STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2018-19



Academic Year: 2018-2019.

Name: Sk. Mastan val.

Course: B. Tech.

Department: Civ;

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

Years/Semester: 7-7

	9	. 8	. 7	6	5		4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate		e how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
			9.2			n 4.	The W	3		
S.No.	Particula	ars				W ₁				Rating
1		g value (in ting perspect		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analyt	ical abi	lities, or		8
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	· v			5	7
3	Depth of	f the course	content		•			0.5		8
4		f coverage			1			10.5 ³⁸		9
5		f effort requ			is a		• •			8
6			value of p	project/ report	×			3	***	8
7	Overall 1	rating								9
	he syllabu					- VI			**	
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5 g	5) Irre	elevant		(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the course	e was	1 3	8 to 12			(2)
	our backg		enefiting nt 3				8 to 12	mpletely		(2)
3. Y 1) Hig Releva	our backg hly ant	round for b 2) Releva	enefiting nt 3	from the course) Partly	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant		5) Cor	mpletely		
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exe	our backg hly ant ate the sec	round for b 2) Releva	enefiting nt 3 R nits/modu	from the course) Partly Relevant	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant	erioristension or del	5) Cor Irrelev	mpletely		
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Ex	our backg hly ant ate the sec	round for b 2) Releva quence of un 2) Adequ	enefiting nt 3 R nits/modu ate 3	from the course) Partly Relevant les of the course 3) Inadequate	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	nention	5) Cor Irrelev	mpletely vant ery Poor		(2)
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exa	our backg hly ant ate the sec	round for b 2) Releva quence of un 2) Adequ	enefiting nt 3 R nits/modu ate 3	from the course) Partly Relevant	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	nention	5) Cor Irrelevents 5) Volumed for	mpletely vant ery Poor	See .	(2)
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R	our backg hly ant ate the sec	round for b 2) Releva quence of un 2) Adequ equateness (2) Adequ	enefiting nt 3 R nits/modu ate 3 of the text ate 3	from the course) Partly Relevant les of the course 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant 4) Poor rence book m	nention	5) Cor Irrelevents 5) Volumed for	mpletely vant ery Poor	See .	(1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			ina a	a a g	
9. Rate the com	position of course i	n terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / Int	ernship etc.		,		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				x v	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabu	s of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	2 *			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	(a)		T T		
11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				e e	
12.Evaluation	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
and the second	2		e, 48 o		
y other suggesti	on(s)				
				must be the	

IQAC

Date

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



Academic Year:	2018-2	019.
----------------	--------	------

Name: 12. Siva nagi reddy.

Course: B. Tech.

Department: Civil.

Years/Semester: 7-7.

1. Please give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	T

9	8	7	6	5 .	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	7
	broadening perspectives)	1
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

	2.	The syllabus v	vas	
-				

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(9)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
75711679313947		0.13	The state of the s	Restricted to the other reported intended to desire the	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course.

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2_)
		11		ĺ)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			1		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 3) Very Poor (2)	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--	--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			the state of	a 1 s	
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / Int	ernship etc.				,
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected l	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	= n			
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	2		-		
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			1	· · · ·	
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	= 0		* a *	The state of the s	
other suggesti	on(s)				
				year file	

h. Siva nogi reddy Name of Student Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2018 - 2019

Poor

Poor

Rating

Name: A Manoj Sai Kumar

Course: B. Tech

Department: Civil

S.No. Particulars

1) Very good

2) Good

Years/Semester: I - I

Tolerable

1. Please give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Good

•	Learning	5 . mine (mi termo c	of skills, concepts,			
	broaden	ing perspectives)		100 No. 100 No		+
. 2	Applica	bility/relevance to	real life situations	S	=	
3	Depth o	f the course conter	nt	- N - 1	1.00	
4	Extent o	of coverage of cour	rse	-	2 rg 2	
5		f effort required b				
6	Relevan	ce/learning value	of project/ report	2		
7	Overall	rating		N		- 2
2 т	he syllabu	IC WOO	6	- d.	*	
	allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for benefiti	ng from the course	e was		
3. Y		round for benefiti 2) Relevant	ng from the course 3) Partly	e was 4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
	ghly				5) Completely Irrelevant	()
1) Hig Releva	ghly ant		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		(1)
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc	thly ant Late the second cellent	2) Relevant quence of units/mo 2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant odules of the cours 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant		(1)
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R	thly ant late the second cellent late the ad	2) Relevant quence of units/mo 2) Adequate equateness of the	3) Partly Relevant odules of the cours 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant se	Irrelevant	
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	cellent Late the second cellent	2) Relevant quence of units/mo 2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant odules of the cours 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant se	Irrelevant 5) Very Poor	
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	cate the second	2) Relevant quence of units/mo 2) Adequate equateness of the to the control of	3) Partly Relevant odules of the cours 3) Inadequate text book and referance 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor rence book mention	5) Very Poor	(2)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		* N *-	4 * 4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.	- /		competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	ulum has focus (2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	1 4) Poor	5) V D	
i) very good	2) G00d	3) Average	4) 1001	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggesti	on(s)				
	2	¥	3,000		
	A	Manoj Sai kumo Jame of Student	ηγ	A. Mc	aná Sei ku

NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



					,)		,		
						Ac	ademic Year	1:2018	-2019
Name	D.A	marnatt				Co	urse: BiT	ech	
Depar	tment : _	èvi)			2.	Yea	rs/Semester	: I - [I
1. P	lease give	a rating of y	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
à,	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some ho Tolerab		Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs				2 3	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		Rating
1	Learning	value (in te	erms of s	skills, concepts,	knowledge	analytical	abilities or		
		ng perspect		inis, concepts,	inio irreage,	ariary trous	domines, or		good
2				al life situations		·			9
3		the course		ar ino broadron			5.8		9
4		f coverage o			1		8.4		
5		f effort requ							8
6				project/ report					7
7	Overall			project repert	way and a second		*		8
	art. I	8		а	1 W.		· · · · · ·	-	0
2. T	he syllabu	s was							
1) Cha	allenging	2) Adequa	te :	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefitino	from the course	e was	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	0		
1) Hig		2) Relevar		3) Partly	4) Mostly	5)	Completely	,	(1)
Releva		2) 1000 vai		Relevant	Irrelevant		relevant		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	molevant		Cicvain		
4. R	ate the sec	uence of un	its/modi	ules of the cours	se				
1) Exe	cellent	2) Adequa	ite	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	4	5) Very Poor	Service 1	(2)
20.81	W. W. Warten	MANUAL AT	390AHA	ANHAN	2.	The state of	1 1 N	and the second	(2)
	470	JUNIONO!	UA)	34		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		2	
5. R	ate the ade	equateness of	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book m	nentioned	for the cour	se	
1) Exe	cellent	2) Adequa	ite	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	4	5) Very poor		(2)
6 11	Vara vou a	ble to got the	o proces	had randings?			, ,		
	cellent			bed readings?	4) Page	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) Marron		(0)
1) EX		2) Adequa	ite	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very poor		(2)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the c	ourse in	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learnin	g		
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		Very Poor		(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		e a × × ·		1 1 2	
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.		. 1	,, (,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	,			8 1 8	
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	# # P # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	= 1 3		- 2
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
					, ,
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
			3 2	,	
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	31	* *			
y other suggesti	ion(s)				
				8 0 c 20	
			*	A B	
					_
		D. Amarnoith			1) A men

NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback Form on Curriculum Academic Year: 2018 - 2019 Name: A. SRinivasa Recky Course: B-Tech Department: Civil Engineesing Years/Semester: 11 / 11 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 8 Extremely Verv Moderately Some how Very Extremely Good Moderate Poor Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor S.No. **Particulars** Rating Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or 1 broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations CI 3 Depth of the course content P 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 8 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 9 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2) Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (3) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	A 0 0 0 0 0	e # #		2 ° 8	
. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering So	ciences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In	ternship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
		is of the course if	i relation to the c	competencies expected	by maustry /
	bal scenarios.	is of the course if	relation to the c	competencies expected	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11 77					
11. The curric	ulum has focus or				urse,
	2) 0 1	2) 1 1100000	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4)1001	3) VELY FOOL	(3)
				, ,	(3)
* **	of the course is a			, ,	(3)
1) Very good 12. Evaluation 1) Very good				, ,	(3)
12.Evaluation	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is a 2) Good	ppropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	(1)

Name of Student

A. Spinivasa Reddy

A. Stipivasa Red

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acade	emic Yea	ar: 201	8-2019
Name:	VA	gay ku	mali	n eoding				.Tell	
Departr	ment:	Civil (engin	peoling	7.	Years/	Semeste	$r: \underline{u}/\underline{u}$	Tr
1. Ple	ease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
1	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs		9 0	4		- A		Rating
1	Learning broadeni	value (in to	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, o	r	8
. 2				l life situations	, s'	-			9
3	Depth of	the course	content	·	1		g r		7
4		coverage of			-				9
5		effort requ		tudents	3				8
6				project/ report				1	7
7	Overall r	ating	•			7			9
	e syllabu			1,500.14			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
1) Chall	lenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant		(1)
				from the course	was	2 g)
1) High		2) Relevar) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletel	y (
Relevan	it		R	elevant	Irrelevant	Irrele			
				les of the cours	e	William Table		a	
	CHAN	ONOTHA	ý) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(2)
5. Rat	te the ade		f the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for	the cour	se	
1) Exce	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	r	(1)
		le to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?			0 E		
1) Exce	llent	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(1)
7. Rat	e the desi	gn of the co	ourse in te	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning	3		
1) Very	good	2) Good		Average	4) Poor		ry Poor	(9)
						B 20			

1) Very good	2) Good				
	2) 0000	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
				ciences, Engineering Sci tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Intern		Tolessional Elec	tive, Open Elect	live, Floject work (Fw) & Fractica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1:)
current globa	l scenarios.	s .	4 9	competencies expected l	(1)
-)		() 11.01mgc	1.)2002	7 . 513 2 551	
11. The curriculu	um has focus on	skill developmer	nt		
	um has focus on 2) Good	skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good		3) Average	4) Poor	, , , , ,	(2)

Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acade	mic Year	: 2018	8-19
		Teja V				Course	e: B.	Tech	
Depar	tment:	CIVIL	ENG	BINEER	116	Years/S	Semester	: IP/	
1. F	Please give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	- 1
	tremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars							Datina
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analytical ah	ilities or		Rating
	broadeni	ng perspect	tives)	inis, concepts,	Mio wiedge,	anarytical au	inues, or		7
. 2				al life situations	3		9		8
3		the course					235		
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course		1		900 ⁶		7
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents					8
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report					7
7	Overall r	ating		4					8
2. T	he syllabu	s was			1 12				0
1) Cha	allenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant	(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was				
1) Hig	hly	2) Relevar) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	(1)
Releva	ant		R	lelevant	Irrelevant	Irrele		,	1. 2
4. R	ate the seq	uence of un	its/modu	les of the cours	e	* * * *	W		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor		(2)
	MARINE,	LATTE OAS	24040	П	3	S. A. H	The second	į.	
5. R	ate the ade	quateness o	f the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for	the cours	e	
1) Exc	cellent Q	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate			ery poor		(2)
6. W	ere you ab	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	, n	*, *	7		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(()
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the co	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning			
1) Very		2) Good) Average	4) Poor		y Poor	(2_)

(2)

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
. Rate the comp	position of course in	n terms Humaniti	es and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sci	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro Training / Int		Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10. Rate the d current glo		s of the course in	Totalion to the		of madotry /
		s of the course in	Totalion to the	ompound on pour	oy maasay i
current glo	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(t)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor		(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus on	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus on	3) Average skill development 3) Average	4) Poor 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name: A. GOPI KRISHNA

Course: B. Tech

Department: CIVIL ENGINEERING

Years/Semester: 11 / 17

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
(5)	broadening perspectives)	4
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	1
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	ů,
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	a

~	771	11	1	
1.	The	CVIIA	hile	WAS
	1 110	SYLLA	.Uus	was

				The second secon	
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

Traite the poquente of	diffes inodules of the cours	C principle	The companies of the party and the property and the party and the party of the part	w/roterus.
1) Excellent 2) Adec	quate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
L. PRINCIPLE THE PROPERTY OF THE	15		2 2 4 1 2	1

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

onal Course, Prohip etc. Good of the syllabus ocenarios.	3) Average of the course in re 3) Average	ve, Open Elective, 4) Poor	ces, Engineering Scient, Project Work (PW) & 5) Very Poor petencies expected by 5) Very Poor	& Practica
onal Course, Prohip etc. Good of the syllabus ocenarios. Good	3) Average of the course in re 3) Average	4) Poor elation to the comp	, Project Work (PW) & 5) Very Poor petencies expected by	& Practica
onal Course, Prohip etc. Good of the syllabus ocenarios. Good	3) Average of the course in re 3) Average	4) Poor elation to the comp	, Project Work (PW) & 5) Very Poor petencies expected by	& Practica
of the syllabus of cenarios.	3) Average of the course in re 3) Average	4) Poor elation to the comp	5) Very Poor petencies expected by	(†)
of the syllabus ocenarios.	of the course in real	elation to the comp	petencies expected by	
Good :	3) Average			
2 4		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
2 4		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
has focus on sk				
TO COO OTI ON	all development			
Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
he course is appr	ropriate to discrir	minate the student	s	
Good 3	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
)				
	Good	Good 3) Average	Good 3) Average 4) Poor	

A-Gropi Koishna Name of Student A. Gof i Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Student Feedback

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

4) Poor

			Stude	nt Feedback	Form on C	urriculum			
		# E			,	Acader	nic Yea	r: 2018	3-19
Name	A . S	AIDA F	CAS			Course	: B-	-tech	
Depart	ment : C	ivil en	ginee	ring-	7	Years/S	Semester	: 111	<u>II</u>
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your co	urse on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	re			Ÿ.				Dating
1	100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00		orms of	alcilla concenta	lenovelodos	amalistical ala	1:4:		Rating
19	broadeni	ng perspect	tives)	skills, concepts,		anaryticai abi	intes, or	R R	2
. 2				eal life situation	S	***			7
3		the course				i s	×		8
4		f coverage of			·		× ***		7
5		f effort requ							7
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of	project/ report		-			8
7	Overall r	ating		9		*			9
2. T	he syllabu	s was			s.			-	
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefitin	g from the cours	e was	я р в ж	8)	-	
1) High		2) Relevan		3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	/	(1)
Releva	nt			Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele			` '
4. R	ate the seq	uence of ur	nits/mod	lules of the cours	se	9 9 9 9 9	20 27	0	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r second	(1)
0a55 R	ate the ade	equateness of	of the te	xt book and refe	rence book m	nentioned for	the cour	'Se	
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	ALTONOMIC DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	ery poor	and the same of th	()
6. W	ere vou al	ole to get th	e nrescr	ribed readings?	<u> </u>		* *		
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(2)
7. R	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning			

5) Very Poor

(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
		19 1	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	4 1 1	
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / Int	ernship etc.			,	, 66 7 1461164
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabi	us of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				-,,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	a 1		-		
		1.211 1 1			
11. The curric	ulum has focus or	i skili developme	nt		
11. The curric	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(ユ)
1) Very good		3) Average	4) Poor	dents	
 Very good Evaluation 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(ユ)
 Very good Evaluation 	2) Good of the course is a 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	dents	

A. SAIDA RAO

Date Name of Student

GAS ACIAZ.A

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					,i				
						Acade	mic Year	: 2018	-19
Name	: K. A	nil Yo	Dav			Course	e: BiTe	ch	
D		ovel Eng	2 - 200	20	,			亚加加	
Depart	tment : 🖰	vic eng	needin	9		Years/S	Semester	: 41	
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
-	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars		<u> </u>		ř.			Rating
1		y value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, or		7
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to re	al life situation	S	Year or			7
3	Depth of	the course	content	2 H 11		5 E	A.1		7
4		f coverage					5 er ³		
5		f effort requ							7
6			value of	project/ report	5				7
7	Overall 1	ating				7 X p			7
2. T	he syllabu	s was				,			
	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant		(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was		*	2	
1) Hig		2) Relevan		3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	,	(7)
Releva	ınt		F	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	vant		, , ,
4. R	ate the sec	uence of un	nits/modu	iles of the cour	se				
		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor		())
	ate the ade		of the tex	t book and refe	rence book m	nentioned for	the cour	se	
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor		(1)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get th	e prescri	bed readings?			H H		*
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	ery poor	r	(2)
7. R	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning	e e		
	v good	2) Good		Average	4) Poor		ry Poor		(0)

o. Rate the nex	libility in choosin	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		× 1			
9. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	siances Pasia
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	Professional Elec	ctive Open Flect	tive, Project Work (PW	A Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.	, i i o i o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	etive, open zice	uve, Project work (1 w) & Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1	(*			X E	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	ous of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by madstry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	6 11	1 1) 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,72,001	10) 1011 1001	()
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				0	
		appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
			= -		
y other suggesti	on(s)				
				8 88 S 0 8	
N .		2	7		
	k	. Anil Yadv			K. Anil You
te		ame of Student			Signature
	11	and of bradellt			Signature

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.R.



				•		Acade	mic Yea	r: 2018	-14
Name	. L. K	iran				Cours	e: B.	Tech	
Depart	ment : C	ivil,			F ,	Years/	Semester	11/11	
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs	-						Rating
1		value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, or	1	7
. 2				al life situations	3	Ten a			1
3		the course			2.0	8 B	415		7
4		coverage			,		1.0		1
5		f effort requ							
6			value of	project/ report	=				7
7	Overall r	ating		5		×			1
2. TI	ne syllabus	s was			,				
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant		(1)
3. Y	our backgi	ound for b	enefiting	from the course	e was	я е × е	5	0	
1) Higl Releva		2) Releva		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	ompletely evant	y	(2)
4. Ra	ate the seq	uence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cours	se	: :	ρ 5 α		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(4)
Parity.	olare alla	HEATHGOAL	NAHASAP	* *		- Party	to the	The state of the state of	
5. R	ate the ade	quateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book m	nentioned for	the cour	rse	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		Very poo		(3)
6. W	ere you at	ole to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	į.		E 26		
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	Very poo	r	(1)

5) Very Poor

(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

4) Poor

1) Very good

2) Good

o. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	of elective in relat	tion to technology	advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
			1 2 2	9 5 5	
9. Rate the com	position of course i	n terms Humaniti	ies and Social Scie	ences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course.	Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elective	e, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.		are, open breen	e, Project Work (1 W) & Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
* 1	9K				
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabu	s of the course in	relation to the co	mpetencies expected	hy industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			imperenteres expected	by madstry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	15				
11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2 2	, *				
12.Evaluation	of the course is a	opropriate to disc	riminate the stude	nts	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2.)
	1	* x			
ny other suggesti	on(s)				
	1				
	1.	Kinan			100

IQAC

Date

Name of Student

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



Academic Year: 2019

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name	(C) 2°	mod	kun	ay		Cours	e: B	. Teck)
Depart	ment: C	ivil				Years/	Semester		T
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
121	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs		41			is is		Rating
1	Learning			kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, or	r	Rating
2				al life situations	S ?				8
3	Depth of	the course	content				15.42		9
4		f coverage			,		.8.*		7
5		f effort requ							7
6			value of	project/ report	=				7
7	Overall r	rating			1	150,			7
2 т	he syllabus	e wae				æ			
	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Im	elevant		7)
		2) 11acqui) madequate	1) Dun	3) 111	Cicvant		7)
3. Y	our backgr	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was				
1) Hig	-	2) Relevan	nt 3	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	у (1)
Releva	int		I	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	evant		
4. R	ate the seq	uence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cours	se				
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	())
5. R	ate the ade		of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	the cou	rse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	Very poo	r	(1)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get th	e prescri	bed readings?	2.9		=	· ·	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	r	(2)
7. R	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning	0		
1) Ver		2) Good) Average	4) Poor		ery Poor	(2)
						N 8 8			

8. Rate the flex	kibility in choosin	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Course	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sc ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences Basic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
current glo	bal scenarios.	,	-	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
		on skill developme		, X	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2_)
Any other suggest		sirmal ke	urrow M		
					demelle.
Date	N	ame of Student			Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acade	mic Yea	r: 20	18-2019
Name	G	kran	the	Kiran		Cours	e:	2. Tec	ch.
Depar	tment:	CAVH		,		Years/	Semester	B/	P
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing			1	
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars							Rating
1	Learning	y value (in t	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, oi	•	7
2				l life situations			- 0		8
3		the course						4	8
4		f coverage of			,		ex °		7
5		f effort requ		udents	× ×				7
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report					9
7	Overall 1				1	· , •			8
MAN	+	J		· 11	Milli				0
	he syllabu								
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	te 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant	(2)
3. Y	our backo	round for be	enefiting t	from the course	a woo		ē -		
1) High	hlv	2) Relevan) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mmlatale	. /	•
Releva		2) Releval		elevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	mpletely	(2)
					Tirele valit	IIIcic	vant		
4. R	ate the seq	uence of ur	its/modul	les of the cours	e				
1) Exc		2) Adequa) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor	.	(2)
3091	1000000	HOLD HTS	MARARA DA O	8100		the straightful and	The second section of the second	Aport of the Pacific Straig.	(2)
5. Ra	ate the ade	quateness c	f the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for	the cour	se	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	enomenowskie de	(()
6. W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	v 46		7. 3		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	1	(1)
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in to	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning			
1) Very		2) Good		Average	4) Poor		ry Poor	(2)
							-		

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
× 8		e 2	100 mg a	a 11 a	
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sci	iences, Basic
	*			tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In	ternship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	obal scenarios.		,		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11 The aumi	aulum has facus o	n akill dayalanma			
		n skill developme		[5] W D	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluatio	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
, , ,					

G. Krarthi kiran Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					. ".		Acader	nic Yea	r: 2018	-2019
Name	:CH +	ANIL							Tech	
Depar	tment :	3-Tech	(CIV	11)	.*		Years/S	emester	W 2	I
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	- 5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate		e how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars					i			Rating
1	broaden	ing perspect	tives)	kills, concepts,		analyti	ical abi	lities, or		7
2	Applical	bility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3			u.		7
3		f the course		* * * *	b *	* 72 190 18		5:		7
4		f coverage of					180			7
5		f effort requ			N 3					7
6			value of p	project/ report						7
7	Overall	rating								7
2 T	he syllabu	c was			71.71					
	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	<u>-</u>	5) Irre	lavant		(2)
-, -,		2) Tracque	10 3) madequate	T) Dull		3) 1116	levant		(3)
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was					
1) High	hly	2) Relevan) Partly	4) Mostly		5) Cor	npletely		(2)
Releva	nt		1	elevant	Irrelevant		Irrelev			
4. R	ate the sec	uence of ur	nits/modu	les of the cours	se			2 3		
	120000	2) Adequa	3 to 100 day	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	The said	5) Ve	ery Poor		(3)
5. R	ate the ade	TOAPASA equateness o	of the text	book and refer	rence book m	ention	ed for t	he cour	se	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor			ery poor		(2)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	- 18			8		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ery poor		(3)
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learr	ning			

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.		0 8		7 P D .
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	lepth of the syllabuble bal scenarios.	us of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
l) Very good	(2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	culum has focus or	n skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
			,		
12.Evaluation	n of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
1) Very good					
l) Very good	12).000				
) Very good y other suggest		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	, s	9	

CH. ANIL Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLECT
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: T. South?

Course: BiTech

Department: Civil Engineering

Years/Semester: 1 /1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	0
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	07.
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	0
7	Overall rating	61

~	771	1	1 1	
L.	I he	VZ	labus	WAS
	1110	5 7 1	iuous	Was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevent	yant 3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)
-----------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2)	Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	Service State of the service of the	5) Very Poor	(1)
HOO DINGTON DIED DIE	mhaaceastail	, H	2 - 0			

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2) A	dequate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
-------------------	-----------------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practica Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3 13. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3 14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3 14. Evaluation of the suggestion(s)	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practica Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) 10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11.The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12.Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) y other suggestion(s)					V 8	
Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practica Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) 10.Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11.The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12.Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) y other suggestion(s)	Rate the com	nosition of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sci	iences Engineering Sc	riences Basic
Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 4) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 4) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) 13. Overage 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3) 14. Overage 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 3)						
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. 11. Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12. Evaluation of the suggestion(s)			o, i roressionar Erec	ouve, open bleet	rve, rreject work (r w) & Tractical
current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) y other suggestion(s)			3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 4) Your good 5) Very Poor (3) 4) Your good 5) Very Poor (3)		* .		2 3		
current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) y other suggestion(s)	10. Rate the d	epth of the syllal	bus of the course ir	relation to the co	ompetencies expected	by industry /
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 13. Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 13. Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 14. The curriculum has focus on skill development (3) 15. Very good 5) Very Poor (3) 16. Very good 5) Very Poor (3)						,
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 2) y other suggestion(s)			3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1 Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) y other suggestion(s)						
12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 23. Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (23.) 24. Very good 5) Very Poor (23.)	11. The curric	culum has focus of	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) y other suggestion(s)	l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) y other suggestion(s)					* .	
y other suggestion(s)	12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
				71	3 ° 4 × ×	=
7.5 ruth?	y other suggest	ion(s)				
7.5 ruth?						
T. Stuth?		·				
T. Stuth?						
		7	1. South?			T. South

IQAC

Date

Name of Student

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



						Acade	mic Vea	r: 2018	19
Name	SK. N	A 2 i muz	DEEN	/			e:B-To		- //
Depart	tment :	ivil			= ,2	Years/S	Semester	W/1	
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs							Rating
1	Learning	yalue (in to	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analytical abi	lities or		
	broadeni	ng perspect	ives)	,,		ariary trour do	111103, 01		9
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3				8
3		the course		X	, 8	1 8	4		7
4		f coverage of					section of		9
5		f effort requ			N N	<u>`</u>			9
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	9		H		8
7	Overall r	ating			V	*(9
2. TI	he syllabu	s was	1			e e e e			
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was	= x ²⁰ , ₃₃	20		5
1) High Releva	nly	2) Relevar	nt 3) Partly elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	mpletely vant	'	(2)
4. Ra	ate the seq	uence of un	its/modu	les of the cours	e		Ť. ,		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor		()
				*			1 B		
5. Ra	ate the ade	quateness o	f the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for	the cour	se	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor	PROBLEM 1975	(()
		le to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	e A	× .	3		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		()
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the co	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning			
1) Very		2) Good) Average	4) Poor		y Poor	(2

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	of elective in relat	ion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
9. Rate the com	position of course i	n terms Humaniti	es and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sci	iences, Basic
		Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / In			0		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected by	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
11. The curric	culum has focus on	skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	n of the course is a	opropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
ny other suggest	ion(s)		**************************************		,

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

				- OIM OM C	our ricur	um		
	1 01.				Ac	ademic Yea	r. 20	17 - 20
Nama . R	Anile	ina	V -		110			1
Name:	711111	6			Co	urse :	stech	,
Department:	civil	eng	r- incevir	00	Yea	rs/Semeste	r: I	II
1. Please g	ive a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				l.
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some ho		Very	Extremely
Good	Good	1.	Good		Tolerab	le 1001	Poor	Poor
S.No. Parti	culars	Ž. 100	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Rating
1 Learn	ning value (in	terms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical	abilities, o	r	Rating
broad	dening perspec	tives)			, ,		-36 1	8
			al life situations	S .	4.00			7
	h of the course		20 X 2	_ / P		9.0		9
	nt of coverage					* X		8
	nt of effort requ							8
	ance/learning	value of p	project/ report	9				7
7 Over	all rating		, FS.	italia .				8
2. The sylla	bus was			*	,	*		
1) Challengin		ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Implayant		(0)
	S 2) 11acqui	3	madequate	T) Dull	3)	Irrelevant		(2)
3. Your bac	kground for b	enefiting	from the course	e was				
1) Highly	2) Releva) Partly	4) Mostly	5)	Completely	,	(.)
Relevant		T	elevant	Irrelevant		elevant	,	(1)
4. Rate the	sequence of un	nits/modu	les of the cours	se	8			
1) Excellent	2) Adequ	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	.5) Very Poo	r	(1)
# 134 F.C.	MANAGERAL SERVICE	BROWGHU!				A 1	1	
T. KOOBOL.	and the and a	28.5.53	* =					
5. Rate the	adequateness		book and refer		entioned	for the cour	'se	
1) Excellent	2) Adequ	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	r	(2)
						-		
	able to get th		ed readings?	8) (6		
1) Excellent	2) Adequ	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poo	r	(1)
7. Rate the	design of the o	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sal	flaamina			
1) Very good	2) Good		Average	4) Poor		Very Poor		
, 5000	-, 5000	1 3)	rivorage	1 1 001	. [3]	V CI Y FOOT		(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
, , ,					
Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int		, i ioicssionai Lico	dive, Open Lice	tive, i roject work (i w) & Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	a				*
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	ous of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	*				
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			,	je.	32.4
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	· · · · · ·				
y other suggest	ion(s)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				an our Pr	
- 4	2	-		N H	
		Anil ker			1 01
	2	Last Cev	mor.	1 7	3. Anil
		K			S . HWIII

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					1		re ³⁸		4 - 10
	_					Acade	mic Yea	r: 2018	- 2019
Name:	V. Sa	ambasi 471A02	iva Ra 138	0		Course	: B.T	ech	
Department: EEE Years/Semester: I/							A.		
1. Plea	ase give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extre		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No. I	Particula	rs		¥ 1	*		N - V		Rating
	Learning	value (in to	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge.	analytical abi	lities or	•	Rating
ı. l	broadeni	ng perspect	ives)	, , ,	3-,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			9
2 2	Applicab	ility/releva	nce to rea	l life situations	3	No.			9
		the course		* *	2 To 10	2 E	-		8
		coverage of			1				8
		effort requ							9
			value of p	project/ report					9
7 (Overall ra	ating		2	No.				3
2. The	syllabus	s was							,
1) Challe	enging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		(1)
2 17	1 1	1.0 1	~	6 1		* ** ,	1		
				from the course	T	5) 0			
1) Highly Relevant		2) Relevar) Partly elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	mpletely	/	(1)
recevant			IN	cicvant	melevani	IIIele	vant		
				les of the cours	se .				
1) Excel	llent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor		(1)
		OMUTUAL	a shaft had say to		1				
2 4 4				book and refer	rence book m	entioned for	the cour	se	
1) Excel		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor		(2)
					1				
6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?									
1) Excel	lent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	r	(()
									a = 0.5
7 Dota	e the des	on of the a	ourse in t	arms of outre 1	20min ~ 2 = -1	If looms!			
1) Very g		2) Good		erms of extra le Average	4) Poor		ry Poor		()
1, 101, 8	5004	2) 0000		, i i voi ago	1)1001	3) VC	1 9 1 001		')

. Rate the nex	ibility in choosing of	of elective in relati	on to technology a	dvancements	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		a ege e	# W #	8 8	
Rate the com	position of course in	n terms Humanitie	es and Social Scien	nces, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course. 1	Professional Elect	tive. Open Elective	e, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.	Toronoma Eroo	are, open Electric	o, rroject work (r w) a Tractical
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				9 8	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabus	s of the course in	relation to the con	npetencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	8 8			-
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	R I				
11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill developmen	t		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
7 7 7				1 10	
12. Evaluation	of the course is ap	propriate to discr	iminate the studen	its	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		5 4			
other suggesti	on(s)				
		V		1 0	
		Samba Siva	0		
	· 1				

Name of Student

IQAC

Date

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



			Stude	It reeuback	COLIN ON C	urriculum			
						Acada	mia Vaa	r: 20 9-	2019
_									2017
Name: P.						Course	: 13-1	tech	
Roll No.1 Departmen	7471 L.	Aolo2 E.E			ž.		Semester		- 4
1. Please	e give	a rating of	your co	urse on the follo	wing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
				1 3000		Tolorable	1	1 001	1 001
S.No. Par	rticula	rs				S .			Rating
				skills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, or		
		ng perspec			***************************************	76			9
				eal life situations	3	Tea I			8
	1	the course							7
		coverage					22 U		7
		effort requ							8
	erall r		value of	project/ report					8
/ OV	Clail I	atting			The state of the s	1 3 1 3			9
2. The sy	vllabus	s was							
1) Challeng		2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		(\)
n n n n						* 6 %	292		
	backgr			g from the cours					
1) Highly		2) Releva		3) Partly	4) Mostly	12	mpletely	У	(2)
Relevant				Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	vant		
4. Rate th	he sea	uence of u	nits/mod	lules of the cour	se				
		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	Community resides	(2_)
	C. M.	en consultation	SPA E	*	, , ,		A AT	TI	
		ARADPE							
5. Rate t	he ade	quateness	of the te	xt book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	the cour	rse	
1) Excelle	nt	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(2)
							2		
				ribed readings?	- ja	2 a v	9		
1) Excelle	nt	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	or	(1)
_				ecconomic de la companya de la comp			.1		
				terms of extra l					
1) Very go	od	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor	(5) Ve	ry Poor		())

Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	riences Pasio
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios. 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 13. Very good 14. Poor 15. Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 15. Very good 16. Very good 17. The curriculum has focus on skill development 18. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 19. Very good 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios. 19. Very good 20. Good 30. Average 40. Poor 50. Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 11. Very good 21. Good 32. Average 43. Poor 53. Very Poor 14. Poor 54. Poor 55. Very Poor 15. Very Poor 16. Very good 17. Very good 18. Very good 19. Very good 19. Very good 10. Very good 10. Very good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 11. Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 13. Very good 14. Poor 55. Very Poor 16. Very Poor 17. Very good 18. Very good 19. Very good 19. Very good 19. Very good 10. Very good 10. Very good 10. Very good 11. Very good 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 19. Very good 19. Very good 10. Very good 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	Acrices, Basic
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor) & Fractical
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	())
current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
Current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	hy industry /
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	by madstry /
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	(2_)
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
ny other suggestion(s)) (1)
ny other suggestion(s)	
	-
P. JHON LUKE	V. 3

Name of Student

Date

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



Academic Year: 30/8-2019

ROII.NO: 18475A0201 Department: EEE

轉 B. Tech

Years/Semester: I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	77 - 29 - 2445

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent (2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
LEGGORIE GRAGES ATROPASSOS CAR		C. Martin		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

and the second of the second o	Plant	Photography (Control of Control o			
1) Excellent (2) 2) Adequate 3) Inade	equate 4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)		

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(()
			-		

	0		Committee of the second					
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)			

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			8 8	_ a _ a _ a _ a _ a _ a _ a _ a _ a _ a	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	% Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.		, 1	on (1 ii) a Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5 100 1 N					(.)
10. Rate the c	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	obal scenarios.	1			,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				2 2	
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			1.4	ž	
12.Evaluation	of the course is	s appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		***	ж -	2. 2	
ny other suggest	ion(s)				

Date

M· madhavi Name of Student

M. M. Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



			Studen	t Feedback	Form on C	urriculun	<u>1</u>		
						Acade	mic Yea	ır: 2018	3-2019
Name :	GIM	mahes	warra	nao		Course	e: B. f	rech	
Depart	ment :	75A020 EE)			Years/	Semeste	r: <u>I</u>	II
1. Pl	ease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
v 107	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs							Rating
1		y value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, o	r	9
2	Applicat	oility/releva	ince to re	al life situations	3		-		8
3	Depth of	the course	content	, x , y , y			Ti Ti		9
4	Extent of	f coverage	of course		7				9
5	Extent of	f effort requ	aired by s	students	3		<u> </u>		8
6				project/ report					8
7	Overall r			i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	- FE				0
A. TOW	(1)(1)					7 1 Nation			
2. Tl	ne syllabu	s was							
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate .	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) In	elevant		(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was		all .		
1) High		2) Releva		3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	ompletel	v	(2)
Releva		V 1 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele			2
4. Ra	ate the sec	quence of u	nits/mod	ules of the cour	se		(10° ±		
T. C. IV. IV. IV. IV. IV.	ellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	Very Poo	pr	(1)
400 SS	8-134	DAMABAR	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	the cou	rse	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	Very poo	or	())
6. W	ere you al	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	9		5	I	
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	or	()
7. Ra	ate the des	sign of the o	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning			
		2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ery Poor		(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	8 2		
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / Int	ternship etc.		on e, open Elect	ave, Project Work (1 W) & Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
N. N. A. A. L.				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	()
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	us of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected l	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	as of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected i	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(,
) III or mge	1)1001	3) VCI y 1 001	(2)
11. The curric	ulum has focus or	n skill developme	nt.		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Vom Door	(1)
1) toly good	2) 0000	J) Average	4) 1001	5) Very Poor	())
	C 41				
12 Divoluntian		ippropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
12.Evaluation					
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				5) Very Poor	(1)
	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(1)

Date

Gm mahywararao Name of Student

Signature



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.R.



Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name: G. Yas Wanth Krishna ROLLNO: 1747/A0224

Course: B. Ted

Department: E € E

Years/Semester: 2/2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

-		a rating or	jour cour	se on the rone	*******				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
3	Depth of the course content	1
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	a

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	200

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	and the state of the state of	5) Ver	y Poor	(1)
(euguos	CAUTO	0.11	***************************************	E	1. 1	7 8	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
94		-			

	0				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

	g of elective in rela	tion to technology	advancements	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	2 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	10 P 2 P	
osition of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sci	ences Engineering Sc	iences Basic
fessional Course	, Professional Elec	ctive. Open Electi	ve. Project Work (PW) & Practical
ernship etc.		, ,) a Tractical
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				(–)
epth of the syllab	us of the course in	relation to the co	ompetencies expected	by industry /
bal scenarios.			mp cronores expected	of madshy/.
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				(-)
ulum has focus o	n skill developmer	nt		
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2_)
	0	- 7		
of the course is a	appropriate to disc	riminate the stude	ents	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(1)
	18.	Б		
on(s)				
				8
6	Yaspamba Krume of Student	risher		Q Yahu
	2) Good position of course fessional Course fessional Course fernship etc. 2) Good position of course fessional Course fes	2) Good 3) Average position of course in terms Humanit fessional Course, Professional Electronship etc. 2) Good 3) Average peth of the syllabus of the course in bal scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average pulum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average of the course is appropriate to discussed 2) Good 3) Average	2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor cosition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sci fessional Course, Professional Elective, Open Electi ernship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor cepth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the course in scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor culum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the stude 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor	position of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences of the course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PWernship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor epth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected bal scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor alum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: J. Rating Babu Roll No: 17475A0203 Department: EEE

Course: B. Tech .

Years/Semester: TT/T

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

				0				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
	broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Q
7	Overall rating	9

s was				
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
		, i	5 4	
round for benefitin	g from the course	was		
2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(9_)
	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	~ _
		,		
uence of units/mo	dules of the cours	e		
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
ia ataquana bahal		i water a trans	active contract depth of the property of the original of	(1)
ACTUA)		1000		
equateness of the to	ext book and refer	ence book mention	ned for the course	
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(9)
		5, sappt 447 \$ 507	T.	2
	-	-		
ole to get the presc	ribed readings?	a k	2 2	
	2) Adequate round for benefitin 2) Relevant uence of units/mod 2) Adequate equateness of the tele 2) Adequate	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate round for benefiting from the course 2) Relevant 3) Partly Relevant quence of units/modules of the cours 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull round for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Relevant Irrelevant quence of units/modules of the course 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor equateness of the text book and reference book mention 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant round for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Irrelevant 5) Completely Irrelevant quence of units/modules of the course 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor equateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)						
7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning											
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)						

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				n e e	
9. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humaniti	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
Training / In				Litter Pole	.6
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	э ж		.,1	11	
10. Rate the d	lepth of the syllab bbal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				101	
and the second second					
11. The curric	culum has focus o	on skill developmen	nt		
11. The curric	culum has focus of 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	*	(1)
1) Very good 12.Evaluation	2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor	*	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	*	(1)
1) Very good 12.Evaluation 1) Very good	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents	
1) Very good 12.Evaluation	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents	

Date

T. Patra Babu Name of Student

J. Rothon Bake



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2018 - 2019

Name: K. Ry kumol Roll-No:16471A0201 Department: EEE

1) Very good

2) Good

Course: B Tech

Years/Semester: $\widehat{\mathbb{II}}$ $/\widehat{\mathbf{I}}$

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particular	rs		# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #	×				Rating
1	Learning	value (in t	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analytical abi	lities or		

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Q
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	N S
3	Depth of the course content	N N
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	a
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	R
7	Overall rating	0
PO)(I	A COMPANY A	8

19(C.N -			COMPTENDING	4	8
2. The syllabus	s was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	()
3. Your backgr	ound for benefitin	g from the course	was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(9)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	1
	uence of units/moo		T		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
COUNTRY OF	ATTROUSARABAS		geratery (atom	en automotivament artimentation over the service	
5. Rate the ade	quateness of the te	ext book and refer	ence book mention	ed for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you ab	ole to get the presc	ribed readings?			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(-1)
7. Rate the desi	ign of the course in	n terms of extra le	arning or self-lear	ning	

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

3) Average

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
				7	
9. Rate the comp	osition of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ciences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
Training / Inte	ernship etc.			France in	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
N 111	* , ,		· ·		1
	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curricu	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt	323	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
			,	,	
13 5	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
12.Evaluation			1) Poor	5) Very Poor	(0)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	3) Very Poor	(9)

Date

K, Rikuman Name of Student



(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name: Syed kamaluddin

Roll-No: 17475 Ao 2621 Department: EEC

Course: B. tech

Years/Semester: [1] -11

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	C
7	Overall rating	0

2. The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant	t 3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
-----------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-------

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	Secretary Processing	5) Very Po	or	(2)
(2004QVDTUA)				2 3	į
PERSONAL TRANSPORT		6. 6		- TO	1

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned f

	18 N. S.	T			
1) Excellent 2) A	Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

	The get the pres				
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
			1		

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

1) Very good	ibility in choosir 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
i) very good		3) Tivelage	1)1001	3) very roor	()
		- 1			
	•			ences, Engineering Sc	
		e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Electi	ve, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / In				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course ir	relation to the co	ompetencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
# J # J # J			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a s	
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			- 1		
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	ents	
	1 0 0 1	1 2) 1	4) Door	(5) 1/ D	/ _ `
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	(4) Poor	3) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	4 2	3) Average	4) Poor	3) Very Poor	(1)
	4 2	3) Average	(4) Poor	3) Very Poor	()

Date

Name of Student

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



						A	cadem	ic Yea	r: 2018	-2019
Name	2.9 : UFI :011	iai Kun 175 A021	W						·Tech	
	tment : ((× 2 ^f	Ye	ears/Se	emester	: 111	- <u>II</u>
1. P				se on the follow						
Fyt	remely	8 Very	7	6 Moderately	5	Some		3	2 Very	Extremely
	Good	Good	Good	Good	Moderate	Tolera		Poor	Poor	Poor
					1	1	× 6			
S.No.	Particula			-				1.4		Rating
1	broadeni	ng perspect	tives)	kills, concepts,		analytic	al abili	ities, oi	r 	8-
2				al life situations	, a .^	2	da .			9
3	-	the course		5. 25 360		- , -				9
4		f coverage of			<u>'-</u>		*	. **		9
5		f effort requ								8
	6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report						9			
7	Overall 1	ating				79		. ,		9
2 т	he syllabu	0.11/00								
	allenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	· · ·	5) Irrel	evant		(1)
1) 0110	incliging	2) Hacque	10 3) madequate	1 +) Dull		<i>5)</i> H1C1	Cvani		
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the course	e was					
1) Hig		2) Releva) Partly	4) Mostly		5) Con	npletel	y (2)
Releva		and a state	F	Relevant	Irrelevant	1	Irrelev			
4. R	Late the sec	STE A STREET THE	nits/modu	les of the cours	se	and control				
		2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	Essant A	5) Ve	ery Poo	r	(1)
2	HALL MER	a) annua	/2			5 II		0		
5. R	Rate the ade	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentione	d for t	he cou	rse	
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ery poo	or	(1)
6. V	Vere you a	ble to get th	ne prescril	bed readings?			- 4	-	4	
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	¥	5) Ve	ery poo	or	(2)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learn	ing			
	ry good	2) Good) Average	4) Poor		5) Ver	y Poor		1)
		L					- ·			

		g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Course	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sc ctive, Open Elect	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	ciences, Basic 7) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developmer 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
ny other suggesti	on(s)				
te		Sa Kuma ame of Student	H	F	Signature

Date

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLFEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



			Studen	i reeuback	rorm on C	urric	culum			
					,		Acader	nic Yea	r: 2018	-2019
Name:	V. F	lamu Vasao	219				Course	: B,	tech	
Departr	ment :	EE			.*	. 7	Years/S	emeste	r: [v -	I
1. Pl	ease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	7	4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate		e how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							Rating
1	Learning	yalue (in t	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analyt	ical abi	lities, o	r	
		ng perspec								9
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	-	A	14		9
3	Depth of	the course	content	2 2 1	p					8
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course		1		,		- x10-100 x1110 x 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	9
5	Extent o	f effort requ	uired by s	tudents						7
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of	project/ report	=					9
7	Overall 1				11 pt -	Ž.	LI'			8
1700	- JV			a)			,	OKS To		
2. Th	ne syllabu	s was								
1) Chal	lenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	2.5	5) Irre	elevant		(\)
3. Yo	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was		* %		-	
1) High	ıly	2) Releva	nt 3	3) Partly	4) Mostly		5) Co	mpletel	у	())
Relevan	nt		F	Relevant	Irrelevant		Irrele			
4. Ra	ate the sec	uence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cours	se	385 19		1 F		
	ellent.	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	7	5) V	ery Poo	f.	(1)
	40.00	a me a market his tri	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentio	ned for	the cou	rse	
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor			ery poo		(2)
6. W	ere you al	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?				34 343	l	
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	ű.	5) V	ery poo	or	(2)
7. Ra	ite the des	sign of the o	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-lear	ning	N.		
	good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor			ry Poor		(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
÷ 0		a g * 1. x			
9. Rate the com	position of cour	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Pesie
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se. Professional Elec	ctive Open Flec	tive, Project Work (PW	Sr Practice
Training / In	ternship etc.	or, reconstruit Lie	ouve, open bice	tive, Project Work (1 W) & Fractica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1 1 1 2	* ,				()
10. Rate the	lepth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	as as of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
8.8 ° 1 ° 3		, ,	1 ./	(5) (61) 1 (61)	()
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			1 0		()
12. Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
		1 1			
ny other suggest	ion(s)				

Name of Student

Date

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name: Sd. Kaleshunnisa

Course: BiTech

Rool No: 15471A0231

: bilecti

Department: EEE

Years/Semester: 1V/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
-2-	broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
7 0 0	, 1	1		- /	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

	TIBLE COLLEGE TO L'OCTION	mig mom the cot	1100 1100		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor (2)
6201173. 3 (2010) 2011 1 1 1 1	NY A	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	())

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
----------------------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		1 2	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	2 - 2 , E2	
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sci	ionas Dasia
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e Professional Fle	ctive Open Flec	tive, Project Work (PW	Pr Drastical
Training / In	ternship etc.	e, i roressionai Elec	ctive, Open Lice	iive, Troject Work (FW) & Fractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
***	В ,				
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course ir	relation to the	competencies expected b	ov industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			ompeteriores expected (by mudstry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	1	1 / 1 1 8 1	1,)1001	3) (61) 1 001	(2)
11 The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	n+		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
				1	
	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
			19		
y other suggesti	on(s)				

Date

Name of Student

Principal
NAMASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



			Studen	t Feedback I	form on C	urricu	lum			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Qual	4;		<i>t.</i>				r: 2018-	2019
Name	: K. Nog	a kevali	<u>5</u>			Co	ourse	: B-	Tech.	
Roll I Depar	No: 154 tment : E	a Revati 71 A 022 E E E				Ye	ars/Se	emester	: <u>iv</u> -I	Ī
1. F	Please give	a rating of	your cou	se on the follow	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	4		3	2	1
	tremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some h Toleral		Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs			. 22		-			Rating
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge.	analytica	ıl abil	ities, or	•	- turning
1		ng perspec		,,				11105, 01	•	q
2				al life situations	3			-		9
3		the course						2		8
4		fcoverage			,	·	* ,	. *		9
5		f effort requ		tudents					41	8
6				project/ report	11		0.0			9
7	Overall	rating								9
4/2		0			Part of the		n 2			
	The syllabu allenging	s was 2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5	() Irre	levant	T	(1)
1) 011	arrenging	2) ridequi	10) madequate	1 1) Duii		, 1110	TOVAIIC		
3.	Your backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was					
1) Hig	ghly	2) Releva	nt 3	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5	(i) Cor	npletel	y	(2)
Relev	ant		l I	Relevant	Irrelevant	I	rrelev	ant		
4. I		juence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cour	se					
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery Poo	r	(*)
	19.65 /	Said and	CAMAN			95.40	"Emple			
5. I	Rate the ad	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentione	d for t	the cou	rse	again.
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor			ery poo		(1)
6. \	Were you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	. 7			3	L	1
_	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	r = =	5) V	ery poo	or	(2)
7. I	Rate the de	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	earning or se	elf-learni	ng			
1) Ve	ry good	2) Good	3	3) Average	4) Poor	5	(i) Ver	y Poor		(1)

o. Rate the nex	cionity in choosir	ng of elective in rela	ition to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		* 4 * 4 * 7	- W		
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ionosa Dasia
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e. Professional Ele	ctive. Open Flect	tive, Project Work (PW	A Propries
Training / In	ternship etc.	-,	enve, open Elect	irve, Project Work (P W) & Fractica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1 3					
10. Rate the d	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry 7
current glo	bal scenarios.	ous of the course if	i iciation to the c	ompetencies expected	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
A 4 5 6 6 7 1	,			(a) (b) 1001	(,)
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
					, ,
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	·		F		
y other suggesti	ion(s)		2		
				and the second	
I N					
		K. Naga Revali ame of Student	4:		
te	N	ame of Student	2 9		Tokvot
~	11	anic of Student			Signature

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name: T. Rama Asuthosh

ROOL NO: 16475A0217

Department: FEE

Course: B. Tech

Years/Semester: IV/ 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
ti.	broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	ď

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant ())

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	1

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor (\)
(Bijolionotua)	n n n n n n	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
					1

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		9 2 2	020 E		
. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	iences, Engineering Sc	ianos Posis
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se. Professional Elec	ctive Open Flect	tive, Project Work (PW	V & Proctice
Training / Int	ternship etc.	o, rroressionar Erec	ouve, open Elect	ive, Project Work (1 W) & Fractica
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
					` 1 /
10. Rate the d	enth of the sylla	thus of the course in	relation to the a	ompetencies expected	broinders /
10.1.tate the t	opin of the syma	ious of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
current old	hal scenarios				
	bal scenarios.	100.4	T 6/2	T	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average		5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good			5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developme	nt		(1)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	at 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	at 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Date

To Rama-Asuthosh Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLUMES
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year:	2018-	2010
		-

Name: S.KII

S. KIron leumar

Course: B-tech

Department: Mechanical

Years/Semester: 18+ - 14+

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	8
	broadening perspectives)	8
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

-	771	11		
,	The s	17119	hile	MAC
4.	1110 3	viia	nus	was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(「)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

	0				
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

. Itato the sec	defice of diffes filoc	tales of the cours	<u> </u>	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor (1)
10.10	A CONTRACT OF THE SERVE			

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
			8		

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
a					

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

	ionity in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		12	2 2	g g g	
9. Rate the comm	osition of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ionasa Dasia
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course	Professional Flee	ctive Open Flec	tive, Project Work (PW	Or Prostical
Training / Inte	ernship etc.	, i i o i o so i o i ai i E i o i	ouve, open Elec	dve, Project work (Pw) & Fractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
17 y - 7 y	Transition and the second			1, 51, 15	
current glob	pal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curricular 1) Very good	alum has focus o 2) Good	n skill developmer 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		*	1	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
y other suggestic	on(s)				
				8 0 E S E S E S E S E S E S E S E S E S E	
			2		
					Kum
	9	3. KIRAN KUN	MAR	5	, Kiran kum
te 19.12.18	Na	ame of Student		4	
	110	and of Student		.1	Signature

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGMEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



		-			,3					
							Acaden	nic Yea	r: 2006	7-19
Name:	6.6	Balaji					Course		B.9e	
Departm	nent:	mecha	nical			•	Years/S		1.2 :	
1 . Ple	ase give :	a rating of v	our com	rse on the follo	wino					
9		8	7	6	5		4	3	2	1
Extre Go		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some	e how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs					*1 2			Rating
1	Learning			kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analyti	cal abi	lities, or	•	9
				al life situation	S					9
3	Depth of	the course	content	8	a 1		-			8
		coverage o			,		55	10 m		
		effort requ								8
	8						Q			
7	Overall ra	ating								9
2. The	e syllabus	s was					ě			10
1) Chall		2) Adequa	te 3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant		(1)
3. You	ur backgr	ound for be	enefiting	from the cours	e was	n (,	* 4			
1) Highl Relevan	у	2) Relevan	nt 3	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	9 4	5) Cor Irrelev	mpletely vant	Ý	(2)
4. Rat	e the seq	uence of un	its/modu	iles of the cour	se		to give a superior particular	organization.	Sel, in energia	-4
1) Exce	llent •	2) Adequa	ite	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery Poo	r	(3)
5. Rat	e the ade	quateness o	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nention	ned for	the cou	rse	Š
1) Exce		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor			ery poo		(५)
6. We	re you at	ole to get the	e prescri	bed readings?				9		
1) Exce		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	r	(7)
7. Rat	e the des	ign of the c	ourse in	terms of extra	earning or se	elf-lear	ning			
1) Very		2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor			ry Poor		(5)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
		2 7 6	*	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	e. Professional Ele	ctive. Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practice
Training / In	ternship etc.		oure, open ziec	are, froject work (1 w) & Fractica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
	*******			7 2 3	
10. Rate the	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected b	hy industry /
current glo	obal scenarios.			ompeteriores expected (by madstry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	*				
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			* 8	×	
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		2 V	TV C		
n					
y other suggest	ion(s)		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		
y other suggest	ion(s)				

Date 18/1001/18

Name of Student G. Balaji

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: SK. Khaleel Basha

Course: B. Tech

Department: Mechanical Engineering

Years/Semester: | - |

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	e
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

	0	0			
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

Itale the seq	defice of diffusion	aures of the course		-	To 2005 HELD HELD		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	§ 54	5) Very Poor	(2)	
			, at	I I	enter a comprehensive enter ente Enter enter en	- mal	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
			1) 1 001	3) VCI y 1 001	(1)
O Data the sam					
Saismana Dr.	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Training / In	tamahin at	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
Training / In					· +
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			110		
10. Rate the d	lepth of the syllab bal scenarios.	us of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	culum has focus or	n skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	n of the course is a	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
* 8 0		20 x			
y other suggest	ion(s)		8		
	-				

Date 17/11/2018

Name of Student Sk. Challed Basha

SK-Khollel Signature



(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acade	mic Yea	r: 201	8-19
Name	B.V	enu				Course	e: B 17	rech	
Depart	ment : N	lechan	ical		*	Years/	Semester	: 42	-25+
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	rse on the follow	wing				
12 6	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs	·				9		Rating
1		y value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, o	r	9
2				al life situations	3				8
3		the course			the same the				8
4		f coverage			1				a
5		f effort requ		tudents					8
6				project/ report					9
7	Overall i		varue or p	projecti report					9
	he syllabu llenging	s was 2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant		(\)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was				
1) Hig Releva	hly int	2) Releva	nt 3	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	1 /	ompletel evant	y ·	(2)
4. R		quence of u		iles of the cours	se	TO THE PARTY OF TH	je.		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	Very Poo	r	(2)
5. R	ate the ade	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	r the cou	rse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	Very poo	or	(9)
6. W	/ere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	- 1	*,	FE		
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	or	(5)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learning	8		
	y good			2) Avarage	1) Poor		ary Door	T	(1)

8. Rate the flex	libility in choosing	ng of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Rasic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	% Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.		, -1	are, respect work (r w	, a Tractica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		5	1		
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	ourse in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by madstry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			1.)2.00	3) 1013 1001	(()
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good			T6\11 -	
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
13 🖂 🗀	0.1			2 9 1	
		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				A v 9 9	
ny other suggesti	on(s)		1 1		
				8 C2 PG 8 2 B	
11/18		Biveny			Q. (-
ate / 18	×1				02.0
110	IN.	lame of Student			Signature

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					,	Α	cademic	Year:	0.01	8-19
				أدماها						0 - 1)
Name	: Kar	nagomi	Vene	Loresh		C	ourse :	3.50	2ch	
Depart	tment:	Mech. E	ngj		.*	Y	ears/Seme	ester :	2nd	Year 2nd
1. P	lease give	a rating of y	your cour	se on the follo	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	4		3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some Tolera		or	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars			<u> </u>		E - E			Rating
1	. D. 15	y value (in te		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytic	al abilitie	s, or		7
.2				al life situations	3	· · · · · ·	•			9
3		f the course		A	***	1 2 a	¥			8
4	Extent o	f coverage c	of course		3		E			9
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents						q
6	Relevan	ce/learning	value of	project/ report						9
7	Overall	rating					-			8
2. T	he syllabu	s was								
	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irreleva	ant		(()
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the cours	e was			ja		
1) Hig		2) Relevar) Partly	4) Mostly		5) Comple	etely		(1)
Releva			- 1	Relevant	Irrelevant	The state of the s	Irrelevant			, 1
4. R	ate the sec	quence of un	nits/modu	iles of the cour	se	f	is and which are the			
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very	Poor		(2)
		* 1-4 * C-12	THE STREET	741	1	Egyptophic A	the the second of the	and the second	error to sell	
		T		t book and refe		nentione				
1) Exe	cellent	2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	72	5) Very	poor		(2)
6. W	Vere you a	ble to get th	e prescri	bed readings?	, E					
1) Exe	cellent	2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	х с	5) Very	poor		(1)
7. R	ate the de	sign of the c	ourse in	terms of extra	earning or se	elf-learn	ing			
	y good	2) Good		(a) Average	4) Poor		5) Very P	oor	T	(1)

1) Vary good		ng of elective in rela			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Int	itessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi) & Practic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
11. The curric	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
ny other suggesti	on(s)				1
3-2019 ate		Ventales L		14.7	Venleater

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acad	emic Yea	r: 201	8-17
Name	Bu	m Arab	cash			Cours	se: Me	chance	B sech
Depart	ment : Y	1ech En	3)		<i>J</i>	Years	Semester	r: 11 Ye	an Ilyem
1. Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cour	rse on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	11
	remely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs	·	=			14 J		Rating
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge.	analytical a	oilities o	r	
- 4		ng perspect		,,			J. 111105, 0	•	9
2				al life situations	3 ,				&
3		the course					2 ² - 6		9
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course		1		·		9
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents					8
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report					9
7	Overall 1	ating				= 0			9
	he syllabu					3. 38			
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Ii	relevant	-	
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was				
1) Hig	hly	2) Releva		3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) C	ompletel	у	()
Releva	int		F	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrel	evant	and the second second second	,
4. R	ate the sec	quence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cour	se		* /		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poo	or .	(2)
5. R	ate the ade	equateness (of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned fo	or the cou	rse	and the second s
				3) Inadequate			Very poo		(2)
6. W	/ere vou a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?			277		
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	or	(4)
7 D	ate the dec	sign of the	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	olf-learning	5		
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ery Poor		(1)
1) V CI	<i>j</i> 5000	2) 0000		, , riverage	7)1001	3) V	Ci y 1 001		2

1) Vary good	2) C = 1	ng of elective in rela			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	eiences, Engineering Sc	iances Desi
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se. Professional Elec	ctive Open Flect	tive, Project Work (PW) or Dragtia
Training / In	ternship etc.	r, r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r	oure, open bice	ire, Project Work (PW) & Fractic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	·			2) 1001	(1)
10 Rate the d	enth of the sylla	hus of the course in	valation to the		(
current alo	bal scenarios.	ious of the course if	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry
		2) 4	10/5		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12. Evaluation	of the course is	s appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
		7) 111 11 11 11	1)1001	3) VCI Y 1 001	
ny other suggesti	on(s)		-		
if other suggesti	011(3)				
		3 2		· · ·	
)
1 2018		0 0 0			Laren
4-2019		Zuni Prakash			- 1
ate	N	Name of Student			Signature



					21				
						Acade	mic Year	r: 201	8-19
Name	B .	udu K	autej					Tech	
Depart	ment:	Mech. E	n gy		,8	Years/S	Semester	: 11 >	lear Ilse
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow					
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
						* * *			
S.No.	Particula								Rating
1	A. 37	5 8 81		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, or		a
		ing perspect					-		1
. 2				al life situations	3				9
3		f the course			20				8
4		f coverage			,				9
5		f effort requ							8
6			value of p	project/ report					9
7	Overall	rating				v ₁₄			8
	he syllabu						×		
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant		(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	9 2 3 9 3 9 92	×		
1) Hig Releva		2) Releva) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	mpletely vant	Ý	(2)
4. R	ate the sec		nits/modu	iles of the cour	se	9 1 8 9 3	3	2	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(2)
5. R	ate the ad	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	the cou	rse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(1)
6. W	√ere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?			5		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	Very poo	r	(,)
7. R	ate the de	sign of the o	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learning	3		
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ery Poor		(2)
						1./			

		g of elective in rela	tion to technolog		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			3	H	
9. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sci	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.		, ,	are, respect work (1 w) ce i racticai
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
					\ 1 /
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllal	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected b	v industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			ompetencies expected (by muustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(0)
		1 //	1,71001	3) VOIY 1 001	(2)
11 The currie	ulum has focus	on skill developme	-+		
1) Very good					
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
13 E				* ,	
		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
	8 * n h		0, 2	\$ v * e * e	
Any other suggesti	on(s)		70 TO 10		
	-			2 c	
					1
2-2019					// ()
-2-2019	[2	Raviteja		115	Jan 19
Date		ame of Student		100	Signature
		or ordanit			Signature



						A	Acaden	nic Yea	r: 2018	1-19
Name				sta Mam	kumar				ech En	
Depart	ment:	Mech	Engs		,	Y	ears/Se	emester	:: [j	Yn Dem
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing					
- L (9	8	7	6	5		1	3	2	4 1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some Toler		Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
=			al .			*	3 6	7		
S.No.	Particula									Rating
1		y value (in t ing perspect		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analyti	cal abil	ities, o	r	9
2				l life situations	3					8
3		the course			2 to 1		ñ	9.7		8
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course		1	-				8
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents						9
6	Relevan	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	×					d
7	Overall 1	rating								9
Janes C		(2)	19	-						
	he syllabu									
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	- 0	5) Irre	levant		(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was					
1) Hig		2) Releva) Partly	4) Mostly	8.0	5) Cor	npletel	у	(2)
Releva	int		F	Relevant	Irrelevant		Irrelev	-		
1 D	ate the sec	utánca of w	The second second second	les of the cours	S.A.		r 7	34	6 4 8	
					4) Poor		5) V	ery Poo		(1)
1) LA	cenent	2) Aucqu	alc .	3) Inadequate	4) 1 001	× 4.	3) V	ery roc	Section to the second	2(1)
		Ska seldi						,		
5. R				t book and refe	rence book n	nention	ed for	the cou	rse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	r	(j)
6. W	Vere you a	ble to get th	ne prescril	ped readings?		* .		*		
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	or	(2)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-lear	ning	,		
	y good	2) Good) Average	4) Poor			y Poor		(2)
		/		,						

l) Very good	2) Good	g of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		1 2 2	* * *		
. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sci	D :
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	Professional Fle	ctive Open Flec	tive, Project Work (PW)	ences, Basic
Training / Int	ernship etc.	o, i roressionar Ere	ctive, Open Lice	arve, Project Work (PW)	∞ Practical
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	a a			A	` ' /
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllal	ous of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected b	w industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected to	y madstry /
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
* _ =		7 8	1,7200	3) VOI 1 001	(0)
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
	2 6	2			
other suggesti	on(s)				
				1 m 2	
ķ »					
		and the second s			
1-19		V. Momica man			

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



						Acade	mic Yea	r: 201	8-19
Name :	4.	Spicanh	į.				: B		. ,
Depart	ment:	Spiranh	Engy		<i>?</i> .	Years/S	Semester	r: 12 4	lear Isu
1. Pl	ease give	a rating of	your cour	rse on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
0.11	.		6			i e s	2		T =
S.No.	Particula								Rating
1		g value (in ting perspect		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, o	r	
2				al life situations	2				-
3		f the course		ar me situations		*** · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10.70		9
4	-	f coverage			3	1			8
5		f effort requ							
6				project/ report					9
7	Overall		varae or j	project report					8
- 10 m A	t			2		,			
2. T	he syllabu	s was							
	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant	T	(1)
	45, 55			8	-	F 50 3	9		
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	: v			
1) High Releva		2) Releva		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	ompletel vant	У	(1)
				a			14		
		- the same of the	-	iles of the cour		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	or	(1)
5. R	ate the ade	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	the cou	rse	
				3) Inadequate			ery poo		(2)
6 II	lere you a	ble to get th	e prescri	bed readings?		4			
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) 7	Very poo	\r	(5)
I) EXC	zenem	2) Adequ	ate	5) madequate	4) 1001	3)	very poc)	(2)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the a	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning			
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ery Poor		(1)
		1							

8. Rate the flex	sibility in choosing		tion to technology	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Course,	in terms Humanit Professional Elec	ies and Social Sc ctive, Open Elect	iences, Engineering So ive, Project Work (PW	ciences, Basic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.			ompetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ulum has focus or	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	riminate the stud	ents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
ny other suggesti	on(s)				
0-11-2018 Pate		/. Saikomu			1 fi war
ii.C	INa	ille of Student			Signature



					,		Acaden	nic Yea	r: 201	8-19
		z Kumo					Course	: B.	Tech	
Depart	tment : $ ho$	lechan	cal Eng	fin elling	7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ears/S	emeste	r: 19	- 7
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5 .		4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some Toles	how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs	N							Rating
1		value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analyti	cal abi	lities, o	r	
. 2	Applicab	ility/releva	ince to rea	al life situations	S	No. 1				8
3	-	the course		250				4.5		8
4		coverage								රී
5		effort requ								9
7	Overall r		value of p	project/ report	-					8
ازاديد	Overall	attrig			ye ni r	-		* *		9
2. T	he syllabus	s was								
	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant		(1)
3. Y	our backgr	ound for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	2 4 2	2 2			
1) Hig Releva	- 1	2) Releva) Partly Celevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	35.0	5) Con Irrelev	mpletel vant	у	(1)
4. R	ate the seq	uence of u	nits/modu	les of the cour	se	garana	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	in the second section of the section	est in material control of the	
1) Exc	A North Control	MANAGE TO	H.	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery Poo	or	(2)
5. R	78 18 2	quateness		t book and refe	rence book n	nentior	ned for	the cou	rse	
1) Exe	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	or	(2_)
6. W	Vere you at	ole to get th	ne prescril	ped readings?	. #	, 3 .	. ,	3		
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	or	()
7. R	ate the des	ign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-lear	ning	*:		
	y good	2) Good) Average	4) Poor			ry Poor		(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		= 25 4	3	3 -3 -3	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ionoos Dasia
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se. Professional Fle	ctive Open Flect	tive, Project Work (PW	Propertical
Training / In	ternship etc.	i, i i o i o so i o i ai o c	ouve, open Elec	are, Project Work (P W) & Fractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
V	*			, , ,	()
10. Rate the d	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected l	ar industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected i	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(•)
, , , , ,	12) 0000	3) Tivelage	1 4) 1 001	3) Very Foor	(2)
11 The curric	rulum has focus	on skill developmen	-4		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)
				*	
12.Evaluation	of the course is	s appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
1) Very good					()
1) very good					
	on(s)				
ny other suggest	ion(s)				

21-11-2018

Date

A-Sa Kuman Name of Student

Signature





							Acaden	nic Year	r: 2 C	18-19
Name	JP	rousann	anja	n eyul ci				: B		
Depart	ment:	ME			<i>3</i> ,	Y	ears/S	emester	: 12	- I
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing					
54	9	8	7	6	5		1	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some Toler		Poor	Very Poo	
S.No.	Particula	rs								Rating
1	Learning			kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analyti	cal abil	lities, or		Kating
. 2				al life situations	S	×		= =====		9
3		the course			, 1 2	= # n	-			9
4	Extent of	f coverage of	of course		1			cs ⁵		8
5		f effort requ								8
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of	project/ report	al al					9
7	Overall r	ating								8
2. T	he syllabu	s was					7			9 9
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant		(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	= 2		e		
1) Higl	hly	2) Relevai	nt 3	3) Partly	4) Mostly	30.1	5) Cor	npletely	,	(()
Releva	the second secon		I	Relevant	Irrelevant	ľ	Irrelev	ant		
	1,	Printega		*	U		TAYALA.	**************************************	Section Care	es de la
4. R	ate the seq	uence of ur	nits/modu	iles of the cours	se		1	An A	N.	<i>i</i> .
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	1	5) V	ery Poor		(1)
	FR 153 -	1540,50	A BANDA	Ži.			Charles to the	of Stage of Stage	J.	
5. R	ate the ade	equateness of	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book m	nention	ed for	the cour	se	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery pooi		(ک)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get th	e prescri	bed readings?	,	, A	. y	=		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	a	5) V	ery poo	r	(1)
7. R	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learr	ning			
1) Ver	y good	2) Good	3	3) Average	4) Poor		5) Ver	y Poor		(\(\mathcal{L}\)

o. Rate the flex	ibility in choosin	g of elective in rela	tion to technology	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		2.7	A 4 78 N		
9. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	eiences, Engineering S	ciences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e, Professional Ele	ctive. Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	V) & Practical
Training / Int	ternship etc.		1 115 7 1		r) co i ractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	790 X		A		
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllal	ous of the course in	n relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				oj madenj.
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(,)
v 8	2				
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)
			= *	- ,	
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
			ο		
Any other suggesti	on(s)		3 No. 10		
				6 m 2	
		-1	7 13	14 1	
				2	\wedge \wedge
		J. Prasamna	i anou Wh.		1 harasu
15-10-2018	,		ry will and		JVIO
Date	N	ame of Student			Signature



							Acader	nic Yea	r: 20	18-19
Name	: Ban	ndi Yed	ukon	dale			Course	: B	Jech	
Depar	tment :	Mech Em	34		Ž.		Years/S	Semester	·: []	-I sem
1. P	lease give	a rating of yo	ur cour	se on the follo	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5		4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate		e how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars		*						Rating
1	broaden	ing perspectiv	es)	kills, concepts,		analyt	ical abi	lities, or	-	
2	Applica	bility/relevano	e to rea	al life situations	3		-	_		Oq.
3	Depth o	f the course co	ntent					***		9
4		of coverage of			,	-,		. e f		8
5		of effort requir								8
6		ce/learning va	lue of p	project/ report						9
7	Overall	rating		·	lat				=	8
2. T	he syllabu	is was					*			
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant		
3. Y	our backg	round for ben	efiting	from the course	e was			0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1) Hig		2) Relevant) Partly	4) Mostly	F (4)	5) Co	mpletely	, ((س) ا
Releva	int 🚋	ท่องเล	R	elevant	Irrelevant		Irrelev		,	(1
4. R	1	quence of unit		les of the cours	se					
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequate	9.4,00	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	a vary	5) V	ery Poor	A STATE OF THE STA	(2)
5. R	ate the ade	equateness of	the text	book and refe	rence book m	ention	ned for	the cour	92	
1) Exc		2) Adequate		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	TOTALIO		ery poor		(2)
6. W	ere you a	ble to get the	orescrib	ed readings?	,		. ,			
1) Exc		2) Adequate		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery pooi	•	(1)
7. Ra	ate the des	sign of the cou	rse in t	erms of extra le	earning or se	lf-lear	ning			
1) Ver		2) Good) Average	4) Poor			y Poor	(1)
		-					17.	-		17

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technology	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	ofessional Course			iences, Engineering Scive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	epth of the syllab bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	relation to the c	ompetencies expected 5) Very Poor	
		n skill developme		3) VOLY 1 001	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
any other suggesti	on(s)				
0 - 11-20 18 Date		S. Yedukonda ame of Student	lu	R	Signature



						Α	Academ	ic Year	r: 201	8-18
Name :	: 64×	ich Mi	ppall			, C	Course :	B	·Tech	
	ment:				*,	Y	ears/Se	mester	: [[]	-12
1. Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing					
1	9	8	7	6	5	4		3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some Tolera		Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula		2		-					Rating
1		y value (in t ng perspect		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytic	cal abili	ities, or		8
2				al life situations	3			*		9
3		the course						23		9
4		f coverage			3		¥ ,, ;	*		8
5		f effort requ		tudents						8
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report						1
7	Overall r	ating				12				9
2. TI	he syllabu	s was	8				¥			•
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irrel	evant		(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was		84 (8			
1) High		2) Releva) Partly	4) Mostly		5) Con	npletely	v	(2)
Releva				Relevant	Irrelevant	1	Irreleva			
4. R	ate the sec	uence of u		les of the cour	se	grani in tribus	mercup two belows	N Successful series Marie		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ry Poo	r	()
5. R	ate the ade	equateness	of the text	t book and refe	rence book n	nention	ed for th	he cou	rse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate :	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ery poo	r	(1)
6. W	ere you al	ble to get th	ne prescril	ped readings?	3	9	8 ,	8		
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	10 10	5) Ve	ery poo	or	()
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	course in	terms of extra	earning or se	elf-learn	ning			
1) Ver	y good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	20	5) Very	y Poor		(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ofessional Cours	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Ele	ies and Social Societive, Open Elect	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course in	n relation to the c	competencies expected l	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
		on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggesti	on(s)				
3/19 te		Suresh My ame of Student	11		00



Department: 1. Please g 9 Extremely Good S.No. Partic 1 Learn broad 2 Appli 3 Deptl 4 Exter	vive a rating of your services are rating to services a rating of your services are recommended as a recommended are recommended as a rating of your services are recommended as a recommended are recommen	our course on the following of skills, concepts	owing 5 Moderate		Semester 3 Poor	Tech: II	1 Extremel
9 Extremely Good S.No. Particle 1 Learn broad 2 Apple 3 Depth 4 Exter	very Good culars ning value (in terdening perspective)	7 6 Good Moderately Good	5	4 Some how	3	2	1
S.No. Partice 1 Learn broace 2 Apple 3 Depth 4 Exter	8 Very Good culars lening value (in terdening perspective)	7 6 Good Moderately Good	5	Some how			l
S.No. Partice 1 Learn broace 2 Apple 3 Depth 4 Exter	8 Very Good culars lening value (in terdening perspective)	7 6 Good Moderately Good	5	Some how			l Extramal
S.No. Partice 1 Learn broad 2 Apple 3 Deptle 4 Exter	Good culars ning value (in terdening perspective)	Good Good	Moderate				Extramal
1 Learry broad 2 Appli 3 Deptl 4 Exter	ning value (in ter lening perspectiv	ms of skills, concents	6			Poor	Poor
2 Appl 3 Deptl 4 Exter	lening perspectiv	ms of skills, concepts					Dating
2 Appl 3 Deptl 4 Exter		res)	s, knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or	-	Rating
3 Deptl 4 Exter	icability/relevand	ce to real life situation	10				0
4 Exter	h of the course co		10	And the second second	D #		8
	nt of coverage of		1		m ^a		- 8
	nt of effort requir			*			8
		lue of project/ report					+ 3
	all rating	and of project report					7
A STATE OF THE STA			That is	,			8
2. The sylla				× 3.8			
1) Challengin	g 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(
3. Your bac	ekground for ben	efiting from the cours	se was			9	
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Cor	npletely	(
Relevant	1	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev			' '
4. Rate the	sequence of unit	s/modules of the cou	rse	generalist of the second state of the second s	in a second	eren prosent	oray S &
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor		(2)
	adequateness of	the text book and refe	erence book m	entioned for t	the cours	e	(No. 1)
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery poor		())
6. Were you	u able to get the	prescribed readings?	3		1		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		()
7. Rate the	design of the cou	rse in terms of extra	learning or sel	lf-learning			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Ver	y Poor	(1)

	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				7 , 61 , 1 661	
Sciences, Prof Training / Inte	fessional Course,			iences, Engineering Sci ive, Project Work (PW)	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
	pth of the syllab	us of the course ir	relation to the c	ompetencies expected b	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
11. The curricu	lum has focus of 2) Good	n skill developme 3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
, , , , , ,		appropriate to disc			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
ny other suggestic	on(s)				,
13/119		Shay Rap	le Phmad		Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COULEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



(ACTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2018-19 Name: Dagn sai Cop! B. Tech Course: Department: ME Years/Semester: 3 - 21. Please give a rating of your course on the following 6 5 Extremely Verv Moderately Some how Very Extremely Good Moderate Poor Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor S.No. Particulars Rating Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or 1 8 broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations 8 3 Depth of the course content 9 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1)Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9 2		E 0 E			
9. Rate the comp	osition of course i	n terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sci	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro Training / Int		Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected l	by industry /
10. Rate the de	enth of the syllabu	is of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected l	hy industry /
current glo		s of the course ir 3) Average	a relation to the o	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus on	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
current glob 1) Very good 11. The curricular Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus on	3) Average skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	by industry /

7-3-19 Date

Dasar Salup

Name of Student



(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name .						7 100001	ine real	201	0 - 1)
rullic .	Ja	mpala	Mar	i kame		Course	: B	. Telh	
Departi	ment : 🏲	16			<i>F</i> .	Years/S	Semester	· Tell	12 =
1. Pl	ease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
×	9	8	. 7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs		*					Rating
1	Learning broadeni	yalue (in to	erms of sl	cills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or		Rating
2				l life situations	3				Q
3		the course			7				
4	Extent of	coverage of	of course		1		3		8
5		f effort requ							8
6	Relevano	e/learning	value of p	roject/ report	ž.				9
7	Overall r	ating		= 0 =		5 4			8
2. Th	ne syllabus	s was				9			
	lenging	2) Adequa	te 3	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(1)
3. Yo	our backgr	ound for be	enefiting t	from the course	e was				
1) High Relevar	ly	2) Relevar	nt 3)	Partly elevant	4) Mostly		npletely	(2
TCICYAI		S. S	K	elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev	ant		
		uence of un	its/modul	es of the cours	e	and the second	À	1 4 4	
1) Exce	ellent	2) Adequa) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor		(1)
5. Ra	te the ade	vald Italia 1	1	book and refer	rence book m	nentioned for t	the cours	se	<u> </u>
1) Exce		2) Adequa) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor		())
6. We	ere you ab	le to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?			1 2		
1) Exce		2) Adequa) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		())
						101			
7. Ra	te the desi	ign of the co	ourse in to	erms of extra le	earning or sel	It-learning			

 9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Science Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by in current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 	PW) & Practica
Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by in current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	PW) & Practica () red by industry /
Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by in current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	eed by industry /
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by in current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by in current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
	(2)
12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	(1)
	(1)
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
ny other suggestion(s)	



Academic Year: 2018-19

Name:

B. Jayasri

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 9-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	g
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
	round for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/m	odules of the cours	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the ad	lequateness of the	text book and refer	ence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you a	ble to get the pres	cribed readings?	, i	* * *	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the de	sign of the cours	se in terms of extra	learning or self-	-learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				A 1	
. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int	ernship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	- (2)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	
		2) 4	I AND	I CONTRACT	7.4
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	_
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggesti	on(s)		+ , ,	gyin Curric	1

Date 4/10/18

B-Javasni Name of Student







(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic	Year:	2018-	-19

Name: N. Bhavara

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: W/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1)Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1244 (3-) 1 (1)	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
7	EUT IT THE	K		1 4 4 5	The same of the sa

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

			0		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

ionity in choosing	g of elective in relat	ion to technolog	y advancements	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	, 5 %		8 - 4 u _s	
osition of course	in terms Humaniti	es and Social Sc	iences. Engineering So	ciences Basic
			,,	,
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1				
epth of the syllab	ous of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
bal scenarios.			T	- j
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9 1		1 1		
ulum has focus o	n skill developmer	nt		
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			,	
of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
2) Good		4) Poor		(7)
		1 × 2		
on(s)				
Induction	minufel	Subject	8.	
The state of the s	O THE WOOD	J. Marie		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
The state of the s				/
N.	Bhalaba			N · Bhavas Signature
	2) Good position of course of the syllab bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus of the course is 2) Good of the course is 2) Good on(s)	2) Good 3) Average cosition of course in terms Humaniti ressional Course, Professional Elec- remship etc. 2) Good 3) Average repth of the syllabus of the course in repth of	2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor cosition of course in terms Humanities and Social S	position of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences of Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PWernship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor epth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected bal scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor ulum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor on(s) Industry Oriented Sciences, Engineering Sci



Academic Year: 2018 - 29

Name: A. ASWANS

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extrem Good	 Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabu	is was	0.			'W 1 c a
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

	All the state of t		V V				
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)		
1.5	and the state of	7 7 7					

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	. (1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 3) very Poor (2)	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--	--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

		g of elective in rela	mon to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(†)
		- " " =		e e la	
9. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	iences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e. Professional Ele	ctive. Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.			, , ,) co i ractica:
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10. Rate the	lepth of the syllab	ous of the course in	n relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			ompotenties expected	oy maasay/
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		1 //	1.72.00	3) (01) 1001	(\alpha)
11 The curric	culum has focus o	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good			5) W . D	4
i) very good	2) 0000	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
13 5	0.1				
		appropriate to disc			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
y other suggest	ion(s)		()	V.	
need	Somo	made ne	w technole	yes .	
		4			
	6	A A A			7 =
	3	A. Asman	1		Alma
te 10 10 18	N	ame of Student			Signature
10/10/10					

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601, Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2018 - 19

Name:

K. SAISRI

Course: B. Tech

Department: CS =

Years/Semester: 2 - 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

	0			0				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
e e	broadening perspectives)	8
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging | 2) Adequate | 3) Inadequate | 4) Dull | 5) Irrelevant | (Q)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
3000000	Challe All Mages	F. (1)	\$ 2 ¹ va e		<i>A</i>

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
*	· " " "		D		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

	0		0		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	tion to technolog 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			W	0 0	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basio
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.		, - p	in s, it sjeet it olk (i ti) & Tractica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1-0	- 2			160	(,)
10. Rate the c	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected b	av industry /
current glo	obal scenarios.	= =		ompetencies expected (by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
11 771					
		on skill developme	nt		
	culum has focus (2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(1)
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		(1)

Date 4/10/18

Name of Student K.SAISRI

K. Spirl
Signature

IQAC



					1	Acad	lemic Yea	r: 2018	3-19
Name :	P. of	Inil d	Luma	y		Cour	rse : [3 · -	tech	
Departi	ment:	cst			<i>‡</i>	Year	s/Semeste	r: 3 /	1 =
1. Pl	ease give a	rating of	your cou	rse on the follow	ving				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2 ·	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some hor Tolerable		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particular								Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)								
. 2	Applicab	ility/releva	ince to re	al life situations	3	722	*		9
3	Depth of	the course	content	(4)			n n		8
4	Extent of	coverage	of course		1				7
5	Extent of	effort req	uired by s	students					6
6	Relevanc	e/learning	value of	project/ report					8
7	Overall ra	ating				- ×,			8
2. T	he syllabus	s was	le .					j	er 5
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(2)
3. Y	our backgr	ound for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was		*		
1) Hig		2) Releva		3) Partly	4) Mostly	1	Complete	ly	())
Releva	ant			Relevant	Irrelevant	Irr	elevant		
4. R	ate the seq	uence of u	ınits/mod	ules of the cour	se	i james	the separate and		
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ıate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Po	or	(2)
5. R	Late the ade	equateness	of the te	xt book and refe	erence book i	mentioned	for the co	urse	200
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very po	or	(1)
6. V	Vere vou al	ble to get t	he prescr	ibed readings?	7	a n			
	cellent	2) Adeq		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very po	oor	([)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

2) Good

1) Very good

1) Variate the file	xibility in choosii	ng of elective in rela	tion to technolog	gy advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Serences, 11	nposition of cours ofessional Cours iternship etc.	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sective, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	ciences, Basic 7) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
carrent gre	odai sectiai ios.	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developmer 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(၅)
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggest	ion(s) Sorr	ne modifica	ations w	ill occur or subjects.	
ate 8 10 18	D. N	Auil Lu ame of Student	ma		D. Aud Signature



			Stu	dent Fe	edback Form	ı for the A	cademic V	ear 2015	-2019	(F
	Nam	e: 🤊	N. Her	roth		Course				
	Depa	rtment	: ECE			Years/	Semester:			
_	1.]	Please	give a ratin	g of your	course on the fo	llow				
_		1	0	7	6	lowing	T			
	Extreme Good		Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	3	2	1
			Good		Good		Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extremely
	S.No.	Parti	culars						Poor	Poor
	1			(in town						Rating
		broad	dening pers	(m terms)	of skills, concep	ts, knowledg	ge, analytical	abilities, or		
	2	Appl	icability/re	levance to	real life situation					8
	3	Depti	h of the cou	Irse conte	real life situation	ons				8
	4	Exter	nt of covera	ge of cour	TSA.					3
	5	Exter	t of effort	required b	V studente			₩ <i>1</i> /		8
	6 :	Relev	ance/learn	ng value	of project/ repor	, +:.				8
	7	Overa	all rating	B varac	or project/ repor	τ				3
	_									-
	2. Th	e sylla	bus was							
	1) Chal	lengin	g 2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	15) 7			
	2 37						3) Ii	rrelevant	(5) .
	3. YC	ur bac	kground fo	r benefitir	ng from the cour	se was			-	
	1) High Relevan		2) Rele	vant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	15)0		T	
	Refevan				Relevant	Irrelevan	10,0	completely evant	(5	()
	4 Rat	e the a					Hiti	CVAIIL		-
	1) Exce	llent	equence of	units/mod	dules of the cou	rse				
	-) DAGG	пспі	2) Adec	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) 1	Very Poor	7	
٠									(5	2)
	5. Rate	e the a	dequatenes	s of the te						
	1) Excel	lent	2) Adeq	of the te	xt book and refe	erence book	mentioned for	r the course		
1			2) 1 lucy	uale	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	Very poor	-	2)
					~	<u></u>			1	\mathcal{L}
г	6. Wer	e you a	able to get	the prescr	ibed readings?					
	1) Excell	ent	2) Adeq	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor				
L					-) madequate	4) 1001	5) \	ery poor	()	9)
	7 D.	41					<u>l·</u>			
Γ	1) Vorus	the de	sign of the	course in	terms of extra le	earning or se	If-learning			
L	1) Very go	DOC	2) Good	3	Average	4) Poor		ry Poor		
			(A D /				Mal J. VCI	yroor	(\)	



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A P

M. Harratt

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\)
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,			siences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	,
Training / In	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	epth of the syllabusbal scenarios. 2) Good	s of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	competencies expected	
I I Very good					(3)
	culum has focus on		<u></u>	5) Very Poor	(3)
			<u></u>	5) Very Poor	(3)
1) Very good	culum has focus on	skill developmer 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

29/11/21

Date

Netbrindth

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC





		^
Student Feedback Form for		and enth
04 1 7 11 1 77 0	.,	2014-2010
Student Feedback Form for	the Academic Vear	
STATE OF THE LOCAL POLITICAL POLITICAL PROPERTY OF THE POLITICAL PROPE	the recaucility i car	

in ECE

Name :	Syed. Yunus	Course :	R. Tech
i vallic.	34600, (01.10)	Course:	K. ICON

Department: Years/Se

_	1. 1 10030	give a rating	g of your c	ourse on the lo	nowing				
	9	8	17	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

	·	
S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
	broadening perspectives)	19
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	à
5	Extent of effort required by students	a
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(9)			
3. Your background for benefiting from the course was								

The state of the s						
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(9)	
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant		

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
					1

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(()

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	())
					1


7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

н					0		
-	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2	5)



8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosir	ng of elective in relat	ion to technology	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com	fessional Cours	se in terms Humaniti se, Professional Elec	es and Social Sc tive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	epth of the syllable bal scenarios.	abus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average is appropriate to disc	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggest	cion(s)				ed Mon
ate th Dec 21	. 4	Name of Student	Yunus S	iyed	Signature

IQAC





(AUTONOMOUS)

			Stu	dent Fee	dback Form	for the A	cadem	ic Vear	- 2011	1-7018	
			Asif	(cher)			: B.				
	Depar	tment:	EEE			Years/S	, Semester	:			
	1. P	lease gi	ve a ratin	g of your o	course on the fo	llowing			A		
			ð	7	6	10Willig					
	Extreme	ly	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some 1	4	3	2	1
)-	Good	Ļ_	Good		Good	Moderate	Tolera		Poor	Very	Extreme
	S.No.	Domtin	.1		1					Poor	Poor
	1	Particu			,						Datina
	1	broads	ng value	(in terms c	of skills, concep	ts, knowledg	ge, analy	tical abi	lities or		Rating
	2		- B pers	PCCUVES)							8
	3	Donth	ability/re	levance to	real life situation	ons					
	4	Depin	of the col	irse conter	nt	:					8
	5	Extent	of covera	ge of cour	se						8
	6	Palerra	or errort	required b	y students			,			9
	7	Creeva	nce/learn	ing value o	of project/ repor	rt					<u> </u>
		Overal	rating								8
	2. Th	e syllab									Q
	1) Chall	lenging	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	evant	7	2 \
	3 V.	h1-		:::					.orani	1 (3)
	1) High	ur back	ground fo	r benefitir	ng from the cou	rse was					· ·
	Relevan	ıy	2) Rele	vant	Partly	4) Mostl	у	5) Con	pletely	1 (
	restoran				Relevant	Irrelevan	it	Irrelev	ant		L)
	4. Rat	e the se	quence of	f units/moo	dules of the cou	Irce					
	1) Exce	llent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate			15) 77			
				•	-) madequate	4) 1 001		3) Ve	ry Poor	(2)
		,									
	5. Rate	e the ad	equatenes	s of the te	ext book and ref	erence book	mention	ed for th	10 000000		
	1) Excel	llent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	Montrol	5) Va	ry poor	-	
L						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		3) VE	y poor	. (,2)
	6 W.				*						
٢	1) Excel	e you a	ble to get	the prescr	ibed readings?						
	i) Excel	lent	2) Adec	luate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	-	5) Ve	ry poor		
L					·				J Pool		2)
	7. Rate	the dec	ion of the					-1			
5	1) Very g	and des	2) Good	course in	terms of extra	learning or s	elf-learn	ing			
1_	1) 50) 5	,00u	2) G00a		3) Average	4) Poor	M	5) Very	Poor	(2)
		(1) 1					ncipal				
			A .	7	NARI	ASARAOPETA		RING COL	LEGE	grife who	200
						(AUTO	NOMO	JS)	0	- 20h	J -
	1		H	1	N	ARASARA			1. Y	a for	
	L	The second lines		· Lucian		Concessor	I Back	A D			

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexib	oility in choosing of	f elective in relatio	n to technology adv		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the composciences, Prof	essional Course, P	terms Humanities Professional Electi	s and Social Scienc ve, Open Elective,	ees, Engineering So Project Work (PV	ciences, Basic V) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	([)
current glob 1) Very good	pal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
11. The curricu	ulum has focus on	skill development		,	4
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\)
			iminate the studen	ts 5) Very Poor	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	3) Very Poor	
Any other suggesti	on(s)	*			

Date

Name of Student PATHAN ARRIVAN

Signature

IQAC







(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2014-18

		Ramana 1	Sumavi		Course	: B. Tech			
Depar	rtment	: ECE			Years/S	Semester:			
1. I	Please	give a ratin	g of your o	ourse on the fo	llowing				
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	T 1
Extreme Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extreme
		3000		Good	<u> </u>	Tolerable		Poor	Poor
S.No.		iculars							D-t'
1	Lear	ning value	(in terms o	of skills, concep	ots, knowleds	ge, analytical	abilities or		Rating
-	0104	deming her	spectives)			, ,,			9
3	App	licability/re	elevance to	real life situati	ons				8
4	Evte	n of the co	urse conter	nt	:				
5	Exte	nt of effort	age of cour required b	se			V.		7
6	Rele	vance/learr	ing value	of project/ repo					8
7	Over	all rating	arig value (or project/ repo	π	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			7
									8
		abus was			* × ·				*
1) Cha	llengir	ng 2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant	1 /	2)
3 V		-1 1 6				1 . /			2)
1) High	hlv	2) Pol	or benefitii	ng from the cou					×
Releva		2) Kei	evant	Partly Relevant	4) Most	- ,	Completely	(2)
				Relevant	Irreleva	nt lrre	elevant		-
4. R	ate the	sequence o	of units/mo	dules of the co	urse				
1) Exc	ellent	2) Ad	equate	3) Inadequate		5)	Very Poor		(0)
						,	. 41) 1 001		(2)
5. Ra	ate the	adequator	C 11 .						
1) Exc	ellent	2) 44	equate	ext book and re	ference book			9	
,	onont	2) Au	equate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poor		(2)
				I					
6. W	ere you	able to ge	t the presc	ribed readings?)				
1) Exce	ellent	2) Add	equate	3) Inadequate		5)	Very poor	1	(2)
							rij pool		(2)
7. Ra	te thè i	design of th							
1) Very	good	2) Goo	d course ii	a terms of extra 3) Average			7		
		2-, 550		J) Average	4) Poor	100	ery Poor	(.	2)
Assessment of the last of	= V	1				Principal	DING COLUMN	-	
		A	1		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	PETAENGINEE			Randie
	A	M M			(A	UTONOMO	US)	-	vymu

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

iy. *नु* ।।नु 144 .'' 1844 11." It is the state of a

6. Rate the nex	Tottity iii Choosii	ig of elective in rela	tion to technology	advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sci ctive, Open Electi	iences, Engineering S ive, Project Work (PV	ciences, Basic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	lepth of the syllabbal scenarios.	abus of the course in	n relation to the co	ompetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11.The curric	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	ent 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to dis	criminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggest	ion(s)				
					¥. :
31 /12 /2021 Pate		Ramana kumo Name of Student	น่		I. Ramanake Signature



(AUTONOMOUS)

			Stu	dent Fe	edback Forn	n for the A	cademic Yea	2014.	-2019-	*
			" Noo	or Suc	dheer.		e: Bitech			
	Departr	nent:	C	2 C.		Years/	Semester :			
	1. Pl	ease mi					semester:			
	9	Juse gi	8	g of your	course on the fo	ollowing				
I	Extremely	,	Very	Good	6	5	4	3		
	Good		Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	1
	0.37				7 0000	· · ·	Tolerable		Poor	Extremely Poor
		Particu								1. 1001
1	1 1	Learni	ng value	(in terms	of skills concer	to I1 1	e, analytical abi	***************************************		Rating
H		proade	ning pers	pectives)	, concep	us, knowledg	e, analytical abi	lities, or		-
-	2 I	Applica	ability/rel	evance to	real life situation	one				8
-		- opui (or me con	TSe conte	nt	J115				8
-	7 [extent	of covera	ge of con	rca					2
	3 E	xtent (of effort r	equired h	v chidonte		`			
-	- I	cievar	ice/learni	ng value	of project/ repor	4		-		8
L	7 C	verall	rating		project repor	ı	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			7
	3 m									2
Г	2. The	syllabu			29					
[]) Challer	iging	2) Adec	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	1.0			
	2 37.						5) Irrel	evant	(:	3)
1	J. Your	backg	round for	benefitir	ng from the cour	se was				,
) Highly elevant		2) Relev	vant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	. 15			
	cievant				Relevant	Irrelevant	J COM	pletely	(2	
	4 Pata t	1					Irreleva	nt		-
1)	Excelle	ne seq	uence of	units/moo	iules of the cour	se				
1	Lacelle	nt	2) Adeq	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	E) 37			
						1,1001	3) Ver	y Poor	(2)
	5. Rate th	20 0 4 -								
1)	Fyceller	t aue	quateness	of the te	xt book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for th	_		
'	DACCHEL	n	2) Adequ	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Von	e course	-	
						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	5) Ver	y poor	(5	2)
(6. Were v	ักม ahl	A to 224 41							
1)	Excellen	t I	2) 43-	ne prescri	bed readings?					
1			2) Adequ	ate	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very	/ m c -		
							3) VOI	poor	(2)
7	. Rate the	desin	m of the				1.			
1) V	Very good	1 2) Good	course in	terms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning			
	, 3-00		7 0004) Average	4) Poor	5) Very I	Poor	(0)	
		A	0				My	501	(2)	
						F	Principal			
		The state of the s	A	$\overline{\sim}$	N	ARASARAOPE	TA ENGINEERING (COLLEGE		

(AUTONOMOUS)

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

MARASARAOPET - 522 601. Ch. Sulheer



) Very good	ibility in choosin 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		7
, J <u>B</u>	2) 5000	J) Average	4) 1001	5) Very Poor	(()
Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	diessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10.Rate the d	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
) Very good	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11-21	ch	. Noga Sudl	nelv'		
	, N	Same of Student			Ch. Sue Signature



							9 6 5		
						Acader	nic Year	: 201	8-19
Name :	R.	SAI	KRI	SHNA		Course	: B.T	ech	
Depart	ment : C	SE			· 2	Years/S	emester	: Ш –	T
1. Pl	ease give	a rating of	your cour	rse on the follow	wing				
1.5	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
1									-
S.No.	Particula	rs					0		Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)								
. 2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	*			8
3		the course				3 .	129		8
4	-	f coverage			· ·	*			7
5	Extent of	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents					8
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	1				8
7	Overall r	ating		Į.,		= 0			8
	he syllabu	7	nto 2	2) Inadaquata	4) Dull	5) I	alaxant	* - * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	(4) Dull	3) 1110	elevant		(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	* ;			
1) Hig Releva	-	2) Releva		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	mpletely vant	/	(2)
4. R	ate the sec	uence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cour	se	*	H N		
	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor		())
5. R	ate the ade	equateness	of the tex	f book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	the cour		
1) Exc	7.6	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor		()
6. W	/ere vou a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	*		n o		
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	(5) V	ery poor	r	(1)
7 R	ate the des	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	earning or se	elf-learning	6.		
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ry Poor		(2)
.,	7 8004	2) 3004		,	1 ., 2	10) 10	- 7 - 001		

ionity in choosin	g of elective in rela	tion to technology	y advancements	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	3 Y %	7	* · * 60	(1)
position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences Engineering Sc	iences Pasia
fessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elect	ive Project Work (PW	& Practical
ernship etc.			re, rroject work (r w) & Tractical
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
epth of the syllab	ous of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
oal scenarios.			- pected	oy maasay /
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ar. "	53 St.			(4
ulum has focus o	n skill developmer	nt		
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		*		
of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	ents	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		Na a second	, F , F , F , F , F , F , F , F , F , F	
on(s)		t da		
			e 10 ° 2 ° 2	
+	3 p	^	19 7	
DC	AT LNA	MALL		0
		DIVID		Son
Na Na	ame of Student			Signature
	2) Good position of course fessional Course fessional Course ernship etc. 2) Good poth of the syllaboral scenarios. 2) Good alum has focus of the course is 2) Good of the course is 2) Good	2) Good 3) Average cosition of course in terms Humanit fessional Course, Professional Electrical Electrical Science (Professional Electrical E	2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor cosition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sc fessional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elect ernship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor content of the syllabus of the course in relation to the course in scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor content has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor on(s)	position of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PWernship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor ppth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected bal scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor alum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

IQAC



						Acade	mic Yea	r: 2018	3-2019	
Name	: K. 1	Mrudh	ula			Course	e: B.	Tech		
Depart	ment:	SE			<i>3</i> .	Years/	Semeste	r: <u>II</u>	I	
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing					
No.	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	remely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor	
								L		
S.No.	Particula						E 1		Rating	
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)									
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	· · ·			8	
3	Depth of	the course	content		5 78		3.5		7	
4	Extent of	f coverage of	of course		,		ar ^a		9	
5		f effort requ							8	
6			value of p	project/ report	F				9	
7	Overall r	ating				a.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8	
2 171										
	ne syllabu		1 2	\T 1	(A) D. 11	1 5 7				
1) Cha	nenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) lrr	elevant			
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was					
1) High		2) Relevar) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Cc	mpletely	· /	(2)	
Releva		,		lelevant	Irrelevant	Irrele		,	2)	
		rational d						Car Stokeshoe with a C	9	
				les of the cours	se		Á.	1 3 8		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(2)	
	da ciêlu	THE P. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING, MICH.	289 J		a 0 a0		200	A.	e e	
5. Ra	ate the ade	quateness o	of the text	book and refe	rence book m	entioned for	the cour	rse		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(3)	
6. W	ere you at	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	. ,					
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(()	
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	lf-learning	6	1		
1) Very		2) Good) Average	4) Poor		ry Poor	(1)	
8						1.		,		
						2				

6. Rate the nex	ionity in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technology	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ofessional Course	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sc ctive, Open Elect	ciences, Engineering So tive, Project Work (PW	ciences, Basic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
current glo	bal scenarios.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		on skill developmen			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
ny other suggesti	ion(s) Intro	oduce certi	fication a	ources	
		k.Mrodhu	la		Mrudhula

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					, ,		1.41	1		
						Ac	ademic Yo	ear: 20	18 - 19	
Name	: PON	lugot;	Soi,	Nath		Co	ourse: B.	Teel	•	
Depart	tment:	SAE			<i>*</i> ,	Ye	ars/Semest	ter : H-	1	
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing					
5.2	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some h Toleral		r Ver Poo		
S.No.	Particula	ırs				9			Rating	
1 .	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)									
. 2				al life situations	3 ,	War o	2		Fe	
3		the course				C e m	65		3	
4		fcoverage		*	,		5 10 10		8	
5		f effort requ							7	
6			value of p	project/ report	2				7	
7	Overall r	ating							8	
2. T	he syllabu	s was						100		
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevan	ıt	(2)	
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the course	e was		8			
1) Hig Releva		2) Releva) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	1) Completo relevant	ely	(1)	
4. R	ate the seq	uence of u	nits/modu	les of the cours	se					
1) Exe	cellent	2) Adequ	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very Po	oor	(3)	
100.00	1 11 11 11	4.4	AGAMAL.	<u>.</u>		- 11	The same of the sa	Carl Same of Street Con-		
	ate the ade	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book m	nentioned	l for the co	ourse		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate :	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	Sec. Co.	5) Very po	oor	(2)	
6. W	ere you al	ole to get th	ne prescrit	ped readings?	7	9	2			
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	2 a	5) Very po	oor	(3)	
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	course in t	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learnin	ng	-		
1) Ver	y good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poo	or	(2)	

	ibility in choosing of	of elective in relati	ion to technology a	dvancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			·	H I G K G	
9. Rate the comp	position of course in	n terms Humanitie	es and Social Scien	ices, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course,	Professional Elec	tive. Open Elective	e, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.		,	, rioject work (r w) & Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	3 ,	9		a = 2	
10. Rate the de	epth of the syllabu	s of the course in	relation to the com	petencies expected b	ov industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			perenties empered	by madstry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
.,	6	n n			
11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill developmen	t		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
12. Evaluation	of the course is ap	propriate to discr	riminate the studen	ts	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	3 1				
ny other suggesti	on(s)		1	2 1 1 1 1 A	
Bring	2 more	Toelm	i'ent or	in as tool	

Date 8/10/18

Name of Student

ponigot, sovinaclh

Signature

Ponugoti ssinadh





Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name: M. Vukmini priyanka

Course: Btech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester : M - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	a
140	broadening perspectives)	7
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was1) Challenging2) Adequate3) Inadequate4) Dull5) Irrelevant(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Company of the Compan	0	0			
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	1

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
- 2011 MO 1933	Section And				

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			0.00		

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
, ,			0 1 1		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			e .		
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.		, - F	or of troject work (1 w) & Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				9	
		on skill developme	nt		
11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor	,	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	dents	
1) Very good 12.Evaluation	2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor	,	(2)
1) Very good 12.Evaluation	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents	

Date 8/10/18

Name of Student M. VUKminipriyanka

Signature

24

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name: M, S& Paksha?

Course: B. Tech

Department : CSE

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

Years/Semester: $\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{I}$

	9	8	7	6	5	,	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate		e how crable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
0.31	F						4			
S.No.	Particula						5	e S		Rating
1	broadeni	ng perspect	tives)	kills, concepts,		analyt	ical abil	lities, or		8
. 2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3					8
3		the course			- 1			75		
4	Extent of	f coverage of	of course							7
5		f effort requ			7					8
6	Relevano	e/learning	value of p	project/ report	ñ.					9
.7	Overall r	ating		·						9
	he syllabu llenging	s was 2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant	1 13	
) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa		*.	2	* a l	5) Irre	levant	(1,1)	(1)
) Cha	llenging our backgr	2) Adequation ound for be	enefiting	from the course	was		2 8			
) Cha	llenging our backgr	2) Adequa	enefiting at 3	*.	2		2 8	npletely		
3. Yo) High Releva 4. Ra	llenging our backgr nly nt	2) Adequation of the count for be 2) Relevant	enefiting at 3 R	from the course) Partly	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant		5) Con Irrelev	npletely		
3. You high Releva 4. Ra 5. Ra 5. Ra	our backgraly nt ate the sequellent ate the ade	2) Adequation ound for be 2) Relevantuence of un 2) Adequation	enefiting nt 3 R uits/modu nte 3	from the course) Partly elevant les of the course) Inadequate	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor	ention	5) Con Irrelev	npletely ant ery Poor		
3. You high televa 4. Ra 5. Ra 5. Ra	our backgraly nt ate the sequellent ate the ade	2) Adequation ound for be 2) Relevantuence of un 2) Adequation	enefiting nt 3 R nits/modu nte 3	from the course) Partly elevant les of the cours	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor	ention	5) Con Irrelev	npletely ant ery Poor	se	
3. You high Releva 4. Ra 5. Ra 5. Ra 6. Exc	our backgranty atte the sequellent ellent ellent ere you ab	2) Adequation of the 2) Relevant uence of un 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 3	enefiting nt 3 R nits/modu nte 3 of the text nte 3 e prescrib	from the course) Partly elevant les of the cours) Inadequate book and refer	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor rence book m	ention	5) Con Irrelev	npletely ant ery Poor	se	(2)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(I)

Signature

Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(L)
				1 8 ° 8 sn	
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	es and Social So	eiences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
		e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.		, 2,2,1	6 3	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11 70	1 0	1.111.1			
		on skill developme		1034	
	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	1 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor	,	(<u>2</u>)

IQAC

Name of Student

Date 8/10/18

Principal NARASARAOPETA ENGAGERING GOLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.



Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: M. Travan Reddy

Course: Bitech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: TII - I

1. Please give	a rating of	your cour	rse on the follo	wing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
					3 - * * *			=
S.No. Particula	rs			Name of the last o	0			Rating

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

7 Overall	rating				9
2. The syllabu	is was		,		2 11 2
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	round for benefitir	ng from the course	e was	** *	
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(3)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	
the district of the same of th	quence of units/mo	Y mineral management			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the add	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ext book and refer	rence book ment	ioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you a	ble to get the preso	cribed readings?	- L	* * *	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(()
7. Rate the des	sign of the course i	in terms of extra l	earning or self-le	earning	1
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)

7. Rate the de	esign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	learning or self-	-learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flex			on to technology ac	dvancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Int	fessional Course, l	n terms Humanitie Professional Elect	es and Social Scientive, Open Elective	ces, Engineering S , Project Work (PV	ciences, Basic V) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
current glo	bal scenarios.	s of the course in	relation to the com	petencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good 12. Evaluation	ulum has focus on 2) Good of the course is ap	3) Average	4) Poor iminate the student	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1 -)
any other suggestic		but there	is need to	focus more	on techni
Date 8/10/18	M- Nam	Tarun Reddu	1		M. larungar Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name: T. Rama krishna

Course: B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
Se.	broadening perspectives)	7
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	a
3	Depth of the course content	Z Z
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	Q

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

		0			
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
White things.	CONTRACTOR STORY	T. 2. 4	/	A 0 0 0 0	1

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
				t.	

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
		1		-	

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
----------------------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
		* 2		B	
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / Int					1 d
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	ous of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				ey madeny r
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			5		
44 001 .	ulum has focus o	n skill developme	nt		
11. The curric					
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	T	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc			(2)
1) Very good	2) Good				(2)
1) Very good 12.Evaluation 1) Very good	2) Good of the course is a 2) Good	appropriate to disc	eriminate the stud	dents	()

Date 10-18

Name of Student

T.Ramakrishna

Signature

Rama krishna

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018 - 2019

Name: N venkata Reddy

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: $\sqrt{V} - \sqrt{1}$

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Good	Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	Rating
	broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	1
3	Depth of the course content	+
4	Extent of coverage of course	+
5	Extent of effort required by students	0
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	+ +
7	Overall rating	1

2. The synable	is was				- 1
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
4 4 4					

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)	
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-----	--

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			21 /		1.00

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	7	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
			9 B		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2_)
----------------------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

NUREday

Signature

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	of elective in relat	ion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Course,			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	bal scenarios.	s of the course in		competencies expected	by industry /
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill developmer	nt		
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents 5) Very Poor	(3)
y other suggest	ion(s)	relevent	EUNDS	in cources	
		venkata R			NUREd

Name of Student



Date 10-10-18

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.R.



Academic Year: 2018 - 2019

Name: K. Vasavi

Course: B-tech.

Department: C.S.E

Years/Semester: IV - 1

1. Please give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
		emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
					4					
S.1	No.	Particula	rs		-0			* *		Rating
	1		value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or	e e	9
. 2	2	Applicab	ility/releva	nce to rea	al life situation	S	Alexander of the second of the			8
	3	Depth of	the course	content				9.60		7
4	4	Extent of	fcoverage	of course		1		ne X	L	8
	5	Extent of	f effort requ	aired by s	tudents	8	*	-		9

. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations		8
3	Depth of the course content		7
4	Extent of coverage of course		8
5	Extent of effort required by students		9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report		9
7	Overall rating	× e	9

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging | 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

	,	0			
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

4. Rate the sec	4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course									
1) Excellent	2) Adequate 3) Ir	nadequate 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	. (1)						
6 A A SO	TEMPLE TO ALL TO CARD		Supplementary of the state of t	SHAMOUND.						

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			-		

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
	* *		2 8	1	

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
-----------------------------------	---------	--------------	-----

1) Very good	2) Good	ag of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	8	7		7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	(2)
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ion and Social Sa	ciences, Engineering Sc	
Sciences Pro	ofessional Cours	e Professional Flag	etive Open Floor	tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic
Training / Int	ernship etc.	c, i foressional Lie	ctive, Open Elect	iive, Project work (Pw) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
		11	(A		
10. Rate the de	epth of the sylla	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	hy industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			ompetences expected	by madstry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	8			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		£ 9			
y other suggesti	on(s)				
CURTCU	LLAM 6	00d)		Scar S	
9					
					/
te 10-10-1	•	· vasavi			&. Vor
e 10-10-1					

Date 10-10-18

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 691. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2018 -19

Name: D. Hostini

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 4.1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
	broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	3
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

/ Overall	atting		-	<u> </u>	9
2. The syllabu	is was			, 7 °	Simon
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(\)
3. Your backg	round for benefiting	ng from the course	e was	e y	
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(\)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	The same of the sa
	quence of units/mo	dules of the cours	se		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
1) Excellent	2) Adequate		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the add	equateness of the t		rence book mentio	ned for the course	-
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you a	ble to get the presc	cribed readings?	,	e e	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(A)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning											
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)						

	ibility in choosing		tion to teemiolog.	j da varicements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			N	E (1.2 50	
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / Int					7
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				9 9 8	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabi	as of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	\$				
11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
	2			,	
12. Evaluation	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
1) Very good	1 - ,				
1) Very good	1-)	1, 0			
	1 × 1				
1) Very good ny other suggesti	on(s)	p 21 mola	003		

Date 10/1918

1. Hoolivi Name of Student O · Horimi Signature





Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name: M. pawan Sai

Course: B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 4.1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following												
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor				

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	0
Š.	broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	٦
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

8. Rate the fle	exibility in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Sciences, Pi	mposition of course rofessional Course nternship etc.	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences Basic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10.Rate the current gl	depth of the syllal obal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	***
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		on skill developme			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluatio	on of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
ny other sugges	tion(s) In bode	ice Indu	my re	lated cour	Cej.
	n M.	pavan sa	· ·	M	· Parou !
ate 10/10/1	b Na	ame of Student			Signature
į L					
I	N		NARASAR	Principal AUPETA ENCINEERING CO	PLEGE
1	JAC	= ,	NARA	ASARAOPET - 522 6	01.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name:	G. 7	la Ja Sel	khas			Course	: B-	-tech	
Depart	ment :	SE			2	Years/S	emester	: H-1	
1. Pl	ease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
		1	72		1				
S.No.	Particula	ırs		=			-		Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)							7	
2				al life situations	3	e :			9
3	Depth of	the course	content				26	AND THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF	7
4	Extent of	f coverage of	of course		,				0
5	Extent of	f effort requ	iired by s	tudents					9
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report					
7	Overall 1	ating		-		2.0			8
2. T	he syllabu	s was			3				
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant	(9)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	× 4 * 2 * 2 * 4 * 4 * 4 * 4 * 4 * 4 * 4 *		=	
1) High		2) Relevan) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	y (
Releva	int	I for any law	F	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	vant		in the Carlotte
4. R	ate the sec	juence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cour	se				
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	A Roman	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(2)
4	1.73	1 (42)41 (0)	118 4			a H	22		
5. R	ate the ade	equateness (of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	the cour	rse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(3)
6. W	ere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	- P		-2		
1) Exc	250	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	or	(9)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the a	course in	terms of extra	earning or se	elf-learning	S.		
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ry Poor	(Q
- ,	, 6-3-			,	1 7 - 5 - 5	1-7	J		6 Y /

o. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
. Rate the comp	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro Training / Int	itessional Course	, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected b	by industry /
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curriculary Very good	ulum has focus o	n skill developmer 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
y other suggestion	The state of the s	o leasning	method		
te 10-10-18	G.K	oJa Shek	að,		Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018 - 2019

Name: p. svojana

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
540	broadening perspectives)	+
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant	1	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2)	Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF	15			双 "

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
	9				

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			The state of		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

8		The first product of the contract of the contr					
1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)			

1) Very good	2) Good	ig of elective in rela			
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	itessional Cours	se in terms Humanit e, Professional Ele	ies and Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering So tive, Project Work (PW	ciences, Basi V) & Practic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10.Rate the d current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	ulum has focus (2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	1 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	eriminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
ny other suggesti	on(s) Intro	duce indust	try relate	d cources	
		P-srujana			Sruja
ate 60-10-19	1	ame of Student			Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: B. Leela Devi

Course: B-tech

Department : CSE

Good

Years/Semester: 4-1

Poor

5) Very Poor

(2)

Poor

Poor

Tolerable

1. Please give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely

Good

Moderate

Good

Good

2) Good

1) Very good

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was	(1)
	(1)
3. Your background for benefiting from the course was	
3. Four background for benefitting from the course was	
1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely	(1)
Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant	
ingipresi	
4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course	the second
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
TO THE TO THE PARK PARK IN THE	
- The start of the state of the	strate masters w
5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course	
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor	(2)
6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor	(2)
7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	

4) Poor

3) Average

8. Rate the flexi	bility in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	v advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
		V V.	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
9. Rate the comp	osition of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ionaca Dasia
Sciences, Pro Training / Inte	tessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10. Rate the decurrent glob	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		on skill developmer	nt	at a s	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggestic		cation course	S		
				-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	В	Leela Devi		· ·	LeelaDeni
te volvolis		ame of Student			Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name: Shark Salaman

Course: B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
**	broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	No. of and		
TENERS VERTONIANAN			

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
	1 2 2		2		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Avera	ge 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
-------------------------------	------------	--------------	-----

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
		C.25. 2.			
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ionese Desir
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e Professional Fle	ctive Open Flect	tive, Project Work (PW	lences, Basic
Training / In	ternship etc.	s, i roressional Elec	cuve, Open Elect	iive, Project work (Pw) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1	2				
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	or the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
26 UP 1	Я			7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmer	nt		
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good		3) III orașe	1,1001	5) 101 1 001	
1) Very good	1 2) 3000		1)1001	3) (61) 1 001	(2)
					(2)
		appropriate to disc 3) Average		lents	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud		(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is 2) Good	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	(1)

Date 10/10/18

Shark Sal Mar Name of Student Sk Salaman Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018 - 2019

Name: K. INDIRA

Course: B. Tech

Department: C.S.E

Years/Semester: U_ \

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	3
77	broadening perspectives)	1 9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequat	e 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
---------------------------	-----------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

	<u> </u>				
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

4. Rate the sec	factice of aims/ino	dules of the course			24
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
1977 644	73000 3 2 6235	12.5			

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
	* **				

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

K.INOIRA Signature

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			# 10 m	4 2 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.			, ,	,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
				5) Very Poor	(3)
		on skill developmer	nt	and the second s	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\)
l) Very good					

L. INDIRA

Name of Student

IQAC

10/10/18

Date



					,		Acaden	nic Yea	r: 201	8-2019
Name :	A. 1	Pavi To	2ja						rech	
Depart	ment : C	180			.2	1	Years/S	emeste	r: 4/	1
1. Pl	ease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follow	wing					
¥	9	8	7	6	5		4	3	2	1
	remely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate		e how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs		3 "				×		Rating
1		value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analyti	ical abi	lities, o	r	7
2	Applicat	ility/releva	ance to re	al life situations	3		*			7
3	Depth of	the course	content	* 9·	·			14-10		8
4	Extent of	fcoverage	of course				i w	10 T		q
5	Extent of	f effort req	uired by s	students	1	Ñ	1		. 1	7
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of	project/ report						7
7	Overall r	ating		-		5.	1			8
2. T	he syllabu	s was		ac , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	13, -1					r'.
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequ	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	2	5) Irre	elevant		(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	9 1	5 21 A R			
1) Hig		2) Releva		3) Partly	4) Mostly			mpletel	У	(3)
Releva	int		[اروي پيدو	Relevant	Irrelevant		Irrele	vant		
4. R	ate the sec	uence of u	nits/mod	ules of the cour	se	# 12 # 12			22 3	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	er *	5) V	ery Poo	or	(1)
5. R	ate the ade	equateness	of the tex	at book and refe	rence book n	nentio	ned for	the cou	ırse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	or	(2)
6. W	Vere you a	ble to get the	he prescri	bed readings?	× × _u s			is Tar		
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	d 1	5) V	ery po	or	(2)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

1) Very good

2) Good

o. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
			10 Te	V 24 P	
9. Rate the com	position of course i	n terms Humanit	ies and Social So	iences, Engineering Sci	omana Dania
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course.	Professional Elec	ctive Open Flect	ive, Project Work (PW)	ences, Basic
Training / Int	ernship etc.		ouve, open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW)	a Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1 1				7) . 4.3 1 001	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabu	s of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected b	windustm. /
current glo	bal scenarios.		relation to the c	ompetencies expected (y maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
0 8		1 / 8-	1)1001	3) VOIY 1 001	(0)
11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Vorus Danie	(0)
	1 -) 3 3 3 4) iivelage	4)1001	5) Very Poor	(2)
12. Evaluation	of the course is a	propriete to disc	niminata tha atau		
1) Very good	2) Good				
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y, other suggesti	on(a)		*		
		1	1 1		
In Syl	labus t	ry to	add mo	re technical	2 related Su
U		0			
	A . R	avi Tejo	1		1 Vallitain
ite M 1011	0			9	y, raw iga
ite Mololi	Nan	ne of Student			A Yavi Teja Signature
. 3					
			- 1		

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018 - 19

Name: B. Sivaanjurdiu.

Course: MBA

Department : MRA

Years/Semester: | • |

1. Please give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing		9		
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
W F		3			*	27		

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

7 0 0 0	l rating	1.41	JOHN THOMAS		8
2. The syllab	us was		Dent Shire o		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your back	ground for benefiti	ng from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
4. Rate the s	equence of units/m	odules of the cours	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the a	dequateness of the	text book and refe	rence book menti	oned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
· ·	able to get the pres	scribed readings?	1	*	1
6. Were you			4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning								
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)			

Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		2 2 0			
Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se. Professional Fle	ctive Open Flec	tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic
Training / In	ternship etc.	e, rioressional Elec	ouve, Open Lice	uve, Project work (Pw) & Practical
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2_)
7.5				3) (61) 1001	(2)
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected l	
current glo	bal scenarios.	ious of the course in	relation to the C	ompetencies expected	by industry /
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
a 50			1)1001	3) Very 1 001	(')
1. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmer	nf .		
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	(5) V D	
, 8	1 2) 3000	3) Average	4) 1001	5) Very Poor	(1)
2 Evaluation	of the source is				
Very good	2) Cood	appropriate to disc			
very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				a Fig. 1887 at	_
other suggesti	on(s)		8 2 2		
<u> </u>	C			4 - 5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	
			×		
		,			
1	1)			0
12019		· Pillaan Terna	1001		Galia.
2 1	Ň	ame of Student	July ,		Signature
					Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018-19

B. Lanvaga

Course: MBA

Department:

Good

Good

Years/Semester: 1-1.

Poor

Poor

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following Some how Tolerable Extremely Extremely Very Moderately Very Good Moderate Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	8
	broadening perspectives)	0
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

Good

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 4) Mostly 5) Completely 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly (\mathcal{F}) Irrelevant Relevant Relevant Irrelevant

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)
212713	District And the second	Plantaded and	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(9)
9			*		

6 Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

o. Were you at	ne to get the presen	roca readings.			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

	0				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Blavanya Signature

1) Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)
3	A		1,72,002	3) Very 1 001	(5)
Sciences, Pr	olessional Cours	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Ele	ies and Social Social Societive, Open Electric	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic
rranning / in	ternship etc.				. 7
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)
* 10				5) Very Poor	(9)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
11. The curric		on skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
- 12.Evaluation			1) D	5) Vami Daan	(0)
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

B. Lavanya Name of Student





Academic Year: 2018-2019

Name: A - SRAVANT

Course: MBA

Department : MBA

1) Very good

2) Good

Extent of effort required by students

Years/Semester: 7-7

1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing		8		_ :
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particulars								Rating
1	Learning	yalue (in t	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or	r	0
	broaden	ng perspec	tives)				171		9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations							8	
3	Depth of the course content								
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course		1		.0.*		g

6	Relevano	ce/learning value of	of project/ report			8	
7	Overall i	ating	9		и "	9	
J. 7	A		>	- 2	* o #		
2. 7	The syllabu	s was	*		,		
1) Ch	allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)	
			4.2		1 0 p		
3.	Your backg	round for benefiting	ng from the course	was	f 8		
1) Hig	ghly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	()	
	Relevant			Irrelevant	Irrelevant	, 10 %	
	1	yuanga of unita/ma	Relevant		motovane		
4. I	Rate the sec	quence of units/mc	odules of the cours 3) Inadequate	e 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	
4. I	Rate the sec	2) Adequate	dules of the cours 3) Inadequate	e 4) Poor	1 1 1	(2)	
4. H 1) Ex	Rate the sec	2) Adequate	dules of the cours 3) Inadequate ext book and refer	e 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	
4. I 1) Ex 5. I 1) Ex	Rate the sec excellent Rate the add excellent	2) Adequate equateness of the t	3) Inadequate ext book and refer 3) Inadequate	e 4) Poor	5) Very Poor tioned for the course		

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		a , af sa			
9. Rate the comp	osition of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	:
Sciences, Pro	fessional Cours	e. Professional Fle	ctive Open Flec	tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic
Training / Inte	ernship etc.	-, Troicessional Elec	ouve, Open Lice	uve, Project Work (PW) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	W		W.		(2)
10. Rate the de	pth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glob	pal scenarios.		relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
x k y '	E T			10) .01) 1001	
11. The curricu	lum has focus	on skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggestic	on(s)				
			e e e	3	

A. SPAVANI

Name of Student

IQAC

Date 09-04-2019



						Aca	ademic Ye	ear: 20	18-2017
Name	A.M	ahesh				Cor	urse :	MBA	
Depart	ment:	1BA			5 ²	Yea	rs/Semest	er: I -	-エ
1. Pl	lease give	a rating of y	our cour	se on the follow	ving				
9.51	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some ho Tolerab		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs		1 2		,			Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)								9
2	Applicat	oility/relevar	ice to rea	al life situations		Sec. 3	*		9
3	Depth of	the course of	content	2. II e	.1		ä		9
4		f coverage o			.1		5 65		9
5		f effort requi							8
6			alue of p	project/ report	15				
7	Overall r	ating							8
12371	: A						a *		1 7 - 2
	he syllabu			: tristerior		1.5			
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	te 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevan	t	(2)
3. Y	our backo	round for be	nefiting	from the course	e was				
1) High		2) Relevan) Partly	4) Mostly	5)	Complete	elv	(9)
Releva				Relevant	Irrelevant		relevant		7
4. R	ate the sec	uence of un	its/modu	lles of the cours	se	* 1 n		2	£
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	te	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	:	5) Very Po	oor	(2)
-	18	4 5 6 6 - 3 25	1 July 18 18 18 18	a Carana		* ,		1	
5. R	ate the ade	equateness o	f the text	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned	for the co	ourse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	te 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very po	oor	1)
6. W	ere you al	ble to get the	e prescrib	bed readings?	. 1				
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	te	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	a	5) Very po	oor	(2)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the c	ourse in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learnin	ıg		
	y good	2) Good) Average	4) Poor		Very Poo	or	(2)
						141			

Signature

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	y advancements	/ ! `
, , , , , , , ,	12) 0000	3) Average	4)1001	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the comp	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro Training / Int	fessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(Q)
current glol 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		* · · · · ·	competencies expected l	by industry /
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
		on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
ny other suggestion	on(s)		8 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		

Name of Student A. Maches

IQAC

08-04-2019 Date



						Acade	mic Yea	r: 20/8	19
Name	BK.	Triven	î			Course	:: M1	BA.	,
Depart	ment:	MBA.				Years/S	Semester	r: 2/[y vol
1. Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs		A		5 TO 1			Rating
1		y value (in to ng perspect		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, o	r	9
2	Applicat	oility/relevan	nce to rea	al life situations	3	77. v			9
3	Depth of	the course	content	H 2 p					8
4	Extent of	f coverage of	of course		,		.8 °		9
5		f effort requ			0				8
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report		× ×			S
7	Overall r	ating				3			8
	he syllabu llenging	s was 2) Adequa	te 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		(9)
		·		from the course	1		- Varit		
1) High	•	2) Relevar	nt 3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletel	y (1)
Releva	nt	21 - 11100	R	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	vant	al .	1
4. Ra	ate the seq			les of the cours	se	general section of the section of th	1 1	1	*
1) Exc	ellent		A Property of the	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(3)
5. Ra	ate the ade	equateness o	1 100	book and refe	rence book m	nentioned for	the cou	rse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(24)
		ole to get the	e prescrit	ped readings?	- 9	- 3 4			
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	or	(2)
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning			
1) Very	y good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor	(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
ar a					
. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humaniti	ies and Social Sci	iences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	% Practica
Training / Int	ternship etc.	,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,) a Tractica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				* 1 2	
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the co	ompetencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		я .		y = 0	
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		s appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	ents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
			1	20 X 20 1 3	
y other suggesti	ion(s)				
, a	A 5			gau a sa sa	
	0				
					,

Date 9-4-19

Name of Student L. Triveni

Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018-19

1. P	lease give	a rating of	vour cour	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	V Door	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	nrs		=					Rating
1	broadeni	ng perspect	ives)	kills, concepts,		analytical a	bilities, or		8
2				al life situations	3	tan is			7
3		the course			,		2		8
5		f coverage of		. 1 .		¥	* *		9
6		f effort requ							7
7	Overall i		value of p	project/ report					8
-1-1	Overall	atting		¥ 4 ⁵	COURT IN		-1,-,-		6
	he syllabu Illenging	s was 2) Adequa) Inadequate	4) Dull		rrelevant		2_)
3. Y	hly -	round for be 2) Relevan	nt 3	from the course) Partly	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant		Completely levant		h)
Releva	nt		R	Lelevant	melevant	Irre	icvaiit .		
Releva		uence of ur				Irre	ievant		
Releva 4. R		uence of ur 2) Adequa	nits/modu	les of the cours 3) Inadequate		380	Very Poor		(1)
4. R	ate the seq	2) Adequa	nits/modu nte 3	les of the cours 3) Inadequate	se 4) Poor	5)	Very Poor		
4. R 1) Exc 5. R	ate the seq	2) Adequa	nits/modu nte 3	les of the cours 3) Inadequate	se 4) Poor	5)	Very Poor		(1)
4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	ate the sequence at the adecellent	2) Adequateness of 2) Adequateness of 2)	nits/modu ate 3	les of the cours 3) Inadequate 4 book and refe 3) Inadequate	e 4) Poor	5)	Very Poor		(1)
4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	ate the sequence at the adecellent	2) Adequateness of 2) Adequateness of 2)	nits/modulate 3	les of the cours 3) Inadequate book and refe	e 4) Poor	5) nentioned for 5)	Very Poor		(2)
4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc 6. W 1) Exc	ate the sequence ate the adecellent Vere you also belient	2) Adequateness of 2)	of the text ate grescrib	les of the cours B) Inadequate book and refer B) Inadequate ped readings?	rence book m 4) Poor 4) Poor	5) mentioned for 5)	Very Poor or the course Very poor		

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		= 0	**************************************	2 8 5 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	eiences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
Training / In			N.F.		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	0 9			The Attention	
10. Rate the c	lepth of the syllal	ous of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(,)
6 E E				, n	
11. The curric	culum has focus of	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
	T H	3		3 A A	
other suggest	ion(s)		2 × 2 2 ± 2		

9/4/19 Date A. Lokshir kuman

Name of Student

ldit lann

Signature

IQAC



						Acade	nic Yea	r: 20 8	-19
Name	: P 51	va					: /		
Depar	tment:	MBA			J.	Years/S	Semester	: 2 nd	- 3rd 88
1. P	lease give	a rating of y	our cour	se on the follo	wing				
5	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars					-		Rating
1			rms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge.	analytical abi	lities, or	•	Rating
		ing perspecti		, , ,	8 7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
2	Applical	oility/relevan	ce to rea	al life situations	3	Yan ii	2		9
3		f the course c				3 . 3	2		q
4		f coverage of			3				5
5		f effort requi							6
6			alue of p	project/ report	a)				7
7	Overall 1	rating			P	CAN ST		110	8.
						3 , 1			
	he syllabu								
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequat	e 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant	(2)
2 V	مرادم المرداد		C.:	C					
1) Hig				from the course		5) 0			
Releva		2) Relevant) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	Irrele	mpletely	(5)
						Irrele	vant	*	
4. R	ate the sec	uence of uni	ts/modu	les of the cours	se			The second second	*7* Marie 17
	cellent	2) Adequat		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(y)
			100 mm 1	177	,		are a great for the	A STATE OF STATE OF STATE	and the second
5. R	ate the ade	equateness of	the text	book and refe	rence book m	entioned for	the cour	se	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequat	te 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	r	(1)
6. W	ere you al	ble to get the	prescrib	ped readings?	i i		8 9		
1) Exc		2) Adequat		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(2)
7 p	ate the des	gign of the co	urce in t	arms of outro 1	anning or so	If looming			
	y good	2) Good		erms of extra l Average	4) Poor		nı Door	7	0)
1) VCI	, good	2) Good	3	Average	4) [00]	[3) Vei	ry Poor	(3)

o. Rate the nex	ability in choosing	ig of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				ciences, Engineering S tive, Project Work (PV	
Training / In				1 DV	- 1
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	= (1)
S - FAIC			_	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
	lepth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course in	n relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
		on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
ny other suggest	ion(s)				
-4-2019		Acus O			0 = 10
ate	N	P S/UPA			P. SIVA

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018-19

Name:

Sk , Rulesana

Course: McA

Department: MCA

Years/Semester: 11

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

	0	J	or our time route					
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
	broadening perspectives)	6
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	1
5	Extent of effort required by students	1
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adec	uate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(a)
------------------------	--------------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
		Land the state of	LISSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSESSE		

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

		duies of the cours		1	and the same of	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	F	(2)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Contract of the second	1.	a dina	Francisco de la constante de l	50,00 O, 60	s, commentation

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			× .		ł.

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)			

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
r) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4)1001	3) Very 1 001	(2)
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
		e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10. Rate the	epth of the sylla	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	ompetencies expected l	ov industry /
	bal scenarios.				o j
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
-) , 8	1 2) 0 0 0 0	3) Trotage	1)1001	3) very roor	(2)
11 The currie	ulum has focus	on akill davalanma			
		on skill developmen		15.11 5 T	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) 0000	1-78-			
1) Very good	2) 3004			,	
		appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
			eriminate the stud	lents	
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(2)
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	of the course is 2) Good	appropriate to disc		lents	
12.Evaluation	of the course is 2) Good	appropriate to disc		lents	

Date

Sh full faug Name of Student

Signature





						Acade	mic Yea	r: 2018	-19
Name	NAL	Ravi				Course	: M	C A	
Depart	ment : M	CA			,*	Years/S	Semester	· · MIN	
1. Pl	ease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good Good Good Moderately Moderate Some how Tolerable Poor Very Poor								Extremely Poor	
S.No.	Particula	rs		3	, ,	*			Rating
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)									8
2	Applicab	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3 ,				7
3		the course		u		1 , 1	0		7
4		f coverage of			3		- e ⁴		
5		f effort requ		tudents	20 20	ă			8
6				project/ report	-				7
7 Overall rating							8		
	ne syllabu				з	2 / 2 8 ²			
1) Chal	lenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		(2)
3. Yo	our backgr	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was				
1) High Releva		2) Relevan) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	mpletely vant	, ((1)
4. Ra	ate the seq	uence of ur	nits/modu	les of the cours	se		S. Life agreement to		
				3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor		(1)
5. Ra		quateness o		t book and refe	rence book m	nentioned for	the cour	se	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate :	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(2)
6. W	ere you at	ole to get th	e prescrit	ped readings?	(f		8	3	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate :	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		())
7. Ra	ite the des	ign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning	,		
1) Very		2) Good) Average	4) Poor		ry Poor	((1)
, =							-		

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		ž	er e	2 8 5 2 E	
. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
	ternship etc.		1		,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
				e an H	
10. Rate the	lepth of the syllal	bus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
	obal scenarios.				
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				, ,	
11. The currie	culum has focus of	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				4	
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	X II	120 B	V ₀		
other suggest	ion(s)		v × × × × × × ×		

Date

Name of Student

Signature





Academic Year: 2018-19

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name	Name: K. Ohanalakahni						Course: MCA			
Depart	ment : N	ICA			₹	, Y	ears/S	emester	ILI	V
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	5.2	4	3	2	1
	remely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some		Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs		n		-		X		Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)									8
2									8	
3										
4		f coverage c			,					7 8 9
5		f effort requ								9
6			value of p	project/ report						7
7	Overall r	ating				N.				8
2. Tl	ne syllabu	s was					* . · · ·			
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	te 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	- 2	5) Irre	levant		(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was					
1) High Releva	•	2) Relevan) Partly elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	9 1	5) Cor Irrelev	npletely ant		(2)
		uence of un	its/modu	les of the cours	se	e e		101 8	-	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	a Tas es	5) Ve	ery Poor		(1)
5. Ra	ate the ade	quateness o	f the text	book and refer	rence book m	nention	ed for t	he cour	se	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	-	5) Ve	ery poor		()
6. W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	+,3			a) H		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	G =	5) V	ery poor		(2)
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the co	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or se	lf-learr	ning		1	
1) Very	good	2) Good	3)) Average	4) Poor		5) Ver	y Poor	(()

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				EQ 8 8.4	
. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
				ive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In					
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	epth of the syllabubal scenarios.	is of the course ir	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
e 15 2 7 8	w	1		8 8 2	
11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
					t
12.Evaluation	n of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
	of the course is a 2) Good	ppropriate to disc 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	<u> </u>			(1)
12. Evaluation 1) Very good ay other suggest	2) Good	<u> </u>			(/)

Date

K. DHANA CAKSHMI Name of Student

Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: T. Veena

Course:

MCA

Department: MCA

Years/Semester: 1 /1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

		-						
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
	broadening perspectives)	6
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

		N. C.			
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
recie valit		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

ite 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
a final series	A CANADA SA	A STATE OF THE STA
	ite 4) Poor	te 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
	The fit was care a common of				

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

	to design the get the presented readings.										
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)						

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)	

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	2 00 2	2 2 2	. "5".	0 R 8 8	
P. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / In			•		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
×	* * ;		4		
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	ous of the course ir	relation to the c	ompetencies expected l	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				2 1	
11. The curric	ulum has focus of	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			10.8	,	
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
1) Very good					
1) Very good					
1) Very good ny other suggest	on(s)				

Date

T. Veena Name of Student

Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018-19

Name: K. Baby

Course: MCA

Department : M.c.A

Years/Semester : 111

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
3	Depth of the course content	i
4	Extent of coverage of course	1
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	1
7	Overall rating	0

~	771			
,	The	CV	labus	MAC
4.	1110	SYL	iauus	was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2.12.117.112	engand, patrack	Title Charter	, 1 m	1 1 1 1 1	A. H.

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Exc	cellent	(2)	Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

	to get the pres	orroca readings.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	02 P 0 X	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / In			, 1		,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
0	0 2		2 0		
10. Rate the	lepth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				oy madony ,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
8 0 × 2	1				
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt .		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			1/4	,	
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	s appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	3 9		2	s s g	
ny other suggest	ion(s)				
7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					

Date

M. Boby Name of Student

Signature

IQAC



					,3	100				
							Acader	nic Yea	r: 2018	19
Name	T. B.	Malcina	av				Course	: M	CA	,
Depart	ment:	MCA			į.	3	Years/S	emester	·: Ilv	
1. Pl	lease give	a rating of y	our cour	se on the follow	wing					
4 A	9	8	7	6	5		4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some Toler	e how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars				-	1	*		Rating
1	Learning broaden	g value (in te ing perspecti	rms of sl ves)	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analyti	cal abi	lities, or	•	7
2	Applical	bility/relevan	ice to rea	al life situations	3	Too 1			х	8
3		f the course of		a 1 a 3						6
4		f coverage o			,		*		5 H	7
5		f effort requi								7
6			alue of p	project/ report	8					8
7	Overall	rating			1 1					7
2. TI	he syllabu	ıs was					* a *			
	llenging	2) Adequat	te 3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant		(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for be	nefiting	from the course	e was	5	5 30 31			
1) Higl Releva	hly	2) Relevan	t 3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		5) Cor Irrelev	mpletely vant	У	(1)
4. Ra	ate the sec	quence of un	its/modu	les of the cours	se		ant d	er Street gravenia 1810	,	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	15 2	5) V	ery Poo	r	(2)
5. Ra	ate the ade	equateness o		book and refe	rencé book n	nention	ed for	the cour	*SP	Mary Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Ann
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	ichtron		ery poor		(1)
6. W	ere vou a	ble to get the	e prescrib	ped readings?				(A)		
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	r	(1)
7 R	ate the des	sign of the co	ourse in t	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-laam	ning			
1) Very		2) Good) Average	4) Poor	il-icall		ry Poor		(4)
,	0-0-	,		,	1,1001		-, , .,	1 1001	1	\ 7_/

(2)

		g of elective in rela			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e. Professional Ele	ctive. Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	% Practica
Training / Int	ternship etc.	• 100 00 0 personal and a second	,	are, respect to one (1 th) & Tractica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			,		()
10 Rate the d	enth of the syllak	nus of the course in	malation to the o		
current alo	bal scenarios.	ous of the course if	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
		10) 1	1.025	1 2 22 12 1	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developme	nt	H 5 9	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			1.0		
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		1 /	1 .) = 3 = 3	0) + 01) 1 001	
y other suggesti	on(s)		w _e s		
y outlet suggesti	011(3)				
					1
	<u></u>	. Boda kun			//
			vecy		1P
te	N.	ame of Student			Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2018 -19

Name:

SK. Khaja Mohidden

Course: Mc A

Department: MCA

Years/Semester: 11 | W

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

-									
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

ıs

				11	
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant	Tree control	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

4. Rate the se	quence of units/modules of the cours	е	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor (2)
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	- X	and the second second second second second second second

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

	1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
--	--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
			9	A	1912 1994

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

				0	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flex	libility in choosin	g of elective in rela	tion to technology	advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				a 2 2 a 3,	
. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
				ive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In	ternship etc.				
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	* , ,		= 0		
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	ous of the course in	n relation to the co	ompetencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.		, a 1, a		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				y 9	
11. The curric	culum has focus of	on skill developme	nt -	** ***	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(i)
	14 = 2			and a second	v
y other suggest	ion(s)				
				a 21 ° 2	
				(6)	
		Mo Ma	Liddin		0.1.
	8/0	Khaja Mol	uccer,		GA
te	N	ame of Student			Signature

Date



Academic Year: 2018-19
Course: MCA

Name: B. Althaph Department: MCA

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

Depart	ment : M	ICA			,	Years/S	emester	·· MI	
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Exti	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars	-						Rating
1	Learning	g value (in t	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or	•	
		ing perspect				S (M)	2 2		8
2	11								
3									
4									8
	5 Extent of effort required by students							8	
6			value of p	project/ report	1				8
7	Overall	rating		liw'		· 9			8
2. Ti	he syllabu	s was				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant		(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the course	e was	2 8 6 9			
1) Higl Releva		2) Relevan) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Cor Irrelev	mpletely	′ (2)
4. R	ate the sec	mence of ur	in ti	les of the cours					
1) Exc		7		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor	.	(1)
5. Ra		equateness of	of the text	book and refe	rence book m	nentioned for	the cour	se	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	•	(2)
6. W	ere you al	ble to get th	e prescrit	ped readings?	- 1		2		
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	r	(2)
7. Ra	ate the des	sign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or se	lf-learning			

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				ne se e e , , e e , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
P. Rate the comp	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
				ive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int	ernship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	bal scenarios.	T 2) 4	1.02	15.77	
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4)1001	3) VELY FOOL	(2)
11. The curric	ulum has focus or	n skill developme	nt		
1 \ 1 / 1	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good					
				* *	
1) Very good 12. Evaluation	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	eriminate the stud	lents	
	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	eriminate the stud	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	2) Good	T			(1)
12.Evaluation	2) Good	T			(1)

Date

R - Althaph Name of Student

Signature

IQAC



			<u>Studen</u>	t Feedback]	Form on C	urriculum	-		
	,					Acader	nic Year	: 2018	-19
Name	K.r	Johan S	ai			Course	: m	CA	
Depart	ment: n	nca				Years/S	Semester	: 11 2	J
1. Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
, ,	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs	3	8	e				Rating
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analytical abi	lities or		
•		ng perspec		inis, concepts,	mio meage,	anary trour ao	incies, or		8
2		<u> </u>		al life situations	3		2		7
3		f the course		ii e mortuu oo o					7
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course		,	*	ve "		7 8
5	Extent o	f effort requ	uired by s	students					7
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of	project/ report	A	1			7
7	Overall 1	rating		2)			8
2 T	he syllabu	c wos	P		1 /m 1	2	E 8		
	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 1	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant		(2)
1) Спа	inonging.	2) ridoqui	1.) madequate) Dun	3) 111	· ·		(Q)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was				
1) High		2) Releva		3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	/	(<u>a</u>)
Releva	int	Part Maria Control]	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele			· W
4. R	ate the sec	uence of u	nits/mod	iles of the cour	se		The second		Albania San S
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo		(1)
5				37.51		ğ 758			3 6, 1
		No. 1 24 1848	Property and		,			No. of the Party Control of th	in proceedings
				t book and refe					
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(2)
6. W	ere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?			0 x		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	Very poo	r	(Q)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learning	*		
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ry Poor		(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				equi Pri e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
). Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	% Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.			ara, arajaar wan (1 w) & Tractica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	3 .				· Q
10. Rate the d	enth of the sylla	hus of the course in	relation to the		
current ala	bal scenarios.	ious of the course if	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
The state of the s		2) 4	10/2		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
				H 8	
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good					(2)
 Very good Evaluation 	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stuc	lents	
1) Very good					(2)
1) Very good 12.Evaluation 1) Very good	of the course is 2) Good	appropriate to disc	riminate the stuc	lents	
 Very good Evaluation 	of the course is 2) Good	appropriate to disc	riminate the stuc	lents	
1) Very good 12.Evaluation 1) Very good	of the course is 2) Good	appropriate to disc	riminate the stuc	lents	

K. Mokan Sai Name of Student

IQAC

Date



			- THE CITY	· I country	i ormi on c	<u>urreu</u>	14111			
					<i>'</i> .	A	cademi	ic Year	r: 201	5-19
Name	: G. N	lavasa	reena			Co	ourse :	MC	A	
Depart	ment : M	CA			.*	Ye	ears/Se	mester	17/15	
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	rse on the follow	wing					
2.5	9	8	7	6	5	4		3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some l Tolera		Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs				(K				Rating
1	N / / /	yalue (in to		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytica	al abili	ties, or	•	7
2				al life situations	3					8
3		the course						2		
4					1		(A) (c)	9	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	7
5	8							7		
6				project/ report	9	-			111111111111111111111111111111111111111	8
7	Overall 1		*							7 8 8
2. T	he syllabu	s was		4			a ses			
	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irrel	evant		(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	* * * *	à à			- 47
1) Hig	hly	2) Releva	nt 3	3) Partly	4) Mostly	. 4	5) Com	pletely	y	(2)
Releva	ant .	egape as to the	F	Relevant	Irrelevant		rreleva			
4. R	ate the sec	uence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cours	se	98 I 8 0	A June			
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	in the state of th	5) Ve	ry Poo	r	(1)
5. R	ate the ade	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentione	d for tl	ne cou	rse	
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ry poo	r	(1)
6. W	Vere you a	ble to get th	e prescri	bed readings?	- I			(4)		16
1) Exe	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	a 8	5) Ve	ry poo	or	(2)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	course in	terms of extra l	learning or se	elf-learni	ng			
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		5) Very	Poor		(8)

	Tomity in choosing			, aa vancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	& Practica
Training / Int	ernship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				*	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	ous of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected b	y industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.		5 x 4 x 5 x 5		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			8	a 2	
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	n skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			n.	, a	· · ·
ny other suggesti	on(s)				

G. Manaja Veina Name of Student

IQAC

Date