STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year

2018-19

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019.

Name: Sk. Mastan vali.

Course: B.Tech.

Department: Civil

Years/Semester: I-I.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sk. Mastan vali.
Name of Student

Sk. M-vali
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019.

Name : K. siva nagi reddy.

Course : B.Tech.

Department : Civil.

Years/Semester : I-I.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Sivanagi reddy
Name of Student

K. Siva
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NEC

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 – 2019

Name : A. Manoj Sai kumar   Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil   Years/Semester : I - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

A. Manoj Sai kumar
Name of Student

A. Manoj Sai kumar
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name : D. Amarnath

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : I - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	very good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

D. Amarnath
Name of Student

D. Amarnath
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 – 2019

Name : A. SRinivasa Reddy

Course : B-Tech

Department : Civil Engineering

Years/Semester : II / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

A. Srinivasa Reddy

A. Srinivasa Reddy

Date   Name of Student   Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name : V. Ajay kumar

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil engineering

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date   V. Ajay Kumar.

        Name of Student

V. Ajay Kumar

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : S. Teja Venkatesh

Academic Year: 2018-19

Course : B.Tech

Department : CIVIL ENGINEERING

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date   S. Teja Venkatesh   S. Teja Versh
                   Name of Student   Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018—2019

Name : A. GOPI KRISHNA

Course : B.Tech

Department : CIVIL ENGINEERING

Years/Semester : II / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

A.Gopi Krishna
Name of Student

A.Gopi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018—19

Name : A. SAIDA RAO

Course : B-tech

Department : Civil Engineering.

Years/Semester : III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

A. SAIDA RAO

Date   Name of Student

A. SAIDA RAO

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : K. Anil Yadav

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil Engineering

Years/Semester : III / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

k. Anil Yadv
Name of Student

k. Anil Yadv
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : L. KIRAN

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2.)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

L. kiran

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : P. Nirmal Kumar

Department : Civil

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

Name of Student   *Nirmal kumar*

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name : G. kranthi kiran

Department : Civil

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : IV/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

G. Kranthi kiran
Name of Student

G. Kranthi k
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 – 2019

Name : CH· ANIL

Course : B-Tech

Department : B-Tech (CIVIL)

Years/Semester : IV / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

CH. ANIL
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : T. Sruthi

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil Engineering

Years/Semester : IV / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7.
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

T. Sruthi

Date   Name of Student   T. Sruthi.

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : SK. NAZIMUDDEEN

Course : B-Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : IV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

Sk. Nazimuddeem
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : B. Anil kumar.

Department : Civil Engineering

Academic Year: 2017 - 2018

Course : B.tech.

Years/Semester : I / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

B. Anil kumar.

Date   Name of Student   Signature

B. Anil kumar.

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name : V. Sambasiva Rao

Course : B.Tech

Rool NO: 18471A0238

Department : EEE

Years/Semester : I / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date   V. Samba Siva Rao

         Name of Student   Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018—2019

Name : P. JOHN LUKE

Roll No: 17471A0202

Department : E.E.E

Course : B-tech

Years/Semester : 2/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

P. JHON LUKE

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name : M. Madhavi

Course : B.Tech

ROll.NO: 18475A0201

Department : EEE

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(**2**)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(**1**)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(**2**)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(**1**)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(**2**)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

m. madhavi
Name of Student

m. m
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 – 2019

Name: GM maheswara rao   Course: B.tech

ROll.No: 18475A0205

Department: EEE   Years/Semester: II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

GM maheswararao

Name of Student

GM maheswararao

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : G. Yaswanth krishna

ROll·NO: 17471A0224

Department : EEE

Academic Year: 2018- 2019

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : 2/2.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(I)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(I)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(I)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

<u>Student Feedback Form on Curriculum</u>

Academic Year: 2018 -19

Name : J. Ratna Babu

Roll.No: 17475A0203

Department : EEE

Course : B.Tech.

Years/Semester : III / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(٩)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(١)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

J. Ratna Babu

Date   Name of Student

J. Roothan Babu
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name : K. Raj Kumar

Roll.No: 1647IA0201

Department : EEE

Course : BTech

Years/Semester : III / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(-1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

K. Rajkumar

Name of Student

K. Roj Kumar

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018−2019

Name: Syed Kamaluddin

Roll·No: 17475A02821

Department: EEC

Course: B.tech

Years/Semester: III − II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Syed kamaluddin

Date   Name of Student   Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018- 2019

Name : P. Sai Kumar.

Roll.No: 17475 A0212

Department : EEE

Course : B·Tech

Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

P. Sai Kumar

Date   Name of Student   Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 - 2019

Name : V. Ramu
Roll·No: 15U95A0219
Department : EEE

Course : B. Tech

Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

V.. Ramu

Date   Name of Student   Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name: Sd. Kaleshunnisa
Rool NO: 1547IA0231
Department: EEE

Course: B.Tech

Years/Semester: IV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

Sd. kaleshunnisa
Name of Student

Sd kaleh
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name : K. Naga Revathi

Roll.No: 15471A0225

Department : EEE

Course : B-Tech.

Years/Semester : IV-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Naga Revathi
Name of Student

Revathi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name: T. Rama Asuthosh

Rool No: 16475A0217

Department: EEE

Course: B.Tech

Years/Semester: IV/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

T·Rama-Asuthosh
Name of Student

T·Ram
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 – 2019

Name : S. Kiron kumar

Course : B-tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : 1st – 1st

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

S. KIRAN KUMAR

Date 19.12.18   Name of Student

G. Kiran kumar

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: G. Balaji

Course: B.Tech.

Department: mechanical

Years/Semester: 2/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(5)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date 18/Nov/18   Name of Student G. Balaji   Signature 5G

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: Sk. Khaleel Basha

Course: B. Tech

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Years/Semester: 1-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 17|11|2018   Name of Student SK. Khaleel Basha   SK. Khaleel

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: B.Venu

Course: B.Tech

Department: Mechanical

Years/Semester: 1st - 1st

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |

Any other suggestion(s)

18/11/18
Date

B. Venu
Name of Student

B. Venu
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: Kanagani Venkatesh

Course: B.Tech

Department: Mech. Engg

Years/Semester: 2nd Year 2nd Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

10-3-2019

Date

K. Venkatesh

Name of Student

K. Venkates

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : Bum Prakash

Course : Mechanica B.Tech

Department : Mech Engg

Years/Semester : II Year III sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

15-4-2019
Date

Burri Prakash
Name of Student

B. Prakash
Signature

IQAC

Mk
Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : Birudu RaviTeja

Department : Mech. Engg

Academic Year: 2018-19

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : I Year II sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

10-2-2019

Date

B Ravi Teja

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : Challang Venkata Manikumar

Department : Mech Engg

Academic Year: 2018-19

Course : Mech Engg

Years/Semester : IV Yr II sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

10-1-19

Date

Ch. V. Mankumar

Name of Student

Ch. V. Mankuma

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : Y. Srikanth

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mech Engg

Years/Semester : IV Year I sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

10-11-2018

Date

Y. Srikanth

Name of Student

Y. Srikanth

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : A. Sai Kumar

Academic Year: 2018-19

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical Engineering

Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

21-11-2018

Date

A. Sai Kumar

Name of Student

A Sa Kum

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: J. Prasannanjaneyulu

Course: B.Tech

Department: ME

Years/Semester: IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

25-10-2018

Date

J. Prasannanjaneyulu

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: Bandi Yedukondalu

Course: B.Tech

Department: Mech Engg

Years/Semester: IV - I sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

10-11-2018

Date

B. Yedukondalu

Name of Student

B. Yedukondalu

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : Suresh Muppalla

Department : Mech

Academic Year: 2018-19

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : III – II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

___

10/3/19
Date

Suresh Muppalla
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : Shaik Rafik Ahmad

Department : M R

Academic Year: 2018-19

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

10/3/19
Date

Shaik Rafik Ahmad
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: Dayan Sai Gop.

Course: B.Tech

Department: ME

Years/Semester: 3 - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

7-3-19
Date

Dasar Sahop
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: Jampala Manikanta

Course: B.Tech

Department: ME

Years/Semester: III-II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |

Any other suggestion(s)

6-2-19
Date

Jampala Manikanta
Name of Student

J.Manikanta
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: B. Jayasri

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 2-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)   _Introduce new technology in Curriculum._

Date 4|10|18

B. Javasri
Name of Student

B. Javasri
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : N. Bhavana

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Introduce Industry oriented subjects.

Date 10|10|8

N. Bhavana
Name of Student

N. Bhavana
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : A. ASWANI

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

need some more new technology

Date 10|10|18

A. ASWANI
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : K.SAI SRI

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 2-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Utilize a variety of technology options

Date 4/10/18   Name of Student K. SAI SRI   Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : P. Anil kumar

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)   Some modifications will occur on some course subjects.

Date 8/10/18

Name of Student   P. Anil Kumar

Signature   P. Anil

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2015-2019

Name : N. Harinath   Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE   Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

N. Harinath

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

___

29|11|21

Date

N. Harinath

Name of Student

Signature

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year —2015- 2018

Name: Syed.Yunus

Course: B.Tech in ECE

Department: ECE

Years/Semester:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

| 1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student Yunus Syed

Signature Sd. Yunu

15th Dec 2021

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year - 2014-2018

Name: P. Arif khan

Course: B.Tech

Department: EEE

Years/Semester:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8
		8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(5)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

P. Arif khan

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

Name of Student PATHAAN ARIF KHAN

Signature P. Arif khan

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2014-18

Name : I. Ramana Kumari   Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE   Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7/8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

31/12/2021
Date

L. Ramana kumari
Name of Student

L. Ramana k.
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2014-2018.

Name: Ch. Naga Sudheer. Course: B.Tech.

Department: ECE. Years/Semester:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7
		7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Ch. Sudheer

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

27-11-21

Date

Ch. Naga Sudheer.

Name of Student

Ch. Sudheer

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: **2018-19**

Name: **R. SAI KRISHNA**

Course: **B.Tech**

Department: **CSE**

Years/Semester: **III - I**

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) —

Date 8/10/18

R.SAI KRISHNA

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 – 2019

Name : K. Mrudhula

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : III – I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Introduce certification courses

Date 8|10|18

K. Mrudhula

Name of Student

Mrudhula.

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 - 19

Name : Ponugoti Sri Nadh

Course : B. Tech.

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : III - 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Bring more Technical oriented

Date 8/10/18   Name of Student   Signature

Ponugoti srinadh   Ponugoti srinadh

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name : M·rukmini priyanka

Course : Btech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : III - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Introduce more labs

Date 8/10/18   Name of Student M. rukminipriyanka   Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : M. Sri lakshmi?

Department : CSE

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : III - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s),

Sports should also be given preference

Date 8|10|18

M.Srilakshmi?

Name of Student

M. Srilakshmi

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : M.Tarun Reddy

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : III - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Curriculum is very good but there is need to focus more on technical skills.

Date 8|10|18

M. Tarun Reddy
Name of Student

M. Tarun
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : T. Rama krishna

Department : CSE

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : 4 - 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Need to provide more work shops

Date 10 - 10 - 18   Name of Student   Signature

T. Ramakrishna   Rama krishna

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 - 2019

Name : N. venkata Reddy

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Provide More relevent examples in courses

Date 10-10-18

N.Venkata Reddy
Name of Student

NVReddy
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 - 2019

Name: K. Vasavi

Course: B-tech.

Department: C.S.E

Years/Semester: IV - 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

CURICULAM Good

Date 10-10-18

K. vasavi

Name of Student

K. Vasvi

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 –19

Name : D. Harini

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4.1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Curriculum is good

Date 10/10/18

D. Haolini
Name of Student

D. Haolini
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 – 2019

Name : M. pavan Sai

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4.1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Introduce Industry related courses

Date 10/10/18

M. Pavan Sai
Name of Student

M. Pavan Sai
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : G. Rajasekhar

Department : C.S E

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Course : B-tech

Years/Semester : H-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Consider new learning method

G. Raja Shekar.

Date 10-10-18   Name of Student   Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name : P. Srujana

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)   Introduce industry related cources

P. Srujana

Date 10-10-18   Name of Student   Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : B. LeelaDevi

Course : B-tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4 - 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Introduce some Certification courses

Date 10/10/18

B. Leela Devi

Name of Student

B. Leela Devi

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name : Shaik Salaman

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 10/10/18

Shaik Salman
Name of Student

Sk salaman
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 - 2019

Name : K. INDIRA

Course : B.Tech

Department : C.S.E

Years/Semester : 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

More labs compare to classes

Date 10/10/18

K. INDIRA

Name of Student

K. INDIRA

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 – 2019

Name : A. Ravi Teja

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

In Syllabus try to add more technicle related subjects

Date 10/10/18

A. Ravi Teja
Name of Student

A. Ravi Teja
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 – 19

Name : B. Sivaanjuralu.

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : 1. 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

9/4/2019
Date

B. silaanjunavllu .
Name of Student

B.silayhuee.
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

NEC

(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : B. Lanvyya

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : 1-1.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(9)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(7)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(9)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)

Any other suggestion(s)

09-4-19
Date

B. Lavanya
Name of Student

B. Lavanya
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name : A . SRAVANI

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : I - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date 09-04-2019

A. SRAVANI

Name of Student

A. Sravani

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name : A. Mahesh

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : I - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (1) |

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

| 1) Very good | 2) Good | 3) Average | 4) Poor | 5) Very Poor | (2) |

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

08 – 04 – 2019
Date

Name of Student   A. mahes

A. mahes
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19.

Name: BK. Triveni

Course: MBA.

Department: MBA

Years/Semester: 2/IV²

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(9)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(7)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 9-4-19   Name of Student K. Triveni   Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018 – 19

Name : A. Lohit kumar

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : I / 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

9/4/19

Date

A. Lokshit kumar

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: P siva

Course: MBA

Department: MBA

Years/Semester: 2nd - 3rd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	5
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(5)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

9-4-2019

Date

P. SIVA

Name of Student

P. SIVA

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : Sk, Rukeana

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : I | II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date   Name of Student   Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : NVL Ravi

Course : M C A

Department : M C A

Years/Semester : II IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

NVL Ravi
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : K. Dhanalakshmi

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : II / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

K. DHANA LAKSHMI

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : T. Veena

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : II / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

T. Veena
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: K. Baby

Course: MCA

Department: M.cA

Years/Semester: II | IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date   M. Babu
Name of Student   Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : T. Balakumar

Course : M CA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : II/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

T. Balakumar

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : SK. Khaja Mohiddin

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : II | IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(i)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

s\c. Khaja Mohiddin
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: B. Althaph

Course: MCA

Department: MCA

Years/Semester: III / V

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

_____

Date

R. Althaph
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : K. Mohan Sai

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : III | V

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Mohan Sai
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name : G. Manasa veena

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : III / V

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G. Manasa Veena
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.