# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

# STUDENT FEEDBACK FORMS

# (2023-24)

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: SHAIK ASMIN    Course: B.TECH

Department: EEE    Years/Semester: 2-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

SHAIK ASMIN
Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk.Faheem Ahmed      Course : BTECH

Department : C·S·E      Years/Semester : III / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

25/0/24

Date

Sk·Faheem Ahmed

Name of Student

Sk·fautil.

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K.Ashok Sai kumar    Course : MBA

Department : MBA    Years/Semester : 2-1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 11/9/2024   Name of Student K-Ashok Saikumar   Signature 11/9/24

# NARASARAOPETA
## ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Harish       Course : B Tech

Department : EEE       Years/Semester : IV/1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date         Name of Student     B. Harish        Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name : P. Asish Kumar          Course : B.Tech

Department : CIVIL Engineering          Years/Semester : III / II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date: 29/10/24          Name of Student : P. Asishkumar          P. Asishkumar
                                                                  Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : D.Dhana Jakshmi          Course : B Tech

Department : CSE          Years/Semester : 3 - 2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10|6|24

Date

D.Dhana Jakshmi

Name of Student

D.Dhana Jakshmi

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Kranthi Kumar          Course : BTECH

Department : Computer science and eNG    Years/Semester : II/I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 4 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 3 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 5 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 4 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 2 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 4 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 5 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 5 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

25/10/2024

Name of Student

K. Kranthi kumar

Signature

K. Kranthi kumar

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPET ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Thanmai                                  Course : B. Tech

Department : C.S.E                                 Years/Semester : II / I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25|10|24          Name of Student  T.Thanmai          thanmai.T.

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Premchand

Course : B-tech / CSE

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 2nd / 1st semester

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 25/10/24          Name of Student : P. Premchand.          Signature : P. Prem

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
untui (Dist), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: S.V. Sadwik

Course: BTECH

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: II year I st semester.

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 5 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 6 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s) - Please provide library Hours for better learning.

Date: 25|10|2024.      Name of Student : S.V.Sadwik

S.V.Sadwik
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NAPAS... ...OPET - ... 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Srihitha

Course : B.TECH

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : II – I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

25/10/24
Date

Srihitha
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 501
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V. PREMKUMAR          Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE          Years/Semester : 2nd/1st

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (4) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/10/24

Name of Student

Sk. Usama

B. omkara sai

V. Pyemkumar

S. Bhaygav Gopi

Signature

V. Premkumar
B. omkar sai
Sk. Usama
S. Bhargav Gopi

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: B. Ganesh Reddy          Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE          Years/Semester: II-I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

25/10/24
Date

B. Ganesh Reddy
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Y. Bala Raja shekar Ruddy   Course : B-Tech

Department : Computer Science Engineering   Years/Semester : II / I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | ✓ 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date
25|10|2024

Name of Student
Y. Bala Raja Shekar Reddy

Signature
Y. Reuf

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk.Sheema

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : II year / I sem

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 6 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

85-10-24
Date

Sk. Sheema
Name of Student

Sheems
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.)

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V. Joshi

Course : B. Tech

Department : cse

Years/Semester : II – II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 6 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10-06-24

Date

V. Joshi

Name of Student

V. Joshi

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 501
Guntur (Dist.),

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : ch. charan teja          Course : B-Tech

Department : cse          Years/Semester : II-II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10-6-24

Date

Ch.charanTeja

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Divya. Darla.     Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE     Years/Semester : 2•2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10/06/24
Date

Divya. Darla
Name of Student

D. Divya.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A Rakesh          Course : B-Tech

Department : AI          Years/Semester : 3/1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 52
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 31|8|24

A. Rakesh
Name of Student

A. Rakesh
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V. Deva Raj Kumar      Course : B.

Department : Information of Technology   Years/Semester : 2-1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

31-08-24

Date

V. Deva Raj Kumar

Name of Student

V. Deva

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. v Sandeep Reddy     Course : B.Tech.

Department : IT     Years/Semester : II / I.

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9. |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31/8/2024     Name of Student T.V.Sandeep Reddy     Signature T.V.Sandeepreddy

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: SD.Nazma

Course: B.Tech

Department: IT

Years/Semester: II / I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

31-08-24

Date

SD. Nazma

Name of Student

Nazma. Sayyad

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : B. Vagya Naik

Course : B.Tech civil

Department : civil

Years/Semester : II - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

29/10/2023

Date

B.Vagyanbaik

Name of Student

B.Vagyanbaik

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name : P. Lokesh       Course : B. Tech - CE

Department : CIVIL       Years/Semester : II - II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

29/10/2023

Name of Student

P. Lokesh

Signature

P. Lokesh

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name : T. Yohanu

Course : B.Tech - CIVIL

Department : Civil Engineering

Years/Semester : II - II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good ✓ | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( ✓ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

| Date | Name of Student | Signature |
|---|---|---|
| 29-10-2023 | T-Yohanu | T-Yohanu.. |

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

**Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:** 2023 – 2024

Name : K. Anil Baby    Course : B-Tech Civil

Department : Civil engineering    Years/Semester : II - II.

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date              Name of Student           Signature

29-10-2023      K. Anil Babu.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 23-24

Name : VAJJA Gopala krishna Murthy  Course : Civil

Department : Civil    Years/Semester : II / II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

29/10/23
Date

VAJJA Gopalakrishna
Name of Student    Murthy

V.G.K.Murthy
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

**Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:** 2023 - 2024

Name : T. Durgaprasad          Course : B.Tech—Civil

Department : Civil Engineering          Years/Semester : ~~2023 2024~~ / ~~II~~ II — IV

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 29|10|2023

T. Durga prasad
Name of Student

T. Durgaprasad
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**(AUTONOMOUS)**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Shaik Mahaboob Subhani    Course: B.Tech

Department: EEE    Years/Semester: 1/11

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student *SK. Mahaboob Subhani*

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: B. Renuka                 Course: EEE (B.Tech)

Department: EEE                 Years/Semester: 1/11

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 6 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

— Nil —

Date

Name of Student
B.Renuka

Signature
B.Renuka

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name : k·Lavanya

Course : B·Tech (DSP)

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : III -I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Lavanya
Name of Student

K. Lavanya
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
MARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : Kotaru. Sai Tanuja    Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE    Years/Semester : 3-1 (EMI)

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student  *Kotaru·Sai Tanuja*

*K. Sai Tanuja*
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024.

Name: Shaik. Hazari. Irshad Course: B.Tech III - I [EMI]

Department: ECE Years/Semester: III rd - I.

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

SHAIK· HAZARI IRSHAD
Name of Student

Sk·H·Irshad.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CO
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 5 :
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name: Vssskumar  Course: BTech

Department: ECE  Years/Semester: III / I (LDICA)

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date : 2          Name of Student : Vssskumar

Kumar
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: K. Vamsi                         Course: B.Tech

Department: ECE                        Years/Semester: IV / II ( VLSI )

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 4 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 4 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 3 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 4 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 5 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 3 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 4 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student — K.Vamsi

Signature — Vamsi

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Prasanna Lakshmi          Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE          Years/Semester : III – II Sem (VLSI)

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student   A·Prasanna Lakshmi

Signature   A·Prasanna Lakshmi

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 001
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. Leela Naga Pavani     Course : B.Tech (VLSID)

Department : ECE     Years/Semester : IV – II sem

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date -

Name of Student : M. Leela naga pavani

M·l·N·Pavani
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: A.Divya

Course: B-Tech (VLSID)

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 3.2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date ......

Name of Student ∧ ·Divya

A·Divya.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Y. Mythri

Course : B.Tech

Department : C.S.E

Years/Semester : 2-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ∂ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ∂ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ∂ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ∂ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ∂ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 10/06/24

Y. Mytha?
Name of Student

Y. MB
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 501
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Y. Nandini

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10/06/24

Date

Y. Nandini

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.)

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Patibandla Prasanthi          Course : B.Tech

Department : Computer Science and Engineering   Years/Semester : II - II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLL
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 60
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10-06-2024

Date

Patibandla. Prasanthi

Name of Student

P. Prasanthi

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# ＮＡＲＡＳＡＲＡＯＰＥＴＡ
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Asritha . Khajjayam     Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE     Years/Semester: 2.2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLL
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10|06|24

Date

Asritha.khajjayam

Name of Student

K. Asritha

Signature

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: vijaya rani. chilamkuri                Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE                Years/Semester: 2, 2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10/06/24

Date

vijaya rani chilamkuri

Name of Student

vijaya.ch

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : CH. Rohith

Course : B.TECH

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 2-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

10/06/24

Name of Student

CH. Rohith

Signature

Ch. Rohith

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. Srinu                     Course : B-tech

Department : CSE                    Years/Semester : 2-2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10-06-24

Date

M.Sriny

Name of Student

Signature

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: B. Arun kumar     Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE     Years/Semester: 2/2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10/6/24.

Date

B. Arun Kumar

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: R. Anjaneya kumar    Course: CSE B'tech

Department: CSE    Years/Semester: 2/2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10/06/24
Date

R. Anjaneya kumar
Name of Student

R. Anjaneya kumar
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : S. Yaswanth Rahul          Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE          Years/Semester : 2-2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET · 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ∖ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ∟ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ∖ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ∟ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ∖ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10/06/2024

Date

S. Yaswanth Rahul

Name of Student

Rahul

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : Ch. Rajesh

Course : B. Tech [LOICA]

Department : ECE - 3-1

Years/Semester : III - I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Ch. Rajesh
Name of Student

Ch Rajesh
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**(AUTONOMOUS)**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: SK. Adil     Course: B. Tech

Department: Mechanical     Years/Semester: IV/I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date
28/08/23

Name of Student
JK. Adil

Signature
JK. Adil.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**(AUTONOMOUS)**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: B. Ramanjeelu   Course: B. Tech

Department: Mechanical   Years/Semester: IV/I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

25/08/23

Name of Student

B. Ramanjeelu

Signature

B. Ramanjeelu

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Bolla. Lakshmi Varsha          Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE          Years/Semester: 3-2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date : 10/6/2024     Name of Student : Bolla. Lakshmi Varsha

B. Varsha

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: B.Suhay      Course: B.Tech

Department: CSC      Years/Semester: 3ʳᵈ/2ⁿᵈ

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 ✓ | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | |
| 7 | Overall rating | |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 7 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 10/6/2024          Name of Student  B. Suhas

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
# ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: S.chandra sekhar          Course: B.Tech

Department: C.S.E          Years/Semester: 3 - 2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 ✓ | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 1 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 2 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 1 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 1 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 2 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 1 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 1 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( l ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( l ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( l ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( l ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( l ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10 - 6 -2024

Date

S.chandra Sekhar

Name of Student

S.chandra ☺

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: **A. Manvitha**  Course: **B.Tech**

Department: **CSE**  Years/Semester: **3-2**

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good ✓ | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | |
| 7 | Overall rating | |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( A  ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10|6|2024

Date

A. Manvitha

Name of Student

A. Manvitha

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : D. Abhishikth

Course : B-tech

Department : C.S.E

Years/Semester : 3rd year / 2nd sem

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P,

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 10|6|2024     Name of Student O·Abhishikth     Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## NEC
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Lakshmi Niharika     Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE     Years/Semester : 3-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 10|6|24        Name of Student A. Lakshmi Nihanika        A-Nihanika.
                                                                      Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Bandaru Anjibabu     Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE     Years/Semester : 3. 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 5 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10/6/2024

Date

Barddary Anjibabu

Name of Student

B.Anji

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Keerthi     Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE     Years/Semester : 3 - 2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 ✓ | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | |
| 7 | Overall rating | |

**2.** The syllabus was

| ✓) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant ✓ | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| ✓) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| ✓) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | ✓) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| ✓) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (   ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (   ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (   ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (   ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (   ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10|6|2024

Date

B. Keerthi

Name of Student

B.Keerthi

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPET ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K.Bhavana          Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE          Years/Semester : III – II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | |
| 7 | Overall rating | |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date  10-6-2024

K. Bhavana
Name of Student

K. Bhavana
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: ALLAM. TIRUMALA RAJ  Course: B-Tech.

Department: Mechanical  Years/Semester: III/I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/08/2023    Name of Student A Tirmula Raju.    A. Tirmula Raju.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K.Durga Prasad

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : II / II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

10/06/24

Name of Student

K. Durga Prasad

Signature

K. Durga

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**(AUTONOMOUS)**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : D·chatan reddy    Course : B.Mech

Department : CSE    Years/Semester : 2-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date : 10/06/24    D.chazan reddy
Name of Student

Signature  D.chazan reddy

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: B. Surya Prasad          Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE          Years/Semester: II/II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

10-06-24

Name of Student

B. Surya Prasad

Signature

B. Surya

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: **Ch.T.Malyadri.**          Course: **B-tech**

Department: **CSE**          Years/Semester: **2-2**

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | ⑦ | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

10|06|24

Name of Student

Ch.T. Malyadri

Signature

Ch.T.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

**NEC**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Jagadeesh

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 2-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10|06|24
Date

A. Jagadeesh
Name of Student

Jagadeesh
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Y.Shivasai

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : II / II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10|06|2024

Date

Y. shiva sai

Name of Student

Y. Shivasai

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : **N·VINAY**                     Course : **B·Tech**

Department : **C·S·E**              Years/Semester : **2 : 2**

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Name :- **N·VINAY**

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10/06/24

Date

N. VINAY

Name of Student

ab viany

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. Babar      Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE      Years/Semester : 2 - 2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist), A.P.

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s) Provide extra fests and Culturals.

| Date | Name of Student | Signature |
|---|---|---|
| 10-06-2024 | M. Bobas | |

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA
**NEC** ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: D Naga Revathi      Course: B.TECH

Department: CSE      Years/Semester: 2-2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following 8

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \| ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (\| ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (\| ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \| ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10\6\24
Date

D·Naga Levathi
Name of Student

D.Naga Revathi
10 |6|24)
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G.L.V. Prasad

Course : B-Tech

Department : C.S.E

Years/Semester : III/II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | |
| 7 | Overall rating | |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( • ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 10/6/2024

G. L. V. Prasad
Name of Student

G. [signature]
Signature

IQAC [stamp]

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: D.Jagadeesh      Course: B-Tech

Department: CSE      Years/Semester: 3/2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | |
| 7 | Overall rating | |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10|6|24

Date

D. Jagadeesh

Name of Student

D. Jagadeesh

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: G. Gayathri

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 3-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| √9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | √ |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | |
| 7 | Overall rating | |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 10|6|24          Name of Student          Signature

G. Gayathri          G. Gayathri

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: D.Teja Charan     Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE     Years/Semester: III - II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date 10|6|24          Name of Student  D.Teja Charan          Signature Teja choura

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Manasa Devi                    Course : B.Tech

Department : IT                          Years/Semester : II 2/1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 001
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

31/08/24
Date

P. Manasa Devi
Name of Student

P. Manasa
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk. Nurjahan     Course : B.Tech

Department : IT     Years/Semester : 2/1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( | ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( | ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( | ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( | ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( | ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

31/08/24

Name of Student

Sk.Nurjahan

Signature

Sk.Nurjahan

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : SHAIK. HUSNA      Course : B-Tech

Department : AI      Years/Semester : II / I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

31/08/2024

Date

SK·Husna

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Naga Sai

Course : CAI

Department : AI

Years/Semester : 2 - I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date
31-08-2024

Name of Student
P. Naga Sai

Signature
P. Na

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V. Sai triveni chandrika          Course : Btech

Department : CAI          Years/Semester : II/I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ∤ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ı ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ı ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

31|08|24
Date

V. Sai triveni chandrika
Name of Student

V.S.T chandrika
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Guggilam . Subbarao          Course : C AI-

Department : AI          Years/Semester : 2 II-I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31/08/24          Name of Student  G. Subba Rao          Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 : 24

Name : M. Prakesh

Course : B.Tech.

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : IV / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 26/08/24          Name of Student M. Praalcash          M. Prakash

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 ; 24

Name : BOMALLI MAHESH          Course : B · TECH

Department : MECHANICAL        Years/Semester : III / I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 24|08|2013          Name of Student B. Mahesh

B. Mahesh.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023:24

Name: A. SAI SRINIVAS          Course: B.TECH

Department: MECHANICAL          Years/Semester: IV | I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 26/08/2023     Name of Student A. Sai srinivas

A. Sai Srinivas.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023:24

Name: ANGIREKULA VEERANJANEYULU  Course: B.TECH

Department: MECANICAL  Years/Semester: III rd / I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date 24/08/2023          Name of Student A.Veeranjaneyulu.          Signature A.Veeranjaneyulu.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023:24

Name : A. GOPI RAJU

Course : B. TECH

Department : MECHANICAL

Years/Semester : IV | I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 26|08|2023          Name of Student A. Gopi Raju          A. Gopiraju
                                                              Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

**Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:**

Name : K. Navya

Course : (CSE) B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | ✓ |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | |
| 7 | Overall rating | |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10 - 6 - 24

Date

K.Navya

Name of Student

K.Navya

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Suvarshitha

Course : B-tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3-2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 10/6/24          Name of Student K·suvarshitha          Signature Suvarshitla

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Thirupatamma     Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE     Years/Semester : 2 - 2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 001
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10 - 6- 24

Date

K. Thirupatamma

Name of Student

K. Th

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: B·Uma Bhavani     Course: B-Tech

Department: CSE     Years/Semester: 2-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 2 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 2 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

10 - 06 - 2024
Date

B·umaBhavani
Name of Student

B·umaBhavani
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET.
Guntur (Dist.)

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Keerthana Divya

Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 2-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10-06-24

Date

G. keerthanaDivya

Name of Student

G. KeerthanaDiya.

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Gaddam·Meghana    Course : B·Tech

Department : CSE    Years/Semester : 2-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10-06-24
Date

Gooddam. Meghana
Name of Student

G. Meghana
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: B. Srinivas

Course: B.Tech

Department: Mechanical

Years/Semester: IV / I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 2 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 2 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 26|08|2023       Name of Student *B. Srinivas*       *B. Srinivas*
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : *Vutla kishose*          Course : B·Tech

Department : *Mechanical*       Years/Semester : III/I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 24/08/23          Name of Student V. Kishore          V. Kishore
                                                            Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Bandlamui Nagabasu      Course : B·Teh

Department : Mechanical      Years/Semester : II'/I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ↑ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ↑ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ↓ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ↑ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ↑ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/08/23      Name of Student      Signature

B. Nagaraju      B. Nagaraju

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Naveen      Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical     Years/Semester : lv / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date
25/08/23

Name of Student
K. Naveen

Signature
K. Naveen

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: **Datti chandu**          Course: **B.Tech**

Department: **Mechanical**          Years/Semester: **Iv/I**

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date                                Name of Student                        Signature

25/08/28                          D. chandu                                D. chandu.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Y.Anjali  
Department: AI  
Course: B.Tech  
Years/Semester: IV/I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL  
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE  
(AUTONOMOUS)  
NARASARAOPET - 522 601  
Guntur (Dist), A.P.

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 31|8|24

Y. Anjali
Name of Student

Y. Anjali
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Shaik Appapuram Firoz  Course: B.Tech

Department: Information Technology  Years/Semester: 3/1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

31/01/2024

Date

Shaik Appapuram Firoz

Name of Student

Sk. A. Firoz

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Sk.Saidavali          Course: B.Tech

Department: Information Technology     Years/Semester: III – I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

31-8-2024

Date

Shaik. Saidavali

Name of Student

Sk. Saidavali

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**
**(AUTONOMOUS)**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G.Suneetha      Course : B.Tech

Department : IT      Years/Semester : III – I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

31-8-24
Date

G. Suneetha
Name of Student

G. Aunethe
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : SK. Shabeena          Course : IT

Department :                 Years/Semester : 3rd/1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31/08/24          Name of Student SK Shabeena          Signature Sk.Shabeena.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024 – 2025

Name : K. Anjani

Course : B.Tech

Department : IT

Years/Semester : 3/1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

31/8/24
Date

Name of Student K.Anjani

Signature Anjani

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. Meghana                    Course : B.Tech

Department : IT                      Years/Semester : 3/1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date
31/8/24

Name of Student
M. Meghana

Signature
Meghana.

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Aisha Suleiman Shaik    Course : CSE(AI) B-Tech

Department : CSE (AI)    Years/Semester : 3-1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 31|8|24

Aïsha Suleiman shaik
Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**
(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Jyothi

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE(A3)

Years/Semester : 3/I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ❢ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

31/08/24

Date

Avula Jyothi

Name of Student

A-Jyothi

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: G. Bhavan kausik    Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE(AI)    Years/Semester: IV / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31|08|24

G. Bhavan Kausik
Name of Student

G.B. Kausik
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Gopi Krishna    Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE - AI    Years/Semester : IV / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

31/08/24

G. Gopi Krishna

G. Gopi krish

Date

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. Gopi

Course : B-Tech

Department : CSEC A2).

Years/Semester : IV /I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET . 5 . 501
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31/08/24          Name of Student  M. GOPI          Signature

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : 22471A4351

Course : B.tech

Department : CSE(A2)

Years/Semester : III/1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET . .
Guntur (Dist.) . . .

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ﻉ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ﻟ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31|8|24

Saheda shaik
Name of Student

Soheda
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**(AUTONOMOUS)**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: M. Sasanth          Course: B.TECH

Department: AI          Years/Semester: 3rd year 1st semester

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date  31|8|24

Motupalli Sasanth
Name of Student

D.Cetanlh
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: K Venkata Sumanth          Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE(AI)          Years/Semester: 3rd year I Semester

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 52 : 304
Guntur (Dist.).

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

1/09/2024
Date

K. Venkata Sumanth
Name of Student

K. Sumanth
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Ch.Mahesh     Course : SAI(DS) B.Tech

Department : AI     Years/Semester : 3/1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

31-8-24

Name of Student

Ch:-Mahresh

Signature

chiredish.

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: C. Narendra Kumar     Course: B.Tech

Department: AI     Years/Semester: IV | I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

31/08/2024
Date

C. Narendra Kumar
Name of Student

C. Navy
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : R. Sandeep      Course : B-Tech /CSE

Department : CSE      Years/Semester : II | I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

25|10|2024

Date

R. Sandeep

Name of Student

Signature 25/10

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name :                                          Course :

Department :                                    Years/Semester :

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

25/10/24

Date

Sk Gousia parveen

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : S. Rahul          Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE         Years/Semester : II / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 3 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 5 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 5 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 5 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 4 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 4 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (3) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (3) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (4) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (4) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (5) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 5 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

25/10/2024.
Date

Name of Student  S. Rahul

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.)

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : D.Jyothi                   Course : MCA

Department : MCA                  Years/Semester : II nd (1 Sem)

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

24-9-24
Date

D.Jyothi
Name of Student

D.Jyli
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## NEC
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : KONAKANDLA. SWAPNAKUMAR  Course : MCA

Department : MCA  Years/Semester : 4) [1 Sem]

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24 – 9 – 2024          Name of Student  K. Swapna kumari          K. Swapna kumari.
                                                                                          Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Sai Krishna　　　　Course : MCA

Department : MCA　　　　Years/Semester : 2nd Year 1st sem

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 24-9-24          Name of Student : T.Sai Kishraz          Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Y. Rajamohan Reddy        Course : MCA

Department : MCA        Years/Semester : II 1st sem

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24-9-24      Name of Student Y. Rajamohan Reddy      Signature Y.Rajaa

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: G Sujitha

Course: B 2-2 – ECPC

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 2 - 2

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student G. Sujitha

G. Sujitha

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: Sk. Hazeera      Course: 2-2, ADC

Department: ECE      Years/Semester: 2-2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

SK. Flazeera
Name of Student

Sk Jlazeesp
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P,

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NEC

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : D. yamuna      Course : IOT

Department : ECE      Years/Semester : 2-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D-yamuna
Name of Student

D-yamuna
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : K. Gnaneswari     Course : EDC

Department : E·C·E     Years/Semester : II | II

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Gnaneswar.
Name of Student

K. Gnaneswar.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: K Bala Prasanna Umadevi Course: ECPC

Department: ECE          Years/Semester: 2-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student  *R. Bala Prasanna Umadevi*

*R. Umadevi*
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## NEC
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: D. veera brahmachari  Course: Analog and digital communication

Department: ECE  Years/Semester: II - II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

## IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

Name of Student

D. Veena brahmechari

Signature

D.V. Brahmechari

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

**NEC**

**Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:** 2023-24

Name : P. Akshay          Course : EMWTL

Department : ECE          Years/Semester : 2-2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 6 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Akshay
Name of Student

P. Akshay
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : P. KavyaSree      Course : ADC

Department : ECE      Years/Semester : II/II

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student  P. KavyaSree

P. Kavyasree
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Kotha Gopi                    Course : B-Tech

Department : Mehanical              Years/Semester : IV/I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

25/08/23

Name of Student

K. GOR

Signature

14.Grop.

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: D. Joseph

Course: B-Tech

Department: Mechanical

Years/Semester: IV / I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 26/08/24

D. Joseph
Name of Student

D. Joseph.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: G. Sandeep.    Course: B-Tech

Department: Mechanical    Years/Semester: IV/I

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 26/08/24

G. Sandeep
Name of Student

G. Sandeep.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## NEC
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 –24.

Name: B. Sai ganesh.    Course: B-Tech.

Department: Mechanical    Years/Semester: IV/I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist) A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date - 26/08/24

B. Sai Ganesh.
Name of Student

B. Sai Ganesh.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: KATTA. MAHESWAR   Course: B-Tech.

Department: Mechanical   Years/Semester: III/I.

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 501.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 26/08/2024.    Name of Student K. Maheswar

K. Maheswar.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPET ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

**Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24 .**

Name: NUTHAKKI . RAKESH.    Course: B-Tech .

Department: Mechanical     Years/Semester: IV / I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/08/2024 .       Name of Student N. RAKESH .       S. Rakesh
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: E·kumar Pradeep     Course: B·tech

Department: C·S·E     Years/Semester: I-I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

E. Kuman Pradeep

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## NEC
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Subbu

Course : B.Tech

Department : C.S.E

Years/Semester : I - I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

24

Date

Name of Student K. Subbu.

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 6t
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**(AUTONOMOUS)**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: D. Venkata Vinod     Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE     Years/Semester: 1 – 1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student   D. Venkata Vinod

D. Vinod

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: D. Uday kauthik                Course: B.Tech

Department: C-S-E                    Years/Semester: I - I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

D·uday karthik
Name of Student

D·karthik
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: S.v. Sadwik

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: I/I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date                    Name of Student                    Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

**NEC**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 – 24

Name : A. Nagurbi

Course : DIP (3-2) B. Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : III – II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CO
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 60
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

A. Nagurbi
Name of Student

A. Nagurbi
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IOAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : A. Sravya.  Course : B.Tech.D I P (3-2)

Department : ECE  Years/Semester : III - II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

A·Sravya

A·Sravya.

Date

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: Sk.S.Moulali      Course: B-Tech (LDICA)

Department: ECE      Years/Semester: 3-1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

SK. S Moulali

Name of Student

Sk S Moulali

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: A. LAKSHMI HARSHITHA    Course: B.TECH

Department: CIVIL    Years/Semester: III / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

iQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 27|9|2023          Name of Student  A.L. HARSHITHA          Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : D. Mirrama

Department : Civil

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : III/II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 22/9/2023     Name of Student D. Memoma     Signature D. Memogg

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 24

Name : P. tvirnadn                    Course : B.tech

Department : CSE - Cyber security    Years/Semester : 2/2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**(AUTONOMOUS)**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: B. Jagadeesh          Course: B-Tech

Department: CSE (Cyber Security)     Years/Semester: 2/2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( () ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ( ) ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student
B·Jagodeesh

Signature
B·Jagodeesh

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : G Ganesh                    Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE(cyber Security)        Years/Semester : 2/2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

G. Ganesh

Name of Student

G. Ganesh

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: P. Sudhakar Babu    Course: B.Tech

Department: Data Science    Years/Semester: IV-II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA Engineering
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

P. sudhakar Babu

Signature

P. Sudhakar babu

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: B.v. Siva Reddy        Course: B.Tech

Department: Data Science      Years/Semester: II / II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.**Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.**The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.**Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date          Name of Student          Signature

B. v. Siva Reddy

B. v. Siva Reddy

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: P sai Sravanthi      Course: B. Tech

Department: Data Science      Years/Semester: II - P

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

P. Sai Sravanthi

Signature

P.sai Sravanthi

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: B Ashok

Course: B.Tech

Department: Data Science

Years/Semester: II - II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date        Name of Student        Signature

B. Ashok

B. Ashok

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : D.Satish.                     Course : B.Tech.

Department : C.S.E.                   Years/Semester : III / II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good ✓ | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | |
| 7 | Overall rating | |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10/06/2024

Date

D. satish.

Name of Student

D. satish.

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: G. chaitanya          Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE          Years/Semester: 3-2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10-6-2024
Date

Name of Student

G. chaitanya
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K Ni Khitha

Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3-2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10|6|2024
Date

K. Nikhitha
Name of Student

K. Nikhitha
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: CHATTI MURALI KRISH  Course: B-Tech.

Department: Mechanical  Years/Semester: III/I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/08/2023      Name of Student CH. Murali krishna      ch.murali krishna
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC** 

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 – 2024

Name: P. Anjali                    Course: CSD

Department: Data science          Years/Semester: I/II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 5 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 5 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 4 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 6 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Anjali
Name of Student

P. Anjali
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

**Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:** 2023 – 24

Name : B. Maha Srinivasa Rao          Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE (DATA SCIENCE)          Years/Semester : I/II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 – 2024

Name : P. Teja

Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE (Data Science)

Years/Semester : I/II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

P. Teja
Name of Student

P. Teja
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 – 2024

Name : B. Nagalah                    Course : STLD

Department : ECE                     Years/Semester : II / 2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

B . Nagalah

Date                    Name of Student                                    Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name : K. Budu

Course : EDC

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : 2-1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date                    Name of Student                    Signature

K. Indu                                K. John

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name : Buale . Asha    Course : STLD

Department : ECE    Years/Semester : 2 - 1

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| -1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date                     Name of Student   Burule. Asha             Signature   Asha

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : N. mohana Rao                    Course : EDC

Department : Electronics and communication    Years/Semester : II / I
engineering

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

N. Mohana Rao

Date        Name of Student           Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522.601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: P. Meghanadh          Course: SS

Department: ECE          Years/Semester: II | I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

P. Meghanadh

Date        Name of Student        Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPET ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: M. Uday kiran     Course: SS

Department: ECE     Years/Semester: II II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

M. Uday kiran
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

**Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:** 2023-24

Name : V. Lakshmi priya Reddy    Course : EDC

Department : ECE    Years/Semester : 2-1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ↑ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( l ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ı ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ı ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ı ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

V.lakshmi priyaReddy
Name of Student

V.priyReddy
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: J.Madhavi

Course: 2-1, EDC

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 2-1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

iQAC

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

T. Madhavi
Name of Student

J. Madhavi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: L. Srinivasa Rao　　　Course: II-I EDC

Department: ECE　　　Years/Semester: II-I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

L. Srinivasa Rao

L. Shrinuvasahao

Date           Name of Student          Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**(AUTONOMOUS)**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 23-24

Name: A. Yasaswi    Course: 3rd SS

Department: ECE    Years/Semester: 2 – 1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( / ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( / ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student : A. Yasaswi

A.Yasaswi

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name : VELAHALA-HARIPRASAD   Course : SS

Department : ECE                    Years/Semester : II-I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \ ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2) |

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

Name of Student
V. Hari prasad

Signature
V Hari prasad

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: I. Harsha Vardhan    Course: SS

Department: ECE    Years/Semester: II, I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date                         Name of Student                         Signature

T. Harsha

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

**Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:** 2023-2024

Name: A. Philomin Paul    Course: EDC

Department: ECE    Years/Semester: II/I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student     A. Fhitominpaul.

Signature     A.P.Paul.

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K·Jahnavi Sai Priya     Course : BTech

Department : CSE     Years/Semester : 3-2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 10|6|2024    Name of Student  K-Jahnavi sai Priya

K· Jahnavi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: P. Lakshmi Pranathi     Course: S&S

Department: ECE     Years/Semester: II - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Lakshmi Parathi
Name of Student

P.L. Parathi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : Sk·Nasrin      Course : B.Tech , ADC

Department : ECE      Years/Semester : 2:2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Sk·Nasrin Sultana

Date                    Name of Student

Sk·Nasrin

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: P. Srinivasachari     Course: EMWTL

Department: ECE     Years/Semester: 2:2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

P. Srinivasachari.

Date         Name of Student         Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**

**(AUTONOMOUS)**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name : A. Samba Siva Rao     Course : ADC

Department : ECE     Years/Semester : II - II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

A . Samba Siva Rao

Date                    Name of Student                         Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Rajeswari     Course : B.Tech

Department : Computer Science Engineering (CSE)   Years/Semester : II / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 5 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 6 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 6 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 5 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

25-10-2024
Date

K. Rajeswari
Name of Student

K. Rajeswari
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Shyam

Course : B·Tech / CSE

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : II / I

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

25/10/2024

Date

A. shyam

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk. Jasmine      Course : MBA

Department : MBA      Years/Semester : 2-1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

11-9-24

Date

Sk·Jasmine

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: St. Rafi

Course: M.B A

Department: M.B.A

Years/Semester: 2.1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 11/9/24       Name of Student SE.Rafi       Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Sahithi suma          Course : MBA

Department : MBA               Years/Semester : 2.1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

11/9/24

Date

G. Sohithisuma

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Pavan Kumar

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : 2nd yr III Sem

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 1- 9- 24

G. Paone

Name of Student

G. Paone

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: NAIDU GOPI     Course: MBA

Department: MBA     Years/Semester: IInd year/ first sem

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 11-9-24        Name of Student NAIDU GOPI        Signature GOPI
                                                              N. GOPI

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: YARRAGUDDI. DEVADASU          Course: M.B.A

Department: M.B.A          Years/Semester: 11 / I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date **11/09/24**

**Y. Devadasu**
Name of Student

**Y. Devadasu**
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: BALIJEPALLI BRAHMAIAH   Course: M.B.A

Department: M.B.A   Years/Semester: II / I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good ✓ | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

12-09-24
Date

B.Brahmaiah
Name of Student

R.Brahmaiah
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Akhil Babu    Course : MBA

Department : MBA    Years/Semester : II 1st

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 2 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

11/09/2024

Date

P. Akhil Babu

Name of Student

P. Akhil Babu

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: P. Sadhik       Course: Btech

Department: CSE(AIML)      Years/Semester: 2-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P·Sadhik
Name of Student

P·Sadhik
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : K. Ganesh Kumar     Course : B-tech

Department : CSE (AI&ML)     Years/Semester : II - II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date        Name of Student        Signature

K. Ganesh kumar        K. Ganesh.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

**Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:** 2023-24.

Name : VULA VALA ANIL          Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE (AI&ML)        Years/Semester : 2-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student     VULAVALA ANIL

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: K. Srivatta Rao          Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE(AI&ML)          Years/Semester: II-II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

Name of Student

K. Eswara Rao

Signature

K. Eswara Rao

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: G. Ramyasri      Course: B.tech

Department: CSE      Years/Semester: I- I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

G. Ramyasri

Signature

G. Ramyasri

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: M.Dharani lakshmi      Course: B-Tech

Department: CSE      Years/Semester: I - I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**10.**Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**11.**The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**12.**Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

M. Dharani lakshmi

Name of Student

M. Dharani

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**(AUTONOMOUS)**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : U. Naga Harshitha          Course : B. Tech

Department : C.S.E          Years/Semester : 1-1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

U Naga Harshitha
Name of Student

U NagaHarshitha,
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Sk. Sadiya tabassum     Course: B'Tech

Department: CSE     Years/Semester: 1 - 1

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sk. Sadiya tabassum
Name of Student

Sk. Sadiya tabassum
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G·Lurdhu Sowmya          Course : B.tech

Department : CSE          Years/Semester : I - I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

G. Lurdhu Sowmya

Name of Student

G. Lurdhu Sowmya.

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Himasree. Veeravalli     Course : B.Tech

Department : C.S.E     Years/Semester : 1/1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 ✓ | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | . ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

V. Himasree
Name of Student

Himasree.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk. Yasmin Taj          Course : B. tech

Department : C.S.E          Years/Semester : 1/1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

___

Date

Name of Student
SK. Yasmin Taj

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G.Harshitha sri        Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE        Years/Semester : 1 - 1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G. Harshitha Sri
Name of Student

G. Harshitha Sri
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Mylavarapu Lakshmi Gayathri    Course :  B.Tech

Department : CSE    Years/Semester :  I/I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was ✓

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

M. L. Gayathri.
Name of Student

Gayathri
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Sk. Nagoorbee      Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE      Years/Semester: I | I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

SK·Nagoorbee
Name of Student

SK·Nagoorbee
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Venkata Sravanthi. M          Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE          Years/Semester: 1 - 1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

M.v.Sravanthi

Date

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : **Shaik Mahilyaz**  Course : **B.Tech**

Department : **C.S.E**  Years/Semester : **I - I**

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student    Sk. Mahilyaz

Signature    Sk. Mahilyaz

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Sidhu    Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE    Years/Semester : I-I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

P. Sidhu
Name of Student

P. sidhu
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A.S N.V sasank      Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE      Years/Semester : I-I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

APPAPURAPU SHANMUKA NAGA VENKATA SASANK

Signature

A Sasank

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**(AUTONOMOUS)**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : Sd.Shajitha    Course : B-tech

Department : Cyber Security    Years/Semester : I st - II nd

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sd·Shajitha

Name of Student

Sd·Shajitha

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## NEC
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: S. NagaSaraswathi     Course: B-tech

Department: Cyber Security     Years/Semester: Ist - IInd

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

S. N. Saraswathi
Name of Student

S. N. Saraswathi
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk. Osman      Course : B.Tech

Department : Cyber Security      Years/Semester : I - II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

Sk. Usmana.
Name of Student

Sk. Usman
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: Rizwana. Shaik                     Course: EDC

Department: E.C.E                        Years/Semester: II-I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Rizwana.Shaik
Name of Student

Rizwana.Sk.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: St-sadhila

Course: Analog digital communication

Department: ELE

Years/Semester: II-I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sk.sadhika
Name of Student

Sk.sadhika
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: *cusuleman-ushaik*                    Course: IOT

Department: ECE                    Years/Semester: 2/2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

suleman. alhaik
Name of Student

Suleman-Shaik
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : Sk. Sabirunnisa                    Course : ECPC

Department : ECE                          Years/Semester : 2 : 2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student  Sk. Sabirunnisa

Signature  Sk. Sabirunnisa

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year 2023/24

Name: 23171 P.Sai

Course: ADC

Department: E.C.E

Years/Semester: 2-2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date        Name of Student        Signature

P. Sai

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year 2023-24

Name: TS. Bhargav                Course: ECPC

Department: ECE                  Years/Semester: II / II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | |
| 7 | Overall rating | |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

R. Bhargav

Name of Student

R Bhargav

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name : T. Brahma Reddy      Course : ADC

Department : ECE      Years/Semester : II-II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

T. Brahma Reddy

Name of Student

T. Brahma Reddy

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2024

Name: G. Thrisony                     Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE                       Years/Semester: III - I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good ✓ | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Nothing

25/10/24
Date

Thrisony
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Ch.Anjali　　　　　　　　　　Course :

Department : CSE　　　　　　　　　Years/Semester : III - 1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 6 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 6 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 6 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 6 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 6 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)   No

---

25-10-24
Date

Ch. Anjali
Name of Student

Anjali
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : N.Vignesh                     Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE                     Years/Semester : III/1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 25|10|24

Name of Student N.Vignesh

N Vignesh
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : N. Ashok      Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE      Years/Semester : III / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ٢ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date 25/10/24        Name of Student  N. Ashok        Signature  Ashok

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Shaik Mohammad Thaheer    Course : B.tech

Department : CSE    Years/Semester : III / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/10/2026    Sk. Mohammad Thaheer
                    Name of Student

                    Sk Md Thaheer
                    Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Chamundeswari    Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE    Years/Semester : 3rd / Ist

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 5 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 6 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

25/10/24

Date

A.Chamundeswari

Name of Student

A.chamundeswari

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Jayamma          Course :

Department : CSE          Years/Semester : 3rd 1st

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 6 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 6 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/10/24

K. Jaya.
Name of Student

K. Jaya.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Durga Bhavani

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3rd, 1st sem

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25|10|24

T. Dugga Bhavani
Name of Student

Durgaldravay
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Ch. John wesley          Course : CSE

Department : CSE                 Years/Semester : III / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 5 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 5 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date                          Name of Student                          Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K.Sowjanya     Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE     Years/Semester : 3-1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 5 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 4 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 5 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 6 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (3) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

25|10|24

Date

K. Sowjanya

Name of Student

K sowjanya

Signature

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : S. DOLA SANKAR      Course : IT

Department : IT      Years/Semester : IV - I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31 | 08 | 2024

S. Dola Sankar
Name of Student

S. Dl&b7.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: T.Yogendra

Course: IT

Department: IT

Years/Semester: 4/1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31|8|2024      Name of Student T. Yogendra      J. Yogendra
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NA...
...

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NEC

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: K. Hemanth

Course: I T

Department: IT

Years/Semester: IV - I

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31-8-24          Name of Student K.Hemanth          Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V. Ravalika

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE (AI)

Years/Semester : IV | I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 31|8|24

V. Ravalika
Name of Student

V. Ravalika
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (DIST), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M.kavya

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE(AI)

Years/Semester : IV / I

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31/08/24

M. Kavya
Name of Student

Signature M. Kavya

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

IV & II

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : S. Poorna bhanu Prasad    Course : M.B.A

Department : M.B.A    Years/Semester : 2 – 1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( | ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( | ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( | ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

11/04/24

Date

S. Pooana bhanu Prasad

Name of Student

S. Pooana

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk. Subhani

Course : M.B.A

Department : M.B.A

Years/Semester : 9.1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

---

11/09/2024
Date

Sk. Subhani
Name of Student

Subhani
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**(AUTONOMOUS)**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Jaya Vyshnavi

Course : B.tech (VLSI)

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : III – II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

P. Jaya Vyshnavi
Name of Student

P. vyshnavi
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## NEC
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023- 2024

Name : A. Santan Kumar

Course : B-Tech (3_2 Semester) VLSI Designing

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : 3_2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

A. Santan kumar

Name of Student

A. Santan kumar.

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name : N. Madhu sudhan Rao          Course : B.Tech [VLSI]

Department : ECE          Years/Semester : 3-2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student — N.Madhu Sodhan Rao

Signature — N.Madhu

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## NEC
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name: B. Anilkumar    Course: B.Tech    (DSP 3-2)

Department: ECE    Years/Semester: 3-2

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

B. Anil kumar
Name of Student

B. Anil kumar
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Nagaiah  Course : B.Tech

Department : Computer Science & Engg  Years/Semester : III, I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 4 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 5 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 6 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 2 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 6 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 9 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

27/10/24
Date

T. Nagadh
Name of Student

E. Davi
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET · 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : U. Pavitra

Course : CSE (B-TECH)

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3rd year 1st semester

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25|10|24          Name of Student  U.pavitxa

u.pavitxa
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Shaik.Sumaya  
Course : B.Tech

Department : C.S.E  
Years/Semester : III -I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 6 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|------|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|------|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|------|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|------|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL  
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE  
(AUTONOMOUS)  
NARASARAOPET - 522 601  
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date : 25|10|24     Name of Student : Shaik.Sumaya     Signature : SK.Sumaya

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

**NEC**

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Varsha          Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE          Years/Semester : 3rd – 1st semester

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 6 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 6 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 6 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

iQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLL
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPE 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

25/10/24

Date

K. Vamsha

Name of Student

K. Vamsha

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : C. Dhanusha                     Course : cse

Department : CS e                      Years/Semester : III – I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D·Dhanusha
Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NAHASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: G.Vasa Prasad     Course: B.tech

Department: CSE (AIML)     Years/Semester: II - I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( | ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( | ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

A. Vara Prasad
Name of Student

G. Vara Prasad
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

**Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:** 2023-24

Name: Lingamall Vasu Deva Siva Sai Subbarao     Course: CSE (Cyber Security) B.tech

Department: CSE (Cyber Security)     Years/Semester: 2/2

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date            L.vasudeva SivaSai Subbarao      L. Jamdeva

                       Name of Student                      Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Lakkipogu Satthish     Course : M.B.A

Department : M.B.A     Years/Semester : 2nd III Sem

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ✓ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ) ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

11/9/2024
Date

L. Satish
Name of Student

L. Satish
Signature

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sd. Anwar     Course : M. B.A

Department : M.BA     Years/Semester : 2.1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

iQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( \ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( | ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ⋎ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( | ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 11/9/2024    Name of Student  Sd.Anwar    Sd. Anwar.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: P. Ajay          Course: MBA

Department: MBA        Years/Semester: 2.1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

iQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

11/9/2024
Date

Name of Student   P. Ajay

P. Ajay
Signature

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## NEC

### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: PINDRALA SAIKUMAR      Course: M.B.A

Department: M.B.A      Years/Semester: II | I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (✓) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 11/9/24

Name of Student
P. Saikumar

P. Saikuma
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : Shaik. KhusanBi

Course : B.Tech

Department : Cyber Security

Years/Semester : I<sup>st</sup> - II<sup>nd</sup>

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

*Shaik. Khuran Bi*

Name of Student

*Sk. khuran Bi*

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: Sk. Amanulla

Course: B.Tech

Department: Cyber Security

Years/Semester: I$^{st}$ - II$^{nd}$

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 6 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 6 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 6 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

SK. Amanulla
Name of Student

SK Aman
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name: K. Nandini      Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE (DATA SCIENCE)    Years/Semester: I / II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 10 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 08 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 10 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 10 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 08 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 10 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 08 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

1/10/24
Date

K. Nandini
Name of Student

K. Nandini
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 -24

Name : Sk. Dilshad

Course : Data science

Department : CSE ( DataScience)

Years/Semester : I/II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 5 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 4 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 5 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 6 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 5 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Sk. Dilshad

Date                        Name of Student                        Signature

Sk. Dilshad

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Sai Manikanta      Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE      Years/Semester : I/I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

K. SaiManikanta

K. Sai Manikanta

Date

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V.Sasidhar

Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1  ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1  ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1  ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1  ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1  ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

V. Sasidhar
Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M . Jeevan Sai          Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE          Years/Semester : I - I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Charan      Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE      Years/Semester : 1–1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student  B.charan

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: CH. Sriram  Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE  Years/Semester: 1-1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date                    Name of Student  CH. Sriram                    Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**
(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Durga Prasad

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : II nd Year [1st Sem]

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/9/24     Name of Student C. Durga Prasad     Signature G. Durga Prasad

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: M. Bhargavi                    Course: MCA

Department: MCA                    Years/Semester: II (1sem)

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date  24/9/2024     Name of Student  M. Bhargavi     Signature  M. Bhan ce

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : **K. Anusha**     Course : **M.C.A**

Department : **MCA**     Years/Semester : **II (1 sem)**

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date :- 24-9-2024      Name of Student  K. Anusha

K. Anusha
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Putta Harika

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : II [1st sem]

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24-09-2024     Name of Student  P.Harika

P.Harika
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K.Anil                     Course : B.Tech

Department : EEE              Years/Semester : II/II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

Name of Student — K Anil

Signature — Anil

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Y. Shreya

Course : B.Tech

Department : EEE

Years/Semester : II – II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Y.Sreeja
Name of Student

Sreeja
Signature

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : J. Sri bharath       Course : B·Tech

Department : EEE       Years/Semester : III / I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

J. ssibharath
Name of Student

J.srilbharath
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : S. Indupriya

Course : B. Tech

Department : EEE

Years/Semester : 3 - 1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

S. Indupriya
Name of Student

S. Indupriya.
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: G. Anusha Glory     Course: B.Tech

Department: EEE     Years/Semester: (I) - II

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G. Anosha Glory
Name of Student

G. Anusha
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk Mohammad Irfan     Course : B. Tech

Department : EEE     Years/Semester : III / II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Sk Mohammad Irfan

S.M. Irfan

Date

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Teja babu Panga

Course : B-TECH

Department : EEE

Years/Semester : IV – 1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

Name of Student  Teja babu

Signature

IOAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. SUPRIYA

Course : B.Tech

Department : EEE

Years/Semester : IV – 1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

M. SUPRIYA
Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V ramya        Course : B·Tech

Department : EEE        Years/Semester : 4 – 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student
9.Yamya

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : PRAVALLIKA CHINNLI          Course : MCA

Department : MCA          Years/Semester : II [ 1st sem ]

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24-8-2024     Name of Student Ch. pravaliika     *Pravaliika ch.*
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 24

Name: P. Madhavi

Course: B.Tech, (VLSID)

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 3-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student  P. Madhavi

P. Madhavi
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: G. Lakshmi Manasa          Course: 3=2 B-Tech (VLSID)

Department: ECE          Years/Semester: 3-2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 5 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 5 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G.Lakshmi Manasa
Name of Student

G. Lakshmi Manasa
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

**Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:** 2,023 -2024

Name: K. Bhagyasri

Course: III -II (B·Tech) (VLSI design)

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 3rd year / 2nd semester.

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 5 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K·Bhagyasri

Name of Student

K·Bhagyasri

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CO.
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: N. Thanyi

Course: III - II (B-Tech)(VLSID)

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 3rd year/ 2nd semester

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 5 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

Name of Student

N. Thangi

Signature

N. Thangi

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: M Lakshmi pavithra

Course: B.Tech (DIP)

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 3rd year, 2nd sem

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 3 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Makkena Lakshmi pavithra
Name of Student

m. Lakshmi pavithra
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name: G.Lakshmidurga                  Course: B.Tech (D)

Department: ECE                       Years/Semester: 3-1 (DIP)

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.R.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G.Caleshmidurga
Name of Student

G.Caleshmidurg
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.),

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : G.Bhanu.Satyanarayana     Course : B.Tech (VLSI)

Department : ECE     Years/Semester : IV / II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G.Bhanu.satyanarayana

Name of Student

G.B

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: M. Jyothi

Course: B.Tech (MWOC)

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 3rd Year, 2 Semester

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (3) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. .

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

M. Jyothi
Name of Student

M. Jyothi
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: Shaik. Mohiddin basha          Course: B.Tech (VSLID)

Department: ECE          Years/Semester: 3/2

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLL
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET : 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good ✔ | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sk. Mohiddin basha

Name of Student

Sk.

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: Dhanyasi Mukesh     Course: B. Tech (MWOC)

Department: ECE     Years/Semester: 3-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 ✓ | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D.Mukesh
Name of Student

D.Mukesh
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : Pasupuleti Manohar     Course : ECE BoTech (MWOC)

Department : ECE     Years/Semester : 3-2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

P. Manohar

P. Manohar.

Date        Name of Student        Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name : Palle-Tiyumala Svannarayar Course : B. Tech (MPMC)

Department : ECE          Years/Semester : VII/II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. T Sivannarayana.

Name of Student

P. TS. Narayana.

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-24

Name: O. Swathi

Course: B.Tech (OOPSTJ)

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( | ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student
O. Swathi

Signature

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name : P. Durga panchalshai       Course : B.Tech VLSI Design (3-2)

Department : ECE       Years/Semester : III - II sem

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

P. Durga panchakshari
Name of Student

P. Durg Ruchakshri
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 – 2024

Name : K·Greeshmanjali      Course : B·tech Civil

Department : Civil      Years/Semester : II – II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 29-10-2023        Name of Student  K·Greeshmanjali        K.Greeshmanjali
                                                              Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Sd. Safina                    Course: B.Tech

Department: EEE                     Years/Semester: 2-1

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 4 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 5 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 5 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 4 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 5 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 5 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 5 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sd. Safira
Name of Student

Sd. Safira
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: P. Naga Sujitha                  Course: Btech

Department: EEE                        Years/Semester: 2-1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 4 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 5 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 5 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 5 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 4 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 5 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 5 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Naga Sujitha

Name of Student

P. Sujitha.

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B Ravi Kumar      Course : B Tech

Department : EEE      Years/Semester : 2-1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 6 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 6 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

B. Ravi Kumar
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B.Dhanalakshmi      Course : B.Tech

Department : AI      Years/Semester : 2.II/I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ✓ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ✓ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ✓ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ✓ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ✓ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date                    Name of Student                       Signature

31/08/2024          B.Dhanalakshmi          B.Dhanalakshmi

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Rallabandi. Sai Swapna    Course: MCA

Department: MCA      Years/Semester: II (1 sem)

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/09/24     Name of Student Rallabandi. Sai Swapna   Signature Swapna.

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: M. Bhargavi                    Course: MCA

Department: MCA                      Years/Semester: II (sem)

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( I ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date: 24/9/2024    Name of Student   M . Bhargavi    Signature  M. Bharu.

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Ajay kumar      Course : MCA

Department : MCA      Years/Semester : IInd year 1st sem

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/09/2024    Name of Student B. Ajaykumar    Signature B.Ajay

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Manohar Babu                  Course : MCA

Department : MCA                        Years/Semester : Second year 1st sem

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date 24/09/2024     Name of Student G.manohar babu     G. manohar
                                                          Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET – 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Ch Mani Kanta     Course: MCA

Department: MCA     Years/Semester: 2nd/1st sem

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

QAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/09/24

Ch Manikanta
Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC**
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Sk. Nagur Jani  
Course: MCA

Department: MCA  
Years/Semester: 2nd / 1st sem

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

iQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/09/24       Name of Student Sh. Nagul Jani       Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: M.KUMAR RAJA     Course: MCA

Department: MCA     Years/Semester: 2nd/1 Sem

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ١ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ١ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ١ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( ١ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/9/24          Name of Student  M. KUMAR RAJA          Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Nabendra reddy

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : 2nd 1st Sem.

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/09/2024    Name of Student P. Narendra reddy    Signature P. Narendra reddy

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Sk. Oariyavali          Course: MCA

Department: MCA          Years/Semester: 2nd 1st sem

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date 24/9/24      Name of Student  Sk. Dariyavali

Sk. Dariyavali
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Syed. Shahina       Course : MCA

Department : MCA       Years/Semester : II year [ 1st sem]

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date 24/9/2024      Name of Student Syed. Shahina      Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Rasham venKATA SIVA Sai    Course : MCA

Department : MCA                Years/Semester : II year  1st sem

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

24/9/202'

Date

R.VENKATA SIVA Sai

Name of Student

R.S

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## NEC
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Kranthi kumar      Course : BTECH

Department : Computer science and eng    Years/Semester : II/I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 4 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 3 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 5 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 4 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 2 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 4 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 5 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 5 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

## IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( H ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( q ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date
25/10/2024

Name of Student
K. Kranthi kumar

Signature
K. Kranthi kumar.

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPET ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P

IQAC

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Thanmai      Course : B. Tech

Department : C.S.E      Years/Semester : II / I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date  25|10|24          Name of Student  T.Thanmai          Thanmai.T.

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Premchand

Course : B.tech / CSE

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 2nd / 1st semester

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date 25/10/24          Name of Student : P. Premchand.          Signature : P. Prem

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: S.V. Sadwik

Course: BTECH

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: II year I st semester.

### 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 5 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 6 |

### 2. The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

### 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (3) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (4) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (3) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s) – Please provide library Hours for better learning.

Date: 25/10/2024.    Name of Student : S.V.Sadwik

S.V.Sadwik
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NAPASA RAOPET - 5    601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Srihitha                              Course : B.TECH

Department : CSE                             Years/Semester : II - I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

25/10/24
Date

Srihitha
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - - 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V. PREMKUMAR          Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE             Years/Semester : 2nd/1st

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 4 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 25/10/24

Name of Student

Sk. Usama

B. omkar sai

V. Pyemkumar

S. Bhaygav Gopi

Signature

V. Premkumar

B. Omkar Sai

Sk. Usama

S Bhargav Gopi

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: B. Ganesh Reddy     Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE     Years/Semester: II-I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 7 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

25/10/24
Date

B. Ganesh Reddy
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
**NEC** (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Y. Bala Raja shekar Ruddy    Course : B-Tech

Department : Computer Science Enginering    Years/Semester : II / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | ✓ 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date
25|10|2024

Name of Student
Y. Bala Raja Shekar Reddy

Signature
Y. Reuf

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk.Sheema          Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE          Years/Semester : II year/I sem

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 6 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 3 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

25-10-24
Date

Sk. Sheema
Name of Student

Sheems
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.)

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: V. Joshi                    Course: B. Tech

Department: cse                   Years/Semester: II – II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 6 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 7 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLESE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10-06-24

Date

V. Joshi

Name of Student

V. Joshi

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 501
Guntur (Dist.)...

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : ch. charan teja     Course : B-Tech

Department : cse     Years/Semester : II - II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**IQAC**

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

10-6-24

Date

Ch.charanTeja

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Divya. Darla.    Course: B-Tech

Department: CSE    Years/Semester: 2·2

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

10/06/24
Date

Divya.Darla
Name of Student

D.Divya.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Rakesh

Course : B-Tech

Department : AI

Years/Semester : 3/1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 52?
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 31|8|24

A·Rakesh
Name of Student

A·Rakesh
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: V. Deva Raj Kumar    Course: B.

Department: Information of Technology   Years/Semester: 2-1

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

31-08-24

Date

V. Deva RajKumar

Name of Student

V. Dev

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. V Sandeep Reddy     Course : B.Tech.

Department : IT     Years/Semester : II / I.

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9. |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date 31/8/2024      Name of Student T.V.Sandeep Reddy      Signature T.V.Sandeepreddy

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : SD.Nazma                    Course : B.Tech

Department : IT                     Years/Semester : II / I

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|--------------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

31-08-24

Date

SD. Nazma

Name of Student

Nazma. Sayyad

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

**NEC**

(AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2003-24

Name : B. Vagya Naik                     Course : B. Tech civil

Department : civil                       Years/Semester : II - II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

29/10/2023

Date

B.Vagyanbaik

Name of Student

B.Vagyanbaik

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023- 2024

Name : P. Lokesh

Course : B Tech - CE

Department : CIVIL

Years/Semester : II - II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

---

Date

29/10/2023

Name of Student

P.Lokesh

Signature

P.Lokesh

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name : T. Yohanu      Course : B.Tech - CIVIL

Department : Civil Engineering      Years/Semester : II-II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | ✓ Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( ✓ ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

29-10-2023

Name of Student

T-Yohanu

Signature

T-Yohanu..

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023 - 2024

Name: K. Anil Baby       Course: B-Tech civil

Department: civil engineering Years/Semester: II - II.

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 6 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

| Date | Name of Student | Signature |
|---|---|---|

29-10-2023            K. Anil Babu.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 23-24

Name : VAJJA Gopala Krishna Murthy   Course : Civil

Department :   Civil      Years/Semester : II / II

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 8 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 9 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

29/10/22
Date

VAJJA Gopalakrishna Murthy
Name of Student

V.G.K.Murthy
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA
# NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

**Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:** 2023 - 2024

Name: T. Durgaprasad     Course: B.Tech — Civil

Department: Civil Engineering     Years/Semester: 2023-2024 / ~~II~~ II — VI

**1.** Please give a rating of your course on the following

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 7 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 8 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 9 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2.** The syllabus was

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3.** Your background for benefiting from the course was

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4.** Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5.** Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7.** Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**8.** Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 29|10|2023

T. Durga prasad
Name of Student

T. Durgaprasad
Signature

QAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: Shaik Mahaboob Subhani    Course: B.Tech

Department: EEE    Years/Semester: 1/11

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 9 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 9 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 9 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 7 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 9 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (3) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student  SK. Mahaboob subhani

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

# NARASARAOPETA
## NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
### (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name: B. Renuka      Course: EEE (B.Tech)

Department: EEE      Years/Semester: 1/II

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 8 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 6 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 7 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | ( 2 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

**8.** Rate the  flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**9.** Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) &  Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**10.** Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 2 ) |

**11.** The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

**12.** Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | ( 1 ) |

Any other suggestion(s)

— Nil —

Date

Name of Student  B·Renuka

Signature  B·Renuka

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

# NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
## (AUTONOMOUS)

## Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2023-2024

Name : K·Lavanya          Course : B·Tech (DSP)

Department : ECE          Years/Semester : III - I

**1. Please give a rating of your course on the following**

| 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Some how Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor |

| S.No. | Particulars | Rating |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) | 8 |
| 2 | Applicability/relevance to real life situations | 7 |
| 3 | Depth of the course content | 9 |
| 4 | Extent of coverage of course | 7 |
| 5 | Extent of effort required by students | 8 |
| 6 | Relevance/learning value of project/ report | 8 |
| 7 | Overall rating | 8 |

**2. The syllabus was**

| 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**3. Your background for benefiting from the course was**

| 1) Highly Relevant | 2) Relevant | 3) Partly Relevant | 4) Mostly Irrelevant | 5) Completely Irrelevant | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?**

| 1) Excellent | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Poor | 5) Very poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning**

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|

IQAC