--

1 Please give a rating of your course on the following

Course: B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: I/I

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	Ŕ

2. The syllabus was									
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)				

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (!)
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course
 Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (10)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)3) Average 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

k. SaiManikanta

Date

Name of Student

Signature

K. Sai Monikanta

PRINCIPAL NARASAKAOPETA EMMEERING COLLI (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 577 50* Guntur (Dist.), A.P



01.01



Name: V. Sasidhar

Course: B-Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 1/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1/
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	q

2. The syllabus was

- 1						
	 Challenging 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3 Your background for benefiting from the course was

Dr + Our Du	orgrenia for belief	ting from the co	arge was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Ade	quate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
---------------------	---------------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

	1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--	---	-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	= (1)



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	
current glo	17 (B. 1876)	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	- O - O - O - O - O - O - O - O - O - O	
11.The curric	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date

V. Sasidhar Name of Student

1/2011

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

asidha

IQAC

DAGE



Name: M. Jeevan Sai

Course: B. Tech

Department : C5 E

Years/Semester: T - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull	5) Irrelevant (1)
--	-------------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

(47.4) 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14			The state of the s		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
Relevant		resevant	metevam	meevane	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

T	1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
т						

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			-		



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering S Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PV Training / Internship etc. Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
	(2)
11 The curriculum has focus on skill development	
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development	1 (0)
) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)
12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	

Date

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPEIA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: B. Challan	Course: B. Tech
Department: CSE	Years/Semester: -

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	18	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	- CT
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	0
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	ç
7	Overall rating	8

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

kground for benefit	ting from the co	msc was		
2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
		2) Relevant 3) Partly		2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
				7	4

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)/
-------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOLIS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntui (Dist.), A.P.

2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
sition of cours ssional Cours aship etc.	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
um has focus	on skill developme	nt		
	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
f the course is 2) Good	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(2)
1. N. W		4)1.001	27 1 4 4 7 1 001	LCK 1
	ssional Cours aship etc. 2) Good th of the sylla I scenarios. 2) Good um has focus 2) Good	ssional Course, Professional Electriship etc. 2) Good 3) Average th of the syllabus of the course in I scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average am has focus on skill developme 2) Good 3) Average	ssional Course, Professional Elective, Open Electiv	2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor th of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected I scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor man has focus on skill development

Date

Name of Student 3. charan

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name:	CH.	Svivar

Course: B. Tech

Department:

CSE

Years/Semester: 1-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	Irrelevant	(2)
Annual Control of the			-		

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

			1100000-3300000		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2)	Adequate 3) I	inadequate 4) Po	or 5) Very p	oor (2)
-----------------	---------------	------------------	--------------	---------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

	1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)/
--	----------------------	------------	---------	--------------	------



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 600 Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements (2) 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor (2)4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor (2) 2) Good 3) Average. 4) Poor 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor (2) 4) Poor 3) Average 2) Good 1) Very good Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student CH. Srivam

Signature Chall

PRINCIPAL MARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



9	ease give	a rating	of your o	ourse on the fo	llowing 5	4	3	2	1
xtremel Good		Very	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars							Rating
1	THE PART OF THE REAL PROPERTY.	g value (i		of skills, concer	ots, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		9
2				real life situati	ons				a
3			rse conte						9
4	Extent o	f coverag	ge of cou	rse					q
5	Extent o	f effort r	equired b	y students					o
6	Relevan	ce/learni	ng value	of project/ repo	et				8
7	Overall	rating							9
	lenging our backg	2) Ade		3) Inadequate		5) 1	rrelevant		1)
1) High Relevar	ly	2) Rele		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva		Completely levant	(1)
4. Ra	ite the sec	quence o	f units/m	odules of the co	ourse				
1) Exc	ellent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poor		(1)
5. Ra	ite the ad-	equatene	ss of the	text book and re	eference boo	k mentioned fe	or the cour	se	
1) Exce	ellent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	n 5)	Very poor		(1)
6. W	ere you a	ble to ge	t the pres	cribed readings	2				
	ellent	2) Ade		3) Inadequat	te 4) Poo	v 5)	Very poo	e l	(1)



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

g Sciences, Basic (PW) & Practical (1)
cted by industry
(1)
CIT

Date 24/9/24

Name of Student C. Durga Prasa C

Signature G. Ding a Pousal

FOAC

PRINCIPAL

NABASABADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NABASABADPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



,

Course:

MCA

Department:

MICA

Years/Semester: [(11em)

 Please 	give a ratin	g of your	course on the fo	llowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your bac	ekground for benefi	ting from the co	urse was		
l) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)

Celevant	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	
	recrevant	III Cit vani	mercyani	

	1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--	--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	11/11	1
-----------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------	---



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering So tive, Project Work (PW	
I) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.		- Auto-co	5) Very Poor	
		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(+)
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor	31 3	
Current glo) Very good 11.The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	31 3	
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(+)

Date 24 | 2024

Name of Student M. Bhargavis

Signature

M. Shance

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name:	4.	A	nu	st	a
	700	C .	WW. TEXT		

Course: M.C.A

Department : MCA

Years/Semester: (15em)

I. Picase	1. Please give a fating of your course on the following										
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1			
Extremely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor			

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	q
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	q
3	Depth of the course content	q
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	q
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

6 Rel	evance/learning value	of project/ report			9
7 Ove	erall rating				9
2. The sy	Ilabus was	of miles		-1.7	g 5 Mai
1) Challeng	ing 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your b	ackground for benefi	ting from the cours	e was		
 Highly Relevant 	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate th	ne sequence of units/n	nodules of the cour	se	- P	
1) Excellen	at 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate th	ne adequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellen		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were y	you able to get the pre	scribed readings?			
I) Excellen	t 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
7. Rate th	ne design of the course	e in terms of extra	learning or self-	learning 1	1.
1) Very goo		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	CIY



NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Stive, Project Work (P	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios. 2) Good		11-00-1	5) Very Poor	d by industry /
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	d by industry /
) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	127	(1)
Current glo Very good 11.The curric Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date : 24 - 9 - 2024

Name of Student K. Anusha

PRINCIPAL NARASARAGPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: Putta Harika

Course: MCA

Department: MCA

Years/Semester: II [15t sem]

Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	a
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant	1	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
	1//				

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 7 (4.1.)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(t)
	ofessional Cours			riences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	abal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	570 52	by industry /
Current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 24-09-2024

Name of Student P. Havi Ka

P. Havika Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING CULLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name	Jeg	Ani	el	m on curric		PITE			
Depar	rtment : (EEE			Years/S	Semester:	1914		
1. P	lease giv	e a ratin	g of your o	course on the fol	lowing	, lie			
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)							80	
2	Applica	bility/re	levance to	real life situatio	ns				9
3			urse conte						8
4	Extent of	of cover	age of cou	rse					9
5	Extent of effort required by students							8	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report							7	
7						F			8
	he syllab		equate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	. 1	(2)
3 V	our back	eround f	or benefit	ing from the cou	rea wac				
1) Hig Releva	hly		levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva) Completely relevant	4	
4. R	ate the se	quence	of units/m	odules of the cor	ırse		10		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Ad	lequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	r	5) Very Poo	r	(2)
5. R	ate the ad	equaten	ess of the	text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned	for the cour	rse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequate	4) Poo	r	5) Very poo		(1)
6. W	Vere you	ible to g	et the pres	cribed readings?					
1) Exc	cellent	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequate	4) Poc	r	5) Very poo	t'	(1)
7. R	ate the de	sign of t	the course	in terms of extra	learning o	self-learnin	ıg .		
D Ven	y good	2) Go	od	3) Average	4) Poor	1.5	Very Poor	717	9_

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 501
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements (2) 3) Average 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. (2) 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Nake of Stildent

len

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

DACT



Denn	: 4.8 rtment : 6	TOL			Years/Semester: 11-11					
Depar	uneit.	te			rears/semester: 11					
1. 1	Please give	e a rating o	of your c	ourse on the fo	llowing					
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor	
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating	
1		g value (ir	terms o	of skills, concer	ots, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or			
	broadening perspectives)				STATISTICS VANDOUS STATE	See a did ne simponer	The Cartes Aven		000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
2				real life situati	ons				8	
3	Depth of the course content								8	
4	Extent of coverage of course								9	
5	Extent of effort required by students								8	
6			g value	of project/ repo	rt				9	
7	Overall	rating							8	
2. 7	he syllabi	us was								
	allenging	2) Adeq	uate	3) Inadequate	e 4) Dull	5) I	rrelevant		(1)	
	was a same appropria		04 CO 10 CO		UNION SPACE				1.0	
	the second section of the second section of the second	The state of the s	manufacture of the Administration of the Adm	ng from the co	The second second second					
1) Hig Releva		2) Relev	ant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	3.4	Completely levant	((1)	
4. R	ate the se	quence of	units/mo	odules of the co	urse					
1) Ex	cellent	24Adeq	uate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Poo	r 5)	Very Poor	r,	(2)	
5 p	ate the ad	equatenes	s of the	ext book and re	eference boo	k mentioned fo	or the cour			
	cellent	2) Adeq		3) Inadequat		The second second second second	Very poor	1500	(2)	
				1						
5.54	Union super m	Library was	diam'r.	cribed readings	9					



2) Good

Very good

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 5) Very Poor 3) Average 4) Poor Very good 2) Good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 5) Very Poor 2) Good 4) Poor (2) 1) Very good 3) Average 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 3) Average 4) Poor Very good 2) Good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 4) Poor 21 Good 3) Average Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Y. Sreep

Specja

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1)44)1



Name:	2.	Sti	proxa	th
-------	----	-----	-------	----

Course: B. Tech

Department : EEE

Years/Semester: 17

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	q
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	q
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/m	odules of the cours	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
		scribed readings?			
6. Were you a	ble to get the pre-		4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

4) Poor



2) Good

3) Average

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 2) Good 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average (1)10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average (1)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average Any other suggestion(s)

Date

7. Soil brownth

Name of Student

Jerilbharath Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTOMOMOUS) NARASAFAODET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name :	as.	Indu	priya
--------	-----	------	-------

Course : B Tech

Department: EEE

Years/Semester: 3 - 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	- 8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9
1000	Control of the Contro	

2. The syllabus was

-						
I	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	
	1					

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			1		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poop 1 (2)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(t)
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit ie, Professional Elec	ies and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	rulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	of the course i	s appropriate to dis-	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)
) very good	2) Good	5) Average	4) 1 001	5) very roor	(-,)
y other suggest					

Date

S. Indupnya Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





			sha astrour	course on the fol		: B. Ted Semester: 1	<u>11</u> - <u>11</u>		
9	rease giv	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
xtreme		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme
	-						3		
S.No.	Particu	ars							Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)							9	
2	2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations								2
3	Depth of the course content							oj	
4	Extent of coverage of course							8	
5	Extent	of effort	required b	y students					9
6	Relevan	ice/lean	ning value	of project/ repor	t				9
77	Overall				-0.11	8			8
2. T	he syllab	us was		19					
I) Cha	llenging	2) Ad	lequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) I	rrelevant		(1)
3. Y	our back	ground :	for benefiti	ng from the cou	rse was				10
1) Hig Releva		2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva		Completely levant	-	(1)

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course
 Adequate
 Adequate

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 3) Average 2) Good 1) Very good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average (1)1) Very good 2) Good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor (2) 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) 3) Average 1) Very good 2) Good Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G. Anusha Glory Name of Student

G. Anushar Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 561
Guntur (DISC), AJE

04.01



Name: Sk Hohammad Islan Course: B. Tec	Name : Sk	Hehammad	Islan	Course: B. Tech
--	-----------	----------	-------	-----------------

Department: EEE Years/Semester: III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	q
4	Extent of coverage of course	g
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	q

2. The syllabu	ıs was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1)

Relevant | Relevant | Irrelevant | Irrelevant |
4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor ((1))




NAKASARADPETA ETSMEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

I) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
P. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ulum has focus	on skill developme			167 A 187
1) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to dis	criminate the stu		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
ny other suggest	5227	- Mchammad	Todan		S.H. Joa
		Name of Student	- dea		
					Signature

10

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Name: Teja babu Panga

Course: B-TECH

Department : EEE

Years/Semester: IV-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2)
--

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

J. Tour bus	RETORNE TO CENTER	ting trom the co.	1740		
Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	1 (1) 1



PRINCIPAL
NAKASAKADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of shock

IOAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: M. SUPRIYA

Course: B. Tech

Department: EEE

Years/Semester: IV-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

or roun our	argiound for better	and a comment			
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant) CACAGO COS-VILLOCASI I	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (31)



PRINCIPAL
NAKASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 3) Average 5) Very Poor (1) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 1) Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1 Any other suggestion(s)

Date

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.). A.P.







Name: 19 Yarnya

Course : B . Tech

Department : EEE

Years/Semester: 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

			1		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	0/ 500

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	7 (2)
The second secon					



PRINCIPAL
NAMASAKAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Que

IQAC

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

.....





Name : P	RAVALL	IKA C	HIMMLI	Course	: MCA			
Department	1025		course on the fo		Semester : 1	[15	*sem]	
n. Ficase	0	7	6	5	1	2	2	Ť
9	0	1	0	3	4	3		4
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	

Good

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	a'
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9
	The state of the s	

Tolerable

3 D	epth of	f the course conte	ent			9
4 E	xtent o	f coverage of cou	irse			a'
5 E	xtent o	f effort required	by students			8
6 R	elevan	ce/learning value	of project/ report			Q
7 0	verall i	rating				9
2. The	syllabu	is was	N-Property	KAT .	UNA	· P
1) Challer		2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
1) Highly Relevant		2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelegant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate	the sec	quence of units/m	odules of the cours	se		
4. Rate 1) Excell		quence of units/m 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
l) Excell	lent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		(()
5. Rate	the ade	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor tioned for the course 5) Very poor	(1)
5. Rate Excell	the add	2) Adequate equateness of the 2) Adequate	Inadequate text book and refe	4) Poor	tioned for the course	2.16

Good

Good

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Extremely

Poor

Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering S tive, Project Work (P	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.			5) Very Poor	
current glo	Committee of the control of the cont	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	d by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	The state of the s	
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

Date 24 - 9 - 2024

Name of Student Ch. pravallika

Powalileach.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 691.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

37.01



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 24

Name:	P.	Mo	ad	h	0	۷i
Name :		1.6	10	11.	UN.	V, L

Good

Course: B. Tech (VLSID)

Poor

Poor

Department: ECE

Good

Years/Semester: 3-2

Tolerable

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Some how Extremely Moderately Moderate Poor Very Very Good Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	q
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2)1) Challenging

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Good

3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely 2) Relevant (2) 1) Highly Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (t)1) Excellent 2) Adequate

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 1) Excellent

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

5) Very poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor (2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CULLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 501 Guntur (Dist.), A.F.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 2) Good 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student P- Madhavi

P. Madhavi Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING GREEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 401 Guntur (Dist.), A.F.

0/40





(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form o	n curriculum for	the Acad	emic Year:	2023-202	4

Name: G. Lakshmi Manasa

Course: 3=2 B-Tech (VLSID)

Department : ECE

Years/Semester: 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	5
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7-
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

2. The synabu	5 WdS				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	())

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Bronnia ini cenen	me man and	and relian. Not ween			_
2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)	
	-	2) Relevant 3) Partly		2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely	2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

	1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)	
4							

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARADPET - 522 db1

Guntur (Dist.), A.F.

 Very good 	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	The state of the s
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
3 Many agod	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
) very good	21 0000	J. Freedings.	77.1.5001	Dy vory room	And the same
				2) 103 100	
11.The curric		on skill developme	nt		
11.The curric				5) Very Poor	(3)
1) Very good	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	14) Poor	5) Very Poor	

G. Lakshmi Manasa Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

WARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



(AUTONOMOUS)

			gyasr	· č					CULSI
		ECE		3.783		Semester: 3	std year	12nd	semestee.
9	lease giv	e a rating	g of your o	ourse on the fo	llowing	4	3	2	1
remel lood	Z	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
No.	Particu	lars							Rating
1			(in terms of	of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytical	abilities, or	5	7
2				real life situation	ons				6
3			urse conte	eden -					8
4	Extent	of cover	age of cou	rse					5
5				y students					5
6	AND RESIDENCE PROPERTY.	-	ing value	of project/ repo	rt				#7
7	Overall	rating							3
	ne syllab	us was							
) Chal	lenging	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(2)
• • •	5000 M								
				ng from the cou		di E)	Contact		
) High eleva	-	2) Rel	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	6.5	Completely elevant	/° 3	(2)
Cicva	ii.			Relevant	Hickory	in In	cicvant		
4. Ra	ite the se	equence (of units/m	odules of the co	urse				
) Exc		-	equate	3) Inadequat		or 5) Very Poor	r).	(2)
		3.1	100		20		8 8		10
5. Ra	ite the ac	lequaten	ess of the	text book and re	eference boo	k mentioned	for the cour	se	15
) Exc	Address of the second	and the second section is a second	equate	3) Inadequat	The second second second	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF) Very poor	20000	(1)
		1	-25				n1 520333		3836 X
e w	san-ganua-r	ototora ome	ovate commu	coffee an asserting as	0				
) Exc		The second second	et the pres equate	cribed readings 3) Inadequat		vr 4	5) Very poor	2	(0)
) LAC	E CIGHT	2) (40	equare	5) madequae		7E. 3	y very poor		(2)
7. Ra	te the de	esign of t	he course	in terms of extr	a learning o	r self-learnin	g		
) Very	good	2) Go	od	3) Average	4) Poor	5)	Very Poor	1 0	11
		A						4	PINICIPAL
							NARA	Q/O/ner	RINCIPAL
1	V		Section -				MADE	SHREUPE	TA ENGINEERI
1 M		-						(0.11	T-F-14 - 1

PRINCIPAL NABASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

Training / In	ternship etc.			tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4)1001	3) very roor	
11.The currie	ulum has focus	on skill developme		4	
) Very good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
other suggest	ion(s)				

Name of Student

Date

MARASARAGELIA ENGINELERIAGER. (AUTONOMOUS) MARASARADPET - 529 Aug Guntar (Dist.), A.P.

11.01

Signature



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024 Course: III - II (B-TQCH)(VLSID) N. Thangi Years/Semester: 3rd years/ 2nd semestan Department: FCF 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following Extremely Very Moderately Moderate Some how Good Poor Verv Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor S.No. Particulars Rating Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or 7 broadening perspectives) 6 2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations 3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (9) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 5) Completely 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly (9) Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (9) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 4) Poor 3) Inadequate Very poor (1) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

I) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
current glo	bal scenarios.	10000		5) Very Poor	
current glo I) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
I) Very good 11.The currie	2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	

N. Thanki

Name of Student

W. Thangi

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student	Feedback	Form on	curriculum	for the	Acadamia	Vanre	-6000	9094
Student	reeuback	rorm on	curriculum	for the	Academic	Year:	2023-	2029

Name: M Lakshmi pavithra

Course: B. Tech (DIP)

Department : ECE

Years/Semester: 3rd year, 2Men

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

-						
	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			1		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
1,50	N 8	2 8		N (#1.5)	Ø 50

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the de	esign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	learning or self	-learning	1 11
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLL NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So etive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		82 00
) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor		82 00
current glo) Very good 11.The curric) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(3)

Makkena Lakshmi pavithra Name of Student

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Signature

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2083-2084

Name:	Gla	ks.	hmid	ux	ge
-------	-----	-----	------	----	----

Course: BiTECH LD'

Department: € C €

Years/Semester: 3-2 (DIP)

I. Please	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the to	Howing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	17
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

The syllabu	is was		17.		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

Exterient 2/Adequate 3/madequate 4/Foot 3) Very Poot ())

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



NARASARASPETA ENGINEERING CRILLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARASPET - 572 601 Guntar (Dist.), A.R.

I) Very good	2) Good	ag of elective in relation of a section of a	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) 1 ci / good	12/0000	127			
Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Se tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		-40
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
12.Evaluatio	n of the course i	s appropriate to dis	criminate the stu		*
 Very good 	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggest	tion(s)				

G. Calculmindurge Name of Student G. Calculumderg Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NAPASARAOPET - 522 501
Guntur (Dist.), 1-1





Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2013-24

Name:	G. Bhanu	SOLYON	Orayana
Name .	BARDOUNG.	· 2011/01/	DIGNOND

Course: B-Tach (VLSI)

Department : € (€

Years/Semester: 11/1

9	8/	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	न
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2-)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/m	nodules of the cours	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5 Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
	April 100 miles	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
Excellent	2) Adequate	5) madequare	10.0	V) :=0.55	
1) Excellent		scribed readings?		70 ==4200 10==================================	

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning



PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLIEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 661

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (B) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G.Bhanu-Salyanazarana Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPE*

417 x 4,714. . .







Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

SECTION SERVICE SERVICE SERVICES	Name:	M. Jyo	Lhi
----------------------------------	-------	--------	-----

Course: B. Tech (MWOC)

Department : ECE

? The syllabus was

Years/Semester: 3rd year, 2 Semester

 Please 	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the fo	llowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	٦
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	 Inadequate 	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
---	----------------	-------------	--------------------------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your bac	kground for benefit	ting from the col	urse was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(I)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-----------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Sciences, Pro				ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios, 2) Good	abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios, 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		2 2
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios, 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios, 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	2 2

M. Tyothi Name of Student

M-Tyell: Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
WARASARAOPETA ENGIZERING COLLEGE
TAUTONOMOUSI
MARASARAOPET - 507 GOT
GUNTAT IDISTE A.C.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name:	shaik.	Mohida	lin	bash	a
-------	--------	--------	-----	------	---

Course: B. Tech (VSLID)

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 3/2

 Please 	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the fo	llowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabu	is was			- var	
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

Your bac	kground for benefi	ting from the cou	arse was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING GO NARASARADPET : 523 681 Guntur (Dist.), A.B.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Course,			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.	ALL HEREIT WEST CONTROL TO THE PARTY OF THE		5) Very Poor	by industry
current glo		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	CONTRACTOR SOME OFFICE AND	(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus or	3) Average a skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	by industry

Name of Student

sk. Mohiddin basha

Signature

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.







Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name:	Dhanyas!	Mukesh
-------	----------	--------

Course: B. Tech (MWOC)

Department : ECE

Years/Semester: 3-2

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the 10	llowing				
9√	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	g
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	q

The syllabu	is was		iile			
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)	Ī

Your bac	kground for benefi	ting from the cor	arse was		
Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4 Pate the co	rangence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate		5) Very Poor	(1)

	The state of the s	The second		The same of the sa	
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	('

6. Were you	able to get the pres	scribed readings?			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

	or a great discourse in the contract of the co	rse in terms of extra	the state of the s	the second secon	4 11	
 Very good 	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)	



NARASARAGPETA ENGINEERING COLLE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAGPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 5) Very Poor (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (1 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor Average Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D.Mokesh

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENDINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS) NAPASARAOPET - 522 501

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature

DAGI



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: えのみろー むり

Name: Pasypoleti Manohar

Course: & BoTech (MWOC)

Department: ECE

Good

Good

Years/Semester: 3-2

Tolerable

Poor

Poor

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 4 3 Extreboely Moderately Moderate Some how Very Extremely Very Good Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

Good

2. The syllabus was 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 1) Challenging

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		18		17	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
					7.1

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COL (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 60 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.		A SON STANFOLDS AND LICENSO	5) Very Poor	
current glo		abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good rulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

P. Manohası

Name of Student

p. manohal.
Signature

NAMASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Date



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : A	alle Tivor	mala Sivanr	vayacourse:	B. To	ech	(mbinc)
----------	------------	-------------	-------------	-------	-----	---------

Department: ECE Years/Semester: TI /II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following								
9/	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9


2. The syl	labus was				
1) Challengi	ng 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your bac	ekground for benefi	ting from the co	urse was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course						
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)	

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----





PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cour		ies and Social Scien ctive, Open Elective		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	- (1)
current glo	obal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average on skill developme 3) Average	1 200 100000	5) Very Poor	(1)

P. F. Sivan marayana.

Name of Student

P.18 Navayara. Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 24

Name: O. Swathi

Course: B. Tech (OOPSTJ)

Department: ECE

Good

Good

Years/Semester: 3.2

Tolerable

1. Please	give a ratir	ig of your o	course on the fo	llowing				
9	18	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabu	s was		100	400	
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your bac	kground for benefit	ting from the co	urse was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
-----------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

			1	102.00	
Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

C. Wele your	able to get the pres	3) Inadequate	T WAYS CO.	5) Very poor	21 March 2010 At 1
--------------	----------------------	---------------	------------	--------------	--------------------

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
-------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLE

Poor

NARASARAOPET - 522 (01 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
Sciences, Pro				ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(19)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12,Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	eriminate the stu	dents 5) Very Poor	(1.)
17 very good	2) G000	3) Average	4)1001	Jy very root	(1)

O. Scoathi Name of Student

Narasaragpeta engineeming collegi (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 322 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: P. Durga panchaluhari

Course: B. Tech VLSI Design (3-2)

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 1 - 1 sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (♥)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (♥)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CULLEG (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Durg a panchakhari Name of Student

Piour Ruchalohi Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name: K. Giveeshmanjali

Good

Course: Brech (ivi)

Department : (iVi)

Good

Years/Semester: T - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Good Moderately Some how Very Extremely Very Moderate Poor Extremely Good Tolerable Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	q
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
70 4	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	111				

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

5) Very Poor 4) Poor 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor () Any other suggestion(s)

Date 29-10-2023

Name of Student K. Graces hmanjal;

K Greeshmonjal Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS).
NARASARAOPET - 522 661
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

2000



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Sd. Safina	Course: B. Tech
Department: FEE	Years/Semester: 9-1
1. Please give a rating of your course	on the following

Good		iood		Good		Tolerable		Poor	Poo
S.No.	Particula	ırs							Rating
4			(in terms of spectives)	of skills, concep	ots, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		4
2	Applical	oility/re	levance to	real life situati	ons				5
3	Depth of	the co	urse conte	nt					5
4	Extent o	fcover	age of cou	rse					14
5	Extent o	f effort	required b	y students					5
6	Relevan	ce/learr	ning value	of project/ repo	ort				5
7	Overall	rating							5

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was	-91-	
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/m	odules of the cour	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	1				
5. Rate the ac	lequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
5. Rate the act	lequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course 5) Very poor	(4)
1) Excellent	17-	3) Inadequate			(4)

4) Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering So tive, Project Work (PW	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			0 E	by industry /
current glo I) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor	0 E	by industry /
Current glo I) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	0 E	by industry /
11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

aschasafira Name of Student Signature

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: P. Naga Sujitha	Course: Btech
Department: CCC	Years/Semester: 2-1

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	94
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	T u
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	5

2. The syllabu	T-1000000000000000000000000000000000000	1 10 Y 1	I o p. n	CV T T	1.4.3
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(<u>1</u>)
3. Your backs	round for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/n 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	se 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) 12300110111					
Y SCHOOL STORY	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course 5) Very poor	(Δ)
5. Rate the ad 1) Excellent	2) Adequate				(Δ)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor



1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11 The currie	nlum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				W 12	
The second secon	and property of the party of the property of the party of	s appropriate to disc 3) Average	The second secon		(1)
12.Evaluation	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
The second secon	2) Good	The state of the s	The second secon		(1)

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (SUOMCHOTUA) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: B. Ravi Kumar

Course : B Tech

Department : EEE

Years/Semester: 2-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was
Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	22 Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

Jy Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2-)
--------------	----------	---------------	---------	--------------	------

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1 Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (24)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Sciences, Pr				ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
N'Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	lepth of the syllabla scenarios.	abus of the course in	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	Good Good	3) Average	4) Poor	65 EX	D B
t) Very good 11. The currie	Good culum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	D B
current glo 1) Very good	Good Good	3) Average	4) Poor	65 EX	D B
1) Very good 11.The currie 1) Very good	Good Culum has focus	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1,)

Name of Studen







Name: B.Dhanalakshmi

Course : B. Tech

Department: AI

Years/Semester: a 1/

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 4 2 7 Some how Very Extremely Good Moderately Moderate Poor Very Extremely Poor Poor Tolerable Good Good Good

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1) 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 1) Challenging 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 5) Completely 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly (1) 1) Highly Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant Relevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate (2) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 4) Poor 5) Very poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASADAOPET - 597 601 Contui (Dist.), A.F.

			14		
	ssional Cours			ciences, Engineering S tive, Project Work (PV	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11 The curriculu	ım has focus	on skill developme	nt	1,000	
	ım has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation of		s appropriate to disc			(1)
, cry good -	, 5000) Average	1-1/1001	3) Yely 1 001	(1)

Date

31/08/2024

Name of Student

B. Dhanalakshmi

Signature

B. Ohonalakili

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name :	Rallabano	P. Sai Swapna	Course:	MCA	
--------	-----------	---------------	---------	-----	--

Department: MCA Years/Semester: [1 (15em)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

The syllabi	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1)
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	c		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

D. Kare the a	dequireness or the	text book and teres	circo const incir	tioned for the course	
 Excellent 	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

- A.	15111	23.7 1	() Th	C 12	2 1 N
) Excellent	2) Adequate	 Inadequate 	4) POOF	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.E.

10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industricurrent global scenarios.) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industricurrent global scenarios.	Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours				The state of the s
10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industricurrent global scenarios.	Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
■ ■ Part of the Control of Contr					5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development	11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1	Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (†				0 S S S		

Date 24 09 24

Name of Student

Rallabandi. Sai Swapna Signature Bwapna.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name		M.	Bhargavi
(Marije	*	1010	Brangan

Course: MCA

Department:

MICA

Years/Semester: [Seco)

I. Picase	give a raun	g or your t	course on the re	nowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1) 2) Relevant 1) Highly Irrelevant Irrelevant Relevant Relevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course (1) 5) Very Poor 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 1) Excellent

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 5) Very poor 4) Poor (2) 3) Inadequate 1) Excellent 2) Adequate

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 2) Adequate 1) Excellent

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.r.

2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
um has forms	on skill developme	nt		
	the state of the s		5) Very Poor	(1)
of the course is	s appropriate to disc 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	- 1
	esition of coursessional Cours	esition of course in terms Humanit essional Course, Professional Elec- mship etc. 2) Good 3) Average oth of the syllabus of the course in al scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average turn has focus on skill developme	estion of course in terms Humanities and Social Secsional Course, Professional Elective, Open Electriship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor oth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the cal scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor turn has focus on skill development	estion of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Scressional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW mship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor oth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected al scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor our has focus on skill development

Date 24/9/2024

Name of Student M. 73 hargari

Signature A. Blanco.

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 G01
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: B. Ajay kum	Course:
-------------------	---------

Department: MCA Years/Semester: Ind years 1st sem

MCA.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Extremely Extremely Very Good Very Tolerable Poor Good Good Poor Good

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

 Challenging 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ing from the course	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the s	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	se		
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	1
5. Rate the a	dequateness of the	CALLE DISCOURT AND ADDRESS.		1000000	
	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
) Excellent		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

4) Poor

3) Average



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

2312300 # C 923 23 23 24 40 CO 0 C 42		5) Very Poor	(1)
		ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
us on skill developme	nt		211112
		Levar	2.15
3) Average	4) POOI	3) very Poor	(1)
The state of the s			
e is appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
	3) Average yllabus of the course ir s. 3) Average	3) Average 4) Poor yllabus of the course in relation to the es. 3) Average 4) Poor cus on skill development	3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor yllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected s. 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor cus on skill development

Date 24/09/2024

Name of Student B. Ajaylouman

Signature

Bonyl

GDING.

PRINCIPAL
NARASAKAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

DVO



-		MCA			Semester: S			
1. P	lease give	a rating of you	ar course on the fo	110Wing	4	3	2	1
xtreme Good		Very Good	The state of the s	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme
S.No.	Particula	ars						Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)							9
2	Applical	bility/relevance	to real life situati	ions				9
3	Depth of	f the course co	ntent					9
4	Extent o	f coverage of o	course					9
5	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	f effort require	Acceptable of the second subsection of the second second					9
6			ue of project/ repo	ort				9
7	Overall	rating						9
2. T	he syllabu	is was	1 1 7 1 20	rone.				
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Dull	5) I	rrelevant		(1)
		The second secon	efiting from the co			40000 IA 000 AND		
 Hig Releva 		2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irrelev	30.000	Completely levant		
4. R	ate the sec	quence of units	modules of the c	ourse				
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequa	te 4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poor		(1)
5. R	ate the ad	equateness of t	he text book and i	reference boo	ok mentioned fe	or the cour	se	
I) Exc	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequa	te 4) Poo	or 5)	Very poor		(1)
(2) 112	/ere you a	ble to get the r	rescribed reading	s?				
6. \\		2) Adequate			E1	Very poor		(1)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	fessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			mu.		
11 The curries	alum has forms	on ckell developme	ry f		
THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	Control of the Labor Control of the	4) Poor		(1)

Date 24/09/2024 Name of Student Gomanohan baba

G. Marohal Signature


PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGLIEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOTACUS) NAPASANAMPIT 522 501



Depart	tment:	Met	7		Years/S	Semester: 2	100/ 12	scm	
				ourse on the foll	owing				
9	rease grie	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
treme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
1									9
2	The second second desirable	and the last transfer to the	and the latest and th	real life situatio	ns				9
3			urse conte						
4	Extent of	of cover	age of cou	rse					899
5	Extent of	of effort	required b	y students					9
6	Relevan	се/Іеап	ning value	of project/ repor	t				9
7	Overall	rating			AUTO TO				9
2. T	he syllab	us was							
l) Cha	llenging	2) Ac	lequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	(5)	Irrelevant		(1)
3 V	our back	eround	for benefit	ing from the cou	rse was				
l) Hig Releva	hly	Section and the second	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irrelev	1000	Completel elevant	У	(2)
4. R	ate the se	quence	of units/m	odules of the co	urse				
	cellent		dequate	3) Inadequate		or 5) Very Poo	ır	(1)
5. R	ate the ac	lequater	ness of the	text book and re	ference boo	ok mentioned	for the cou	rse	
_	cellent	-	dequate	3) Inadequate			6) Very poo		(1)
6 V	Vere you	able to	get the pre-	scribed readings	9				
	cellent		dequate	3) Inadequate		ot	5) Very poo	or.	(1)

4) Poor

3) Average



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

5) Very Poor

Guntur (Dist.), A.F.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Coun			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	State of the second sec
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The currie	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
But the contract	The second secon	23.6	A) Deser	C) Warri Base	2.4.5
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
l) Very good		s appropriate to disc			(2)

Date 24/09/24

Ch Manikanta Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE NARASARAOPET - 575 501 NARASARAOPET - 575 501



	tment :	MC	A		Years/S	: MC Semester:	2 /	1 se	m
	lease give		of your c	ourse on the fo			1 3 1		
9 xtreme	Jan 3	8 Very	7 Good	6 Moderately	5 Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremel
Good		Good	Good	Good	Woderate	Tolerable	1.000	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particul	PART TO ALL							Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)								9
2	The second secon			real life situati	ons				9
3	The second second	f the cour							8
4	Extent of	of coverag	e of cou	rse					9
5	Extent of	of effort re	equired t	y students					9
6	Relevan	ce/learnir	ig value	of project/ repo	rt				9
7	Overall	rating							9
3. Y 1) Hig Releva	hly	ground for 2) Rele		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos	7 (1975) LUDGOO	Completely elevant	y (()
4 R	ate the se	auence of	units/m	odules of the co	ourse				
	cellent	2) Ade	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	3) Inadequat		or 5) Very Poo	г	(1)
5. R	tate the ad	lequatenes	ss of the	text book and r	eference boo	ok mentioned	for the cour	rse	
	cellent	2) Ade		3) Inadequat) Very poo		(1)
	Vere vou a	ible to get	the pres	cribed readings	?				
6. V		2) Ade		3) Inadequat		or d	(Very poo	r	(1)
6. V	15000000								
1) Ex	1200000	sign of th	e course	in terms of extr	ra learning o		Very Poor	10	1/2



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	lepth of the sylla obal scenarios.	3) Average	a relation to the o	5) Very Poor	by industry /
		on skill developme	1	12/14/233	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	maturity and a second	
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggest		Name of Student	Sh. Nagwi	J\	Signature
44,04	IXM			1	A.A.

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

)/.().



Name :	M	.KUMAR	RAJA	Course :
7565337		The state of the s		The state of the state of the state of

Department: MCA Years/Semester: 2nd/15em

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

MCA

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	q
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9
19	Overall rating	_

2. The syllabu	is was			
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant

Your back	ckground for benefi	ting from the co	urse was	VII	
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Palayant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

	The second secon	odules of the cours			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

) Excellent	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------	-------------	------------------------------	---------	--------------	-----

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1/)
-----------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------



) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
ear-accessore entract					
		on skill developme		11752721 32 11	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON	And the second supplied by the second state of	s appropriate to disc	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER, THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN THE OWNER, THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PERSON NAMED IN THE OWNER, THE PERSON NAMED	ANTHORN PROPERTY.	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(-1)
other suggest	ion(s)				

Date 24/9/24

Name of Student M. KUMAR RAJA

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), Aut.



Depar	tment: /	dendra nca	O	Years/S	Semester:	nd .	St Ser	h :
		WSTON	e access on the fall		d		The second	560
9	lease give	8 7	r course on the foll	5	4	3	2	1
ktreme Good		Very Good Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars						Rating
1	Learnin	2020	s of skills, concept s)	s, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		9
2	Applica	bility/relevance	to real life situatio	ns				9
3		f the course cor						8
4		f coverage of c						9
5	and the second name of the second	f effort require						9
6	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	ie of project/ repor	t				9
7	Overall	rating	17,050					9
	he syllabu allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Is	rrelevant		1)
		16.1	Cri					
1) Hig Relev	hly	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	0.00	Completely levant	(1)
4. R	ate the se	guence of units	modules of the cou	irse				
	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate		or 5)	Very Poo	r	(1)
	late the ad	equateness of the	he text book and re	ference boo	k mentioned fo	or the cour	rse	
5. R		2) Adequate	3) Inadequate			Very poo		(1)
5. R	cellent				-			
1) Ex	ereoune.	ble to get the n	rescribed readings	,				
6. V	ereoune.	ble to get the p	3) Inadequate		or 5)	Very poo	r	(1)
6. V	Vere you a	2) Adequate	The second secon	4) Poo		Very poo	1/	(1)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 4) Poor 5) Very Poor Very good 2) Good 3) Average 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/09/2024

Name of Student P. Navendra reddy

P. Noderska vedy

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

IAUTOMOMOMOMS)

NARASARAGPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

)///



Name:	sk.	Oariya Vali	
-------	-----	-------------	--

Course: McA

Department:

Years/Semester: RNd 127 xom

1.	Please	give a	rating	of	your cours	e on	the	following	
----	--------	--------	--------	----	------------	------	-----	-----------	--

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	d
3	Depth of the course content	q
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	o

-		1000	2	
3	The	- 11 m	lane and	Charles and the
4	The	svna	DUS	Was

Ì	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
ŧ	1) Chancinging	2) Aucquare	-) madequate	77 15415	2) incievant	11/

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Di Tom On	enground for benefit	ting from the co.	entire true		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()
--------------------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	 Inadequate 	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
	1				

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A P

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering S tive, Project Work (PV	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	lepth of the sylla	abus of the course in	n relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11.The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)
other suggest	(C)	Name of Student	sh. Dani	soloni	Sk. O
				1	RINCIPAL
					ETA ENGINEER

(AUTONOMOUS)

ASS VALUES OF

MAINTANDA ...

4 19 10



9	Tease Br	8	7	ourse on the fo	5	4	3	2	1
xtreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	lars							Rating
1	Learnin	-	(in terms of spectives)	of skills, concep	ots, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		9
2				real life situati	ions				9
3	Depth o	of the co	urse conte	nt					8
4	Extent	Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students							
5									9
6	Relevan	nce/learn	ning value	of project/ repo	ort				9
7	Overall	rating							9
3. Y 1) Hig Relev	ghly	No.	for benefit levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos	00.7	Completely levant	r ((1)
4. F	Rate the se	equence	of units/m	odules of the co	ourse				
1) Ex	cellent	2) A	dequate	3) Inadequa	te 4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poor		(1)
5. F	Rate the ac	lequater	ness of the	text book and r	eference boo	ok mentioned f	or the cour	se	
1) Ex	cellent	2) Ac	dequate	3) Inadequa	te 4) Poo	or 5)	Very poor	=	(1)
6. \	Vere you	able to s	get the pres	scribed reading	s?				
	cellent	-	dequate	3) Inadequa	1-13-115-5-5-5	or 5)	Very poo		(1)
			une compact color	The ground continue	an Tanantan	is self barening			
7. F	Rate the de	esign of	the course	in terms of ext	in rearning c	a sen-icanamie			AV.



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA EMGINEERING COLLE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11 The currie	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)
	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc			

Date 24/9/2024 Name of Student Syed Shahina

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARACPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name:	Rosham venkata	SUA SOI	Course:	MCA
-------	----------------	---------	---------	-----

Department: MCA	Years/Semester : \\	YROY	ISt	Sem
-----------------	---------------------	------	-----	-----

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	q
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Q
3	Depth of the course content	-
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	q
7	Overall rating	Q

2. The syllabu	is was		1/1	111	
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the see	quence of units/n	odules of the cour	se		
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(t)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEC
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	fessional Cours			nces, Engineering S e, Project Work (PV	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(-t)
current glo	bal scenarios.	abus of the course in 3) Average	relation to the cor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developme		[2) Tay 130k	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to dis-	criminate the stude	nts	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
other suggest	19121	, venkia Tia Slur	A Soy		R.40^
70/11/2	1177	100	All POSSECAL		0
e	259	Name of Student			Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

07.04



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: K. Krankhi kumad Course: BFECh

Department: Computer science and end Years/Semester: Il

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	ч
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	3
3	Depth of the course content	(
4	Extent of coverage of course	LI
5	Extent of effort required by students	2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	H
7	Overall rating	5

	1000	4		
4.	The	SVL	labus	was

The state of the state of	A DOUBLE OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON	- the second sec			
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(14)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)
-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
					F2

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Vary good	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	1111
 Very good 	21 G000	3) Avelage	4) POOF	1 21 Very Poor	(3)





8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (14) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (g_) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3 Any other suggestion(s)

Date

25/10/2024

Name of Student

K. Kranthi kumar

Signature

K. Kranthi known.

PRINCIPAL

NARASARABULA - NOVETRING COLLEGE

(4.010 mm(0.5)

MARAUAINE 1 - 122 601

Gantur (Dist.), A.P.







(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Acade	nic Yea	ır:
---	---------	-----

Name	:-	Thanm	a'
		The Call of the Call	Lei

Course: B. Tech

Department:

C.S. E

Years/Semester: 11 /7

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 6 Extremely Very Moderately Good Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Q
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	- a
3	Depth of the course content	0
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	å
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	0
7	Overall rating	0

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

HI MAY WA

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Ade	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
---------------------	-------------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
The state of the s	The second secon		The state of the s	The state of the s	





) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi) & Practica
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.		- 14	competencies expected	by industry
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	04 54B	by industry
11. The curric	2) Good		4) Poor	04 54B	()
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 25 10 24

Name of Student T. Thorrowi

Signature

PRINCIPAL

NABASARAOPETA FAMILIEERING COLLEGE

NARASAPATURE S22 601 Guntar (Dist.), A.P





(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: P. Poremohand

Course: B. tech / CSE

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 2nd/1st semesten

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	0
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	0
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	0

-	TRYS.	1 2	4 4 -111	
Z.,	I he	gvi	labus	wae.

1) Challenging 2) Adeq	uate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
			The state of the s	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
---------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

13 P	21	The book of the second of the	The state of the s		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

13 Possillant	0.00	The war and the state of the st	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	12-1
		· ·	100K (F.120-107)	-7 - 0-7 + 00	(/)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPEIA ENGMEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOVICUS)
NARASARAOPEIA ENGMEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOVICUS)
NARASARAOPEIA ENGMEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOVICUS)
NARASARAOPEIA ENGMEERING COLLEGE

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
 Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In 	ofessional Cour	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social Se ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	**************************************
current glo	epth of the syllabal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	10 av = 10	**************************************
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor	10 av = 10	**************************************
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date 25/10/24

Name of Student : P. Ponem Chand.

Signature : P. Fren



NARASAKADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE NAGA SARAOPET - 522 SIGT



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: 5.V. Sadwik

Course: BTECH

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: I years Ist semester.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	a
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Refevant	- 1	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

Excellent 2) Adequa	e 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
---------------------	-----------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2)	Adequate 3)	Inadequate 4	l) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-----------------	-------------	--------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor /	A (A)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (4-)12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) Any other suggestion(s) - Please provide 19 brary House foo better learning.

Date: 25 10 8024 . Name of Student + S-V-Sadwik

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLVEGE MULTONOMOUS)

NAPAS PAGRET - 5 7 601 Geneur (Dist.), e.e.





(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Sribitha

Course : AJECH

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: $\overline{R} - \overline{\Upsilon}$

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
3	Depth of the course content	0
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	0
7	Overall rating	17

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
	The state of the s		7	e / more runt	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

 Highly Relevant 	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)
---	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Ac	equate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------------	----------------------	---------	--------------	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	A) Door	CANO.	1-1
I) Execution	2) Aucquate	Inadequate	4) POOF	5) Very poor	(2)
				10 10 112344	

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 1 / (3)
---	---------------------	---



PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	pressional Com;	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social Sective, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi ') & Practica
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11 The sumis	whom to c	000 To 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18			
Very good		on skill developme			
very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	s appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
other suggesti	au(a)				

25/10/24 Date Snik Hon Name of Student

Signature 4

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(SUTONOMOUS)
NARAS PAOPL 1 - 1 - 501
Guntur (Uisti), 6.5



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V	PREM	KUM	AR
----------	------	-----	----

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 2 htl / 1st

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 4 3 2 Extremely Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9.
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	a

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant (3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 60 %
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	gy advancements 5) Very Poor	
			19 4 001	3) very Poor	(3)
9. Rate the com	position of cour	se in terms Humanit	ics and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc	
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cour	se, Professional Ele	ctive Open Flor	ciences, Engineering Scrive, Project Work (PW	ciences, Bas
Training / In			on ter open Life	uve, Project Work (PW	/) & Practic
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	EVV P	
				5) Very Poor competencies expected	(子)
) Very good	2) Good	23 1 2	10.000		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2_)
				5) Very Poor	(2_)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	ulum has focus	on skill developmer		5) Very Poor	(2_)
11. The curric					
11. The curric	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developmer 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric 1) Very good 12. Evaluation	ulum has focus 2) Good of the course is	on skill developmer 3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
11. The curric	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developmer	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

Date 25/10/24

Name of Student

Sk Usama

B. Omkası sai

V. Premkuma

S. Bhazgav Gopi

Signature

v. Prounkumor

B. Andras Sal

SK WAMA

& Brangas Gropi

PRINCIPAL


NARASARADPETA ENGINEFRINO COLLEGE

(AUTONOVOLLS)

MARASARAORET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.







(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: B. Ganesh Reddy

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 11-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	=
7	Overall rating	5

	1924	44 4	
2.	The sy	Habus	was

	TT TT				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2.)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant	1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	
--------------------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------------------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

		The state of the s			
 Very good 	2) Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2-)



NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

l) Very good	2) Good	ing of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	nposition of cour ofessional Cour ternship etc.	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	100			r	by industry /
TOTALLE INC L	epui of the sylli	abus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	har industry
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2_)
) Very good 11. The currie	2) Good		4) Poor		(2)
11. The curric) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 11. The curric) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill development	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

25/10/24 Date

B. Ganesh Reddy Name of Student

Shut

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 501
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

0//01



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Y. Bala Raja shekar Ridoly Course: B-Tect	lame :	Y. Bala	Raja shekar	Ridaly Course:	B-Tech
---	--------	---------	-------------	----------------	--------

Department: Computer Sagna Enginering ears/Semester:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	V 8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

	7		*	T		_
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant	1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------	---------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
---	-----

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

7. Take the design of the course in terms of course in terms of					
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	, (2)



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	ing of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Training / In	sposition of cour ofessional Cour ternship etc.	rse in terms Humanit rse, Professional Ele	ties and Social Sective, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	0 000
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	bal scenarios.				of moustry
COLUMN TO THE TAX		or the course II	i relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	51 C. T 500
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(<u>2</u>)
) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	Average on skill development	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	51 C. T 500
) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		51 C. T 500
11.The curric) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill development 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)
11.The curric) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill development	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

25/10/2024

Name of Student

Y. Bala Raja Shekar Ridoly

Signature

1. Dens

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



77.01



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Sk. Sheema

Course: B. Tech

Department: CS&

Years/Semester: I year / I sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

ging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull	Inadequate	Adequate) Challenging
--	------------	----------	---------------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<u>&</u>)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	------------------

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	7 (2)
-------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-------



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 553 691
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor ()) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) Any other suggestion(s)

85-10-24 Date Sk. Sheema Name of Student Sheems

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntar (Dist.)

DAOI



Name	10	V	ď
I THEFT		· V	877

Joshi

Course: B. Tech

Department:

cse

Years/Semester: II -II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	I
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)	
----------------------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----	--

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
1211110514771817771			CONTRACTOR S-II		

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

PRINCIPAL

NABASABADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

1845MUNGTUAL

NARASARAOPET = 522 991 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So etive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11 The currie	rulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
, B		913	criminate the stu	15	
	n of the course i				(1)
12.Evaluation) Very good v other suggests	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10-06-24

Date

V. Joshi

Name of Student

4.50ghi

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 4 501
Guntur (Dist.)

DAGI



Name: Ch	charan teja	Course: B- Tech
Department:	cse	Years/Semester: 1-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 7 3 4 1 Very Some how Good Moderately Moderate Poor Very Extremely Extremely Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	04
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

O	Relevan	certearning value	of project report	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		8
7	Overall	rating				9
2. Th	ie syllabu	ıs was				
1) Chal	lenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Yo	our backg	round for benefi	ting from the cours	e was		
1) High Relevar		2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Ra	te the sec	quence of units/n	nodules of the cour	se		
1) Exce	ellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Ra	te the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Exce		2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
6. W	ere you a	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?			
1) Exce	ellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
7. Ra	te the de	sign of the course	e in terms of extra l	earning or self-l	earning	-1:
I) Very		2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor p	4 (2)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guillur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cour			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.	CHAIN LOCALOGUE SCANNOLON	100 101 1110 120 1011	competencies expected	
current glo		abus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo I) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	CHAIN LOCALOGUE SCANNOLON	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11.The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	Average on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

10-6-14 Date

ch.charanteje

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEEMING CULTERE

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Divya, Darla.	course: B-Tech
Department: CSE	Years/Semester: २००२

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Very Extremely Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extremely Good Tolerable Good Good Poor Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2) 1) Highly 3) Partly Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poer (1) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor



PRINCIPAL NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

			4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
	sional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good 2	() Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
1) Very good 2	m has focus	on skill developme	nt	il di	(9)
11. The curriculu	m has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good 2	() Good	Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
The second secon	the course i	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good 2		1 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7			- 0/

10/06/24

Divya Davla Name of Student

Signature ya

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUR)
NARASARAOPET - 527 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: A Rakesh	Course: B-Tech
Department: AI	Years/Semester: 3/1

Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
31	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	19

	-		and the same of th			
			of project/ report	- 0		8
7	Overall	rating		W83	708 M	19
2. Th	ne syllabi	us was				mellidi
1) Chall	lenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Yo	our back	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
1) High Relevan	1.7	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
Kelevan	11		Relevant	Irrelevant	merevant	
4. Ra	ite the se	quence of units/m 2) Adequate	Relevant sodules of the course 3) Inadequate		5) Very Poor	(1)
4. Ra	ite the se	2) Adequate	odules of the coun 3) Inadequate	4) Poor		(1)
4. Ra 1) Exce 5. Ra	ate the se	2) Adequate	odules of the coun 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
4. Ra 1) Exce 5. Ra 1) Exce	ate the se ellent ate the ad ellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate text book and refe	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

4) Poor

3) Average

5) Very Poor



2) Good

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL
NABASHBADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
HAUTONOMOUS;
NAPASARAOPET - 522 ...
Guittur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cour			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	(0
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	711				2000

Date 31/8/24

Name of Student

A-Rakes Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



	lease give			ourse on the fo	The second secon				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
xtreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars							Rating
T 2	Learnin		(in terms of	of skills, concep	ots, knowled	ge, analytical a	abilities, or		9
2				real life situati	ons				9
3	the second second second		urse conte		AHEIMIE				9
4			age of cou		to the				8
5				y students					8
6			ing value	of project/ repo	And the second	N .			9
7	Overall	rating		5.4	men y //2	137			9
3. Y 1) Hig Releva	hly	ground f 2) Rel		ng from the co 3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	1, 46.	Completely elevant		(1)
	ate the se	quence o	of units/m	odules of the co	ourse				
4. R	00410004	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequat	te 4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poor		(2)
4. R	cellent								
1) Ex		equaten	ess of the	text book and r	eference boo	k mentioned f	or the cour	se	
1) Exe		_	ess of the equate	text book and r			or the cour		(1)
5. R	ate the ad	2) Ad	equate		e 4) Poc				(1)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOLIS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Inte	fessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social So ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11 The curricu	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course i	s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-7.5505	1 + /		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	40.34.34.1
y other suggestie	on(s)				

31-08-24

Date

V. Deva Rajkumar Name of Student

Signature

12 Deve

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 G01

Guntur (Dist.), A.P



ame: T. V Sandee	P Reddy	Course: Brack
-		

Department: TT Years/S	emester: II	I.
------------------------	-------------	----

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

	Rating
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
Applicability/relevance to real life situations	q
Depth of the course content	8
Extent of coverage of course	8
Extent of effort required by students	9
Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
Overall rating	9
1	broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations Depth of the course content Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report

 Challenging 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
---------------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

 Highly 	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	50 m 1 M 1 m 1 m

		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	1		
 Excellent 	2) Adequate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	7.1.1

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
7. Rate the d	esign of the cours	e in terms of extra l	earning or self-	learning	MAAI



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cou	rse in terms Humanit rse, Professional Elec	ies and Social Se ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	(5) Very Poor	0. (2)
current glo	epth of the syl bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focu 2) Good	3) Average s on skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 31/8/2024

Name of Student Tru Sarabep Reddy

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: SD. Nazma

Course: B.Tech

Department: IT

? The syllabus was

Years/Semester: II / 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	q
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

an title by there's	ALC: TT GILL				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backs	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
I) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

	THE CONTRACTOR			0.014
6. Were you	able to get the pres	cribed readings?		

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor



PRINCIPAL

MARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601,

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1	on skill developme	nt		
num has focus				
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2) Good				(1)
-	position of cours fessional Cours ernship etc. 2) Good	position of course in terms Humanit fessional Course, Professional Electrical Electrical (2) Good (3) Average epth of the syllabus of the course in the bal scenarios.	position of course in terms Humanities and Social Social Social Course, Professional Elective, Open Electoriship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor epth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the obal scenarios.	position of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences fessional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW ernship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor epth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected bal scenarios.

31-08-24

SO. Nazma

Date

Name of Student

Nazma-Sayyad. Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name :	B	Vagya	Moule
--------	---	-------	-------

Good

Course: B. Tech civil

Department: Civil

Good

Years/Semester: 11 - 11

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 7 3 Some how Good Moderately Moderate Poor Very Extremely Very Extremely Tolerable Poor Poor

Good

Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations Depth of the course content Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report Overall rating	S.No.	Particulars	Rating
3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1		9
4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3	Depth of the course content	8
6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4	Extent of coverage of course	9
	5	Extent of effort required by students	8
7 Overall rating	6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7 073	7	Overall rating	8

The syllabit	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your bar	ckground for benefi	ting from the co	urse was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(%)
Relevant	1.04	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

		BENEFIT STREET, STREET	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	Cerchary Christiana Chon Ch	WOOD WA
Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

 Excellent 	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
*,	STATE OF THE OWNER	The research of the same	N. W. L. CENTRAL		

2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
	2) Adequate	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
-----------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

IVAC

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 4) Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 5) Very Poor (1) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 3) Average 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) Good 3) Average (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 1) Very good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (I)12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Any other suggestion(s)

29/10/2023

Date

B. Vagyanlaik

B. ragyanlaile Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTOMORIOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

DA



Name: P. Lolcesh

Course: B Tech - CE

Department: CIVIL

Years/Semester: II- I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was						
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	Irrelevant	(2)	

3. Your bac	ekground for benefi	ting from the co	urse was	_ v	
15 11" 1.1.	2) Dalament	2) Doubles	4) Mostly	5) Completely	

78 5 7 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1	4) Mostly 5) Completely relevant Irrelevant	(2)
--	---	-----

	4. Nate the see	defice of different	odules of the cours			
1)	Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

1) Excenent	2) Aucquaic	2) madequate	4)100	5) Very root	N.N. 1

) Excellent	2) Adequate	 Inadequate 	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
-------------	-------------	--------------------------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you	able to get the pres	scribed readings?			
) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2_)

The state of the second	was come out their	Constitution of the forest	af avtra lourning o	and a county fundamental street	

3) Average



1) Very good

2) Good

PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Pgor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) Average (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

29/10/2023

P. Lohesh

Signature

P. Lokeble

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

TOAC

Assel



Name:	7.1	40	ha	nu
-------	-----	----	----	----

Course: B. Tech - CIVIL

Department: Civil Engineening

Years/Semester: II-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	- 8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

1 2	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2		
-	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	1
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2.	The	syl	labus	was
----	-----	-----	-------	-----

	Li .				
1) Challenging 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
LOCAL INSPECTATION	Design of the Control				CORN INC

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor + (2)



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering S tive, Project Work (PV	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	bal scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9/)
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)
) Very good	2) Good	Average on skill developme		5) Very Poor	(%)
11. The curric	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(9)
11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Date

Name of Student

Signature

29-10-2023

T-yohanu

NARASARAOPETA ENCIMEERING COLLEGE

(AUTOHOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: K. Anil Basy Course: B-Toch civil Department: Civil engine eving Years/Semester: 11-11.

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your o	course on the fo	llowing	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
11 21	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

		or project/ report			8
7 Overall	rating				8
2. The syllab	us was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		100
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the se	quence of units/n	nodules of the cours	se		
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	quence of units/n 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	1000000	(z)
5. Rate the ad	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor tioned for the course 5) Very poor	(z) (1)
5. Rate the ad	Adequate lequateness of the 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate text book and refe	4) Poor	tioned for the course	

4) Poor

3) Average

5) Very Poor



1) Very good

2) Good

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	lepth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	2 3
current glo	bal scenarios.		186.1		by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The currie	bbal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus	Average skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	2 3
current glo I) Very good 11. The currie	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		2 3
Current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	Average skill developme	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	2 3

Date

Name of Student

Signature

29-10-2023

R. Anil BaBu.

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAGPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Depart	tment:	civ	iL		Years/S	Semester:	正江		
	lease giv		g of your o	course on the fo	-	T 4	1 2 1	2	
9 xtreme Good	ely	8 Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	5 Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particu	lars							Rating
1		-	(in terms of	of skills, concep	ots, knowled	ge, analytical	abilities, or		8
2				real life situati	ons				8
3	Depth	of the co	ourse conte	nt					9
4	Extent	of cover	rage of cou	rse					9
5	Extent	of effort	required b	y students					9
6	Releva	nce/lear	ning value	of project/ repo	ort				9
7	Overal	l rating			100 Gril 1				9
2. T	he syllab	ous was							
	llenging	the second second second	dequate	3) Inadequat	e 4) Dull	5) Irrelevant		(1)
3. Y	our back	ground	for benefit	ing from the co	urse was				
1) Hig Releva		2) Re	elevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva	1000) Completely relevant	<i>t</i>	(1)
4. R	ate the s	equence	of units/m	odules of the c	ourse				
1) Ex	cellent	2) A	dequate	3) Inadequa	te 4) Poo	or	5) Very Poo	511	(1)
5. R	ate the a	dequater	ness of the	text book and i	reference boo	ok mentioned	for the cour	se	
	cellent		dequate	3) Inadequa			5) Very poor		(1)
6 V	Vere von	able to	get the pre-	scribed reading	s?				
	cellent		dequate	3) Inadequa		or	5) Very poo	r	(1)
							100		

4) Poor



2) Good

1) Very good

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The currie	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
and the second s	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	14) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		(1)

VAIJA Gopalakvishma Name of Student Murthy

V-G-K-Murthy Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Depar	ment:	°uiL	Engine	wad ening	Course Years/S	Semester:	0 13 10	tq/=	
1. P	lease give		g of your	course on the fol					,
9		8	Good	6 Moderately	5 Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremel
treme Good		Very Good	Good	Good	Moderate	Tolerable	1 001	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars							Rating
1	Learning	g value	(in terms spectives)	of skills, concep	ts, knowled	ge, analytical a	bilities, or		9
2	Applica	bility/re	levance to	real life situation	ons				9
3			urse conte						7
4	Extent o	f cover	age of cou	ırse					8
5	Annual and Annual and Assessed	and the second second	the state of the s	by students					9
6	Relevan	ce/learr	ing value	of project/ repo	rt				9
7	Overall	rating							1 8
2. T	he syllabi	is was							
	llenging	-	lequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)1	rrelevant		(2)
				0					
3 V	our backs	round t	for benefit	ing from the cor	irse was				
-	-	-		ing from the cou		tiy 5)	Completely		(1)
) Hig	hly	-	for benefit levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos		Completely Elevant		(1)
) Hig Releva	hly	2) Re	levant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva			1	(1)
) Hig Releva	hly	2) Re	levant	3) Partiy	4) Mos Irreleva	ant Irre			(1)
Hig Releva 4. R	hly ant ate the se	2) Requence	levant of units/m	3) Partly Relevant sodules of the co	4) Mos Irreleva urse e 4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poor		
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R	hly ant ate the se	2) Requence 2) Acceptates	levant of units/m	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mos Irreleva urse e 4) Poc eference boo	or 5)	Very Poor	se	
) Hig Releva 4. R) Exc 5. R) Exc	hly ate the se- cellent ate the ad	2) Requence 2) Address equater 2) Address 2)	of units/m dequate ness of the dequate	3) Partiy Relevant odules of the co 3) Inadequat text book and re	4) Mos Irreleva urse e 4) Poo eference boo e 4) Poo	or 5)	Very Poor	se	(1)

Pour



2) Good

1) Very good

3) Average

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

5) Very Poor

P. Rate the composition of course in term Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Fraining / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) A		보다 그리다 하다 하다 그리고 아이들이 얼마나 아니라 아이를 하는데 하다 하나 하나 하다 하다 하다 하다 하다.	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) A	verage 4) Poor	5) Vary Poor	
		J) very roor	(1)
	verage 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) very good 2) Good 3) A	verage 4) roor	3) very roor	(1)
11. The curriculum has focus on skill of	levelopment		
1) Very good 2) Good 3) A	verage 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation of the course is appropri	iate to discriminate the	students	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) A	verage 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 29/10/2023

Name of Student

1.1) wigapicusad Signature

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENDIMEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPE1 - 522 601 . Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name:Shai	k Mahaboob Subhani			
Department:	EEE	Years/Ser	nester:	/11

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extreme Good	ly Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particulars							Rating
1	Learning value broadening per	*/ HILL 1889	of skills, conce	pts, knowled	ge, analytical al	bilities, or		9
2	Applicability/re	elevance to	real life situat	ions				9
3	Depth of the co	urse conte	nt					8

4	Extent of	of coverage of cou	irse			9
5	Extent o	of effort required	by students			7
6	Relevan	ce/learning value	of project/ report			9
7	Overall	rating				8
2. T	he syllabi	ıs was				
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
2 37						
1) High	hly	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
1) Higl Releva	hly ant	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly Irrelevant		(1)
1) Higi Releva	hly ant ate the se	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		(1)
1) High Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R	hly ant ate the se- cellent ate the ad	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate equateness of the	3) Partly Relevant odules of the cours 3) Inadequate text book and refe	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) High Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R	hly ant ate the se- cellent ate the ad	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant modules of the cours 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	- 18
1) High Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	hly ant tate the se- cellent ate the ad	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate equateness of the 2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant odules of the cours 3) Inadequate text book and refe	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)



2) Good

3) Average

1) Very good

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

5) Very Poor

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 2) Good 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 3) Average 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 5) Very Poor 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor Any other suggestion(s)

Date

SK Mahabab Subhani

Jubban

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.





Good

Name: B. Renuka

Good

Course: EEE (B Tech)

Department: EEE

Good

Years/Semester: 1/11

Tolerable

Poor

Poor

 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

 9
 8
 7
 6
 5
 4
 3
 2
 1

 Extremely
 Very
 Good
 Moderately
 Moderate
 Some how
 Poor
 Very
 Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)

Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (え)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

IQAC

PRINCIPAL

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
11 7%	1 6		74 P		(2)
		on skill developme			
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
					- 10

Date

Name of Student

PRINCIPAL NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name:	k.	Lavan	4	(X
transfer t		Berline St. Committee		100

Course: B. Tech (DSP)

Department : ECE

Years/Semester: III - 1

1. Please	give a ratin	g of your co	urse on the I	ollowing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	

	· ·	/	0	2	- 4	- 2	- 4-	
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8


The syllabu	is was		t-j		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

Your back	ekground for benefi	iting from the co	urse was		
Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(f)

4. Rate the se	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate		5) Very Poor	(1)

\$160 WATER VI. 16 3	27 52 5920	TO THE WILLIAM SAN	40000	Dr		=
Rate the ar	dequateness of the	text book and refer	ence book men	tioned for the course		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(9_)	

	6/	scribed readings?	-		
Excellent	Adequate	 Inadequate 	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)





PRINCIPAL
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.