NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

FEEDBACK FORMS

(ACADEMIC YEAR: 2022-23)

(102)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Mastan rao Course : B-TECH

Department : CIVIL Years/Semester : 4 - 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 12/10/22

D. Mastan Rao
Name of Student

D. Mastan Rao
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Sai Sumanth Course : B-Tech

Department : Civil Years/Semester : 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 12/10/22 Name of Student G. Saisumanth Signature G. Sai

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Ganesh Kumar Reddy. Course : Civil Engineering. B.Tech.

Department : Civil Engineering Years/Semester : II - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 20/01/23 Name of Student P. Ganesh Kumar Reddy. P. Ganesh Kumar Reddy Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. kumar Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil Years/Semester : IV – I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was -

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 12/10/22 Name of Student G. Kumar

G. Kumar.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Kesav Course : B-Tech

Department : Civil Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 20/01/23 Name of Student T. Kesav Signature T. Kesav

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Ch. Amarnadh Course : B-Tech

Department : Civil Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 20/01/23

Ch. Amarnadh.
Name of Student

Ch. Amarnadh...
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

100

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Gella. Gopi Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil Years/Semester : 2nd year 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 20/01/23

Gella. Gopi
Name of Student

Gopi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

(100)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Gvansikrishna Course : B.Tech

Department : civil Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 20/01/23 Name of Student Gvampikrip Tna

Gvansi Krista
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. SK. Adam Shafi Course : B.Tech.

Department : Civil Years/Semester : II-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	08

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 20/01/23

P. SK Adam Shafi
Name of Student

P. SK Adam Shafi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Anil Kumar Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil Years/Semester : 3rd year 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 19/01/23

B. Anil kumar
Name of Student

B. Anil
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : I. Hemath kumar Course : B.tech

Department : civil Years/Semester : IIIrd year IIrd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) -

Date 19/01/23 Name of Student I. Hemath Kumar Signature I. Hemath Kumar

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : sk. mustaq Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 19/01/23 Name of Student Sk. mustaq. Signature sk.mustaq

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : L. SINDU Course : B.Tech.

Department : Civil Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 19/01/23

L. SINDU
Name of Student

C. Sindhu
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sd. Sameer Course : B-Tech

Department : Civil Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 19/01/23

SK. Sameer
Name of Student

Sd. Sameer
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Anuhya Course : B.Tech.

Department : Civil Engineering Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 19/01/23

K. Anuhya
Name of Student

K. Anuhya
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

(100)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. Vijay Kumar Course : B-TECH

Department : Civil Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 19/01/23

M. Vijay Kumar
Name of Student

M. Vijay Kumar
Signature

QAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Gopi Krishna Course : B.Tech.

Department : Civil Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2).

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 19/01/23

K. Gopi Krishna.

Name of Student

Gopi Krishna.

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : N. Rathna Harsha Course : B-Tech.

Department : Civil Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 19/01/23

N. Rathna. harsha
Name of Student

N. Rathna
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Rakesh Rai Course : Civil B.Tech

Department : Civil Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(4)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(5)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 20/01/23 Name of Student Rakesh Ri Signature Rakesh Ri

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (D...)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Nandini Course : B. Tech.

Department : Civil Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 12/10/22

K. Nandini
Name of Student

Nandini
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : J. Indira

Course : B.tech

Department : civil

Years/Semester : IV th year 1st sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 ...
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 12/10/22 Name of Student J. Indira Signature J. Indira

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : ch. pujitha Course : B.Tech

Department : civil Years/Semester : 4th year 1st Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 12/10/22

ch-pajitha
Name of Student

ch.Pajitha
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk. Mohammad Althaf Course : Civil Engineering

Department : CIVIL Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 20/01/23

Sk. Mohammad Althaf
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : SHAIK MANSUR Course : CIVIL - B.TECH

Department : CIVIL Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 20/01/23

Sk. Mansur
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
GUNTUR DIST., A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Akhil Reddy Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil Years/Semester : ~~3~~ 3rd year 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 20/01/23 Name of Student A. Akhil Reddy Signature A. Akhil Reddy

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. RAJU

Course : B. Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : 3-2 III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 12/10/22

B. RARU
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Pooja Course : B.Tech.

Department : Civil Engineering Years/Semester : IV – I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 12/10/22

A. Pooja
Name of Student

A. Pooja
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPET ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Ajaykumar Course : B.tech

Department : Civil Engineering Years/Semester : IV-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 12/10/22 Name of Student G. Ajay kumar Signature G. Ajay kumar

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Siva Course : B-Tech

Department : Civil Years/Semester : 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 12/10/22

K. Siva
Name of Student

K. Siva
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.
Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A+ Grade
Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax) Visit us at : www.nrtec.in E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of EEE

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2022-23

Name : BONI TARUN. Course : B. Tech.

Department : EEE Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) modify the syllabus EEA II

23/2/23
Date

B. Tarun
Name of Student

B. Tarun.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.
Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A+ Grade
Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax) Visit us at : www.nrtec.in E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of EEE

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2022-23

Name : M. RAMU. Course : B. Tech.

Department : EEE Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Modify the syllabus as per technology.

Date 23/2/2023

M. RAMU
Name of Student

M. Ramu
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.
Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A+ Grade
Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax) Visit us at : www.nrtec.in E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of EEE

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2022-23

Name : K. Vinay kumar. Course : B.Tech

Department : EEE Years/Semester : IV/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Modify the Syllabus of Machines.

Date 23/2/2023. Name of Student K. Vinay kumar. Signature K. Vinay

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.
Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A+ Grade
Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax) Visit us at : www.nrtec.in E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of EEE

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2022-23

Name : M. Ganesh. Course : B.Tech

Department : EEE Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Modify the syllabus as per technology.

Date 23/2/2023. Name of Student M. Ganesh. M. Ganesh
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.
Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A+ Grade
Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax) Visit us at : www.nrtec.in E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of EEE

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2022-23

Name : ~~DADI. YAMUNA~~ Kommaari. Srikanth. Course : B.Tech

Department : EEE Years/Semester : IV Th / II year

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Modify the Syllabus as per Technology.

Date 23/2/2023.

K. Srikanth
Name of Student

K. Srikanth.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.
Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A+ Grade
Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax) Visit us at : www.nrtec.in E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of EEE

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2022-23

Name : Musani. Pavan kumar. Course : B. Tech.

Department : EEE Years/Semester : IV th / II year

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s) Modify the Syllabus as per Goal.

Date 23/2/2023

M. Pavan Kumar
Name of Student

M. Pavan.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.
Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A+ Grade
Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax) Visit us at : www.nrtec.in E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of EEE

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2022-23

Name : Anil babu Ankam Course : B.Tech

Department : EEE Years/Semester : IV/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Modify the syllabus of MPMC.

23/2/2023
Date

A. Anil Babu
Name of Student

A. Anil babu
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.
Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A+ Grade
Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax) Visit us at : www.nrtec.in E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of EEE

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2022-23

Name : Gottipati Srinivas Course : B.Tech

Department : EEE Years/Semester : IV/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Add BA subject in every semester for employability skills.

23/2/2023
Date

G. Srinivas
Name of Student

G. Srinivas
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.
Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A" Grade
Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax) Visit us at : www.nrtec.in E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of EEE

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2022-23

Name : Puthala Venkatarao Course : B.Tech

Department : EEE Years/Semester : IVth year IInd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Modify the syllabus as per Technology.

23/2/23
Date

Ruthala Venkatarao
Name of Student

R. Venkatarao.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.
Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A+ Grade
Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax) Visit us at : www.nrtec.in E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of EEE

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2022-23

Name : SYED · NIZAMUDDIN Course : B.Tech

Department : EEE Years/Semester : IVth year IInd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Modify the syllabus of MPMC.

23/2/23
Date

SYED. NIZAMMUDDIN
Name of Student

S. Nizam
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.
Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A+ Grade
Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax) Visit us at : www.nrtec.in E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of EEE

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2022-23

Name : Kumba. Veerasanjaneyulu Course : B.Tech

Department : EEE Years/Semester : IV th - II nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Add MBA subjects in every sem for employability skills.

23/02/23
Date

K. Veeranjaneyulu
Name of Student

K. Veeranjaneyulu
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.)

(102)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Kishore Course : B.Tech.

Department : civil Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 12/10/22

P. Kishore
Name of Student

P. Kishore
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.)

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
Kotappakonda Road, Yellamanda (P.O), NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur Dist. A.P.
Sponsored by Gayatri Educational Development Society, Narasaraopet.
Approved by AICTE & Permanently Affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University. Code : 47
Thrice Accredited by NBA (CSE & ECE) & Accredited by NAAC "A+ Grade
Ph: 08647-239903 & 08647-239902 (Fax) Visit us at : www.nrtec.in E-mail : principal@nrtec.in

Department of EEE

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the A.Y: 2022-23

Name : Shaik mohammad suhel Course : B.Tech

Department : EEE Years/Semester : IVth – IInd Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Modify the syllubus as PCS technology.

23/02/23	SK. mohammad suhel	SK. mohammad suhel.
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Sameer Course : B.Tech

Department : ME Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : D. Anil

Course : B. Tech.

Department : ME

Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) need to improve technical handson session

Date 15-03-2023 Name of Student D. Anil Signature D. Anil

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dt)

2

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Need more workshops

Date 15-03-2023 Name of Student Sk-Sameer Signature Sk. Sameer

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23.

Name : J. Sandilea

Course : B. Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : 4/2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) More workshops are need.

16/3/2023
Date

J. Sandleia
Name of Student

J. Sandleia
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 5 [illegible]
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. Prudhvi yaswanth reddy Course : B. Tech

Department : Mechanical Years/Semester : 4/2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) More industrial workshops

16/3/2023	G. pradhvi yaswanth reddy.	G. pradhvi reddy
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Need to improve Industrial related Courses.

Date 16/03/2023 Name of Student Sk. Bilal. Sk. Bilal. Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : SK. Bilal

Course : B.TECH

Department : MECHANICAL

Years/Semester : 4-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. vara prasad Course : B.Tech

Department : M.E Years/Semester : 4-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

12

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Need to improve Internship duration.

16/03/2023
Date

K. vara prasad
Name of Student

K. vara prasad
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

13

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : M. Stephan Williams Course : B.Tech.

Department : ME Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2) .

Any other suggestion(s) Industrial Interactions need to be improved

Date 15-03-2023 Name of Student M. Stephan Williams Signature M. Stephan Williams

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : D. Santosh. Course : B.Tech

Department : ME Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Need technical hackathons.

Date 15-03-2023 Name of Student D. Santosh Signature D. Santosh.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : U. Shanmukharao Course : B.Tech

Department : M.E Years/Semester : 4-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

19

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : E-Ashok Course : B. Tech

Department : Mechanical Years/Semester : 4-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Need More technical events.

16-3-23	E. Ashok	E. Ashok
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

21

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23.

Name : D. Venkata gopal Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical Years/Semester : 4-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Need to implement hands on sessions.

16/3/23
Date

D. Venkata Gopal
Name of Student

D. Gopal
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 5
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : J. Nagendrababu Course : B.TECH

Department : MECHANICAL Years/Semester : 4-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) More work shops are needed

Date 16/03/2023 Name of Student J. Nagendrababu J. Nagendrababu Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Ch. Srinivas Rao Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

04/02/23
Date

Ch. Srinivas Rao
Name of Student

Ch. Srinivas Rao.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : B.Tulasi Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 04/02/2023 B. Tulasi Name of Student B. Tulasi Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Y. Naveen Course : B.Tech.

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 04/02/23. Name of Student Y. Naveen Signature Y. Naveen.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : N. Anu

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur Dist., A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 04/02/2023 N Anu Name of Student N Anu Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : A. Taraka Ganesh Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/2/2023 Name of Student A. Taraka Ganesh Signature A. Taraka Ganesh

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : U. Varaprasad. Course : Btech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

4/2/23
Date

U. Paraprasad
Name of Student

U. Paraprasad.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : B. Pavan Kumar Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/2/23

B. Pavan Kumar
Name of Student

B. Pavan Kumar
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : L. Vanaja

Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/2/23

L.Vanaja
Name of Student

L.Vanaja
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : P. Naveen Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

04/02/2023
Date

Name of Student P. Naveen

P. Naveen
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Nazma Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

4/2/2023
Date

Sk. Nazma
Name of Student

Sk. Nazma.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : T. Anil

Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

4/2/23
Date

T. Anil
Name of Student

T. Anil
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : M. Siva krishna Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/2/2023 M. Sivakrishna Name of Student M. Sivakrishna. Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : A. karthik Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

4/2/23
Date

A. Vartik
Name of Student

A. Vartik
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : M. Ramakrishna Course : B Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

4/2/23
Date

M. Ramakrishna
Name of Student

M. Ramakrishna
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : D. Baji Babu Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/2/2023 Name of Student D. Baji Babu Signature D. Baji Babu

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : P. Ramshagar Course : B.Tch

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

04/02/2023
Date

P. [signature]
Name of Student

P. [signature]
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : V. Hemanth Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

04/02/23
Date

V. Hemanth
Name of Student

V. Hemanth
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : O. Chandu Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I | II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

04/02/23
Date

O. Chandu
Name of Student

O. Chandu.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Mainu Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dt.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 04/04/2023 Name of Student SK. Masuma

Signature SK. Masuma.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Y. Anil Kumar Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 04/02/2023 Name of Student Y. Anilkumar Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : M. Hanumanth. Course : Btech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

4/2/23
Date

M. Hanumanth.
Name of Student

M. Hanumanth.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : P. Naga Vamsi Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(9)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/2/23

P.Naga Vamsi
Name of Student

P.Naga Vamsi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. Gopi Krishna Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/2/23

G. Gopi Krishna
Name of Student

G. Gopi Krishna.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

completed

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. Ganesh Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur Dist., A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

4/2/23
Date

G. Ganesh
Name of Student

G. Ganesh
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Sai Sameer Course : B.Tech.

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

4/2/23
Date

Sk. Sai Sameer
Name of Student

Sk. Sai Sameer
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : SK. Imran basha Course : B.Tech

Department : E.C.E Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

4/2/2023
Date

S.K. Imran Basha
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : V. Josh Course : B. Tech

Department : E.C.E Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

4/2/2023.
Date

V. Josh
Name of Student

V. Josh
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Abdul Rasool Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/2/2023 SK Abdul Rasool Name of Student

SK Abdul Rasool Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : M. Chinni Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : 1/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 8/7/2022 M. Chinni Name of Student M. Chinni Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Y. Anil Kumar Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : 1/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 08/07/2022 Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Y. John Course : BTech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

08/07/2022
Date

Y. John
Name of Student

Y. John.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : SK. Rizwana Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 8/7/22 Name of Student Sk. Rizwana Signature Sk. Rizwana

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : T. Adithya Vardhan Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

08/07/22
Date

T. Adithya vardhan
Name of Student

T. Adithya
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Dilip.

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : 3/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 9/7/22 Name of Student K. Dileep Signature K. Dileep

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : M. Bafi Course : B.Tech

Department : E.C.E Years/Semester : I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	( )

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	( )

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( )

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

8/7/2022
Date

M. Baji
Name of Student

M. Baji
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : A. karthik. Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

8/7/2022
Date

A. Karthik.
Name of Student

A. Karthik.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : B. Naveen

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : I-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

8/7/22
Date

B naveen
Name of Student

B Naveen
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : T. Harshitha Course : Btech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8.
3	Depth of the course content	8.
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

8/7/22
Date

T. Harshitha
Name of Student

T. Harshitha.
Signature

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : V. Swathi Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 8/7/2021

V. swathi
Name of Student

V. swathi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G Siva kumar Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 8/7/22

G. siva kumar
Name of Student

G. siva kumar.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Y. Yoga Lakshmi Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV | II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

02/02/2023
Date

Y. Yoga Lakshmi
Name of Student

Y. Yoga Lakshmi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Karthik Course : B.Tech

Department : E.E.E Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

4/2/2023
Date

K. Karthik
Name of Student

K. Karthik.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : J. Remo Course : B.Tech

Department : E.C.E Years/Semester : II/I.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

8/7/22
Date

J. Remo
Name of Student

J. Remo
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Tejaswini Course : B.Tech.

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

8/7/2022
Date

K. Tejaswini
Name of Student

K. Tejaswini
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Juber

Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

8/7/2022
Date

Sk. Jubey
Name of Student

Sk. Jubey
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : P. Bhavana

Course : Btech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

8/7/22
Date

P. Bhavana
Name of Student

P. Bhavana
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Vamsi Krishna Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 8/7/22

K. Vamsi Krishna

Name of Student

K. Vamsi Krishna.

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. Bhumika Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5). Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 8/7/22

G. Bhumika
Name of Student

G. Bhumika
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : P. Naveen Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

08/07/2022
Date

Name of Student P. Naveen

P. Naveen
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : A. Lokesh Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

08/07/22
Date

A. Lokesh
Name of Student

A. Lokesh
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. nagor meera. Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 8/7/22 Sk. Nagor meera Name of Student Sk. Nagormeera Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : N. Pavani Course : BTech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

08/07/2022
Date

N. Pavani
Name of Student

N. Pavani
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : M. NAVEEN Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 8/7/2022 M. Naveen Name of Student M. Naveen Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 5 501.
Guntur (Dist.), A.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : V. Gopi Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 8/7/2022 Name of Student U. Gopi Signature U. Gopi

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : D Naga Gopi Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : II - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 08/07/2022 D. Naga Gopi Name of Student D. Naga Gopi Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : M. Venu Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

3/2/2023
Date

M. Venu
Name of Student

M. Venu
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. Sushma. Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

3/2/23	G. Sushma	G. Sushma.
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : P. Imran khan Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 3/2/2023

P. Imran khan
Name of Student

P. Imran khan
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.). A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Mani Teja. Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur Dist., A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

3/2/2023
Date

K. maniTeja
Name of Student

K. maniTeja
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Charan reddy Course : B.Tech

Department : E.C.E Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

3/2/2023	K. Charan Reddy	K. Charan Reddy
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Shareef Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 3/2/23

SK. Shabeef
Name of Student

SK. Shabeef
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : ch. Navaneesh Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

03/01/2023
Date

Name of Student ch. Navaneesh

ch. Navaneesh
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Umar

Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IV – II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 02/02/2023 Sk. Umar Name of Student

Sk. Umar Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. Sumanth Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur Dist. A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 05/07/2022

G. Sumanth
Name of Student

G. Sumanth
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Aparna Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/7/2022 Name of Student K. Aparana

K. Aparana
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : SK. Sameer Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/7/2022 Name of Student SK. Sameer Signature SK. Sameer

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : N. Narendra Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

05/07/2022
Date

N. Narendra
Name of Student

N. Narendra
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : V. Jyothi Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 05/07/2022.

V. Jyothi
Name of Student

V. Jyothi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. Tarun Naga Sai Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

05/07/2022
Date

G. Tarun Naga Sai
Name of Student

G. Tarun Naga Sai
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Mohammad Afe Course : B.Tech

Department : Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPET ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/7/2022

Sk. Mohammad Ali
Name of Student

Sk. Mohammad Ali
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Swathi Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

5/7/2022
Date

K. Swathi
Name of Student

K. Swathi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : H. Priyaka. Course : Btech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

5/7/22
Date

M. Priyanka
Name of Student

M. Priyanka
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : P. Vendela Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 5/7/2022 Name of Student P. Veneela P. Veneela Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : B. Revanth Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPET ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 05/07/2022 Name of Student B. Revanth Signature B. Revanth

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. Kavitha Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

5-7-2022	G. Kavitha	G. Kavitha
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : B. Mahesh Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 5
Guntur (Dist.), A

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

5/7/2022
Date

B. Mahesh
Name of Student

B. Mahesh
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : R. Rakesh Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 3/2/2023 Name of Student R. Rakesh Signature R. Rakesh

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. Sunil Varma Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

03/02/2023
Date

G. Sunil Varma
Name of Student

G. Sunil Varma
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : D. Madhu. Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 03/02/2022. D. Madhu Name of Student

D. Madhu. Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : D.Aparna Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur Dist. A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

03/02/23
Date

D. Aparna
Name of Student

D. Aparna
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : A. Meghana Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 3/2/2023 A. meghana Name of Student A. Meghana Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. Usha Shree Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 03/04/2023 G. Usha Shree
Name of Student

G. Usha Shree
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : SK. Parveen

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : 3/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 06/07/2022 Sk. Parveen
Name of Student

Sk. Parveen
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : B. Rajasimha Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 6/7/2022 B. Raja Simha Name of Student B. Raja Simha Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. Rahul Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur Dist., A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 6/7/2022 Name of Student G. Rahul Signature G. Rahul

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : E. Dinesh. Course : B.Tech.

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 06/07/22 E. Dinesh Name of Student E Dinesh Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Jani Basha Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

06/07/2022
Date

Sk. Jani Basha
Name of Student

Sk. Jani Basha
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Asif Course : B Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur Dist.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

06/07/2022
Date

Sk. Asif
Name of Student

Sk. Asif
Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : D. Gangadhar Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

6/7/2022
Date

D. Gangadhar
Name of Student

D. Gangadhar
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : P. Aswanth

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : III - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

6/7/22
Date

P. Aswanth
Name of Student

P. Aswanth
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Sajila

Course : Btech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : III - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

6/7/22
Date

Sk. Sajila
Name of Student

Sk. Sajila
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : P. Deepika Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : III/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 6/7/22 Name of Student P. Deepika P. Deepika.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Hemanth

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : III / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	-5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 6/7/2022

K. Hemanth
Name of Student

K. Hemanth
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Ch. Teja Sri Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 2/2/2023

Ch. Tejasri
Name of Student

Ch. Tejasri
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : E. Gayathri Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

2/2/23
Date

E. Gayathri
Name of Student

E. Gayathri
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : T. Gopi

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IV - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

2/2/23
Date

T. Gopi
Name of Student

T. Gopi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : S. Kusuma Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

02/02/2023
Date

S. Kusuma
Name of Student

S. Kusuma
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Ch Jayasri

Course : Btech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IV-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

21/2/23	Ch. Jayasri	Ch. Jayasri
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : P. Mahesh Kumar. Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 2/2/23

P. Mahesh Kumar
Name of Student

P.mahesh kumar
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 6[illegible]
Guntur (Dist.), A.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : L. Apatana Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 2/2/23 Name of Student K. apatana K. apatana Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : A. Sireesha Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(')

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(')

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(')

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(')

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(')

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(')

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 2.2.2023. A Sireesha Name of Student

A Sireesha Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : J. Devi Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 2/2/2023 J. Devi Name of Student J. Devi Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : P. Sagar Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 2/2/2023 Name of Student P. Sagar Signature P. Sagar

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

105

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Jayabharathi Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	B. Jayabharathi Name of Student	B. Jayabharathi Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : N. chaitanya Course : B - Tech

Department : C.S.E Years/Semester : 1st 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student N. Chaitanya

Signature N. Chaitanya

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Ch. Gopikrishna Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	ch. Gopi krishna	Ch. Gk

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Shaik. Siraj Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Siraz
Name of Student

sk. siraj
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : SK. Y. khajabi Course : B tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sk. Y. Khajabi
Name of Student

S. Khajati
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk. Subhani Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sk. Subhani
Name of Student

Sk Subhani
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : N.Vinay Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

N. Vinay
Name of Student

N. Vinay
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : SK. Shakeer Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 1st year 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

SK. Shakeer
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G.Sagarbabu Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 1st year 2nd semister

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	G. Sagarbabu Name of Student	G. Sagar Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk. A. Abdul Kareem Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I/2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Sk. A. Abdul kareem Name of Student	Sk A Abdl kareem Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Shaik Pinkollu Farzan Basha Course : CSE B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 1/2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Sk. Barha Name of Student	Barha Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Rajesh Tatapudi Course : BTech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

T. Rajesh
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Ch. John Wesly Course : B.TECH

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Ch. John Wesly
Name of Student

Ch. John Wesly
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Chennupalli. Chandrika Tirumala Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Ch. Chandrika Tirumala
Name of Student

Ch. C. Tirumala
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur Dist., A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Keerthana Lazarus Course : CSE – B.tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G. Keerthana Lazarus
Name of Student

G. Keerthana Lazarus
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Chamundeswari Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 1/2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

A. chamundeswari
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Lakshmi Thirupathamma Course : B. tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 1st year 2 semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student
G. Lakshmi Thirupathamma

G. Lakshmi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Y. Rekha Sri Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I - 2nd Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Y. Rekha Sri
Name of Student

Y. Rekha Sri.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Chaitanya Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G.Chaitanya
Name of Student

G.Chaitanya
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Manideep Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : ३ – २

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	T. Manideep .	T. Manideep.
	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : N. NagaLakshmi Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : II - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

N. NagaLakshmi
Name of Student

Nagalakshmi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Siva Rama Krishna Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 2 - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Siva Rama krishna
Name of Student

K. S...
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Deepthi priya Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 1st year 2 Semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	A. Deepthi priya Name of Student	A. Deepthi priya Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Ramesh babu Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Ramesh babu
Name of Student

P. Ramesh babu
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Ch. Sandeep Course : BTech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date | ch. Sandeep (Name of Student) | Sandeep. (Signature)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Anuradha Course : B.Tech

Department : Computer Science & Engineering Years/Semester : II - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student G. Anuradha

Signature G. Anuradha

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Akhila Course : B.Tech

Department : Computer Science & Engineering Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student T. Akhila

Signature T. Akhila

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Surya Teja Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

J. Durgateja

Name of Student

J. Durgateja

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Satish kumar Duddu Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D. Satish Babu
Name of Student

D. Satish
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur Dist., A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Tejaswini Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date Name of Student To Tejaswini Signature T. Tejaswini

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 501
Guntur (Dist.)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Pulivarthi Priyanka Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur Dist., A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Pulivarthi Priyanka
Name of Student

P. Priyanka
Signature



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
(Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Yaram. Keerthi Course : Btech

Department : Computer Science and Engineering Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Y. Keerthi
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sure Venkata Jhansi lakshmi Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	Sure. Venkata Jhansi lakshmi	S.V.J. lakshmi

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Chandrika Course : BTECH

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 2 - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 5

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 1 | K. Chandrika (Name of Student) | K. Chandrika (Signature)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Manepalli Yuva Sravani Course : B.Tech

Department : Computer Science and Engineering Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

M.YuvaSravani

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Sirisha Course : Btech

Department : Computer sciences and enginerring Years/Semester : 2 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G. Sirisha
Name of Student

G. Sirisha.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Y. Narendra Course : BTech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 2 year 2 semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Y. Naarendra
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : D. Chandu Course : BTech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 2 year 2 semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	D. Chandu Name of Student	D. Chandu. V. G. Signature



PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : V. Lakshmi Narayana Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Provide . sports period for physical fitness.

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	V. Lakshmi Narayana	V. Lakshmi

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. Venkata Sasikumar Course : B. Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	M. venkata sasi kumar Name of Student	M. venkata sasi kumar Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur Dist., A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : N. Tejasri Course : B.Tech.

Department : IT Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	N. Tejasri Name of Student	N. Tejasri Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23.

Name : Ch. poojitha.

Course : B.Tech.

Department : IT.

Years/Semester : I/II.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9.

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NILL.

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	ch.poovitha.	ch.poovitha.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022 – 2023

Name : P. Rensssee Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

NULL

Date

P. Renuka

Name of Student

Renu

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022 - 2023

Name : M. Meghana Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 1-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Dist. Guntur, A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

conduct workshops

Date

Meghana

Name of Student

Meghana

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name : A.Vijaya Architha Course : BTech

Department : IT Years/Semester : I-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Nill

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	A. Vijaya Architha	A. VijayaArchitha

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Anjani Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 1 - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) NILL

Date | K. Anjani Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name : G.suneetha. Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NILL

Date

G. suneetha.

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : M. Srilatha Course : B.tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	( )

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	( )

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( )

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( )

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Please conduct workshops

M. Srilatha

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur Dist. A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name : G. Lokesh Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE (IT) Years/Semester : 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Dist. Guntur, A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NIL

G. Lokesh — Lokesh

Date — Name of Student — Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Naga Kalyan. Course : BTech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NILL

Date

T. Naga Kalyan
Name of Student

T. N K
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Venkata Sai Bhavani Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

NILL

Date	k. venkata sai Bhavani	K. Sai Bhavani
	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 22-23

Name : T. Aranda Babu Course : B. Tech

Department : Information Technology Years/Semester : I / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

Any other suggestion(s)

To Improve Curcular activites, Sports and other actives

Date

T. Ananda Babu

Name of Student

Signature

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPETA
Guntur (Dist.)

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Venkata Subba Reddy Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Provide internships

Date	K. Subba Reddy Name of Student	Subbu Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Jagapathi Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NILL

Date

Name of Student A. Jagapathi

Signature

IQAC

NARASARAO
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : D. venkata harish. Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	D. venkataharish	D.venkataharish.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : R. Chaithanya. Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date | Name of Student | Signature

R. Chaithanya. | R. Chaithanya.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. alekhya. Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	K. alekhya	K. alekhya.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Ch. NVSR Sahithi Course : B.tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date | Name of Student | Signature

Ch. NVSRSahithi | Ch. NVSRSahithi

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : D. NV Chandra Sekhar. Course : B-Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date | Name of Student | Signature

D. NV Chandrashekar. | D. NV Chandrasheckar.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : B. Lakshmi pavan Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

B. Lakshmi pavan

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : B.VASU Babu Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date | Name of Student | Signature

B. Vasu Babu

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022 - 23

Name : B. Srinivasa Reddy Course : B. Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 2 - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
(Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date | Name of Student | Signature

B. Srinivasa Reddy

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : B.Rakesh Course : B.Tech

Department : Information Technology Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NILL

Date | Name of Student | Signature

B. Rakesh

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name : B. Venkata Naga Sai Raghavendra Course : B. Tech

Department : I.T Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 501...
Guntur ...

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date | Name of Student | Signature

B. Venkata Naga Sai Raghavendra

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : A. Balaji Course : B.Tech

Department : I.T Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date | Name of Student | Signature

A. Balaji

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022 - 2023

Name : A. Venkata Vamsi Course : B. Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date | Name of Student | Signature

A. venkata vamsi

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022 - 23

Name : S. Akash Course : B.Tech

Department : I.T Years/Semester : 2 - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date | Name of Student | Signature

S. Akash

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Meghanagoparasapu Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Nill

Date

Name of Student Meghana

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : D. Sunil Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) NILL

Date

Sunil
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Hasshavasdhan Reddy Course : B-Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

NOIL

Date

Hasshvasdhan
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Abdz Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NIL

Date

AB802
Name of Student

AB802
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Ganesh. Course : B-Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

I request to conduct more workshops.

Date

Ganesh
Name of Student

Ganesh.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Sudhakar Course : B.tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Nill

Date

Sudhakar

Name of Student

Sudhakar.

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Anusha Course : B. Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 3 - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NILL

Date

Asuna
Name of Student

Asuna
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : kakumanu Mukesh Sai Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Kakumanu. Mukesh Sai.
Name of Student

K. Mukesh Sai.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sankeerthana Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : C III-II)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sankeerthana
Name of Student

Sankeerthana
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23.

Name : Y. Banu Kiran Reddy. Course : BTech.

Department : IT. Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Y. Banu Kiran Reddy
Name of Student

Y. Banu Kiran Reddy.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : R. Nitesh Course : B-Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 3-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Nill

Date

Nitesh

Name of Student

Nitesh.

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : y. vennala Course : BTech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 3-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NILL

Date

Name of Student: Vennala

Signature: Vennala.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23.

Name : Bhagyalakshmi Course : B.Tech.

Department : IT Years/Semester : (III - II)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPET ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Bhagyalakshmi Name of Student	Bhagya Lakshmi Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Chandu Durga Sai Course : B.Tech.

Department : IT Years/Semester : (III/II)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	K. Chandu Durga Sai Name of Student	K. Chandu Durga Sai Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23.

Name : T. Vinod Kumar. Course : B.Tech.

Department : IT Years/Semester : (III/II)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	T. Vinod Kumar.	T. Vinod Kumar.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Ch. Sivarama krishna Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 4-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NIL

Ch. Rama Krishna.

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Sravani Course : B.Tech

Department : Information Technology Years/Semester : 4/2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) To improve much more programming classes

Date	K. Sravani Name of Student	K. Sravani Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : B. Samyuktha Course : BETCh

Department : IT Years/Semester : 2024-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NIL

Date | Name of Student | Signature

B. Samyuktha | B. Samyuktha

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPET ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : A. Bhanu prakash Course : B. Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 4 - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NILL

A. Bhanu prakash

Date

Name of Student

A. Bhanu prakash

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K. Sai Pavan Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 4-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) NILL

Date

K. Sai Pallavi
Name of Student

K. Sai
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : T. Rohith Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

NILL

Date

Rohith
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name : Ch. Sindhuja. Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date | Name of Student | Signature

Ch. Sinduja.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Ghantasala.V.N.L.S Satya Ganesh Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE (Cyber Security) Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	G. Ganesh	G. Ganesh
	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name : PONNEKANTI TRINADH Course : B.Tech.

Department : CSE (cyber security) Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 5
Guntur (Dist.), A.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Ponnekanti Tarinadh Name of Student	P. Tarinadh Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022–2023

Name : T. Anusha Course : B.Tech

Department : Cyber Security Years/Semester : I / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 5...
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	T. Anusha	T. Anusha

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Vamini Niharika Course : B-Tech

Department : Cyber Security Years/Semester : I / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	K. Yamini Niharika	Yamini Niharika
	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Tanneeru. Swapna Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE-AI&ML Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Tanneeru. Swapna — T. Swapna

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 22-23

Name : L. VASU DEVA SIVA SAI SUBBOORAO Course : B.tech

Department : cyber security Years/Semester : I / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur Dist.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

L. VASU DEVA SIVA SAI Subbarao — L. Vasudeva

Date — Name of Student — Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Kurapati. Surya sai sree Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE-AI&ML Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
522 601.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Kurapati. Suryasaisree
Name of Student

K. Suryasaisree
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Kunchta Kasthik Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE (AI & ML) Years/Semester : II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Kuncha kasthik — Name of Student

k. kasthik — Signature

Date

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Tata. Karthesha Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE-AI & ML Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Tata. Karthesha
Name of Student

T. Karthesha
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : J. Richard Mark Course : B. tech

Department : CSE- AIML Years/Semester : II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

J. Richard Mark

Name of Student

J. Richard Mark

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-2023.

Name : A Soivalli Course : BTech.

Department : CSD(Data Science) Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	A. Srivali Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. SHANMUKHA Course : B.Tech

Department : CSD("DATA SCIENCE") Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

IQAC

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	G.Shanmukha Name of Student	G.Shanmukha Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. Sai Teja Course : B.Tech

Department : CSD (Data Science) Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G. Sai Teja
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Ch. Sunitha Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : II nd year II Sem.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

ch. Sunitha

Name of Student

~~ch. Sunitha~~

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Lahari Course : B.tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I-2nd

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPET & ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	K. Lahari	K. Lahari

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Kavya Course : BTech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 1st year 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

G. Kavya

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Ch. Rethika Reddy Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE (D) Years/Semester : 1st year - 2 semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Ch. Rethika Reddy Name of Student	ch Rethika. Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Tadi. Anusha Course : B.tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I - 2nd

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 5...
Guntur (Dist.), A...

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	T. Anusha Name of Student	T Anusha Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Siva Sankar Course : B.Tech

Department : Computer Science & Engineering Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

K. Siva Sankar

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Srikanth Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 3rd year I T

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

B. Srikanth — B. Srikanth

Date — Name of Student — Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : CH.MEGHANA Course : B-Tech

Department : C.S.E Years/Semester : 3rd year II Semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

CH.MEGHANA
Name of Student

Ch. Meghana
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Sirisha Course : Btech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G. Sirisha
Name of Student

G Sirisha
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. LAKSHMI Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : III 2nd Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

A. Lakshmi
Name of Student

A. Laksh
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. saraswathi Course : B.Tech

Department : C.S.E Years/Semester : III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(-)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student : M. M. Saraswathi

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Manimeghana Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 3rd year II-semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

P. Manimeghana

Signature

P.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Sakitha Course : B. Tech

Department : C.S.E Years/Semester : 3rd year II nd semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by ind[illegible]y / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Sakitha
Name of Student

P. Sahana
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Janaki Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 3rd year I II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur Dist.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	A Janaki Name of Student	A Janaki Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. HARSHITHA Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 3rd year I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by i[illegible]ry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. harshitha
Name of Student

K. harshitha
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. VARSHITHA Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 3rd / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student K. V. K. Vasishtha

Signature K. V. K. Vasishtha

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Ranga sai karthik Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 3rd year I II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	B. Rangasai Karthik	B. Rangasai Karthik

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Vyshnavi Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : III – II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by i..stry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Vyshan
Name of Student

Vyshan
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Y. Ayyappa Reddy Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Ayyappa
Name of Student

Ayyappa
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. AMULYA Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Amalya
Name of Student

P Amalya
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Harshitha Course : B-tech

Department : C.S.E Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student G. Harshitha

Signature G. Harshitha

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : V. Sri Harsha vardhan Reddy Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

V. Sri. Harsha Vardhan Reddy

Signature

V. Sri. Harsha Vardhan Reddy

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : D. Rajashekar Reddy Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

03/05/23
Date

D. Rajashekar Reddy
Name of Student

D. Rajashekar
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Akula Govindhayya Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

03/05/23
Date

Akula Govindhayya
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : D. Silpa Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

03/05/23
Date

D. Silpa
Name of Student

D. Silpa
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk. Subhani Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : 1st / 2nd

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 3-05-23 Name of Student Sk. Subhani Sk. Subhani

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Sai Nadh Reddy Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : 1 year / 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 3/05/2023

G. Sainadh Reddy
Name of Student

G. Sainadh Reddy
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Naryasri Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : 1st year 2nd semister

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

03-05-2023
Date

G. Navyasri
Name of Student

G. Navyasri
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Nandini Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : I 2nd semister

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 03-5-2023 Name of Student T. Nandini T. Nandini
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : D. Mastan Vali Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

3/8/23
Date

D. Nasian Vali
Name of Student

D. Nasian Vali
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : K. Swaroopa Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : I / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

3/5/2023
Date

K. Swaroopa
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Manica Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

3/5/2023
Date

Mounica
Name of Student

Mounica
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Adiyutha Sai Tarun Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : 2nd year / 2nd Semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date
03/05/23

A. Sai Tarun
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Katakam. Anjaneyulu Course : MCA

Department : MCA. Years/Semester : II nd year II nd Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date : 3/5/23 Name of Student K. Anjaneyulu Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Paladugu. Kotaiah Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : IInd year IInd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 8/5/23

P. Kotaiah
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Dasari Sireesha Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : 2nd year / 2nd ~~semister~~

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date
03/05/23

D. Sreesha
Name of Student

D. Sreesha
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Kummita. Navya Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : 2nd year 2nd semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 3/5/23

K. Navya
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Gadwala Gaieeb Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : II / III

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

03/05/2023

G. Galeeb
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Syed Heena Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : 2nd year 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Syed Heena
Name of Student

Syed Heena
Signature

Date
03/05/23

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Syed Sabiha Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : IInd IInd semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8 ✓	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging ✓	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

03-05-23

Syed Sabiha
Name of Student

S. Sabiha
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk. John Saida Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : 2nd year 2nd Semester.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8.

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date
03/05/2023

SK. John Saida
Name of Student

SK. John Saida
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : O. Nagamalleswarao Course : MCA

Department : MCA Years/Semester : IInd year IInd semister

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

03-05-23

O. Nagamalleswara Rao
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : SK. Ayesha

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : ~~II~~ 2nd year / ~~4~~ 4th sem.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

17/05/2023.
Date

Sk. Ayesha
Name of Student

Sk. Ayesha.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Shabnam Course : MBA

Department : MBA Years/Semester : 2nd year 4th Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

17-5-2023
Date

Sk. Shabnam
Name of Student

Sk. Shabnam.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : A. Ramakrishna Course : MBA

Department : MBA Years/Semester : 2nd year 4th semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

17/05/2023
Date

A. Ramakrishna
Name of Student

A. Ramakrishna
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : SYED. MABU SADA Course : MBA

Department : M.B.A Years/Semester : I year 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 17/5/23 Name of Student SYED. MAHBOOB SAIDA Signature Sd. Mahboob Saida

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Ballagiri Srinivas Course : M.B.A

Department : M.B.A Years/Semester : 1st year II Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date May - 17 - 2023 Name of Student Ballagiri Srinivas Signature B. Srinivas

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Gouse bana

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : Ist Year 2nd Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

May-17-2023	Sk. Brave Basha	Sk. Brave basha
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : kakumanu pavithra Course : M.B.A

Department : M.B.A Years/Semester : 1st year, 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(5)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

17-05-2023

K. Pavithra

Name of Student

K. Pavithra

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : SHAIK. MUNEERA Course : MBA

Department : MBA Years/Semester : 1st year – II SEM

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of projcct/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Name of Student	Signature
17-05-2023	SK. MUNEERA.	Sk. Muneera.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name : Meda. Nava Durga Course : B.Tech

Department : CSD(Data Science) Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Meda.NavaDurga
Name of Student

M.NavaDurga.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk.Ishrath Banu Course : B.Tech

Department : CSD(Data science) Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	SK. Ishrath Banu Name of Student	SK. Ishrath Banu Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P. Deepthi

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Deepthi
Name of Student

Deepthi
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Ramya Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Ramya
Name of Student

Ramya
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : T. Durgabhavani Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	T. DurgaBhavani	T. Durgabhavani

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : N. Vasanthi Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 3rd Year / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Science, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	1)

Any other suggestion(s)

N. Vasanthi

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. sai ganesh Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 3rd year II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

G. Sai Ganesh

Name of Student

G. Sai Ganesh

Signature

Date

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Ch.Triveni

Course : BTECH

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Tiveni
Name of Student

Tiveni
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Shaik. Najma Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Shaik. Najma
Name of Student

Sk. Najma
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sirisha Bijjala Course : BTech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Bijjala.sirisha
Name of Student

B.Sirisha
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. Siva Swarna Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE (Computer science & engineering) Years/Semester : 2nd / 2:2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

H.SivaSwarna
Name of Student

Swarna
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : G. Anuhya

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Anchya
Name of Student

Anchya
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : B. Rakesh Course : B.Tech

Department : A.I Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

B. Rakesh

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : S. Akasha.

Course : B.Tech

Department : AI

Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

S. Akasha.

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
522 601
A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name : A. Venkatavamsi Course : B.Tech

Department : AI Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Venkata varma
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : P.V.S Ragavendra Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE (AI) Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P.V.S. Raghavendra
Name of Student

Raghavendra
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : A. Balaji Course : B.tech.

Department : AI Years/Semester : 2-2.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

A. Balaji
Name of Student

Balaji
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name : P. Rajesh Course : B. Tech

Department : AI Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Nill

P. Rajesh — Rajesh

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : I. Meghana Course : B. Tech

Department : A. I Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

I. Meghana
Name of Student

I. Meghana
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : S. Asritha Course : B.Tech

Department : A.I Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	S. ASritha Name of Student	S. ASritha Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : J. Ramya Course : B-Tech

Department : AI Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

J. Ramya
Name of Student

J. Ramya
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : N. Jithendhra. Course : B Tech

Department : AI Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	N. Jithendhra.	N. Jithendhra.
	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : M. Mallikarjuna Course : B.tech

Department : A-I Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	M.Mallikarjuna	M.Mallikarjuna

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : J. Niveditha Course : B.Tech

Department : A.I Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

j. Niveditha

Name of Student

j. Niveditha

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : D. Murali Krishna Course : B.Tech

Department : AI Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NILL

Date	D. morali krishna Name of Student	D. morali Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : D. venu Gopinath Course : B. tech

Department : A. I Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D. Venu Gopinath
Name of Student

D. VenuGopinath
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Ch. Karthik Course : B.Tech

Department : A-I Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Ch. Kartik Name of Student	Ch. Kartik Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23.

Name : Namala. Gayadhani Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE (AI) Years/Semester : ~~IV~~ II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

4 Request to conduct more workshops

Date

N. Gowardhani
Name of Student

N. Gowardhani
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Kadiyala.komali Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE(AI) Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

I request to conduct some more workshops

Kadiyala Komali

Date | Name of Student | K. Komali Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : Sk. Shubani Course : BTech

Department : AI Years/Semester : 3-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur Dist., A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Sk. Subani Name of Student	Subani, Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : G. Rama Krishna Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE(AI) Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Provide a Projector to the class

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	G. Rama	G. Rama

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : O. Sailesh Jeumon Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE (AI) Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Provide a projector to the class

D. Sailesh Kumar

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Ch.V.S. Praveen Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE(AI) Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date | Name of Student | Signature

Ch.V.S. Praveen | Ch. Praveen.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : B. Jaswanth Kumar Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE(AI) Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Nill

B Jaswanth Kumar

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : K.Venkata Navadheer Course : BTech

Department : CSE(AI) Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Provide extra library periods.

Date

K.V. Navadheer
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Mortha. Sagari Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE(AI) Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

I request to conduct workshops.

Date

Mortha. Sagari
Name of Student

M. Sagari.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

(9)

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Dasari Dharani Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE(AI) Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

I request to conduct internships.

Date

Dasari Dharani
Name of Student

D. Dharani
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : A. praneetha Course : B.Tech

Department : A.I Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

A. Praneetha

Signature

A. Praneetha

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : B. Lalitha Course : B. tech

Department : A. I Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Nill

B. Lalitha

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	A. venugopal Name of Student	A. venugopal Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: B.O 22-23

Name : A. VenuGopal Course : B. Tech

Department : A.I Years/Semester : 2 - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Ch. Saidharma Ganesh Course : B. Tech

Department : AI Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NILL

Date

Ch. Dharma Ganesh
Name of Student

Ch. Sai dharma ganesh
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : J. Harish Babu Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE (AI) Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPET ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur Dist., A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Provide a projector to the class

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	J. Harish Babu	J. Harish

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : BashaRam Course : BoTech

Department : CSE(AI Years/Semester : I-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Provide more books in liberary

Date

Sk. Rameez Basha
Name of Student

Sk. Basha Ram
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sk. Lal jan Basha Course : BTech

Department : IT Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sk. Lal jan Basha

Name of Student

Sk. Lal jan Basha

Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year:

Name : A. Adilakshmi Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : 4-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student P. Adilakshmi

Signature P.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-2023

Name : P. Venkata Amarendra Reddy Course : B.Tech.

Department : IT Years/Semester : (IV/II)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 501

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

P. Venkata Amarendra Reddy. P. Venkata Amarendra Reddy.

Date Name of Student Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23.

Name :- Abdul Rafi shaik. Course : B.Tech.

Department : IT Years/Semester: IV/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	Name of Student	Signature
	Abdul Rafi shaik.	Abdul Rafi shaik.

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : Sumathi Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

NIL

Date | Name of Student: Sumathi | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022 -23.

Name : Harika Busi Course : B.Tech.

Department : IT Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Nill

Date | Name of Student | Signature

Harika Bulji | Harika

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022/23

Name : K, Naveen Kumar Course : B.Tech

Department : IT Years/Semester : IV – II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Nill

K. Naveen Kumar

Date | Name of Student | Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on curriculum for the Academic Year: 2022-23

Name : T. Shanthi Ashika. Course : MBA

Department : MBA Years/Semester : 2nd year 4th sem.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

17-5-2023
Date

T. Shanthi Ashika.
Name of Student

T. Shanthi Ashika.
Signature

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.