# STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

2016-17



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: N. Naven

Course: B.Tech.

Department: CiviL

Years/Semester :  $\mathbb{I}/\mathbb{I}$ 

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	q
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	Ø.

) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	6) I1	( )
) Chancinging	2) Adequate	3) madequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	( 1 )

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	≈. ( <b>↓</b> )≈
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
(00,000,000)	TUA	L		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	. (2)	
						17

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(   )
V 2			= ,		,

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3	3) Average 4) Poor	5) Very Poor ( )	
------------------------	--------------------	------------------	--

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		12 5 1			
. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ciences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	1			4, 12	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllal	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				oj madony i
current glo		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
current glo	bal scenarios.		v _ v		
current glo  1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(2)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios.  2) Good  ulum has focus o	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good	bbal scenarios.  2) Good  ulum has focus of 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good	bbal scenarios.  2) Good  ulum has focus of 2) Good	3) Average on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

N. Naveen

Name of Student

N. nocheen

Signature



Principal NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: M. Mahash

Course: B.TCCh

Department: Civil Erryincering

Years/Semester: T/T

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
1.	broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	<b>X</b>

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
-) 0111111111111111111111111111111111111	2) Tracquate	) madequate	1) Dun	J) Interevant	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poor	(2)
AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY	oni+4		e e	1 2 3	4 1	
edinoja kaj kaj	AND ARRAUTE IN EACH	AM				

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1.940 con Thomas and a man		A Section to	AND	1.00
1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(9)
	,	/	,, F	
Guntar (Disk), A.P.			1	

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
		1			

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
----------------------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

	/ ) ( TOOC	2) 1	100	Ties en	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
9. Rate the compo	sition of course in	terms Humanities	and Social Scien	ces, Engineering Scie	ences Basic
Sciences, Profe	ssional Course P	rofessional Electi	ve Onen Elective	, Project Work (PW)	& Proctical
Training / Inter	nship etc.	101000101141 1310011	ve, open Elective	, rioject work (r w)	& Tractical
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10 Rate the dan	th of the gullahua	of the source !	alatian to the		
aurrent clabs	l soonomics	of the course in r	elation to the com	petencies expected b	y industry /
current globa		-			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curricult	um has focus on s	skill development			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
	*			,	
13 5	f the course is app	propriate to discri	minate the student	ts	
12.Evaluation o		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
	2) Good				

M. Manash

Name of Student

on maney

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: K. VAMSI

Course: B. Tech

Department: Civil Engineering

Years/Semester: I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
**	broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	4
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	( 1 )

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
odenia uminerni ne kterokom parama	and the second s	garantee and	Market to many animal and proper than the property of the control	
(CUI) AND AND THE		3	30 SE SE 30 9	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor 5) Very poor	(2)
	,	o) to y poor	( - )

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
2 2					1

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) (1) good   2) cood   3) 11 clage   1) 1 col	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--	--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				8 89	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	iences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.				,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	obal scenarios.			sompetencies expected	
11. The curric		on skill developme	nt		
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
l) Very good	2) G00d	3) Triorage	1,72001	-))	( )
					( - )
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc			( )
1) Very good  12.Evaluation 1) Very good					(2)
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	

K Name of Student

14 Vansi Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acade	mic Yea	r: 201	6-2013
Name	: P.S.	ravan	kum	av		Course	e: 13	stech	
Depart	tment:	ravan Civil e	ngine	ering	7	Years/S	Semester	:: I/	I
				se on the follow	wing				
T .	9	8	7	6	5 .	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars							Rating
1		y value (in to		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, or		9
. 2				l life situations	3	4.0			8
3	Depth of	f the course	content	* * * * *	4 T 3	*	36 H		9
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course	*	,	*	u e 8		7
5		f effort requ							9
6			value of p	project/ report					8
7	Overall 1	rating	2			1 .			8
	he syllabu Ilenging		ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant		(1)
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	·····	) Inadequate from the course	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant		(   )
1) Cha	llenging our backg hly	2) Adequa	enefiting nt 3	in the second se	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		mpletel	y	(2)
3. Y 1) Hig. Releva	llenging our backg hly ant	2) Adequation of the country of the	enefiting and a R	from the course ) Partly	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Cc	mpletel	у	(1)
3. Y 1) Hig. Releva	our backg hly ate the sec	2) Adequation 2) Relevant quence of un 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2.	enefiting nt 3 R	from the course ) Partly elevant	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	mpletel		(2)
1) Cha  3. Y 1) Hig Releva  4. R 1) Exc	our backg hly ate the sec	2) Adequation 2) Relevant 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2.	enefiting nt 3 R	from the course ) Partly Lelevant	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor	5) Co Irrele	ompletely vant	r	
1) Cha 3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	our backg hly int ate the sec	2) Adequation 2) Relevant 2) Adequation 2 Adequation 2 Adequation 2 Adequation 2 Adequation 2 Adequation 3 Ad	enefiting nt 3 R nits/modu ate 3	from the course ) Partly elevant les of the course 3) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor	5) Co Irrele	ompletely vant	r	
1) Cha 3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	our backg hly ate the sec	2) Adequation 2) Relevant 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 3	enefiting nt 3 R  nits/modu ate 3  canal of the text ate 3	from the course ) Partly elevant  les of the course ) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor  rence book m	5) Co Irrele	ompletely vant Very Poo	r	(2)
1) Cha 3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	our backg hly int ate the sec cellent ate the ade	2) Adequation 2) Relevant 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 3	enefiting nt 3 R nits/modu ate 3	from the course ) Partly Lelevant les of the course 3) Inadequate book and refe 3) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor  rence book m	5) Confirmed for 5) V	ompletely vant Very Poo	r rse r	(2)
1) Cha 3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc 6. W 1) Exc	our backg hly int ate the sec cellent ate the ade cellent	2) Adequation 2) Relevant 2) Adequation 2 Ad	enefiting nt 3 R nits/modu ate 3	from the course ) Partly , elevant les of the course B) Inadequate book and refe B) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor  4) Poor  4) Poor	5) Confirmed for 5) V	vant Very Poo	r rse r	(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 2)
9. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering So	riences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e. Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	/) & Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.	,	ouve, open ziec	are, rroject work (1 w	) & Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
				Free 2 2 7	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllah	ous of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	one of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by madshy/
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developme	nt		
11. The curric	ulum has focus c	on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1)
				5) Very Poor	( 1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		( 1)
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		( 1)

Name of Student

P. Svavom Kumar

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					7	· A				
						A	caden	nic Year	r: 2016	-2017
	D. K.								ech.	
Depart	ment: (	Ivil			.9	Y	ears/S	emester	: 2/1	
<b>1.</b> Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	4		3	2	1
	remely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some Tolera		Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	re					**			Rating
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analytic	al abil	ities or		Rating
1		ng perspec		kilis, concepts,	knowledge,	anaryuc	ai auii	ities, or		8
2				al life situations	S	-				7
3		the course				1 0 1		2		8
4		coverage			1			.t. *		9
5		effort requ								9
6				project/ report	9					8
7	Overall r			rJ F				***************************************		9
144 (28)		8		5	- 10					
2. T	he syllabus	s was								
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant		(2)
2 2 2				·		2, -				
<b>3.</b> Y	our backgr	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	G.	-			
1) High	hly	2) Releva	nt 3	3) Partly	4) Mostly		5) Cor	npletely	У	(1)
Releva	int		I	Relevant	Irrelevant		Irrelev	ant		
	-	Mark Carlotte		iles of the cour	se					
1) Exc	Distriction of	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	A STATE OF THE STA	5) V	ery Poo	r	(2)
1000 0	(SUCHO CALYAR		Moses .	. 1 1 1 6	1.1.1	30.	A C	to A A		
5. K	ate the ade	equateness		t book and refe						
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	r	(2)
<b>6.</b> W	ere you al	ole to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	, s,t			10 16		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	or	( † )
7. R	ate the des	ign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learn	ing		,	
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor			ry Poor		(2)

	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		n 97 3	an Para III	
sition of course ir	n terms Humanities	s and Social Scien	ces, Engineering Scient	ences. Basic
ssional Course, I nship etc.	Professional Electi	ve, Open Elective	, Project Work (PW)	& Practica
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(   )
th of the syllabus	of the course in r	elation to the com	petencies expected b	y industry /
ym haa faassa	-1.91 1 1			(.)
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
f the course is ap 2) Good	propriate to discri	minate the student	ts	
t	ssional Course, Finship etc.  2) Good  th of the syllabust scenarios.  2) Good	ssional Course, Professional Electionship etc.  2) Good  3) Average  th of the syllabus of the course in relacenarios.  2) Good  3) Average  um has focus on skill development	ssional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective aship etc.  2) Good  3) Average  4) Poor  th of the syllabus of the course in relation to the complex scenarios.  2) Good  3) Average  4) Poor  um has focus on skill development	2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor th of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by Scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor min has focus on skill development

IQAC

Date

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.R.



			Studen	T eeuback	TOTHI OH C	ullic	ululli			
					,1		Acaden	nic Yea	r: 20l	6-2019
Name :	DON	lagus	Bas	Ha					Tool.	
		LIVIL			2	•	Years/S	emester	:11	I
<b>1.</b> Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5		4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate		e how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs	*				* ,			Rating
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analyti	cal ahi	lities or	•	Rating
		ng perspect		kiiis, concepts,	knowledge,	anaryti	cai aui	intics, or		7
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	San a		**		7
3	Depth of	the course	content		-	٠.,		18		7
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course		,			1 et 2		7
5		f effort requ		tudents						7
6		<u>_</u>		project/ report		ē				7
7	Overall			or offers report						8
	he syllabu Illenging	s was	oto 2	) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Inn	elevant		(0)
1) Clia	menging	2) Aucqua	ale 3	) madequate	(4) Dull		3) 1110	elevani		(2)
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was					
1) High Releva		2) Releva		6) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	2 00	5) Co Irrele	mpletel; vant	у	(2)
4. R	ate the sec	nuence of m	nits/modu	iles of the cour	se			5		
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	2.7	5) V	ery Poo	or	(2)
property of the second	25'12'C	OMOTOA		1,			The state of the s	-	/ 1	District Control of the Control of t
5. R				t book and refe	rence book r	nentio	ned for	the cou	rse	The state of the s
	cellent	2) Adequ	200	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	To		ery poo	A-1	(2)
£ 11	Jana van -	blo to cot il	no programit	had randinas?				H o		
				bed readings?	1 A) D		F 1	<i>I</i>		7.0
I) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		3) \	ery poo	or	(2)
7. R	ate the de	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-lear	ning	0		

4) Poor

3) Average

1) Very good

2) Good

5) Very Poor

(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( <u>Z</u> )
				29 8 5 9 V	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.				, 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			7. %		
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected b	ov industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.		* - ×		,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
		×		4 8	
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmer	nt		
11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developmer  3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2-)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	,	(2)
1) Very good  12.Evaluation	2) Good		4) Poor	,	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	,	
12.Evaluation 1) Very good 1) Very good	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents	(2)
1) Very good  12.Evaluation	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents	

IQAC

Date

Principal
NARASARASPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



			Studen	reeuback	form on C	urric	<u>cuium</u>			
							Acader	nic Year	: 9016	-2017
Name	: A . N	iharik	a.						Tech.	20(1)
Depart	ment:	ivil			- J		Years/S	emester	: 11/1	
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing					
φ 8	9	8	7	6	5		4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate		e how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs	*	h 6		(b)	* .			Rating
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analyt	ical abi	lities or		Rating
•		ng perspect		kiiis, concepts,	knowledge,	anaryt	icai aui	ittles, or		7
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	- Apr. 10	8	,		7
3		the course		5 N N						7
4	Extent of	f coverage of	of course		i			se f	-	
5	Extent of	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents	9			***************************************	-	7
6				project/ report	12					
7	Overall r			J						7
	he syllabu llenging	s was  2) Adequa	nte 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	F	5) Irre	elevant		(2)
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was					
1) High Releva	hly	2) Relevan	nt 3	) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		5) Con Irrelev	mpletely vant		(1)
<b>4.</b> R	ate the seq	quence of u	nits/modu	les of the cours	se	8 8 1 8 8 1		č		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	H.00/N	5) V	ery Poor	Capacita Constitution	(z)
203410	Samme	is what is and is a con-	AGAZAGA				Trans.			
5. R	ate the ade	equateness of	of the text	book and refe	rence book n	nention	ned for	the cour	se	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate :	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	E and		ery poor		(2)
6. W	ere you al	ble to get th	e prescril	ped readings?		1. 1.		1 3	l	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	9	5) V	ery poor	r	(5)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-lear	ning			

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
			44	to the state of th	
9. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.		. 1		,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				a a , d	
10. Rate the o	lepth of the syllab	ous of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	ov industry /
current glo	obal scenarios.				oy madony r
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	5				
11. The curric	culum has focus o	n skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			2.5		
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good					( )
1) Very good	0.0			8 to 1	
y other suggest	ion(s)		e Are		
	ion(s)				

A. Nihavika. Name of Student

A. Nihavika Signature



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.R



			1.			P	Acaden	nic real	do	16-20
Name	: Et	11.0	trus						Tee	
Depart	tment :	3vl	Eng	ineowy		Y	ears/S	emester	M	P
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	4		3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some Tolera		Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs				8	* *	1 8		Rating
1				kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytic	cal abi	lities, or	•	
	broadeni	ng perspec	tives)							8
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	n Gan		0		7
3	Depth of	the course	content		7			14		7
4	Extent of	f coverage	of course				¥	6.7		9
5	Extent of	f effort requ	uired by s	tudents						7
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	1					8
7	Overall r	ating								7
	he syllabu		•	=	/ Ci	-	× ×			
1) Cha	allenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	e.	5) Irre	elevant		( )
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	2 B				
1) Hig	ghly	2) Releva	nt 3	3) Partly	4) Mostly	10	5) Co	mpletel	y	(2)
Releva	ant		F	Relevant	Irrelevant		Irrele	vant		
<b>4.</b> R	Rate the sec	quence of u	nits/modu	ales of the cour	se					
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	yeares	5) V	ery Poo	r	(2)
	(2010A	Duonis	F				The same of the sa	AE		
5. R	Rate the ade	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	erence book r	mention	ed for	the cou	rse	
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	r	(1)
6. V	Were you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	- 1	*.		2		
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	¥ 0	5) V	ery poo	or	(2)
7. R	Rate the des	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or s	elf-learı	ning	in .		
	ry good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor			ry Poor		(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 9_)
			2 2		
9. Rate the con	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering So	
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Course	Professional Fle	ctive Open Floor	tive, Project Work (PW	ciences, Basic
Training / In	iternship etc.	, i roressional Lice	nive, Open Elect	iive, Project work (Pw	() & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	- / / /
			+)1001	3) VELY FOOL	( 1 )
10 Pate the	donth of the seell-1				
current al	abal assumi	ous of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	obal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The currie	culum has focus of	on skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(0)
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good				,	(2)
1) Very good  12. Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	(2)
1) Very good				,	(2)
1) Very good  12.Evaluation 1) Very good	n of the course is 2) Good	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	(2)
1) Very good  12. Evaluation	n of the course is 2) Good	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	( 2 )

Name of Student

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTON MOUS)
NARASARA PET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name: K. Anuhya

Course: B-Tech

Department: Civil

Years/Semester: III

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
	broadening perspectives)	9
, 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	a
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	•
7	Overall rating	7

2	TI	. 1	1 1	
Z.	Ine	SYL	labus	was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
--	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor 5)	Very Poor ( \ )
A POST AND	VI		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
			d a		

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

		110101111601			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)		

o. Rate the flex	idility in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technology	advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				ences, Engineering So	
Training / Int		, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Electr	ve, Project Work (PW	/) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.			mpetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1)
	ulum has focus o	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stude	ents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 2 )
ny other suggesti	on(s)				
ate	Na	K.Anuhya ame of Student			Signature

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acader	nic Yea	r: 2011	6-17
Name	Sal	lma Si	ul eima v	2		Course	: B.	Tech	
Depart	ment: C	in i			= !	Years/S	emester	ाः गा	I
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars							Rating
1		y value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or	r	8
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	124		- 4-	9
3	Depth of	f the course	content	G: 8 G			Si Si		7
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course		1 .	S 74	s. n. 8		8
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by s	students	α1				q
6	Relevan	ce/learning	value of	project/ report	4)				7
7	Overall 1	rating		e e		3 _			8
<b>2.</b> T	he syllabu	s was			*				
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		(1)
<b>3.</b> Y		round for b		from the cours 3) Partly	e was  4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletel	v	(2)
Releva		2) Keleva		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele		y	(2)
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	-	nits/modu	ules of the cour	se	Personal Parties	Or of the brief time		think of the same
1) Ex				3) Inadequate	4) Poor	(5) V	ery Poc	or	(1)
		PROTUA		- · · ·	я 1 8	The Parish			
<b>5.</b> R	ate the ad	1 407 400	of the tex	at book and refe		nentioned for	the cou	rse	study s. gl
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	or	( <b>i</b> )
6. W	Vere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	. ,6	**************************************	W A		
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	ery poo	or	(2)
7. R	ate the de	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learning	÷.		
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ry Poor		(3)
		<del></del>				9 0			

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Praining / Internship etc.				to technology			1) Very good
Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Programming / Internship etc.  10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by inducurrent global scenarios.  10. Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (11. The curriculum has focus on skill development (12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (13. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (14. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the stud	$( \ \ )$	r (	5) Very Poor	4) Poor	3) Average	2) Good	) very good
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by inducurrent global scenarios.  10. Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (  11. The curriculum has focus on skill development ( 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	s, Basic Practical	ng Sciences, (PW) & Pr	ences, Engineering ve, Project Work (P	es and Social Sci tive, Open Electi	in terms Humanit , Professional Elec	ofessional Course	Sciences, Pro
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by inducurrent global scenarios.  O Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (  11. The curriculum has focus on skill development ( O Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	(2)	r (	5) Very Poor	4) Poor	3) Average		
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development  Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	łustry /	cted by indu	mpetencies expecte	relation to the co	us of the course in	epth of the syllab	current glo
Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	(1)	. (	5) Very Poor	4) Poor	3) Average	2) Good	) Very good
12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students		-					
1 0 0 1	(1)	. (	5) Very Poor	4) Poor	3) Average	2) Good	y very good
Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (			nts	riminate the stude	appropriate to disc	of the course is a	12.Evaluation
		(	5) Very Poor	4) Poor	3) Average	2) Good	) Very good
other suggestion(s)						ion(s)	other suggestion

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.R



						Acad	lemic Yea	ir: 2016	, -1 4
Name :	J. 7	40					rse: 13		
Depart	ment : C	livi				Year	s/Semeste	r: 111	1
<b>1.</b> Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	irs				e I	1		Rating
1	broadening perspectives)								9
2	Applicat	oility/releva	ince to rea	al life situations	3	Care -			8
3	Depth of	the course	content	_ n = 1		2 8			9
4	Extent of	fcoverage	of course	9	1		100 mg 10		9
5	Extent o	f effort requ	uired by s	tudents	3				3
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	7			*	7
7	Overall 1	ating				*	10		8
	he syllabu				,) ;;	1.5			
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	H 4			
1) High	hly	2) Releva	nt 3	) Partly	4) Mostly	5)	Completel	y	(3)
Releva			F	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irre	elevant		
4. R		uence of u	nits/modu	les of the cour	se				
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poo	or	(2)
100	11-512	SARAGE	ARABI		, 1 , 1				
	A.A.J.X	alities employe	3	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned f	for the cou	ırse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	) Very poo	or	(2)
6. W	Vere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	1	V ,.			150
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	) Very po	or	( /)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learning	-		
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		Very Poor		( ( )
, 9					9	. A . 8	2		

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Science Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Training / Internship etc.  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by in current global scenarios.  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  11. The curriculum has focus on skill development  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	, 1	T		3) Average	bility in choosing (2) Good	1) Very good
Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Training / Internship etc.  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by in current global scenarios.  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  11. The curriculum has focus on skill development  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	( 2)	5) Very Poor	4) Poor	) Average	2) 0000	-, , 61, 6000
Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Training / Internship etc.  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by in current global scenarios.  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  11. The curriculum has focus on skill development  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor						er e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Training / Internship etc.  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by in current global scenarios.  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  11. The curriculum has focus on skill development  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	es. Basic	ces, Engineering Scien	and Social Science	n terms Humanitie	osition of course in	9. Rate the comp
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by in current global scenarios.  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	Practical	Project Work (PW) 8	ve, Open Elective,	Professional Elect	tessional Course, l	Sciences, Prof
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by in current global scenarios.  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor					ernship etc.	Training / Inte
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by in current global scenarios.  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  11. The curriculum has focus on skill development  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(3)	5) Very Poor	4) Poor	3) Average	2) Good	1) Very good
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  11. The curriculum has focus on skill development  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor		1, 10			= =	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  11. The curriculum has focus on skill development  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	ndustry /	petencies expected by	elation to the com	of the course in	pth of the syllabus	10. Rate the de
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	idusti y /	seconded expected by			al scenarios.	current glob
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)	5) Very Poor	4) Poor	3) Average	2) Good	1) Very good
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	( )	-)				5 .
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor				skill development	lum has focus on	11. The curricu
12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)	5) Very Poor	4) Poor			
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor		1 1				
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor		S	ninate the student	propriate to discri	of the course is ap	12.Evaluation
	())				2) Good	1) Very good
any other suggestion(s)	( )					
			e de la		n(s)	any other suggestion
			# 17 un			
					8	*
م اس ا	T (Te			- Tula		
ate Name of Student	Signature			e of Student	Nam	ate

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGI
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.R



					<i>,</i>	Ac	ademic Ye	ar: 201	6-17
Name	: I. Su	BBARE	ODY			Co	ourse: B	-Tech	
Depar	tment:	civil Er	Binee.	ring.	9	Ye	ars/Semeste	er: 🔟	1
				se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some h Toleral		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs							Rating
1	Learning broadeni	y value (in t	erms of sl tives)	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytica	l abilities, o	or	Raing
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	i No e			8
3	Depth of	the course	content	2 2	1 .				9
4		f coverage			,		* (8)		7
	5 Extent of effort required by students							9	
6	6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report							7	
7	Overall 1	ating							8
<b>2.</b> T	he syllabu	s was			7 * 2 : 1 % *				
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5	) Irrelevant		(1)
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the course	e was	2 8	**		3
1) Hig		2) Relevan	nt 3	) Partly	4) Mostly	5	) Complete	ly	(2)
Releva	nt		R	elevant	Irrelevant	Ir	relevant		
4. R		uence of u	nits/modu	les of the cours	se	gallete trade, son	n manufacture de la constitución	til et state en til har et state dører so	*
	S 101 1878. 1	2) Adequ	5.5	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very Po	or	(3)
<b>5.</b> R	ate the ade	equateness	of the text	book and refe	rence book m	nentioned	for the cou	ırse	The state of the s
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very poo	or	(3)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get th	e prescrib	ed readings?	. 3	, e ,	- u		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very po	or	(2)
7. R	ate the des	ign of the c	course in t	erms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learnin	g		2
	v good	2) Good		) Average	4) Poor		Very Poor	. 1	( • )

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			* * *	и в но —	
. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / In			, ,		, i
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10. Rate the	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	hy industry /
		bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	lepth of the syllabbal scenarios.  2) Good	bus of the course in 3) Average			by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	# X	1 relation to the c	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bbal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bbal scenarios. 2) Good	# X	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bbal scenarios.  2) Good  culum has focus	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	by industry /
1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	( ! )

2. SUBBA REDDY.

Name of Student

2. CUBBA REDDY. Signature



(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acad	iemic Yea	r: 2016	- 1+
Name	P.	GOPIC	hand			Cour	se: T	3 ·Tech	
Depart	ment:	civil	Engin	reeving	<i>F</i> ,	Year	s/Semester	r: <u>TV</u> -	<b>T</b> –
1. P	lease give	a rating of	vour cour	se on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars					. — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		Rating
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)								9	
. 2	Applical	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	e An i			8
3	Depth of	f the course	content		= 2	0 10 10 1	15.5		7
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course		1				8
5		f effort requ					11		9
6			value of p	project/ report					٦
7	Overall	rating				3 kg			8
	7.7								
	he syllabu					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	rrelevant		(1)
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	* 9		Ð	
1) Hig		2) Releva		) Partly	4) Mostly		Completel	у	(2)
Releva	int	Secretary of		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irre	levant		
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	quence of u		les of the cour	se		reactive and an experience of	de la company	or continued to
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	Very Poo	or	(2)
<b>5.</b> R	ate the ad	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned f	or the cou	ırse	All Control of the Co
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	Very poo	or	(1)
6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?									
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	) Very poo	or	( 1 )
7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning									
	y good	2) Good		) Average	4) Poor		Very Poor		(2)
11		•				30 2			

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
		×	9 8		
9. Rate the con	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	se, Professional Ele	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / In	iternship etc.				, ,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
19		м		2.1.1	, , , ,
current glo	depth of the sylla obal scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Wasti Dani	
1) very good	2) Good	J) Average	4) 1001	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme		3) very Poor	(1)
				5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

P. Gopichand

Name of Student

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: Jr. Chaitanya Reddy

Course: B. Tech

Department: Civil Engineering

Years/Semester: W-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
							1 001	1001

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	Rating
	broadening perspectives)	8
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	1
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8
	<u> </u>	9

2. The syllabus	s was			
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant

3. Your backg	round for benefi	ting from the cou	irse was	B	
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	( 1 )

4. Rate the sequence of units/mod	dules of the course		ii isi Si x	STREET NO SHOWN AS A STREET OF STREET	8 24
1 NETS OF STREET, SAFETY AND A	2) Inadaquata	1) D		A TON THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY	and the state of t

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor (1)
1200 100 1000			

5. Rate the adequat	eness of the te	ext book and refere	nce book mentione	ed for the course	an annual second
	Adequate		4) Poor	5) Very poor	( 2)

<b>6.</b> Were you at	ole to get the pres	cribed readings?		,	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the de	sign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	learning or self	-learning	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)

	0) 0 1	2) 4		y advancements	( * )
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humaniti	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
Training / Int					
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	- Lander of the Control of the Contr	0			
10. Rate the d	lepth of the syllab	ous of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
		ous of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	lepth of the syllabobal scenarios.  2) Good	ous of the course in  3) Average	a relation to the o	5) Very Poor	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				
current glo 1) Very good	bbal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11.The currie	bbal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good	bbal scenarios.  2) Good  culum has focus o	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
current glo 1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good	bbal scenarios.  2) Good  culum has focus of 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	
current glo 1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good	bbal scenarios.  2) Good  culum has focus of 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	

14. Chaitanya Reddy Name of Student

Signature

u u



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 -2017

Name: Ch. Jagan

Course:

B. tech

Department: CIVIL

course.

Years/Semester: IV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	100
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	0
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	2

		. 0	or broleen report				1	u
7	Overall	rating			2 2			0
		× _ 1						0
	he syllabu	is was						
1) Cha	allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5	) Irrelevant	( t)	
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for benef	iting from the cours	e was		o2 s		
1) Hig		2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5	) Completely	(9)	
Releva	ant	14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Relevant	Irrelevant	100	rrelevant	` _	
			modules of the cours	se	garttua	engan and State I am in Part to the house species of the part of t	oterwalny	
100	marginers and the	401	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very Poor	(1	)
	7-10-1-20		it.		Sarah.	and the second second second second second	No Accessor, seption	
<b>5.</b> R	late the ade	equateness of th	e text book and refer	rence book me	entioned	for the course		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	1) Door	T	5) V	T	

			1,71001	3) very poor	
6. Were you al	ole to get the preso	cribed readings?	, ,		1200
1) Eventlant	2) 4.1	0 x 1	1	T	 7

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
			L		

7. Kate the d	esign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	learning or self	f-learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
	-	*	3	
esition of course it	n terms Humanitie	es and Social Scie	ences Engineering Sc	iences Basic
	Totessional Elec-	irve, open Breen	ve, Project Work (1 W	) ce i ractical
	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
oth of the syllabus	s of the course in	relation to the co	mpetencies expected	by industry /
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ( )
n.				
um has focus on	skill developmen	it -	· ·	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 2_)
		*		
of the course is an	propriate to disci	riminate the stude	ents	
	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
* 1/ 0	27 a W			
n(s)		* **. ·		
	essional Course, Inship etc.  2) Good  oth of the syllabused scenarios.  2) Good  um has focus on  2) Good	essional Course, Professional Electroship etc.  2) Good  3) Average  oth of the syllabus of the course in al scenarios.  2) Good  3) Average  um has focus on skill development  2) Good  3) Average  of the course is appropriate to disc.  2) Good  3) Average	essional Course, Professional Elective, Open Electi	2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  oth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected al scenarios.  2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  um has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

Name of Student

Signature Cho Igun



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLUFGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name: N. Vijay krishna

Course: B-Tech

Department: Civil

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

Voorg/Compoton . //

60	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
Extremely Good		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some ho Tolerable	W Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor	
S.No.	Particula	rs	<del></del>				3		Rating	
1	Learning			kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical	abilities, or	•	Rating	
.2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations					4				
3	Depth of the course content							8		
4	Extent of coverage of course							7		
5	Extent of effort required by students							8		
6			value of	project/ report					9	
7	Overall r	ating				9 6			8	
	he syllabus llenging	s was 2) Adequ	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(1)	
<b>3.</b> Y	our backgr	ound for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was					
l) Hig Releva		2) Releva		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		Completel: elevant	У	(1)	
<b>4.</b> R	ate the seq	uence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cour	se	5 p s				
l) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	) Very Poo	r	(1)	
	1.4	MARKATANA M	estel			Service Control	Contracting the technique was tra-	Contract was aparent	· ·	
	ate the ade	The second secon	ate	t book and refe 3) Inadequate	4) Poor		or the cou	407	(1)	
6. W	ere vou al	ole to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?			Called to Good to a record	1-2-4-1-5-1	Ž.	
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	) Very poo	or	(2)	

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	tion to technolog 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.			. The fire	VI
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
17.	2.1		v v		
current glo	bal scenarios.	bus of the course ir		competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	,	3) Average on skill developme		5) Very Poor	(1)
	,			5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



## (AUTONOMOUS)

# Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 8016-8017

Name: K. Rajkuman Rollno: 16471A0 201 Department: EEE

Course: B Tech

1) Very good

2) Good

Years/Semester: 1/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	11447778
520	broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
3	Depth of the course content	a
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	-
7	Overall rating	0

LAND W. XI			Latin March		
2. The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
			- a - a		
3. Your backg	ground for benefiting	g from the course	was		*
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(8)
Relevant	'	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	ά,
			N N	<u> </u>	
	quence of units/mo		e		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)
(auoma			8 V 80 T		\ \d'
	HARAGARAGI	*	Part of the last	and the same and the same and the same and	796 January
5. Rate the ade	equateness of the te	ext book and refere	ence book mention	ned for the course	AGE TO THE PERSON OF THE PERSO
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			Laurena	and the second s	
<b>6.</b> Were you a	ble to get the presc	ribed readings?	g = 1		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
	1 1 2 6 T		7		`α'
				**	

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(i)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
		× 11 19		F 7.8 6	
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humaniti	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int		-,	, op		1
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	9 6 _		0	- 111	
	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11 77	1 1 6			a	
		on skill developme			
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)
1) Very good					
1) Very good			2		
1) Very good  12.Evaluation		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
		appropriate to disc	eriminate the stud	dents 5) Very Poor	( 8 )

K. Raj kuma91 Name of Student

Signature

TOAC

Principal
Na....SARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name: D. sai kuman Roll No: 16471 Ao 203

Course: B-Tech

Department : EEE

Years/Semester: 1/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
*	broadening perspectives)	a
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was1) Challenging2) Adequate3) Inadequate4) Dull5) Irrelevant(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant	-	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
TOD XXX - THEO ARACARA	4			

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

	, 1		Y NEWS	\$50.00 St. Ph. 874 and an April 201	The same of the sa
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
----------------------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				a in a sy	
9. Rate the comp	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / Int	ernship etc.			1 4500 1	- 1
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
170	*	1		· // // /	
10. Rate the de	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			ompetences empeted	by madshy /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	3				
11. The curricu	ulum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		
11. The curricular of the curr	ulum has focus (2) Good	on skill developmen  3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	T	•		5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	•	4) Poor	dents	
<ol> <li>Very good</li> <li>Evaluation</li> </ol>	2) Good of the course is	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor		(1)
<ol> <li>Very good</li> <li>Evaluation</li> </ol>	of the course is 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents	

D. Sai Kurran Name of Student

D. Sal Kurna

IQAC



Name: M. Mahesh Reddy.  Roll No. 16471 A0905  Department: EEE  1. Please give a rating of your course on the following  9  8  7  6  5  4  3  2  1							Acade	mic Yea	ır: 2016	-2017
Department: EFE  1. Please give a rating of your course on the following  9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1  Extremely Very Good Good Moderately Moderate Some how Tolerable Poor Very Poor  S.No. Particulars  1 Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)  2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations 3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 7 Overall rating  2. The syllabus was  1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)  3. Your background for benefiting from the course was  1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly Al Mostly 5) Completely Poor Relevant Poor Solvery Poor (1)  4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)  5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course Solvery Poor (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	Name Poll Ne	M. 1	Nahes A0905	h Rea	ddy.		Course	e:   Z	tal	
Stremely   Very   Good   Good   Moderate   Some how   Good   Good   Moderate   Some how   Good   Good   Moderate   Some how   Good   Good   Good   Moderate   Some how   Good   Good   Good   Good   Good   Moderate   Some how   Good	Depart	tment : [	EEE			<i>*</i> _	Years/S	Semester	r: I/J	I
Extremely Good Good Moderately	1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing			•	
Good	2.0	9	8	7	6		4	3	2	1
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)  2				Good		Moderate		Poor		Extremely Poor
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)  Applicability/relevance to real life situations  Depth of the course content  Extent of coverage of course  Extent of effort required by students  Relevance/learning value of project/ report  Overall rating  2. The syllabus was  1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)  3. Your background for benefiting from the course was  1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Irrelevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant  4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)  5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)	S.No.	Particula	nrs		24		•		ŀ	Rating
3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 7 Overall rating  2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)  3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant Irrelevant  4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)  5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	1	Learning broadeni	g value (in t ing perspect	erms of slives)	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, o	r	
Depth of the course content   S	2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	l life situations	3				9
Extent of coverage of course  Extent of effort required by students  Relevance/learning value of project/ report  Overall rating  2. The syllabus was  1) Challenging  2) Adequate  3) Inadequate  4) Dull  5) Irrelevant  (1)  3. Your background for benefiting from the course was  1) Highly  2) Relevant  3) Partly Relevant  4) Mostly Irrelevant  4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course  1) Excellent  2) Adequate  3) Inadequate  4) Poor  5) Very Poor  (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?  1) Excellent  2) Adequate  3) Inadequate  4) Poor  5) Very poor  (1)  7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	3	Depth of	the course	content		to a second		302		
Extent of effort required by students  Relevance/learning value of project/ report  Overall rating  2. The syllabus was  1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)  3. Your background for benefiting from the course was  1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Irrelevant Irrelevant  4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)  5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)	4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course		1		5 K <sup>T</sup>		
Relevance/learning value of project/ report  Overall rating  2. The syllabus was  1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)  3. Your background for benefiting from the course was  1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Irrelevant Irrelevant  4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)  5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)	5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by st	tudents	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
2. The syllabus was  1) Challenging   2) Adequate   3) Inadequate   4) Dull   5) Irrelevant   (1)  3. Your background for benefiting from the course was  1) Highly   2) Relevant   3) Partly   4) Mostly   5) Completely   7 Irrelevant   7 Irrelevan	6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report					
2. The syllabus was  1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)  3. Your background for benefiting from the course was  1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (9) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant Irrelevant  4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)  5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	7	Overall 1	ating					Name of the second		
1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)  3. Your background for benefiting from the course was  1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Irrelevant Irrelevant Irrelevant  4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)  5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	A A A A				*	,		1 5		
3. Your background for benefiting from the course was  1) Highly Relevant   3) Partly Relevant   4) Mostly   5) Completely   7						_	2			
1) Highly Relevant 2) Relevant 3) Partly Relevant Irrelevant 5) Completely Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)  5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant	(	(1)
4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)  5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was				
A. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)  5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning						T	5) Co	mpletely	y (	9)
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)  5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	Releva	int		R	elevant	Irrelevant				2
5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course  1) Excellent	<b>4.</b> Ra	ate the seq	uence of ur	nits/modu	les of the cours	se	3 × ×	2		
5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course  1) Excellent					) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(1)
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	105	(BUC)	MOMOTUA	i i		-	177	A	Mary Mary Mary Mary	13
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?  1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	5. Ra	ate the ade	quateness o	f the text	book and refer	rence book m	entioned for	the cour	se	
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)  7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning								400	A DESTRUCTION OF THE PARTY OF T	(1)
7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	<b>6.</b> W	ere you al	ole to get th	e prescrib	ed readings?				I.	
1) 1/2 1 2) 0 1	1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(1)
1) 1/2 1 2) 0 1	7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning	,		
								ry Poor	(	2)
	lii .						7 × ×			

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(   )
		± 20 ° 0		1 8 1 2 E	
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering So	ciences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int			- N. C.		117
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
7	l a				
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.			p	eya.sey .
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	7 7				
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	n 2			,	
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3 0			
	on(s)				
y other suggest	OII(b)				

M. Mahezh Redy.
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC



						Ac	ademic Yea	ar: 201	6-2017
Name	K. J	aya Rav 147 1A02 EEE	7			Со	urse :	3.tech	
Depart	ment:	EEE	_6 (		3.	Yea	ars/Semeste	er: <b>I</b> /	II
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
la la	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some har Tolerab		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs		- E S			A S		Rating
1	Learning broadeni	y value (in to ng perspect	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical	abilities, c	or	q
2	Applical	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	H. V	· -		8
3	Depth of	the course	content	* 1 1 2			144		0
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course		1	t and goldense and an a	4 B 32		9
5		f effort requ							9
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report					8
7	Overall 1	ating		8					7
			12		· July 19 1 1 1		*		
	he syllabu								
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(()
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ar a	8	
1) High		2) Relevai		) Partly	4) Mostly	5)	Completel	у	(2)
Releva	int		R	Lelevant	Irrelevant	Ir	relevant		
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	uence of ur	nits/modu	les of the cours	se				
1) Exc	LUU DEIR	2) Adequa	9UAHARA	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	6112	5) Very Poo	or	( ( )
	1043	MIDHOTE	JA:	book and refe	rence book m	nentioned	for the cou	irse	
		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	- 21	5) Very poo	100	(2)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get th	e prescrib	ped readings?					
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very poo	or	(2)
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learnin	g		
1) Ver		2) Good		) Average	4) Poor		Very Poor	. ]	( 1)
=					L	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
				н ў п <sub>о</sub>	1
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	es and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
Training / In					
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
N- 6	и				` \ /
10. Rate the d	lepth of the sylla bbal scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		3) Average		5) Very Poor	(2)
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		(2)
11. The curric	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	1 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	1 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(   )
11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developmed 3) Average s appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor dents	(2)
11. The curric	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	on skill developmed 3) Average s appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor dents	( )

K. Taya Ram. Name of Student

K. Jayo Jon Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2016 -17

Name: B. Lokesh

Roll NO: 16475A0210 Department: EEE

Course: B. Tech

Years/Semester: II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

				0				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

3. Your backgrou	and for benefitir	ng from the course	was		
1) Highly 2	) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant	<i>*</i>	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	• •

5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refere	ence book men	tioned for the course	Children of the Contragal
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

<b>6.</b> Were you al	ole to get the presc	ribed readings?	9		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

,	7. Rate the de	sign of the course	in terms of extra le	earning or self-lear	rning	
	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

	Tomity in Choosing		0.	J	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				M NO TO THE	
9. Rate the com	osition of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course	, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	% Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.	No. of the second secon	,		) co i ractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				9 7 9 9	
10. Rate the de	epth of the syllab	us of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	hy industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	,		ompetencies expected	by madshy /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11. The curric	ulum has focus or	n skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	**	0	tax d	,	3 1 1
12. Evaluation	of the course is a	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	/ • )
1) Very good	2) 0000	J) HVClage	7)1001	3) VELY 1 001	( ( )
1) Very good	2) 0000	3) Average	1 4) 1 001	3) very 1 001	( )
1) Very good  ny other suggesti	× 1, å	_ 3) Tivelage	1 4) 1 001	(3) Very 1 001	( * )

B. Lokesh Name of Student

IQAC

Date



Academic Year: 2016 17

Name: G. Triveen kymor

Course: B. Tech.

ROLLNO: - 15471A0211

Department : EEE

Years/Semester: II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	0
*	broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
			× 1	8	

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor (1)
(GUONONOTIA)		
RAKASARACPET - 622 00%		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			# #		

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
			8 0 0		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

	8				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )

) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
			e e e e	
tion of course in	terms Humaniti	es and Social Scie	ences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
sional Course, P	Professional Elec	tive, Open Electiv	ve, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
ship etc.				
) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
of the syllabus	of the course in	relation to the co	mpetencies expected	by industry /
of the syllabus scenarios.	of the course in	relation to the co	ompetencies expected	by industry /
of the syllabus scenarios. ) Good	of the course in  3) Average	relation to the co	5) Very Poor	by industry /
scenarios.				
scenarios. ) Good		4) Poor		
scenarios. ) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
scenarios. ) Good  m has focus on s	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
scenarios. ) Good  m has focus on s ) Good	3) Average skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	
t	ion of course in ional Course, F hip etc.	ion of course in terms Humaniti ional Course, Professional Elec hip etc.	ion of course in terms Humanities and Social Scional Course, Professional Elective, Open Electibip etc.	ion of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Scional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW hip etc.

G. Triveen kumar.

Date

Name of Student

G. Tologukulugo

Signature





Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name: N. Jyothi ROINO: 15471 A0288

Department: EEE

Course: B. Tech

Years/Semester: II / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

0	0	7	(	-	1	2		
9	0	/	0		4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	0
	broadening perspectives)	7
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	q
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
	1	*.	4		
2 Voya books		C			

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
21010 10010		Teore vant	III Olo valit	III CIC valit	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor (1)	
(2110)	MOUNTHAL	3 (4)	2 11 2		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
			3		

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
1) Executent	2) Adequate	3) madequate	4)1001	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

	1) Very go	ood 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ) )
--	------------	-------------	------------	---------	--------------	-------

		g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Int	fessional Course	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sociales of Soc	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic ) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1, )
		ē.	•		``
10.Rate the de current glo	epth of the syllal bal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected l	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curricular of the curr	ulum has focus of 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
any other suggestion	on(s)				
Pate .	N	: Jyotki ame of Student		N	Tyll Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2016-14

Name: K. Yesu Ratnam ROIIND: 16475A0233 Department: EEE

Course: BTech

Years/Semester : 11 / 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
7.	broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	R
5	Extent of effort required by students	4
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Q
7	Overall rating	O

2. The syllabu	is was					
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	2	5) Irrelevant	(1)
			2			
<ol><li>Your backg</li></ol>	ground for benefit	ing from the course	e was			
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	99 9	5) Completely	(9)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant		Irrelevant	` <u> </u>
	quence of units/m	odules of the cours	se			П
l) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very Poor	(2)
10 to to 1	THOROTUAL					

5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refer	ence book mer	ntioned for the course
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor (1)
		<u> </u>		

<b>6.</b> Were you a	ole to get the pres	cribed readings?		U 2 2	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the de	esign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				N I 2 30	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / In				i ni chili i - li	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
1.1.1					-
10. Rate the	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected l	ov industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			omported onported	of madatry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
				, , ,	
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ! )
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	7)1001	3) VCI y 1 001	( 1 )
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	14)1001	3) very 1 001	( )
	2				(1)
	2	s appropriate to disc			(1)
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	(1)
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	of the course is 2) Good	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is 2) Good	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	(1)

K. Yesu Potham Name of Student

Signature Signature

IQAC



(AUTONOMOUS)

# Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-	20	(7
----------------------	----	----

Name: N. V. Prousonna kumat Ronne: 15475A0204 Department: EEE

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

Course: B. Tech

Years/Semester:

1. Please give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
	broadening perspectives)	8
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	q
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	ground for benefiting	ng from the course	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	( 2 )
	quence of units/mo	dules of the cours	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ad-	equateness of the to	ext book and refer	rence book mentio	oned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( 1 )
6. Were you a	ble to get the presc	ribed readings?			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(8)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		1 / 5		10) 101, 200	
Data the comm	ogition of assumes in	n towns Times on it		dania Parta da C	·
				eiences, Engineering Sc	
		Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / Inte	ernship etc.		restrativity.	ENGLISH NO. 10 P.	, 114
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10 Rate the de	enth of the syllabu	s of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
		s of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glob	pal scenarios.	В 2	- x - x		by industry /
current glob		s of the course in 3) Average	relation to the c	5) Very Poor	by industry /
	pal scenarios.	В 2	- x - x		
current glob 1) Very good	pal scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glob 1) Very good	pal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(2)
current glob 1) Very good 11. The curricu	al scenarios.  2) Good  lum has focus on	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
current glob 1) Very good  11. The curricu 1) Very good	2) Good  lum has focus on 2) Good	3) Average skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)
current glob 1) Very good  11. The curricu 1) Very good	al scenarios.  2) Good  lum has focus on	3) Average skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

N.V. Ptasannakumar. Name of Student N. V. Pralann abernar Signature





Academic Year: 2016 2017

Name: R. Prema Nagini Roll no: 1471 AO 205 Department: EFE

Course: B. Tech

Years/Semester: II/

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
740	broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Q
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	Q'
5	Extent of effort required by students	0
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	q
7	Overall rating	0

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) 77' 11	D D 1		T		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	( )
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	` 0( /

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

reace the sequ	chee of units/mo	duics of the course	•	Marine Service	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	- ( )
COMON	orean -				
5. Rate the adec	quateness of the te	ext book and refere	ence book ment	tioned for the course	and the same of th
1) Da - 11	京なるが 2 mm 1 m	2) I 1	I I) D	C) 11	

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
× .					

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sci	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
	ternship etc.				. #
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	obal scenarios.			competencies expected l	- 5 5 -
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ( )
11 The aveni	aulum haa faarra	1-111 11			
		on skill developmen			
11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(2)
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		(9)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(2)
1) Very good  12.Evaluation 1) Very good	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents	(2)
1) Very good  12.Evaluation	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents	(2)

R. Press Magini Name of Student

Signature Magini

IQAC



20.ll A Depart	6 1- 15 tment : E	lwiendo 475A02 EE	_10					Tech : Ri	
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs							Rating
1		yalue (in to ng perspect		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	lities, or	, a	9
- 2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	-	3		8
3		the course			4, 5 A	E 4 0	1.5		9
4		f coverage of			, ,		10.00 <sup>(3.0)</sup>		8
5		f effort requ							9
6			value of p	project/ report	-				9
7	Overall r	ating				8			8
	11 .								
	allenging  Your backg	2) Adequation for be		) Inadequate from the cours	4) Dull e was	5) Irr	elevant		(1)
	our backg		enefiting	**************************************	,	5 J	mpletely	п	(1)
3. Y 1) Hig Releva	our backg hly ant	round for be 2) Relevar	enefiting at 1	from the cours ) Partly	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co	mpletely	п	
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc	our backgrhly ant ate the sequent	round for be 2) Relevar  uence of un  2) Adequa	enefiting 3 Ruits/modulate 3	from the cours ) Partly Relevant	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	mpletely		
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc	our backgthly ant ate the sequent	round for be 2) Relevar  uence of un 2) Adequa	enefiting nt 3 R nits/modu	from the cours ) Partly Relevant lles of the cours 3) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor	5) Co Irrele	mpletely vant 'ery Poo		(2)
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc	our backgrant ate the sequent	round for be 2) Relevar  uence of un  2) Adequa	enefiting at 3 Remarks/modulate 2.5	from the cours ) Partly televant	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor  rence book m	5) Co Irrele	mpletely vant 'ery Poo	/	(2)
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc  5. R 1) Exc	our backg hly ant ate the seq cellent ate the ade	round for be 2) Relevar  uence of un 2) Adequa  equateness of 2) Adequa	enefiting nt 3 R  nits/modu nte of the text nte	from the cours ) Partly Relevant lles of the cours 3) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor  rence book m	5) Co Irrele	mpletely vant Yery Poo	/	(2)
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc  5. R 1) Exc  6. W	our backg hly ant ate the seq cellent ate the ade	round for be 2) Relevar  uence of un 2) Adequa  equateness of 2) Adequa	enefiting It 3 R  It 3 R  It is in the interior of the text ate 1  The interior of the text at a tex	from the cours ) Partly televant lles of the cours 3) Inadequate t book and refe 3) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor  rence book m	5) Confirmed for 5) V	mpletely vant Yery Poo	rse	(2)
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc  5. R 1) Exc  6. W 1) Exc	our backgrhly ant ate the sequent ate the adecellent vere you alcellent	uence of un  2) Adequa  2) Adequa  2) Adequa  ble to get the  2) Adequa	enefiting Int 3 R  Inits/modu Inte 1  Interpretation of the text Interpreta	from the cours ) Partly Relevant lles of the cours 3) Inadequate t book and refe 3) Inadequate bed readings?	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor  4) Poor  4) Poor	5) Collinated for 5) V	mpletely vant 'ery Poo the coun 'ery poo	rse	(2) (2) (1)

Sciences, Professiona Training / Internship  1) Very good 2) Go		nities and Social Sci lective, Open Electi 4) Poor	ive, Project Work (PW)	iences, Basic ) & Practical
Sciences, Professiona Training / Internship  1) Very good 2) Go	al Course, Professional El etc.	lective, Open Electi	ive, Project Work (PW)	iences, Basic ) & Practical
Sciences, Professiona Training / Internship  1) Very good 2) Go	al Course, Professional El etc.	lective, Open Electi	ive, Project Work (PW)	) & Practical
Training / Internship  1) Very good 2) Go	etc.	7 , 1		
	od 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Van. Dani	
			5) Very Poor	(1)
				`
current global scer		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		by industry /
1) Very good 2) Go	od 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curriculum ha	s focus on skill developm	nent		
1) Very good 2) Go	od 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12. Evaluation of the	course is appropriate to di	scriminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good 2) Go		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2 34 5 A A				

G. Scoredoca Name of Student

Signature





Name: Sk. Sayfara3  Roll. No! 1471A0210  Department: CEE  Academic Year: 2016-20  Course: B. tech  Years/Semester: III	
RMI, MI MILLIAM DI ON	
Department: $\in \in \in$ Years/Semester: $\coprod$	
1. Please give a rating of your course on the following	
9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2	1
	remel Poor
S.No. Particulars	
1 Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	ng
broadening perspectives)	١
	ŝ
2   D. 4 C.1	8
1 Extent of covern as of covern	7
	8
6 Delevered Alexander Control of Control	8
	3
2. The syllabus was	
1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)	
3. Your background for benefiting from the course was	
1) II' 11 2) D 1	
Relevant 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1) Relevant Irrelevant	
4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course	
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)	
(AUTONOROUS)	
MANASARAOPET - 522 FOT	
5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course	
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)	
6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)	
7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)	

(2)

	ibility in choosing			y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int					1.
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
100				e : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabi	is of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2 100 40					
11. The curric	ulum has focus or	skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
3 ×	× 20			The second second	
12.Evaluation	n of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	2 2		- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		
ny other suggest	ion(s)				
				© Y = 2,	
· ·				Y V	
		1. C P			0 1 6CA
	S	.K. Sarfaras	<b>\</b>		716 76

Name of Student

IQAC

Date

Principal
NARASARAGPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



						.)	2 7 10			
							Acad	demic Yea	r: 201	6-17
0	Name	P.Na	zma				Cou	rse: B.	Tech	
K	Depart	-13471 ment : €	zma Ao215 EE				Year	s/Semester	:4/	
	1. P	ease give	a rating of	your cour	rse on the follow	wing				
		9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
		emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some hor Tolerable		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
	S.No.	Particula	ars							Rating
	1				kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical	abilities, or	r	9
	2		ing perspect		al life situations					1
	3		f the course		ii iiie situations	· ·				8
	4		f coverage			,		n		
	5		f effort requ		tudents			·		9
	6				project/ report					8
	7	Overall								8
	2. T	he syllabu	s was	:×:			7 y	r		
		llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		( )
	<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was				
	1) Hig		2) Releva		) Partly	4) Mostly	5)	Completel	у	( 2 )
	Releva	nt		F	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irre	elevant		
	<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	quence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cour	se				
	1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	Very Poo	or	(9)
	20712	es de la compania de	resident	AL PERSONAL A	3.68	" w 1 2	n 1			
	5. R	ate the ad	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned i	for the cou	rse	
	1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	) Very poo	or	(1)
	6. W	ere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	* , ,			Th. Andrews	Nr. 44 5 60
	1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	) Very poo	or	( [ )
	7 R	ate the de	sion of the	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learning			
		y good	2) Good		(i) Average	4) Poor		Very Poor		(2)
	1		1 /		, ,		,	•		

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	iences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.		. 1		) & Tractica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	9 g	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
10. Rate the d	lepth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	hy industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			ompetencies expected	by madshy /
1) 1/2001 22 - 1	2) Good	2) 4	100	Title and the second	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	$(\mathfrak{I})$
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
***		on skill developmen		5) Very Poor	(2)
***					
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmer	nt	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	at 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
11. The curric	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developmer	at 4) Poor	5) Very Poor lents	
11. The curric	culum has focus 2) Good n of the course is	on skill developmen  3) Average s appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
11. The curric	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	on skill developmen  3) Average s appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor lents	

P. Nazwa Name of Student

IQAC

Date



		9	~	t i coubacit i	OI III OII C	urriculum			
					3		er C		
						Acaden	nic Year	r: 2016	, - 17
		nikanta				Course	: B. t	ech	
	ment: E	15A0209	5			Vacra/C	amaatan	·: 4/1	[11]
Depart	illelit.					i ears/s	emester	· 4/1	TH/I
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of		se on the follow			~		
, N	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
CN	D (1)					i gʻri			15.
S.No.	Particula					<del></del>			Rating
1		g value (in t ing perspect		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or		9
. 2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	r <sub>o</sub> ,	13	,	8
3	Depth of	the course	content		e 8		1.1		9
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course		1	*			8
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents					1
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report					8
7	Overall 1	ating		*		* :			a
2. T	he syllabu	s was			*				
	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		(1)
		-) 110090							
			~ .						
	our backg	round for b		from the course			· 		
1) Hig	our backg		nt 3	) Partly	4) Mostly		mpletely	y	(1)
	our backg	round for b	nt 3			5) Co. Irrele		У	
1) Hig Releva	our backg hly ant	round for b 2) Releva	nt 3	) Partly	4) Mostly Irrelevant			у	
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc	our backg hly ant ate the sec	round for b  2) Releva  quence of un  2) Adequ	nt 3 F nits/modu	) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	Irrele			(1)
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc	our backg hly ant ate the sec	round for b  2) Releva	nt 3 F nits/modu	Partly Relevant  les of the cours	4) Mostly Irrelevant	Irrele	vant		
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc	our backg hly ant ate the sec	round for b  2) Releva  quence of un  2) Adequ	nt 3 F nits/modu ate	Partly Relevant  lles of the cours I Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	Irrelev 5) V	ery Poo	r	
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R	our backg hly ant ate the sec	round for b 2) Releva  quence of un 2) Adeque	nt 3 F nits/modu ate of the tex	Partly Relevant  lles of the cours  I landequate  t book and refe	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor rence book m	5) V	ery Poo	r	(2)
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R	our backg hly ant ate the sec	round for b  2) Releva  quence of un  2) Adequ	nt 3 F nits/modu ate of the tex	Partly Relevant  lles of the cours I Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	our backg hly ant ate the sec cellent ate the ade	round for b  2) Releva  quence of un  2) Adequ  equateness  2) Adequ	nt 3 F nits/modu ate of the tex ate	Partly Relevant  Iles of the cours Inadequate  t book and refe Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor rence book m	5) V	ery Poo	r	(2)
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Ex 5. R 1) Ex 6. W	our backg hly ant ate the sec cellent ate the ade	round for b  2) Releva  quence of un  2) Adequ  equateness  2) Adequ	nt 3 F nits/modu ate of the tex ate	Partly Relevant  lles of the cours  I landequate  t book and refe	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor rence book m	5) V	ery Poo	r Se	(2)

4) Poor

3) Average

1) Very good

2) Good

5) Very Poor

(1)

		g of elective in rela			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ofessional Course	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Ele	ies and Social Sc ctive, Open Elect	iences, Engineering Scive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic  ) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.			ompetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		on skill developme			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation		appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	ents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
ny other suggesti	on(s)				-
	· M.	manilanta		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	M. mark
ate	N	ame of Student			M. may

IQAC



Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name: T. Gropi Thilak ROII NO: - 13471A0218

Department: EEE

Course: B. Tech

Years/Semester: 4/2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

- 1					0				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
-	Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
720	broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabil	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relev Relevant	ant 3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
--------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
A A ( KESTE WILLIAM )	1)			9.

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)	

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
Rate the comp	osition of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering S	ciences Basic
				tive, Project Work (PV	
Training / Inte		, 1 1010001011111 210	are, open ziec	are, riejest work (r	ir) & Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1
		us of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	d by industry /
	oal scenarios.	1			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				¥	
11. The curricu	ulum has focus or	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				0 a.	
12.Evaluation	of the course is a	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
12.Evaluation  1) Very good	of the course is a 2) Good	appropriate to disc 3) Average	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)

T- Gopithilak Name of Student 1- Gopithick

IQAC



Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name: N. Hari Krishna.
Roll No : 14475A0224

Course: B. Tech

Department: EEE

Years/Semester: \\ \| \| \| 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9 4	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating					
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or						
**	broadening perspectives)						
2	2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations						
3	3 Depth of the course content						
4	Extent of coverage of course	8					
5	Extent of effort required by students	9					
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report						
7	Overall rating	8					

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 4) Dull 3) Inadequate 5) Irrelevant (1)3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (3)Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant bound for maker the

4. Rate the sequence of units/moo	dules of the cours	e	
1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor (2)
HARABART SZEGGE	W.		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 
2) Adequate 
3) Inadequate 
4) Poor 
5) Very poor 
(2)

6. Were you al	ole to get the presc	ribed readings?	e nga sé	* K	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
	W W H		*		

7. Rate the des	sign of the course i	in terms of extra le	earning or self-lear	ning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		1-)	1)1001	3) VCI Y 1 001	(2)
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Inter-	fessional Course	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Societive, Open Elect	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic ) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
current glol  1) Very good	bal scenarios.  2) Good	3) Average	relation to the c	competencies expected	
- / / Book	2) 0000	J) Average	4) 1001	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curricu	ulum has focus o	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggestic	on(s)				

M. Hari Krishra.

Signature

Name of Student

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 206-17

Name: CHILARACH HEMANTH

Course: B:TECA

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: III IF

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

		~		<u> </u>				
. 9.	8	7	6	5	.4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	1
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
					***

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

_	William Town	A TO A CALL		the section of the se	AND
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	
l) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

To Itale the see	faction of diffusionance of his consciss		3 6.1	
1) Excellent	The the Transfer of the second	1) Poor	in the second of the second	(2)
	a first of a state of the state of the			The state of the s

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

The state of the sound of the s								
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( )			
					·			

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

	to got the proper	1000 1000			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	())

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

of course in terms Humal Course, Professional etc.  od 3) Averag	nanities and Social So Elective, Open Elec	5) Very Poor ciences, Engineering Sc. ctive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic ) & Practica
al Course, Professional etc.	Elective, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
	e 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
he syllabus of the cour			( - )
od 3) Average	e 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
arios.			oy madou y r
		, a	
	pment		
od 3) Average	e 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
course is appropriate to	discriminate the stu-	dents	
			(2)
1	as focus on skill develo	as focus on skill development od 3) Average 4) Poor 4) Average 4) Poor 6 Course is appropriate to discriminate the students	as focus on skill development od 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor od 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor occurse is appropriate to discriminate the students

CH. HEMANTH

Name of Student

Signature

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 - 17 Name: Asse Johnson's Department: ECR Course: B. Pell Years/Semester : The 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following Extremely Very Moderately Some how Very Extremely Good Moderate Poor Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor **Particulars** Rating S.No. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or 1 8 broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations 3 Depth of the course content Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report 6 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely 1) Highly (2) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant Relevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate (2) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 4) Poor 5) Very poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate (2) **6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 4) Poor 5) Very poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 2) Good 3) Average 1) Very good

or react the Hear	ionity in choosin	ig of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
P. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ressional Course	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic ) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current gior	pai scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		on skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
y other suggestic	on(s)		Sio e		



					,				
					·	Acade	emic Yea	r: 2016	-17
Name	: D. C	rividh	er Tie	ja		Cours	e: B.	Teels	·
Depar	tment:	ECE			,	Years/	Semester	r: <u>[11</u> ]	I
<b>1.</b> F	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely
	Good	Good	3004	Good	Moderate	Tolerable	1001	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars							Rating
1	<del></del>	value (in t	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analytical al	vilities or	<del></del>	Rating
	broaden	ing perspect	ives)	, voiivopis,	inio moago,	anaiy noar at	mitics, or		9
2	Applical	bility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			9
3	Depth of	f the course	content				· ·		9.
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course ,			<u> </u>			
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents					9
6				project/ report					6
7	Overall							<u> </u>	8
- 2 -							•	-	
	he syllabu			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 0 ====				
i) Cna	allenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) in	relevant		(2)
3. Y	our backe	round for b	enefitino	from the course	2 77/25				
1) Hig		2) Relevan		) Partly	4) Mostly	5) C	ompletely	. 1	
Releva		2) Releval		Relevant	Irrelevant	1 1	evant	<sup>y</sup>	(1)
			1 2,	COLOTAIN	molevant	Interes	- vant		
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	quence of ur	nits/modu	les of the cours	se	er terler	and the second s	Through " Se seathly conversed where	Marian I
1) Ex	cellent	·2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	(4) Poor	5) \	Very Poo	r II i	(24)
		1.		28 4 E 4 E 5 E	1.7		A STATE OF THE STA	المنافعة الم	
•					1	Litera		\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	41 M 2 4
		equateness of	of the text	Look and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	r the cour	rse	
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	Very poor	r	(1)
	<del> </del>								
6. V	Vere you a	ble to get th	e prescrit	ed readings?					
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequa	ate 2	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)`	Very poo	r	( <b>\(\nu\)</b>
7. R	ate the des	sign of the c	ourse in t	terms of extra l	earning or se	If-learning		<u> </u>	
	y good	2) Good		) Average	4) Poor		ery Poor	7	(0)

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
					2
Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sci	ences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Electi	ve, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / Int					
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	$(\mathcal{V})$
Very good	bal scenarios.  2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	ents	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
other suggesti	ion(s)		480		

Name of Student

IQAC

Date



## Student Feedback Form on

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

25

3) Average

2) Good

Department: ECE

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate

S.No.

1) Highly

Relevant

1) Excellent

1) Excellent

1) Excellent

1) Very good

	Stu	den	t Feedback	Form on C	<u>urri</u>	culum	<u> </u>		
				J	•	Acader	nic Yea	r: 2016	17
Name : CH A	TTA SAE	RAI	A		•		: BiFe		
Department:	EŒ					Years/S	lemester	:34	II_
1. Please give	a rating of your	cou	rse on the follo	wing					
9.	8	7	6	5		4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good G	bood	Moderately Good	Moderate		e how crable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
.No. Particula	are				·	• •			
		of	leille composite	I 1 1	· -				Rating
broaden	g value (in terms ing perspectives	)			analyt	ical abi	lities, or		8
	bility/relevance		al life situations	·	·,.	·		,	8
	f the course con								8
	f coverage of co			, 					2
	f effort required	•	_					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8
	ce/learning valu	e of	project/ report				· · ·	*	8
7 Overall	rating			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·		·	Ø
2. The syllabu	s was			•		•		***************************************	<u> </u>
) Challenging	2) Adequate	.3	) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant	1 (	2)
3. Your backg	round for benefi	ting	from the course	. was					
) Highly	2) Relevant		) Partly	4) Mostly		5).Cor	npletely		
elevant		- 1	Relevant	Irrelevant		Irrelev		1 '	1)
4. Rate the sec	juence of units/r			<del></del>	*Loca-co	Section 1	en e norma A d		
) Excellent	2) Adequate			4) Poor	Y A X	-5)°Ve	ry Poor		(2)
	5" 3 - 3 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -	1 1 16 1	wir u Ir	<u> </u>		CV 20-00-145-175	POT AND APPROPRIES	Application of the state of the	.*
5. Rate the ade	equateness of the	e text	book and refer	encé book m	entior	ned for t	he cours	se	
Excellent	2) Adequate		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	<u></u>		ery poor		(2)
6. Were you al	ole to get the pre	scrib	ed readings?		·	1		!	
Excellent	2) Adequate		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ery poor		(2)
7. Rate the des	ign of the cours	e in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-lear	ning			

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosir	ng of elective in rela	tion to technology	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ofessional Cours			iences, Engineering Sc ive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt	v a	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
y other suggest	ion(s)				
nte		Ch. Cai Loy Name of Student	le .		Jeije



÷

Name: CHALLA

Department: E CE

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate

2) Adequate

2) Good

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

4) Poor

S.No.

1) Highly

Relevant

1) Excellent

1) Excellent

1) Excellent

1) Very good

#### Stud

			<u>Studen</u>	<u>t Feedback l</u>	Form on C	<u>'urricu</u>	<u>lum</u>				
					,1	Ac	eaden	nic Year	r: 20	16-	-17
ame :	CHA	ILLA	ASF	łA		Co	ourse	: B	Jeal	<b>)</b>	
eparti	ment : E	Œ			.*	Ye	ars/S	emester	<u> </u>	L/	I
<b>1.</b> Pi	ease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing						
	9.	8	7	6	5	·4		3	2		1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some l Toleral		Poor	Ve Po	ry or	Extremely Poor
No.	Particula	re									Rating
1			orms of	skills, concepts,	knowledge	onolytica	ıl abil	ities o	<u> </u>		Rating
	_	ng perspect		skins, concepts,	kilowiedge,	anaryuca	ıı auıı	iities, oi			9
2	Applicat	ility/releva	nce to re	al life situations	S .	•	•				8
2	Depth of	the course	content								<b>«</b>
4		f coverage		<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>	3						7
5		f effort requ									8
6				project/ report						·	7
7	Overall r			<u> </u>		<del>,</del>	•				8
	he syllabu	<del></del>					,				,
		2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5	5) Irre	levant		(	2)
<b>3.</b> Y		round for b		; from the cours 3) Partly	e was 4) Mostly		5) Coi	mpletel	y	(	2,
eleva	•	,		Relevant	Irrelevant	l.	rrelev	-		`	ĺ
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	uence of u	nits/mod	ules of the cour	se : :: T		Service Service	E E Daving King	d hity	ALL SELVEN	F- 6
) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	,	5).V	ery Poc	or a	32 mg	
5 D	_4_4141	jvo	Track Salis	t book and refe			d fair	tka aass			Time-19
		<del>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~</del>				Helmoile					(3.5)
) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poc	or		(2)
6. W	ere you a	ble to get tl	ne prescr	ibed readings?	·	•	,				
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	or		(2)

5) Very Poor

(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
			a) 1	17, 12, 1201	
9. Rate the con	prosition of cours	e in terms Uumanit	ion and Casial C		
Sciences Pr	ofessional Cours	e Professional Ele	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Training / Ir	iternship etc.	e, Floressional Ele	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	2) Aviana as	(A) D	15.44	
1) Toly good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current gr	obai scenarios.			5) Very Poor	
11 The currie	culum has foous	on skill developme			
				2 x x x x x x	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				= 1	
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggest	ion(s)				
ij oution suggest					
.) outer suggest				energy 8° a	

Ch-Alla Name of Student

Signature

IQAC



				,1	·	Acader	nic Yea	ır: 2016	<u>17</u>
Name: Kor	VETI	RATH	'a SRI					Tech	
Department:	ECIZ			.*	`	Years/S	emeste	TV/	I
1. Please give	a rating of	your cou	se on the follo	wing					
9.	8	7	6	5	J	4	3	2	1
- Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Som Tole	e how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No. Particul	ars	<u></u>	<del> </del>			·		-	Datina
1 Learnin	g value (in t	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge.	analyti	cal abil	lities or		Rating
broaden	ing perspect	tives)				. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11,100, 01	•	8
2 Applica	bility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3					q
	<ul> <li>3 Depth of the course content</li> <li>4 Extent of coverage of course</li> </ul>							8	
	of coverage of effort requ		- 1	<del></del>		<u>.</u>	• •		8
			project/ report	<u> </u>					9
7 Overall		value of p	volech tebou	<del></del>			<del></del>		¥
	144115			<del></del>			<del></del>		4
2. The syllabu	is was								
1) Challenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant		(2)
3. Your backs	round for be	enefiting	from the course	- was		-			
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevan	$ \begin{array}{c cccc}                                 $	Partly elevant	4) Mostly	•	5) Con	npletely	7	(1)
TOTOVALIT	3 .	1 (3, 3	elevani , ; , , .	rirrelevant		Irrelev	ant	20° 0.	47 3 12 4
4. Rate the sec	quencé of ur	iits/modu	les of the cours						The second secon
1) Excellent	2) Adequa	ite ( , 3   19	) Inadequate	4) Poor		(5) Ve	ry Poor	4 6 48	(-2/)
5. Rate the ade	equateness o	of the text	book and refer	encé book m	ention	ed for t	he cour	ge.	
1) Excellent	2) Adequa		) Inadequate	4) Poor	<u>Ozici Ożi</u>	<del></del>	ry poor		())
6 Were you al	blo to got the		-1 1 · · · 0		<del> </del>				
6. Were you all 1) Excellent	2) Adequa		) Inadequate	/ // D	,				
1) Directions	2) Adequa		) madequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ry poor		(2)
7. Rate the des	sign of the co	ourse in to	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learn	ing		<u>-</u>	
1) Very good	2) Good		Average	4) Poor		5) Very	y Poor	(	1)
		40.			<del>!.</del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

8. Rate the flexi	bility in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technology	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course			iences, Engineering Sc ive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Into	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.	, ,		competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
Any other suggesti	on(s)				
Date	N	K Rathe Fame of Student	ci.		Signature

IQAC



Moderate

Academic Year: 2011-17

Name: PATHA NACENDAA

Very Good

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

7

Good

Moderately

Good

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

2) Good

1) Very good

3) Average

Course: B. Tech

Department: ELE

Extremely

Good

Years/Semester: II / II

Poor

Very

Poor

Extremely

Poor

(2)

5) Very Poor

4

Some how Tolerable

S.No.	Particula	Particulars								
1	Learning	value (in terms o	of skills, concepts,	knowledge, analy	ytical abilities, or	9				
	broadeni	ng perspectives)			1 2 3					
2	Applicat	oility/relevance to	real life situations			8				
3	Depth of	the course conte	nt -	8	2 5	Q				
4	Extent of	f coverage of cou	rse		* as **	7				
5		f effort required b		,		8				
6	6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report									
7 Overall rating										
6. 1 AJ	-th	2	11/1-12		· , x					
2. T	The syllabu	is was								
1) Ch	allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)				
1) Hig	ghly	ground for benefit 2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)				
1) Hig Relev	ghly ant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		(2)				
1) Hig Relev <b>4.</b> I	ghly ant	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly Irrelevant						
1) Hig Relev 4. I 1) Ex	ghly ant Rate the sec	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant and all solutions of the course and all solutions (a) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Very Poor	(2)				
1) Hig Relevended 4. If 1) Ex	ghly ant Rate the sec	2) Relevant quence of units/m 2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant and all solutions of the course and all solutions (a) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant	Irrelevant					
1) Hig Relev 4. I 1) Ex 5. I 1) Ex	Rate the second Rate the adacted the adact	2) Relevant  quence of units/m  2) Adequate  equateness of the  2) Adequate	3) Partly Relevant  odules of the cours  3) Inadequate  text book and refe	4) Mostly Irrelevant  ee 4) Poor  rence book ment	5) Very Poor	(2)				

4) Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	ig of elective in rela		y advancements	
i) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			100		
. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	iences, Engineering So	
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course	e. Professional Fle	ctive Open Floor	tive, Project Work (PW	ciences, Basic
Training / Int	ernship etc.	, 11010ssional Lie	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	/) & Practica
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	1) D	1.5	
		J) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glol ) Very good	bal scenarios.  2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	ompetencies expected	
9		7-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	7)1001	5) Very Poor	(2)
11 771					
11. The curricu	llum has focus o	n skill develonmer	·+		
Very good	lum has focus o				
Very good	alum has focus o  2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(2)
Very good  12. Evaluation	2) Good of the course is	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor riminate the stude	ents	(2)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(2) (2)
12.Evaluation Very good	2) Good of the course is a 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor riminate the stude	ents	
Very good  12. Evaluation	2) Good of the course is a 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor riminate the stude	ents	

PATHA NALENDLA Name of Student

Malluan Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2016-17 PENDYALA GOPI Course: B. Tech Department: FCP Years/Semester: White 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Extremely Very Moderately Some how Very Extremely Good Moderate Poor Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor S.No. Particulars Rating Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or 9 broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations 4 3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely  $(\nu)$ Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(W)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	1 20 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	, , ,	7, 14, 14, 14	2 2 2	
P. Rate the com	position of course i	n terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.				*
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(   )
10. Rate the d	lepth of the syllabu	is of the course ir	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	e ·	* e = 4		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	culum has focus or	skill developme	nt	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
			*	· v	
	n of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
12. Evaluation		2) 4	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	1)1001	0) 101 1001	
		3) Average	1)1001	(2) (2) (2)	
	2) Good	3) Average	1)1001		

P. Copi Name of Student

Signature

IQAC



Good

S.No.

2

3

4

5

6 7

1) Highly

Relevant

1) Very good

2) Good

Academic Year: 2016-17 Name: DIVVE KAUITHA Course: B Tech Years/Semester: IV/II Department: ECE 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Extremely Very Some how Moderately Very Extremely Poor Moderate Good Poor Tolerable Poor Good Good Rating Particulars Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or 8 broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations Depth of the course content Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 5) Irrelevant (2) 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 5) Completely (2) 4) Mostly 3) Partly 2) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant Relevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course (2) 5) Very Poor 4) Poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course (2) 5) Very poor 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 3) Inadequate 2) Adequate 1) Excellent 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

4) Poor

3) Average

2)

5) Very Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in relation 3) Average	4) Poor		,
	2 2	7	1)1001	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the comm	position of				
Sciences Dro	fossion of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ciences Basic
,		se, Professional Ele	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
0	Thomp etc.				) ac Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(20)
					(2)
10. Rate the de	of the sylla	thus of the course in	nalation to the		
current glob	pal scenarios.	ous of the course if	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good				
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(25
4.4 mi					
11. The curricu	lum has focus	on skill developmer	nt		
1) Vary good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) V- D	
1) very good		Toffage	4)1001	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) very good					
	of the course :			× ,	
1) Very good  12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	(0)
	of the course is 2) Good	appropriate to disc 3) Average	riminate the stud	lents 5) Very Poor	(2)

D. Kan'Tha Name of Student

Signature

IQAC



		-1	Student	Feedback I	form on C	<u>urriculum</u>			
					,1	Acaden	nic Year	: 2016-	-17
Name:	Me	tala L	alth	m		Course	: B.T	ruh W/II	
Depart	ment : E	, Œ			,*	Years/S	emester	IV/II	-
<b>1.</b> Pl	ease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
0.11	D (1.1	27 2000	Carrier and the second		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Dating
S.No.	Particula		C	1.11	Iladaa	amalestical ahi	lition or	•	Rating
1		g value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	anaryticai abi	ittles, or		9
2				al life situation	s				9
3	1 1	the course		ii iiie situation	<u> </u>	**************************************		-k	8
4	-	f coverage			,	<u></u>	ax X		8
5		f effort requ		tudents					7
6				project/ report	4				8
7	Overall				()	101			R
<b>2.</b> T	he syllabu	s was				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2 3	el	-
	llenging	2) Adequ	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		( <b>t</b> )
			~ .			* g	41		
				from the cours		5.0	1.4.1		
1) Hig Releva		2) Releva	1	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	Irrele	mpletel vant	y	( )
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	quence of u	nits/modu	ales of the cour	se		7 1		
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	or	(2)
<b>5</b> D	ate the ad	equateness	of the tex	at book and refe	erence book r	mentioned for	the cou	rse	
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poo		(2)
1) LX	conon	2) / racqc	late	3) madequate	1) 1 001				\$ <b>-</b> 2
6. V	Vere vou a	ble to get the	he prescri	bed readings?	1	" = "	a a		
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	Very poo	or	( )
7. R	ate the de	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or s	elf-learning	0		

4) Poor

3) Average

1) Very good

2) Good

5) Very Poor

(2)

o. Kale the flex	ability in choosin	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ressional Course	e in terms Humaniti e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sc ctive, Open Elect	iences, Engineering So ive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current gio	vai scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the co	ompetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curricular 1) Very good		n skill developmen		9 A 2 A 2	
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disci	riminate the stud	ents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
ny other suggestion	on(s)				
te	-	M. Lakelluni me of Student			Signature

IQAC



			Student	Feedback F	form on C	urriculun	<u>l</u>		
					<i>1</i> .	Acade	mic Yea	r: 2011	6-17
Name: Bo	n se	VA S	ATE	ESH		Course	: B.	Tech	١
Department	(F	CE			)r	Years/	Semester	r: II	II
1. Please g	give a	rating of	your cour	se on the follow	ving				14
9		8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	У	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	
S.No. Part	icular	'S					4.2		Rating
		value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, o	r	9
				al life situations	3		.1		9
		the course		2 2	8	5 h		n.	9
4 Exte	ent of	coverage	of course		,		and the		8
5 Exte	ent of	effort requ	uired by s	students					8
6 Rele	evanc	e/learning	value of	project/ report	34				8
7 Ove	rall ra	ating				11			8
2. The syl	llahus	was				× , ×			
1) Challeng		2) Adequ	ate .	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Ir	relevant		(2)
3 Vour b	ookar	round for h	anafiting	from the cours	e was				
	ackgi	2) Releva		3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) C	omplete	lv	(2)
1) Highly Relevant		2) Releva		Relevant	Irrelevant		evant	1.9	
	ie sen	uence of u	Valley L	ules of the cour	143213			w man man an	
1) Excellen		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Po	or	(2)
i i			Tark ( . i )	Character (D)			2		an one of
5. Rate th	ne ade	quateness	of the tex	kt book and refe	erence book	mentioned for	r the cou	ırse	
1) Exceller	nt	2) Adequ	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very po	or	(2)
6 Ware v	/OU 2	ale to get t	he prescr	ibed readings?	. 9	e e			
1) Exceller		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very po	or	(2)
7 D-4-4	- د اد د د	oign of the	2011722 :-	terms of extra	learning or s	self-learning	62.		
1) Very goo		2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ery Poo	r	(2)
1) very goo	Ju	2) 000d		JAVOIAGO	1)1001	3) 1	21, 100	-	( )

1) Very good

1) Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor		(1)
200	1	- Trotage	7)1001	5) Very Poor	(1)
0.5	- Tenan (1)				
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ianaaa Daa
501011005, 110	oressional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive Open Flec	tive, Project Work (PW	D P D
Training / In	ternship etc.		, open blee	ave, Project Work (F W	) & Practic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	(5) W D	
-		3) Tivelage	4) 1001	5) Very Poor	( )
10 Data 41 1					
10. Kate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry
current gio	bal scenarios.				of madony /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(0 >
	1 ×	, , , ,	1)1001	3) Very 1 001	(2)
11 The curric	ulum has facus	on al-111 1 1			
1) 1/	ulum has focus	on skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				* *	
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
		3) Triolage	4)1001	5) Very Poor	( )
ny other suggesti	25(2)				
ly office suggesti	on(s)				
	N	1		a on 1 8 5 1	
	-	1			
	1.	$2 \in  \mathbf{n}_{1} \mathbf{v} $			()
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				u /

IQAC



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: T. Cropi

Course: B. Tech

Department : E CE

Years/Semester: III

9	8	7	- 6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	· ·
	broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

7	011	-41	. 1			0
	Overall r	ating			*	8
2. 7	The syllabu	s was		8 "		
1) Ch	allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
3.	Your backg	round for benefit	ing from the course	was	5 0 18 5 2	
1) Highly Relevant		2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	( <del>)</del>
	Rate the sec		odules of the cours			
	1) Excellent 2) Adequate					
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
-	F 15 H27	HODES TO	rtokriasaaan ng made		at the extensional rate of the same	(2)
5. 1	F 15 H27	HODES TO	rtokriasaaan ng made		oned for the course  5) Very Poor  oned for the poor	(2)
5. I	Rate the adoxcellent	equateness of the  2) Adequate	text book and refer	rence book menti	oned for the course	and the secondary and the secondary

7. Rate the des	sign of the course	in terms of extra	learning or self-l	earning	
1) Very good		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	ag of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor		
, , ,	1 - 7 0000	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ( )
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ressional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social S	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	ciences, Basi V) & Practic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current gio	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curricu	ulum has focus	on skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
ny other suggestion	on(s)				
nte	J	Copi ame of Student			T.Q.

IQAC



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: JAMPANI SAI KRIJHNA

Course: B. Teek

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: II II

5) Very Poor

(1)

1. Please give	a rating of	your cour	se on the folio	willg				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
3. Your backg	round for benefitin	g from the course	e was	r i a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	( <b>2</b> )
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/mo	dules of the cours	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the to		rence book mention	oned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( 1)
6 Were you a	ble to get the presc	eribed readings?		*	
	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

4) Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

2) Good

1) Very good

1) Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	oressional Cours	se in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	ciences, Basi
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current gio	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
ny other suggesti	on(s)				
	JAN	MPANISAI	CKIELHNA CKIELHNA		Jeli

IQAC



						Acader	nic Yea	r: 2016	-17
Name	: G.S	REE V	ALLI			Course	: B.J	eels	
Depart	tment : 🕝	CE			e.	Years/S	Semester	II II	
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs	9	A		·	3		Deti
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)								Rating
2				l life situations	3	P <sub>24.</sub> =			8
3		the course		8 7 6		. w	*		A
4		f coverage of			,				20
5		f effort requ							
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	roject/ report	*				8
7	Overall r	ating		- P	2 .	0			2
2. Th	ne syllabus	s was			a A		a A		8
	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3)	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(	2+
3. Yo	our backgi	ound for be	enefiting f	rom the course	e was				
1) High Releva	nly	2) Relevar	nt 3)	Partly elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Cor Irrelev	npletely ant	(	27
		uence of un	its/modul	es of the cours	e		A. A.		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor		
<b>5.</b> Ra	ite the ade	quateness o	of the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for t	he cours	se.	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa		) Inadequate			ery poor		(2)
6. W	ere you ab	le to get the	e prescribe	ed readings?	, ,	.*.			
1) Exce		2) Adequa		) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery poor		( 1 )
<b>7.</b> Ra	te the desi	gn of the co	ourse in te	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning			
1) Very	good	2) Good		Average	4) Poor	5) Very	v Poor	(	1)
									/

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
a			d 4	1	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
	L.			tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int		,	, 1		,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(V)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(V)
		7.	37		
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
11. The curric	culum has focus  2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	1 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(V)
				5) Very Poor	(V)
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		(V)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(V)
1) Very good  12. Evaluation	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	dents	
1) Very good  12. Evaluation	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	dents	

G, SAEE VALLE Name of Student



Academic Year: 20/6-17

Name: RAGALA ANUSHA

Course: B. Teel

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: II | II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

	0	,	The state of the s	0				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	N
	broadening perspectives)	3
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	X
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
2 015	. we want a day	A A C A SIA GAM		The state of the state of	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
2		_			

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

	o. Holo jou ut	ore to get the presen	nota readings.			
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
		1				

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

	0		0		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( - )
		, , , , , , ,	1,71001	3) VCI y 1 001	(2)
Sciences, Pr	nposition of cours rofessional Cours aternship etc.	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Ele	ies and Social Societive, Open Elect	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic ) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo ) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(V)
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good  y other suggest	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(2)

RAGALA ANUSHA Name of Student

Amul Ne Signature

IQAC



					2		Caden	nic Year	r: 20]	4-17
							readen	ne rea	. 201	
Name:	KAKA	NI HA	RINI			(	Course	: B	Feeh	
Depart	ment : E	CE			J.	Y	ears/S	emester	: 11	I
<b>1.</b> Pl	ease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo				0		
	9	8	7	6	5	- 4		3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some Toler		Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs	The state of the s	=		· ·	-			Rating
1		yalue (in to		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analyti	cal abil	lities, or		8
2				al life situations	3					7
3		the course				- 100 m	,			1
4	-	f coverage of						i e X		8
5		f effort requ		tudents						17
6				project/ report						8
7	Overall r		value of j	project/ report						1/
7 7										
				. 541	44		No. 1	7 7		<b>S</b>
	he syllabu			5413	74		<sup>3</sup> - , i			•
	he syllabu llenging	s was  2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant		(2)
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa			1 - 2	, ,	5) Irre	elevant		(1)
1) Cha	llenging our backg hly	2) Adequa	enefiting	from the cours Partly Relevant	1 - 2			mpletel	y	(1)
3. Yellova	llenging our backg hly .nt	2) Adequation of the count for b 2) Releva	enefiting nt 3	from the cours 3) Partly Relevant	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant		5) Coi	mpletel	y	
3. Yellova	llenging our backg hly .nt	2) Adequation of the count for b 2) Releva	enefiting nt 3	from the cours 3) Partly	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant		5) Coi	mpletel	y	
1) Cha 3. Yello High Releva	our backg hly ate the sec	2) Adequation of the count for b 2) Releva	enefiting nt 3 F	from the cours 3) Partly Relevant	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant	7 (m)	5) Cor Irrelev	mpletel		
3. Y. 1) High Releva 4. Ra 1) Exc	our backg hly int ate the sec	2) Adequation of the country of the	enefiting nt 3 F nits/modu	from the cours 3) Partly Relevant ales of the cours 3) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor	Access of the Control	5) Cor Irrelev	mpletely vant ery Poo	r	
1) Cha 3. Ye 1) High Releva 4. Re 1) Exce	our backg hly ate the sec	2) Adequation of the country of the	enefiting nt 3 F nits/modu ate	from the cours 3) Partly Relevant ales of the cour 3) Inadequate t book and refe	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor	nention	5) Cor Irrelev 5) V	mpletely vant ery Poo	r	(1)
3. Y. 1) High Releva 4. Ra 1) Exc	our backg hly ate the sec	2) Adequation of the country of the	enefiting nt 3 F nits/modu ate	from the cours 3) Partly Relevant ales of the cours 3) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor	nention	5) Cor Irrelev 5) V	mpletely vant ery Poo	r	
1) Cha  3. You 1) High Releva  4. Ra 1) Exce  5. Ra 1) Exce	our backg hly ate the sec	2) Adequation of the country of the	enefiting nt 3 F  nits/modu ate  of the tex ate	from the cours 3) Partly Relevant ales of the cour 3) Inadequate t book and refe 3) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor	mention	5) Cor Irrelev 5) V	mpletely vant ery Poo	r	(1)
1) Cha  3. You 1) High Releva  4. Ra 1) Excess  5. Ra 1) Excess	our backg hly ate the sec cellent ate the ade	2) Adequation of the country of the	enefiting nt 3 F  mits/modu ate  of the tex ate	from the cours 3) Partly Relevant ales of the cour 3) Inadequate t book and refe	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor	nention	5) Cor Irrelev 5) V ed for 5) V	mpletely vant ery Poo	rse r	(1)

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( W
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	e Professional Flag	ctive Open Floor	tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic
Training / In	ternship etc.	e, i fotessional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	iive, Project work (Pw	) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	16				\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
10. Rate the	lepth of the sylla	hus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected l	
current glo	bal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the C	ompetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	2) 4	TAY'D	1	
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				y * *	
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			× 3		
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	. x			, ,	
y other suggest	ion(s)		*, *		
y other suggest	ion(s)		a i a		

KAKANI HARINI Name of Student

Signature

IQAC



					1				
						Acade	nic Yea	r: 201	1-17
Name	B. A	ANUK	ALYA	NI		Course	: B .	Teels	
Depart	tment:	CE			*	Years/S	Semester	1	IL
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs	Annual Control of the				2 5		Rating
1		y value (in t ng perspec		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	lities, o	r	8
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to re	al life situations	S .	1			8
3	Depth of	the course	content	4		* a *	0		8
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course			Company (A resource or construction)	8 8 8		1
5		f effort requ			·			_	8
6				project/ report					1
7	Overall			1 3 1		0 3			8
	he syllabu Illenging	s was  2) Adequ	ate .	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant		(2)
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	11 - 5			<i>-</i>
1) Hig Releva		2) Releva		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	mpletel vant	У	(2)
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	quence of u	nits/mod	ules of the cour	se	1		The selection of the se	
1) Exe	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	or .	(2)
<b>5.</b> R	late the add	equateness	of the tex	at book and refe	erence book r	nentioned for	the cou	rse	was pygod
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poo		(2)
6 W	Vere vou a	hle to get th	ne prescri	ibed readings?	2.16		v		
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	Very poo	or	(2)
7. R	Cate the de	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learning	ε		

5) Very Poor

2) Good

3) Average

4) Poor

1) Very good

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		a " to			
9. Rate the com	nosition of cours	e in terms Humanit	ion and Casial Ca		
Sciences Pr	ofessional Cours	o Duofossianal El	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Training / In	tomalia cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / In			=	1 A A	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10 Rate the d	enth of the sylla	bus of the course in			
aumont ale	bal a a a a i	ous of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected l	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
					( )
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	-t		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lanta	
1) Very good	2) Good				
i) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				8 1	
y other suggesti	on(s)				
y other suggesti	on(s)				

B. BANU KALYANI Name of Student



Academic Year: 2017-18

Name: G. Vaux

Course: Bilesh

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: I II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

		<u></u>	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	ب				
. 9.	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8.
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	1
5	Extent of effort required by students	ープ
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	-

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

	Bread and I feld the Bread the Street of the second than an interference with the second
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3. Inadequate 1.	4) Poor 5) Very Poor (0)
the same with a state of the same	to war and the state of

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

27 Trate the date factories of the contract of									
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)				
<b>,</b>	<b>1</b>	1							

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
1 .		Į.		1	

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Signature

2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		****		
osition of course	e in terms Humaniti	es and Social So	ciences Engineering Sci	iences Rasio
essional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive. Project Work (PW	& Practica
rnship etc.		, 1	on (1 )	) a Tractica
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(28
	•			( )
pth of the syllal	ous of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected by	ov industry /
al scenarios.		relation to the t	ompetencies expected (	by maustry /
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(O)
		1 .) - 3 3 .	3) (61) 1 001	(W
lum has focus o	on skill developmer	nt		
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	121
				( - )
of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(2)
1.7 4) 14	1 1 1 1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
n(s)		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
	osition of course rnship etc.  2) Good  pth of the syllabal scenarios.  2) Good  lum has focus of the course of the course is	osition of course in terms Humaniti ressional Course, Professional Electroship etc.  2) Good  3) Average  pth of the syllabus of the course in al scenarios.  2) Good  3) Average  lum has focus on skill developmer  2) Good  3) Average  of the course is appropriate to disc  2) Good  3) Average	osition of course in terms Humanities and Social So	osition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Scressional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW rnship etc.  2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  pth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by all scenarios.  2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  lum has focus on skill development  2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

G. VAMSI Name of Student



Date



Academic Year: 2016 ~ 2017

Name: A. So? As?

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester :  $\mathcal{I}$  – $\mathcal{I}$ 

1. Please	give a rat	ing of	your ç	course on	the following
-----------	------------	--------	--------	-----------	---------------

		<u> </u>						
9.	8	7	. 6	5 .	-4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars \(\triangle \)	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	-9.
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
- 7	Overall rating	8

	sylla	

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(I)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			·		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

	<u> </u>		<u>.</u>		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

	<u> </u>				
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
1					

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

	/ Teaco are	or are accounted	III VOXXIII OI VIIII -			
ĺ	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		1 %	2 70 2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, ,
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	iences, Engineering Sc	ionoos Daria
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se. Professional Ele	ctive Open Flect	tive, Project Work (PW	lences, Basic
Training / Int	ernship etc.	.,	on to, open blee	ire, Hoject Work (F W	) & Fractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
				, , , , ,	
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	ny industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.		3.00	- Peteriores expected	by muustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
0 40		/ 3-		5) (01) 1001	(')
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
8		3	1,1001	3) very 1 001	(1)
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 9 )
	7 8 1	1 )	1)1001	3) VCI Y 1 001	(2)
y other suggesti	on(s)				
Harris m	ence from	e should be	3000 and		
201001	expense	Smira De	14 HOVEN		
		021			10 047
1 11	/ A	. So? An?			A. Sent in
te 2,0/9/18	N	ame of Student			Signature
- 1 1 1 80					Signature
100					



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: N. Neeraja

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

1) Very good

2) Good

Years/Semester : I - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
7.	broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
8 9 9 9		**	н е		
3. Your backg	round for benefiti	ng from the course	e was	s	
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	
1 Data the see	~	- d. l £41			
	<del></del>	odules of the cours			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1 20		1			-
5 D-4-411	C (1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.0 - 1	
	T			ioned for the course	
<ol> <li>Excellent</li> </ol>	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
		17 1			
<b>6.</b> Were you a	ble to get the pres	cribed readings?		S A	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( 1 )
	1 1 1				

4) Poor

3) Average

5) Very Poor

(1)

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				a	
. Rate the comp	osition of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sci	ences Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course	, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW)	& Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.			,	, co i ractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	9				
10. Rate the de	of the syllab	us of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected b	windustm:/
current glol	pal scenarios.	as of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected t	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
		- ) III orage	1)1001	3) VCIY 1 001	
11. The curricu	ulum has focus o	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
, , ,		- January Control of the Control of	1)1001	3) VCI y 1 001	(2)
	of the course is	nnnonnioto to disco		1	
12 Evaluation		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

Date 20-9-1

Name of Student N. Neer aja

Signature Resign

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

S PAVAN KUMAR

Course:

B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: T - T

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	- 1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1.	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	2
*	broadening perspectives)	9
.2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2)3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 3) Inadequate 2) Adequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor ( 1 ) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 3) Inadequate 5) Very poor 2) Adequate 4) Poor (2)

7. Rate the des	sign of the cours	e in terms of extra	learning or self-	-learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Voruges 3	ibility in choosing				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.				) co Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.	us of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected l	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	ulum has focus or 2) Good	skill development 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
, , , , ,	1 7 3 6 6 6	) Tiverage	7)1001	3) Very Foor	(1)
12. Evaluation	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	5 V				
y other suggesti	on(s)		8.		
200					
	*		2	St. H. St. H. St. H.	
	5.0	avan Ku	mar		Roud
e 20-9-1	F .	me of Student		The state of the s	

IQAC



Academic Year: 2016 - 17

Name: K. Vaishravi

Course: B Tech

Department: 65E

Years/Semester: 2-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	9
	broadening perspectives)	
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(9)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

Trate the seq	defice of difficient	dures of the cours			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		100	= 2 mm	e 0	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
0 2			* n F n		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
----------------------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

o. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	ig of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Int	lessional Course	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iances Pasia
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current gioi	oal scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
11. The curricular Very good	alum has focus of 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
y other suggestic	on(s)	ove on Sk	ill devel	opment band	advanced
te 20-9-16		Vaishnavi			K. Vaismar Signature

Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLECT

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



			Studen	t recuback i	orm on c	ullicului	***		
					,	Acad	emic Yea	r: 2016	-17
Name	M. B	hagat	hi				se: B.F.		
Depart	ment : 🧲	SE			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Years	/Semeste	r: II )	I
<b>1.</b> Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follow	wing				
1 1	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs				0	1 60		Rating
1	(6)	g value (in t ng perspec		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical a	bilities, o	r	8
. 2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to re	al life situations	3		7.		7
3	Depth of	the course	content		, ax	1 x X x x	-44		7
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course				* > x *		9
5		f effort requ							7
6	Relevan	ce/learning	value of	project/ report	F)				9
7	Overall	rating							8
	he syllabu	s was			,	1	,		· /* +
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) I	rrelevant		(1)
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	6 g 6 g		ē	
1) Hig Releva	-	2) Releva		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		Completel levant	У	(1)
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	quence of u	nits/mod	ules of the cour	se	* * *	9 20 30		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poo	or	(2)
<b>5.</b> R	ate the ad	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	or the cou	ırse	
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		Very poo		(2)
6. W	Vere vou a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?			T		
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very po	or	(1)
7. R	ate the de	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learning	127		

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

( )

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	g of elective in relat	tion to technolog	v advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Int	itessional Course	in terms Humaniti , Professional Elec	es and Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering S tive, Project Work (PV	ciences Basic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current gio	epth of the syllab bal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		n skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(   )
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( / )
Any other suggestion include Su	on(s) weeks with	ch future s	жере.		
Date 21-09-16		Bharalli me of Student			M. Bhowler Signature
		A Commence of the Commence of	NARASA NAF	Principal RAOPETA ENGINEERING (AUTONOMOUS) RASARAOPET - 522 Guntur (Dist.), A.F.	100%



Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name: D. Ramya

Course: BTECH

Department : CSQ

Years/Semester :  $\overline{\mathbb{J}}/\overline{\mathbb{J}}$ 

1. Please give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1 *
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	Я
	broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	q
3	Depth of the course content	q
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9
tick		
2. T	he syllabus was	
1) Cha	llenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant	(2)

U	I toro vario		or project/ report			
7	Overall r	ating	74	× 18	4. 1	9
111			(2)			
<b>2.</b> T	he syllabu	s was	* s			1 - 4 1 1 1
1) Cha	allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for benefiti	ng from the course	was	8 B V	
1) Hig	ghly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(3)
Releva	ant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	
			odules of the cours			
	Rate the sec	uence of units/mo	odules of the cours 3) Inadequate	e 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
					5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		
1) Ex 5. R	cellent Rate the ade	2) Adequate equateness of the	3) Inadequate text book and reference	4) Poor	oned for the course	2
1) Ex 5. R	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		
1) Ex 5. R	cellent Rate the ade	2) Adequate equateness of the	3) Inadequate text book and reference	4) Poor	oned for the course	2
5. R 1) Ex	Cate the add	2) Adequate equateness of the	3) Inadequate text book and referance 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	oned for the course	2
1) Ex 5. R 1) Ex	Cate the add	2) Adequate equateness of the 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate text book and referance 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	oned for the course	2

7. Rate the de	sign of the cour	se in terms of extra	learning or self	-learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com	position of cour	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pro Training / Int	itessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.		10 17 1 1	competencies expected l	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	on skill development 3) Average s appropriate to disc	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1),
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Need	on(s)	cuf On	skill (	Revelop men	t.
ite 29-09-1	6 1	D. Ramya Name of Student			O. Ran Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 - 17

Name: G. Soukanth

Course: Birech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 2 - 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
***	broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabu	is was				the state of
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					N 959 5

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
			1		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
					, , ,

ibility in choosing	of elective in rela	tion to technology a	advancements	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
			9 1 2 3	*
fessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Electiv	e, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
	2) Averege	4) Poor	5) Vory Door	( )
	3) Average	4) 1001	3) very Foor	(2)
epth of the syllabi	is of the course in	relation to the co	nnetencies expected	hy industry /
	is of the course in	relation to the Col	inpetencies expected	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			2	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			,	
	<b>—</b>			
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 9-)
on(s)				
	masing m	elactive so	author to	tralimation and an
Col	(	cultive (1)	Stellerio) (C	technology ordina
	V			
· ·	7. Carbouth			Parleaut
Nai	ne of Student			Signature
$\wedge$	1	,	, dan	
			May	/
4	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		Principa	
	2) Good  position of course in offessional Course, ternship etc.  2) Good  epth of the syllabution bal scenarios.  2) Good  ulum has focus on 2) Good  of the course is a 2) Good  fon(s)  butty on the	2) Good 3) Average  position of course in terms Humanit ofessional Course, Professional Elec- ternship etc. 2) Good 3) Average  epth of the syllabus of the course in bal scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average  ulum has focus on skill developme 2) Good 3) Average  of the course is appropriate to disc 2) Good 3) Average  of the course is appropriate to disc 2) Good 3) Average	2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor  position of course in terms Humanities and Social Scientessional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective ernship etc.  2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor  epth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the conbal scenarios.  2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor  ulum has focus on skill development  2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor  of the course is appropriate to discriminate the stude 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor  of the course is appropriate to discriminate the stude 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor  on(s)  but in choosing of elective in the stude in t	position of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Scoffessional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW ernship etc.  2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor  5) Very Poor  epth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected bal scenarios.  2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  ulum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name: P. Sudarshan Reddy

Course: B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 2-

1. Please give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	i carring
	broadening perspectives)	1
, 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	0
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	0

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your back	ground for benefi	ting from the cours	e was		
3. Your back 1) Highly	ground for benefi 2) Relevant	ting from the cours  3) Partly	e was 4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)

4. Rate the seq	uence of units/mod	dules of the course			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

1) Execution	2) Adequate	3) madequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
2		4	1 1 m	× %	-
			a ** a	2	

) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

<b>6.</b> Were you ab	le to get the pres	cribed readings?		3. 3r	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the de	esign of the cou	irse in terms of extra	learning or self	f-learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Vami Daan	(0)

o. Nate the nex	ionity in choosing	of elective in relati	on to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				8 / e s	
9. Rate the comp	position of course	in terms Humanitie	es and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course,	Professional Elect	ive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
Training / Int			i likuri.	s jeja veldi.	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	1 × 2 0				
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabi	is of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	, ,			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	*				
11. The curric	ulum has focus or	skill developmen	t .	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	. "		7		7
12.Evaluation	of the course is a	ppropriate to disci	iminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
20 2	0 8	1	10010	a diade	
y other suggesti	ion(s) Provid	le more	dellaple	subjects	
	7	* 4 4	7 A NO.	The second secon	

Date 21-09-16

Name of Student

P. Sudarshan Reddy

Signature SudayShan



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: P. Srujana

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 2-1

1. 1 lease give	a rannig or	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
9	8	7	-6	5	4	3	2.	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

<u>_</u>	300d	Good	1 3004	Good	Moderate	Tolerable	Poor	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs	·		<del></del>				Rating
1 .	Learning broadeni	value (in t	terms of ski tives)	lls, concept	s, knowledge,	analytical abi	ilities, or		9
2	Applicat	ility/releva	ance to real	life sițuatio	ns				9
3	Depth of	the course	content				-	- <u>-</u>	8
4	Extent of	coverage	of course		,				8
5	Extent of	f effort requ	uired by stu	dents					1 1
_									, ,

Applica	bility/relevance t	o real life situation	s	•	9
Depth o	f the course conte	ent			9
Extent of	of coverage of co	urse	,		8
Extent of	of effort required	by students			7
Relevan	ce/learning value	of project/ report			9
Overall	rating			`	8
			•		1.29
allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
our backg	ground for benefit	ting from the cours	e was		
ghly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
ant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	/
	Depth o Extent o Extent o Relevan Overall The syllabutallenging	Depth of the course contour Extent of coverage of contour Extent of effort required Relevance/learning value Overall rating  The syllabus was allenging 2) Adequate  Tour background for benefiting 2) Relevant	Depth of the course content  Extent of coverage of course  Extent of effort required by students  Relevance/learning value of project/ report  Overall rating  The syllabus was allenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate  Tour background for benefiting from the course thly 2) Relevant 3) Partly	Depth of the course content  Extent of coverage of course  Extent of effort required by students  Relevance/learning value of project/ report  Overall rating  The syllabus was allenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull  Tour background for benefiting from the course was the course was allenging 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly	Extent of coverage of course  Extent of effort required by students  Relevance/learning value of project/ report  Overall rating  The syllabus was allenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant  Tour background for benefiting from the course was allenging 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely

Relevant	z) icelevant	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	(2)
			·	<del> </del>	

4. Rate the seq	uence of units/mod	dules of the course			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refer	ence book me	ntioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(9)

6. Were you a	able to get the preso	ribed readings?	,		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>			
7. Rate the de	sign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		2 P 81			
A. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humaniti	es and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.		a		2
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggesti	ion(s) Consid	ering a new	leavain	3 methods.	
	7	). Sryjana			4 Out
ite 21-09-16	N	lame of Student			Signature

I Q A C

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COU FOE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					Acader	nic Yea	r: 201	6-17
Name: SK	Mad	arbi			Course	: B.7	eth	
Department:	CSE			ð	Years/S	Semester	: 2	1
	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
9 Entropy of	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No. Particula			· 2			# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #		Rating
1 Learning	g value (in to ing perspect	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or	3	
			l life situations	1		-		8
	the course		a me situation	<u>'</u>	Anna Caraca	20		7
	f coverage o			,				8
	f effort requ			30				7
		value of p	roject/ report					8
7 Overall r	rating		-		3.			8
2. The syllabus	s was				,			u a au g
1) Challenging	2) Adequa	te 3	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(	2)
3. Your backgr	round for be	nefiting f	rom the course	2 W/2C			4	
1) Highly	2) Relevan		Partly	4) Mostly	5) Cor	npletely		
Relevant		,	elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev			1)
4. Rate the seq	uence of un	its/modul	es of the cours	e		SAS D		
1) Excellent	2) Adequa		) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor		(2)
5. Rate the ade	quateness o	f the text	book and refer	ence book me	entioned for t	he cours	ie	
1) Excellent	2) Adequa		) Inadequate			ery poor		(   )
6. Were you ab	ole to get the	prescribe	ed readings?			480		
1) Excellent	2) Adequa		) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery poor		(2)
7. Rate the desi	on of the co	ourse in te	erms of extra la	arning or call	f loomi			
1) Very good	2) Good		Average	4) Poor	5) Very	Poor	1	1)
		/	J	,	10) (01)	1 001		2)

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
20 95 95		5 5		30 3 5 30	
Rate the comp	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering So	ciences, Basic
		e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	V) & Practical
Training / Int					
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(   )
	bal scenarios.  2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developme	nt		54.
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12 Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
other suggest			d technol	ogy constant	in coun
		12		g 0	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	K. Madal	bi		Softa
e 22/9/16		ame of Student			Signature
29/1/11/2	18	and of Student			Digitatare

I Quality Constitution of the constitution of

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: S, Raviteia

Course: B. Tech

Department : CSE

1) Very good

2) Good

Years/Semester: 3 - 1

5) Very Poor

(3)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	•
C.A.	broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabu	s was	- 3 to 2 t (1) 13/2			
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
				*B 4	
3. Your backg	round for benefit	ing from the course	e was	2 ×	
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	( <b>a</b> )
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	a
		•	- ž	3	
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
i in					
	17			4 9	•
5. Rate the add	equateness of the	text book and refer	rence book menti	ioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( <b>a</b> )
					4
			0	2 2	
	ble to get the pre	scribed readings?	(2)	n <sup>**</sup> w w	
<b>6.</b> Were you a	ore to Set the pre-		1) Door	5) Very poor	(-)
6. Were you a  1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	j J) v ci y pooi	(2)
		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	3) very poor	(3)
		3) Inadequate	4) FOOT	3) Very poor	(3)

4) Poor

3) Average

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Inte	fessional Course	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Social Societive, Open Elect	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
- STILLIO LITO UC	pui or une syllat	ous of the course II	i relation to the c	competencies expected b	ov industry /
current glob 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( <u>^</u> )
current glob 1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		( <u>0</u> )
current glob  1) Very good  11. The curricu	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		( <u>J</u> )
1) Very good  11. The curricular years of the current	2) Good  Jum has focus of 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	( <u>1</u> )

Date 22/9/16

Name of Student S. RAVITESA

Signature

Principal NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLUFGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic	Year:	501P-	2017
----------	-------	-------	------

Name: K. Sai Teja

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

Years/Semester:  $\mathbf{II} - \mathbf{I}$ 

9.	8	<b>7</b> .	6	5	•	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some Tole	e how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No. Particul	ars		<del></del>	<u></u>		•			Rating
1 Learnin broaden	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)							9	
2 Applica	bility/releva	nce to rea	l life situations	3 ;		•	<del> </del>		8
	f the course		<u></u>					<del></del>	
4 Extent of	of coverage of	of course	•		-	•	. •	<del></del> ,-	8
5 Extent of	of effort requ	ired by st	tudents	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•			8
6 Relevan	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	, <del>,</del>					9
7 Overall	rating					·			8
2. The syllabi	30 33100								
	2) Adequa	te 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant		(1)
) Challenging  3. Your backs	2) Adequa	enefiting	from the cours	e was			levant		(1)
) Challenging	2) Adequa	enefiting and the same state of the same state o	<del></del>	<u></u>			npletely		(1) - (2)
) Challenging  3. Your backs ) Highly Relevant	2) Adequation 2) Relevant	enefiting at 3	from the cours ) Partly	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant		5) Cor	npletely		(1) - (2)
) Challenging  3. Your backs ) Highly Relevant	2) Adequation 2) Relevant	enefiting at 3 R	from the cours ) Partly elevant	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant		5) Cor Irrelev	npletely		(1) - (2)
3. Your backs ) Highly Relevant  4. Rate the see ) Excellent	2) Adequation of the control of the	enefiting and a second	from the cours ) Partly elevant les of the cours ) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor	ention	5) Cor Irrelev	npletely vant ery Poor		
3. Your backs ) Highly Relevant  4. Rate the see ) Excellent	2) Adequation of the control of the	enefiting 3 R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	from the cours Partly elevant les of the cours	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor	ention	5) Cor Irrelev 5) Ve	npletely vant ery Poor	se	
3. Your backs 3. Your backs Highly Relevant 4. Rate the second Excellent 5. Rate the ad	2) Adequation of the control of the	enefiting and a second	from the cours ) Partly elevant les of the cours ) Inadequate book and refe ) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor  rencé book m	ention	5) Cor Irrelev 5) Ve	npletely vant ery Poor	se	(1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				ar a	
9. Rate the comp	position of course i	n terms Humaniti	es and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int				3	,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				a a a	
10. Rate the de	epth of the syllabu	s of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.		* * * * * * *		-,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ) )
*					
11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill developmer	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
			4 2	,	
12. Evaluation	of the course is a	opropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
		* _ 5 5	0, 0		
y other suggesti	on(s)		7 N 2 1		
Provi	de revelo	ent Proje			

Date 22/9/16

K. Sai Tesa Name of Student

KSTeio. Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



(AUTONOMOUS)

### **Student Feedback Form on Curriculum**

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: M. ni zamuddin

Course: Bitech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 3.1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
14	broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	0:
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	a
7	Overall rating	C

/ Overall i	rating		- 3		8
<b>2.</b> The syllabu	s was			*	13 2 2 2
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	round for benefiting	ng from the course	was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	( <u>2</u> )
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	
4. Rate the second 1) Excellent	quence of units/mo  2) Adequate	dules of the cours 3) Inadequate	e 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ade	equateness of the to	ext book and refer	ence book mention	ned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you al	ble to get the presc	ribed readings?	<i>x</i>		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning									
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)				

s. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		* F = 5	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	A 11 3 34	
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int			, 1		1
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				e = 1°	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabi	is of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected l	ov industry /
	bal scenarios.				. ,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
0 0	8 *				
11. The curric	ulum has focus or	skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	E 2			*	
12.Evaluation	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	e i		e a		
y other suggesti	ion(s)				
Tn	troduce	Endus	my rela	aid Cairce	<i>\ \ \</i>
	The court	Tricacy	1 de	an saire	A
				*	i Lames
	M. Y	ni Zamudd	in	m.v	4 France

M. ni Zamuddin Name of Student

Signature

Date 22-09-16

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601 Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name: Y. Yamuna

Course: Bitech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

		-						
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremel Good	y Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Q
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	1
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	q
7	Overall rating	9

				¥	
2. The syllabu	is was				. 6 1
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	ground for benefitir	ng from the course	was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	<b>X</b>
	quence of units/mo				
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the to	ext book and refer	ence book mention	ned for the course	1
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( )
<b>6.</b> Were you a	ble to get the presc	ribed readings?			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( )

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning								
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(   )			

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				9 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.				^
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	bal scenarios.  2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( † )
-) Toly Boot	V V		ė,		
	ion(s)				
y other suggest		irculam is	s Good		
y other suggest		irculam i	5 Good	grant to the control of the control	

Date 22-09-16

Y. Yamuna Name of Student

Signature Signature

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLIFFE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name: SK. Mehana2.

course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 3-1

1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
	9.	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely rood	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely
	1000	Good	L <u>.</u>	Good	1110 4110	Tolerable	1001	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars	·		<u> </u>				Rating
1	Learning	g value (in t	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge.	analytical abi	lities, or	•	
	broaden	ing perspect	tives)	• •	0,				9
2				l life situations	3	•			8
3		f the course							9
4		f coverage of							17
5 "		f effort requ			7				9
6			value of p	project/ report					9
7 .	Overall	rating				*			9
2. Ť1	he syllabu	c mac			,	•			
		2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Trre	levant	<del></del>	(0)
<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.5	) madequate	<del>1)</del> Dull	1 3) 1110	ic valit		(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting t	from the course	e was				
1) High		2) Relevar		) Partly	4) Mostly	(5) Cor	npletely	,   (	(1)
Releva	nt			elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev		)	
<b>4.</b> Ra	ate the sec	uence of un	iits/modu	les of the cours	e.			<del></del>	
1) Exc		2) Adequa		) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor		(1)
		, , 1	-	,	1,72001		51 <u>y</u> 1 001	23	
• •			··.					l	
		equateness c	of the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for	the cour	se	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		( )
6. W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?					
1) Exc		2) Adequa		) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	-	(8)
				_		-	J P 301		(3)
	_					·		f 1	
				erms of extra le			_		
<ol> <li>Verv</li> </ol>	z good - I	2) Good	31	Average	1) Poor	5) 1/0"	y Door	(	, )

		of elective in relat		79	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humaniti	es and Social Se	ciences, Engineering Sci	ences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW)	
Training / Int			•		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)
	0		-		
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	us of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected b	y industry /
	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	4				
11. The curric	ulum has focus or	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
= 3			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	9	1
12.Evaluation	of the course is a	appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	idents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		1	ž <sub>el</sub>		
y other suggesti	ion(s)				
moduce	2 Coxti	to cotion?	COURSE		
				J.	

Date 22-09-16

Sk. Mehanaz

Name of Student

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COULSOS
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-2017

# Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name	: K, 92	OSEM	rasy			e }	Course	: B-	tech	
Depart	tment :	SE					Years/S	emester	:2-1	
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	- 1	4	3	2	1
	remely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate		how	Poor	Very	Extremely
	ood	Good		Good		Tole	rable	1001	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars	-					-		Datina
1			erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analyti	cal ahi	lities or	•6	Rating
5	broadeni	ing perspect	tives)	, сопсеры,	mio mouge,	ariary ti	cai aon	ittics, or		0
.2				l life situations	3			-		18
3		the course						(1)		=======================================
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course		1					đ
5	Extent o	f effort requ	iired by st	tudents						1
6			value of p	project/ report						a
7	Overall r	rating		-						7
	2. The syllabus was									
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	-	5) Irre	levant		(2)
2 V			<b>C</b> .:	C 1			8		,	
1) High				from the course			<b>5</b> \ 0			
Releva		2) Relevar		) Partly elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		5) Cor Irrelev	npletely	/	( / )
receva			IN	cicvant	melevant	1	melev	ant		
<b>4.</b> Ra	ate the seq	uence of un	nits/modu	les of the cours	se ·					
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ery Poor	r	(9)
	T SARCE			•						
<b>5.</b> Ra	ate the ade	equateness o	of the text	book and refer	rence book m	nention	ed for t	the cour	se	
1) Exc		2) Adequa		) Inadequate	4) Poor			ery pooi		(9)
								<i>J</i> 1		Q'
6 W	'ana waw al	ala ta aat ila		. 1 1' 0						
				ed readings?	1 1) D		1 5) 11			
1) Exc	enent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poor	r	( ()
							L .			
		ign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	lf-learr	ning			
1) Very	good	2) Good	3)	Average	4) Poor		5) Ver	y Poor	(	(2)
							2 0			N. Committee of the com

<b>8.</b> Rate the flex	kibility in choosii	ng of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
		1 4 4	* * *	* - * * ·	
. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In			, 1	, <b>,</b> (	,
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2 1 0		4			
10. Rate the d	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	hy industry /
	bal scenarios.			omposition emposited	e j maasti j r
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
<u> </u>					
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( <b>9</b> )
E 5 00	0.7			,	
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
		,			
y other suggest	ion(s)				
,		Luce Som	e indu	strial subj	octo
	11100	Jule ( Jill		51014 5441	ccas.
	k.	ROSG Masy			W
te 22/9/16	1	Name of Student			Signature
22/7/10	, 1	turne or brudent			Signature

Date 22/9/16

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CON FOR (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 - 17

Name: Gr. Karryerserie

Course: B. Teels

1) Very good

2) Good

Depart	ment :	SE				Years/S	Semester	: 3-1	
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars			. #				Rating
1	Learning broaden	g value (in to ing perspect	erms of s tives)	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or	=	7
. 2				al life situations	3				8
3		f the course			12,	1 2 2 2	1814		9
4		f coverage of				, a			8
	5 Extent of effort required by students								
	6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report								
7	7 Overall rating								8
	ne syllabu llenging	s was  2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) I	1		
1) Спа	itenging	2) Aucqua	110 3	) madequate	4) Dull	3) Irre	elevant	(	3)
3. Y	our backg			from the course	e was				
1) High Releva		2) Relevar		) Partly Celevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Cor Irrelev	mpletely vant	= (	1)
<b>4.</b> Ra	ate the seq	uence of un	its/modu	les of the cours	e				
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Vo	ery Poor		(1)
<b>5.</b> Ra	ate the ade	equateness o	of the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for	the cours	e.	
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor		(2)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?			5		
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(1)
		1		······································		4			

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

o. Rate the flex	ionity in choosing o	of elective in relation	off to technology ac	ivancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9 0		1.00	* n _ * ,	8 7.H NI	
9. Rate the com	position of course in	n terms Humanitie	s and Social Scien	ces, Engineering S	ciences. Basic
				, Project Work (PV	
Training / Int	2.00 2.00			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabu	s of the course in	relation to the com	petencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
e , w	8				
11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill development	t		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			1		and the second second
12.Evaluation	of the course is an	opropriate to discr	iminate the studen	ts	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ))
			5.		
ny other suggesti	on(s)	, i		4 1 4	
		. /	0	1//	1 1
negos	Solion	0012110	ton	0100 1092	+- 1

Date 22/9/16

Name of Student

Cor. Kangerson

Signature

Hauzerstori

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGNEERING GOLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: Kallam. Chait apya

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 2-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

				0				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	11111118
-	broadening perspectives)	8
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	q
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	ground for benefi	ting from the cours	e was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)

Relevant	2) Relevant	Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)	

 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

 1) Excellent
 2) Adequate
 3) Inadequate
 4) Poor
 5) Very Poor
 (1)

 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

 1) Excellent
 2) Adequate
 3) Inadequate
 4) Poor
 5) Very poor
 (1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
Training / In	ernship etc.		- n	XI.	-1-14
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	bal scenarios.	us of the course if	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) 1001	3) very roor	(1)
11. The curric	ulum has focus or	n skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2 2		3	,		
12. Evaluation	n of the course is a	appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) Very good					
) Very good					
other suggest	on(s)		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		

Date 23/9/16

hallam . chaitanya

Name of Student

u · cha ; tanya Signature

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COULECE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name: S. Vasavi sindhuja

2) Good

1) Very good

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

_	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	0
7	Overall rating	9

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	round for benefit	ing from the course	e was	2 4	
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the add	equateness of the	text book and refer	ence book menti	oned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you a	ble to get the pres	scribed readings?		7	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(2)

3) Average

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(   )
. Rate the com	position of course in	n terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sci	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practica
Training / Int	ernship etc.				
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabu	s of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected l	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		1 2	1	8	
11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			9 9	,	
12. Evaluation	of the course is a	opropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
other suggest	ion(s) Introd	uce indus	try relat	ed Cources.	

Date 23-09-16

S. Vasavi Sindhuja Name of Student

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name: V. Mavyasri

Course: B. Tech

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

, 1	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	are				2 5 E	-		
1			erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowladaa	omolest'1 -1 '	11.4		Rating
- 1	broadeni	ing perspect	ives)	xiiis, concepts,	kilowieuge,	anaiyiicai abi	lities, or		9
.2				l life situations	3		14		
3		f the course		Jane Situation					0
4		f coverage of			-	<del> </del>			0
5		f effort requ		udents					8 8 8
6				project/ report	,				- 3
7	Overall 1		-						8
<b>2</b> T	L			T .	7	9			
	he syllabu				T 10 = 11				
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	te 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	(5) Irre	levant	(	(1)
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting t	from the course	- was				
1) High		2) Relevar		) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co:	npletely		
Releva	•	,		elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev		(	1)
4 D.	oto the acc			C-1					
1) Exc		2) Adequa		es of the cours		1 =>			
) Exc	enem	2) Adequa	ile 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor		(2)
- D							0		
				book and refer				е	
l) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery poor		(2)
						8	10		
6. W				ed readings?	1 = 2				
	ellent	2) Adequa	te 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(   )

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(1)

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humaniti	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sci	iences. Basic
	1			tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int		-,	,		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1	· ·			w	
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course ir	relation to the	competencies expected l	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.		×		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
9 8 ×	= =			×	
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
-) 3 8					
1) 11, 811					
	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
	of the course is	appropriate to disc 3) Average	eriminate the student 4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	())

Date 23-09-16

Name of Student

V. Navays,

The second secon

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: Sk. Karimulla

Course: B-Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester 1 -1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)  Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Rating
broadening perspectives)	9
Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
Transfer differ to real file Situations	9
Depth of the course content	0
Extent of coverage of course	D
Extent of effort required by students	0
Relevance/learning value of project/ report	4
Overall rating	+
E F	Extent of coverage of course  Extent of effort required by students  Relevance/learning value of project/ report

		2	1, 12 kt 1 7 7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1		0
2. The syllabu	s was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
	round for benefiti	ng from the course	e was	*	
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	( 9 )
4. Rate the sec	uence of units/mo	odules of the cours	e	- H	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ade	equateness of the t	ext book and refer	ence book mention	ned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( ( )
6. Were you at	ole to get the preso	cribed readings?			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( 2 )

7. Kate the de	sign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 2 )
	fessional Course,			iences, Engineering Sc ive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.		,	competencies expected	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		n skill developme			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is a	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggesti	on(s)				
		(. Karimula	<b>X</b>	S	K. Kavimul Signature

ALCA AND SOME AND ADDRESS OF THE ADD

Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLUMBE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name: M. Manisha

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 1y - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Extremely Very Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very Extreme

	D000	Good	0000	Good	Moderate	Tolerable	Poor	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particular	S		· ·	2	* * * .			Rating
1	Learning broadening	value (in t	erms of ski tives)	lls, concept	s, knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, or	8	8
. 2	Applicabi	lity/releva	nce to real	life situatio	ns	Two or			7

. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

		8 0111 0110 00	arbe mas		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

	Trouble of mines in	dates of the cours	•		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
		, a		a 2	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
				B .	

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
1				.	

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

	bility in choosing	of elective in feral	ion to technology	advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	fessional Course,			iences, Engineering Sc ive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( \ )
current glob	pal scenarios.	5		ompetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curricu	ulum has focus or 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is a	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Any other suggestion	on(s) Intro	duce indu	stry relati	ed cources	

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

B. Deepak Jain

Course: B-Tech

Department: C.S.E

Years/Semester: 2-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	Ruting
,	broadening perspectives)	8
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	0
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	<del></del>
. 7	9	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2)	Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(0)
	1	, 1	1.) = 4.11	J) Interevant	( /_ )

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) 771 11		- B	7745		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	` ' '

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	-	10		tr .	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent		a roughings.	T	1	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
			8 4		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

4				
1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

<b>8.</b> Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
		2 8 *		8 × 4	
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int	ternship etc.			an indicate and	1 (e)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
3 4	= a ,			8 X P	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	ous of the course in	n relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	1.8		1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	*				
11. The curric	culum has focus of	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	2			4	
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggest					
Intro	duce ne	v technolo	gies in	curri calum	
9 9		*	J		
	· 10	Deepar Jo	in		D. H. O
		CCL			B. Den J Signature
ate 52 lools	N	ame of Student			Signature

The state of the s

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLECE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name: CT. SANDHYA

Course: B Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester: 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
4-	broadening perspectives)	8
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	ユ
3	Depth of the course content	a
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	q

2. The syllabu	s was		E. K. L. S. S.		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
3. Your backg	round for benefitin	g from the course	was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
	uence of units/mod	dules of the course		20 B	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the ade	equateness of the	text book and refer	ence book ment	ioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

<b>6.</b> Were you at	ole to get the pres	cribed readings?		a sec	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( )

7. Rate the de	sign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	learning or sel	f-learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

<b>8.</b> Rate the flexi	bility in choosing	of elective in relat	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	fessional Course,			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ( )
current glob	pal scenarios.			competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good  12.Evaluation	2) Good of the course is a	3) Average	4) Poor		( 1 )
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Any other suggestion	on(s) Collaborat	ion and	So Cializ	ation opportu	nities
Date 23-09-	C Na	n·SANDH Y7 me of Student	<b>+</b>		Greathy Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



# Student Feedback Form on Cur

Name: G. Sardhya

riculum	ļ		
Acade	nic Yea	r: (6-)	7
Course	: B.	Tech	•
Years/S	emester	: 10-	1
4	3	2	<u></u>
me how derable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
			Rating
ytical abil	ities, or	-	9
			8
<del></del>			<u>.9.</u>
<del></del>	• •		; બુ
	<del></del>	<del></del>	1-9-1
<del></del>			<u>\$</u>
•			<u> </u>
5) Irrel	evant	(	( )
5) Con Irreleva	npletely ant	(	()
		<u> </u>	

Department: CSE 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 7 Extremely Very Moderately Good Good Moderate Good Good S.No. Particulars Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, anal 1 broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations 2 3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Relevant Relevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (Q) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor ( )6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	ag of elective in rela  3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
4	e Real	1 2 2	* .	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(&)
. Rate the con	nposition of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / Ir	iternship etc.		, 1		) co Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	* * * :				
10. Rate the	depth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected l	oy industry /
current gl	obal scenarios.		12.		,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	is 0			5	
	culum has focus of	on skill developmer	nt		
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				1 1	
12. Evaluation		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	Я
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)
			, ,		
other suggest				<u> </u>	
rutsodi	ice ind	ustry re	lated (	Courses	
		Sandhyame of Student	•		S. SoM Signature
	C	i surany	1		20 M
23/9/4	3 T	0.00			

Date 23/9/16

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: D. Aditya

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

Course: Biech

Depar	tment:	CSE			J.	Years/S	Semester	: 1V-1	1
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
1,	9	8	7 -	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars			8	* -			D-4:
1	Learnin broaden	g value (in t	erms of sk	cills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or		Rating
. 2	Applica	bility/releva	nce to rea	l life situations	S				7
3	Depth o	f the course	content		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2.0		-
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course				.1		6
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by st	udents					7
6				roject/ report					9
7	Overall		-						0
	ne syllabu				:8				
1) Chal	llenging	2) Adequa	te 3)	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(	1)
3. Yo	our backg	round for be	enefiting f	rom the course	e was			ř.	
1) High Relevan		2) Relevan	,	Partly elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Con Irrelev	npletely ant	(	1)
<b>4.</b> Ra	ate the seq	uence of un	its/modul	es of the cours	e				
1) Exc		2) Adequa		) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor		(1)
<b>5.</b> Ra	ite the ade	quateness o	f the text	book and refer	encé book me	entioned for the	ne cours	e	
1) Exce	ellent	2) Adequa		Inadequate	4) Poor		ry poor		(2)
6. We	ere you ab	le to get the	prescribe	ed readings?					
1) Exce	ellent	2) Adequa		Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry poor		(2)
7. Rat	te the desi	gn of the co	ourse in te	rms of extra le	arning or self	Flearning			
				OI OAHU IC	arming or sell	rearring			

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

o. Rate the Hexi	bility in choosing of	of elective in rela	tion to technology	advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
		5 H 8 Z		¥	
9. Rate the comp	osition of course in	n terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	ciences Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	/) & Practical
Training / Inte			, .	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	9			e	-
10. Rate the de	epth of the syllabu	s of the course ir	relation to the co	ompetencies expected	by industry /
	oal scenarios.	_			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(   )
11. The curricu	ulum has focus on	skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ) )
12.Evaluation	of the course is ap	propriate to disc	riminate the stud	ents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ))
		*			
ny other suggestion	on(s)				
t's better	to have	more la	rbs than	dasses:	
		1.1			
** * * * * * *		. Aditya		1	-ditya
ate 23/9/16		ne of Student			Signature
ate 23/9/16	INam	ie of Student			Signature

I QAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENVINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



(AUTONOMOUS)

# Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

	-					Acade	mic Yea	r: 20	16-2013
Name:	Ň.	Prya 1	tark	۵.		Course	e: <b>\</b> ∕	124	
	nent: 1				,	Years/S	Semester	т: Д <b>-</b>	<u> </u>
1. Ple	ease give	a rating of	your cou	se on the follo	wing				
	9.	8	7	6	5	-4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs			·	·			
1	Learning	value (in to	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analytical ab	1:4:		Rating
	broadeni	ng perspect	ives)			anaiyiicai abi	lities, or	•	9
2	Applicat	ility/releva	nce to rea	Il life situations	<u> </u>				9
		the course			<del> </del>				
		coverage of			, 				\$7
		effort requ			•				6
			value of p	project/ report					7
7	Overall rates	ating		<u>-</u>					7_
`			~	* *		•	• • • • •		<del></del>
	e syllabus		· ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	<u> </u>					4 } 
1) Chall	enging	2) Adequa	te   3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		(2)
<b>3.</b> Yo	ur backer	ound for he	nefiting:	from the course	a woo				
1) Highl	v	2) Relevan		) Partly		5) (7-		<del></del>	<del>, , ,                                </del>
Relevan		2) Itolovai.		elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	l' '	mpletely	<b>'</b>	( ))
				Clevalit	melevani	Irrele	vant		
				es of the cours	e	. >			
1) Exce	llent	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor	•	(2)
<b>5.</b> Rat	e the ade	quateness o	f the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for	the cour	<del>-</del>	
1) Exce	llent	2) Adequa		) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor		(1)
<b>6.</b> We	re you ab	le to get the	prescrib	ed readings?		·			
1) Exce		2) Adequa		) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(2)-
<del></del>		<del> </del>							
7. Rate	e the desi	gn of the co	ourse in to	erms of extra le	arning or sel	f-learning			
1) Very		2) Good		Average	4) Poor		y Poor	1 (	22
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>		

<ol> <li>Very good</li> </ol>	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		1.0			
. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sci	iences, Engineering Sci	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course	, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Electi	ive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.		* 2.0		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				6.1	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	ous of the course ir	relation to the co	ompetencies expected l	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
a a * 2				2 0	
11 71	ulum has focus o	n skill developme	nt		
11. The curric		1 2) 1	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	. ) :-
	2) Good	3) Average	4) 1001	3) very Poor	(-2)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	(4) 1001	3) very Foor	(2)
1) Very good				2 00	(2)
1) Very good		appropriate to disc		2 00	(1)

Date 15/05/2017 Name of Student Przya Harka

Signatuje jenike

I Q A C

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COU FOE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



(AUTONOMOUS)

# **Student Feedback Form on Curriculum**

							Acader	nic Yea	ır: 2014	5-17
Name	: K. F	Ratitha					Course	: MI	3 A	
Depart	ment:	MBA			.,4	1	Years/S	Semester	r : 🛐 - [	(i)
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	se on the follo	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5		4	3	2	1
	remely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some	e how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	nrs			*					D
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowladaa	on alvet	aa1 a1:11	11.41		Rating
	broadeni	ing perspect	ives)	kins, concepts,	knowledge,	anaiyti	cai abi	lities, or	r	9
2				l life situations	1					(3
3		the course		ir irio bitaation	,			6	1	8
4		f coverage of			1					9
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by st	udents	2	~	-			8
6	Extent of effort required by students  Relevance/learning value of project/ report								9	
7	Overall rating									
2. Th	ne syllabu	s was		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,21			0.00	1 / !	8
1) Chal	llenging	2) Adequa	te 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant	1	(1)
<b>3.</b> Yo	our backgr	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was	1 2	5	2		
1) High	nly	2) Relevan		) Partly	4) Mostly	11.6	5) Cor	npletely	/	( )
Releva	nt		R	elevant	Irrelevant		Irrelev			
		uence of un	its/modu	les of the cours	e			M N	1	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ery Poor	r	(   )
<b>5.</b> Ra	ate the ade	quateness o	f the text	book and refer	ence book m	ention	ed for t	he cour	se	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ery poor	•	(   )
<b>6.</b> W	ere you ab	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?		, *		D.		
1) Exce	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	0 55	5) Ve	ery poor	r	(1)
7. Ra	te the desi	ign of the co	ourse in to	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learn	ing			
1) Vary		2) Good	2)	A	4) D	- 104111	5			

o. Rate the hex	donity in choosing	of ciccurc in icia	non to teenhology	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
8 3		- a -		87 2 A 9	
. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	eiences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In			, .		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	1 4 7 7				
10. Rate the d	lepth of the syllab	us of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				, x	•
11. The curric	culum has focus or	n skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	<del>- 1</del>			= a	
12. Evaluation	n of the course is a	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( [ )
	v =		0	0 ys , 0 0	
y other suggest	ion(s)		t v s total		

Date 15/5/2017

K Ratitha Name of Student

Signature Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year 26 - 2017

Name: SK. Salma Bhanu

Course: M.B.A

Department: M.B.A

Years/Semester: 2nd years 3rd sem (II-III)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	_
	broadening perspectives)	19
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
3	Depth of the course content	0
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	a
7	Overall rating	0

/ Overall	rating			4	_ 9
2. The syllabu	is was	and the same	6.	* c *	e 1
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
3. Your backg	ground for benefit	ing from the course	e was	0 g	
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	( )
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/m	odules of the cours	se		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ade	equateness of the	text book and refer	rence book mentic	ned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
6. Were you a	ble to get the pres	cribed readings?	. 4	v v	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(()
7. Rate the des	sign of the course	in terms of extra le	earning or self-lea	rning	5.
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In			•		My -
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		3) Average	1) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)
, , , ,	1 -)	10)	1.)233	(0) (01) 1001	
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(   )
			8	2 W	
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
1) Very good	2) 0000				
	2) 3004	X 2 2	1 = 19	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
			Tarrier San		

Date 15-05-2017

Name of Student ! Sk. SalMa Bhany

Signature Shore

Principa NARASARAOPETA ENGINVERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acade	mic Yea	r: 2016.	-17
Name	: M. Vir	ray Koma 1BA	<b>V</b>			Course	: MB	A	
Depar	tment : 🏲	IBA			Į.	Years/S	Semester	: 1 - 1	11
<b>1.</b> F	Please give	a rating of	your co	urse on the follo	wing				
, a	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula					* * -			Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)						9		
2	Applical	oility/releva	nce to r	eal life situations	S				
3	Depth of	f the course	content		H				<i>8 7 8</i>
4		f coverage of			,	3	1		2
5		f effort requ			a a				
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of	project/ report	¥)				7
7	Overall 1	rating							8
	he syllabu				*	1			91
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant	(	(2)
		round for be	enefiting	g from the course	e was				
1) Hig Releva		2) Relevan		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	mpletely vant	(	(1)
<b>4.</b> R	ate the seq	uence of ur	nits/mod	ules of the cours	se		ď,		
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor		(1)
<b>5.</b> R	ate the ade	equateness o	of the te	xt book and refer	rence book m	entioned for	the cour	se	
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor		( 2 )
6. W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescr	ibed readings?	- 3				
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(1)
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in	terms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning			
1) Very		2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		y Poor	(	2)
							•		-

<b>8.</b> Rate the flex				C) II D	/ • N
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sci	iences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int	ernship etc.				Y 7 %
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			-		
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected l	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
W 2 W	T .			2 4	
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			3	,	-
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ) )
		3 3		e we y	
			m , was a		
any other suggest	ion(s)				

Date 15-05-2017

Name of Student M. Ving Kumar

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARADPETA ENGINEERING CONTRE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



S.No.

2

3

4

5

6

1) Very good

2) Good

Academic Year: 2016-17 Name: T. Anusha Course: MIRA Department: MBA Years/Semester: 2-3 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Extremely Very Moderately Some how Very Extremely Good Moderate Poor Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor Particulars Rating Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or 9 broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations 8 Depth of the course content 8 Extent of coverage of course 8 Extent of effort required by students 9 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 9 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor ()5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor ()).

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			n 200 H	es s au	F0 500
. Rate the comp	osition of course i	n terms Humaniti	ies and Social So	eiences, Engineering Sci	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
Training / Inte	ernship etc.		-		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glob	oal scenarios				
		is of the course if	relation to the c	competencies expected l	by industry /
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)
	<del></del>	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(8)
) Very good	<del></del>			5) Very Poor	(8)
) Very good  11. The curricu	2) Good			5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(&)
) Very good  11. The curricu	2) Good	skill developme	nt		
11. The curricu	2) Good	skill developmen 3) Average	14) Poor	5) Very Poor	

15-05-2017

Date

T. Anusha Name of Student

Signature

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 -17

Name: P. Nagalakshmi

Course: MBA

2, 31	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable		Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars				• • •	-		Rating
1	Learning broaden	g value (in t ing perspect	erms of sitives)	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	oilities, or	6	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations							8	
3		f the course							q
4		f coverage of			1 2 2		9		9
5		f effort requ			2				9
6			value of p	project/ report	¥		-		q
7	Overall i	rating				4			9
2	4				OBLANCE S				
	he syllabu Illenging	s was  2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull		elevant		
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa			4) Dull		elevant		
1) Cha	llenging our backg hly	2) Adequa	enefiting and 3	) Inadequate from the course ) Partly elevant	4) Dull	5) Irr	ompletely		
1) Cha 3. Y 1) Hig Releva	our backg	2) Adequation of the country of the	enefiting and a R	from the course ) Partly elevant	4) Dull e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Irr	ompletely		
1) Cha  3. Y 1) Hig Releva  4. R	our backg	2) Adequation of the country of the	enefiting and a second	from the course ) Partly	4) Dull e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Irr	ompletely		
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc	our backg hly int ate the seq	2) Adequation 2) Relevant uence of un 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2	enefiting and a second	from the course ) Partly elevant les of the course ) Inadequate	4) Dull e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor	5) Irr 5) Co Irrele	ompletely evant Very Poor		
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R	our backg hly int ate the seq	2) Adequation 2) Relevant uence of un 2) Adequation 2) Adequation 2	enefiting and a second	from the course ) Partly elevant les of the cours	4) Dull e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor	5) Irr	ompletely evant Very Poor	se	
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	our backg hly ate the sequellent ate the ade	2) Adequation of the country of the	enefiting and a second	from the course ) Partly elevant les of the course ) Inadequate book and refer	4) Dull e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor	5) Irr	ompletely evant Very Poor	se	(1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

3) Average

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
E 000	* ×				a
. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humaniti	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basi
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In	ternship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.	* "		5) Very Poor	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
11. The curric	diam nas rocas				
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average s appropriate to disc			(2)
12.Evaluation	2) Good				(1)
12.Evaluation 1 Very good	2) Good n of the course i 2) Good	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	(1)
1) Very good  12.Evaluatio 1) Very good	2) Good n of the course i 2) Good	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good n of the course i 2) Good	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	(1)

IQAC

15/05/2017 Date

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: CH. Puspalatha

Course: MBA

Department: MBA

Years/Semester: Il year - IV

L · ′	9	8	7	erse on the follo	5	<u> </u>	1 0		
	emely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	2 Very	1 Extreme
<u></u>	ood	Good		Good	TVIOGCIATO	Tolerable	FOOI	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Dortional	<del></del>		<del></del> .	<del> </del>				
	Particula				<del></del>				Rating
1	broaden	g value (in to ing perspect	erms of : ives)	skills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lițies, or		6
2	Applical	oility/releva	nce to re	al life situations	3			<u></u>	7
3		f the course		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>.                                    </u>			<del></del>
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course	)	,	•			7
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by	students		·			5
6	Relevan	ce/learning	value of	project/ report					
7	Overall 1			<del>? - ` · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</del>	·	•		·	8
·					<del></del> -	•	<del></del>		
	ie syllabu		¥		i				•
1) Chal	lenging	2) Adequa	te :	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant		)-
3. You 1) High Relevan	ıly	round for be 2) Relevar	nt i	from the course B) Partly Relevant	was  4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Cor Irrelev	npletely	(	3)
<b>4.</b> Ra	te the seq	uence of un	its/modı	les of the cours	e	, ,,,			
11 Eva.	ellent	2) Adequa	ite	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor		(2)
I) EXC			i			l l			
<b>5.</b> Ra	te the ade	quateness o	f the tex	t book and refer	rencé book m	entioned for t	he cours	se	
	te the ade	quateness o		t book and refer 3) Inadequate	rence book m		he cours	<del></del>	( ( )
5. Ra 1) Exce	ellent	2) Adequa	ite					<del></del>	( ( )
5. Ra 1) Exce	ellent ere you al	2) Adequa	e prescri	3) Inadequate		5) Ve			(2)
5. Ra 1) Exce 6. We 1) Exce	ellent ere you al	2) Adequate to get the 2) Adequate	e prescri	3) Inadequate bed readings?	4) Poor 4) Poor	5) Ve	ery poor		`

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	$(\dagger)$
# p		a y bil		2	,
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sci	iences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In	ternship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected l	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
, , ,		, ,			
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) 1/	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9_)
1) very good					
1) Very good					-
1) Very good  12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	eriminate the student	dents 5) Very Poor	( ( )
12.Evaluation					(   )
12.Evaluation	2) Good				(   )

Date 15/05/2017

Name of Student CH. Puspa latha

CH. Pulph lathe Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 - 17 M. phonendra kumar Course: MBA Years/Semester: Tycor - N Department: MBA 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Extremely Verv Moderately Some how Very Extremely Good Moderate Poor Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor S.No. **Particulars** Rating Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) 9 2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations 0 3 Depth of the course content 9 4 Extent of coverage of course 8 5 Extent of effort required by students 8 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 6 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1)3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (3)Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (J)**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 1)

1 \ \ \ \ \ \ 1		g of elective in rela			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
P. Rate the comm	osition of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sci	ences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course	e. Professional Elec	ctive Open Electi	ve, Project Work (PW	% Practical
Training / Int		,	ouve, open zieen	ve, rioject work (r w	) ce i racticai
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
The second				1.1	
current glo	bal scenarios.			ompetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			2	, 1	
11. The curricu	ulum has focus o	on skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
,					
-,, 8				160	
	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	ents	
	of the course is	appropriate to disc	eriminate the stud	ents 5) Very Poor	( ) )

Date 15-05-2017

Name of Student

M. phonendra leumar

Signature

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name: M. sri devi

Course: MRA

Department: MBA

Good

Years/Semester: II / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following Extremely Some how Moderately Very Extremely

Moderate

	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
	broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	q
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of offert association to the	

	Deput of the course content	1 +
4	Extent of coverage of course	Q
5	Extent of effort required by students	a
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	0

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Good

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)
-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

		armies of the comp	•		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
* 4 *3	er v		1 1 1		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(   )

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( † )

1) Very good					
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				2 H H H	
Rate the compos	sition of course in	terms Humanities	and Social Science	es, Engineering Scien	nces Basic
				Project Work (PW)	,
Training / Intern		TOTOGSTORAL ERCOL	ve, open Elective,	Troject Work (1 W)	x Tractical
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
)	-) 3004	3)11101480	1)1001	3) Y CI J T OOI	(0)
10 D	1 01 11 1	C.1.			
		of the course in r	elation to the comp	petencies expected by	industry /
current global	l scenarios.				
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	0				1.
11. The curriculu	um has focus on s	skill development			
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
	f the course is an	propriate to discri	minate the student		
12 Evaluation of					
12.Evaluation of	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Date 15/5/2017

Name of Student Milvi deur

Signature Proveni

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLUFCE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year:	2016-	17
----------------	-------	----

Name: A Yamini

Course: MBA

Department: MBA

Years/Semester : 1 [ [V

1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
100	remely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor	
S.No.	Particula	rs	-		×				Rating	
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or								- Talling	

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	8
	broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8
5 0.3		0

		8			1/10
2. The syllabu	is was				
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
				Ta dia di	
<ol><li>Your backg</li></ol>	ground for benefiting	ng from the cours	e was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	,
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/mo	dules of the cours	se in the second		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5 D : .1 1	C.1		,		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course									
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)				

6. Were you al	ole to get the presc	ribed readings?		· [8]	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the de	sign of the cours	e in terms of extra	learning or self	f-learning		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		4 4		# # FG	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.			i inint	7 A.
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
337 1	E H				
10. Rate the	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected l	hy industry /
current glo	obal scenarios.			ompetencies expected	by madstry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
		, , , , ,		10) 101) 1001	(4)
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(Q)
			13	,	100
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	: ,				(&)
ny other suggest	ion(s)				
ny other suggest	ion(s)				
ny other suggest	ion(s)				

3 - <sup>20</sup>

A-Yamini Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CONFEE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



	1	
	chiJhansi	
Name:	My MOGOVAY CONTROLS	M

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Course: M. B.A

_					-		: M.		
Depar	tment:	M.B.A			, F	Years/S	Semester	: Z 57	year /115
<b>1.</b> F	Please give	e a rating of	your co	ourse on the follo	owing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme
S.No.	Particul	ars			w .				
1			erms of	skills, concepts	knowladae	1 4' 1 1'	11.1		Rating
	broaden	ing perspect	tives)	skins, concepts	, knowledge,	anaiyticai abi	lities, or		8
2				eal life situation	S	A	-		
3	Depth o	f the course	content			- Table 1			7
4	Extent of	of coverage of	of cours	e	1		a % *		8
5	Extent of	of effort requ	ired by	students	Ę.				7
6	Relevan	ce/learning	value of	f project/ report	6				8
7	Overall	rating		¥		-   -			7
2 T	he syllabu	IS MOS		9	J Cunsi	111	ΞA		1 7
	llenging	2) Adequa	ite	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant		
						3) 1110	icvaiit		
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	g from the cours	e was				
1) High	•	2) Relevan		3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Cor	npletely	(	2
Releva	nt			Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev	ant		œ l
		uence of un	its/mod	ules of the cours	se				
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	nte	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor		(3)
<b>5.</b> Ra	ate the ade	equateness o	of the tex	kt book and refer	rence book m	entioned for t	he cours	ρ	
l) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor		(2)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescri	bed readings?	. 8		5		
) Exce		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery poor		(1)
7. Ra	te the des	ign of the co	ourse in	terms of extra le	earning or self	f-learning	w		it.
) Very	good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very	v Poor		2)
				, 0-	1,		, 1 001		4)

(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ! )
	* * * * * * * *				
A. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	es and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / Int			18	22425 - 243 160 OLEK	1 1/4
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
NEB 31 1	*		¥ 5	145141	
10.Rate the d	epth of the syllab	us of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
N N	P. C.		* 1		
11. The curric	culum has focus or	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(Z)
12. Evaluation	n of the course is a	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			.3-	e garage e ar	-
ny other suggest	ion(s)				
				a as <sup>6</sup>	

Date 15 may 2017

eh: Jhansi' Signature

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 -17

Name: Ch. Prasanna Kumari

Course: MBA

Department: HBA

1) Very good

2) Good

Years/Semester: I / I

(2)

5) Very Poor

no 5	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars				* * * *	- X		Rating
1	Learning	y value (in t	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, or	r	8
2				al life situations	3	1		-	7
3		f the course					-		9
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course		,				8
5									
6	The state of project report					8			
7	Overall 1	rating				8 6			8
Cit	allenging			) Imadaminata	1) D-11	(C) T	1		7
	our backg		enefiting	) Inadequate from the course	4) Dull e was	5) Irr	elevant		
3. Y 1) Hig Releva	our backg	1	enefiting	*		* .	ompletely	/	(1) (2)
1) Hig Releva <b>4.</b> R	our backg hly ant	round for b	enefiting at the same of the s	from the course ) Partly	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co	ompletely	/	
1) Hig Releva <b>4.</b> R	our backg hly ant	round for b	enefiting nt 3 R	from the course ) Partly Relevant	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	ompletely		
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exe	our backg hly ant ate the seq	round for be 2) Relevant quence of ur 2) Adequate	enefiting nt 3 R nits/modu	from the course ) Partly Relevant	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor	5) Co Irrele	ompletely evant	r	(2)
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exe 5. R	our backg hly ant ate the seq	round for be 2) Relevant quence of ur 2) Adequate	enefiting Int 3 R Inits/modu	from the course ) Partly Relevant les of the course 3) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor	5) Co Irrele	ompletely evant	r	(2)
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	our backg thly ant ate the sequence cellent ate the ade	round for be 2) Relevant uence of ur 2) Adequateness (2) Adequateness (2) Adequateness (3)	enefiting nt 3 R  nits/modu nte 3 of the text nte 3	from the course ) Partly Relevant les of the course 3) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  se  4) Poor	5) Co Irrele	ompletely evant Very Poor	r	(3)

4) Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

Sciences, Profe Training / Intern	essional Course, P			ces, Engineering Scie	nces, Basic
Sciences, Profe Training / Intern	essional Course, P				nces, Basic
Sciences, Profe Training / Intern	essional Course, P				
	nshin etc		ve, Open Elective,	Project Work (PW)	& Practical
	isiip cic.	×	The fact of	1.84	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10. Rate the depo		of the course in r	elation to the com	petencies expected by	industry /
11. The curriculu	um has focus on s	kill development			
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
				,	
12.Evaluation o	f the course is app	propriate to discri	minate the student	S	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
other suggestion	n(s)				

Date 15 Hay 2017

Ch. Prosanna Kumazi Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17 Name: D. Hema sesha latha Course: MDA Years/Semester: 1 year, 1 sem Department: MRA 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Extremely Verv Moderately Some how Very Extremely Moderate Good Poor Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor S.No. **Particulars** Rating Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or 8 broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations 3 Depth of the course content Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report 6 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(0)
ry very good		3) Hverage	7)1001	3) VELY 1 001	(2)
P. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Scient	ences, Engineering Sci	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Course	, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Electiv	ve, Project Work (PW	& Practical
	ternship etc.		•		2
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
2	a de la companya de l				( - )
	lepth of the syllab	us of the course ir	relation to the co	ompetencies expected l	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
1 1 1					( ' )
11. The curric	culum has focus o	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			11.5		
12.Evaluation	n of the course is a	appropriate to disc	criminate the stude	ents	
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	n of the course is a	appropriate to disc	eriminate the stude		(2)
		appropriate to disc 3) Average		ents 5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good				(2)
	2) Good				(2)

Name of Student Or gracka

PHema snesha Catha

P. floma sesha leith

Signature

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: P. Hema HAncesha

Course: MBA

Department: MBA

Years/Semester: 19eat [1-1]

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

		2						
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Particulars	Rating
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
Depth of the course content	-7
Extent of coverage of course	8
Extent of effort required by students	9
Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
Overall rating	9
	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)  Applicability/relevance to real life situations  Depth of the course content  Extent of coverage of course  Extent of effort required by students  Relevance/learning value of project/ report

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3)	Inadequate 4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
-------------------------------	--------------------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Relevant   Relevant   Irrelevant   Irrelevant	1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
---	-----------------------	-------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(+)
1 × 10		n 9			

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

	1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
1						1

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
		Y	= =		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

			0		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( t )
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	es and Social So	ciences, Engineering So	ciences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int	ternship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	* * *		5 4		
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
2 7 11 2 14	9				
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
11. The curric	2) Good	on skill development 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ))
				5) Very Poor	( ))
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor	* v *	( ))
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	* v *	(2)
1) Very good  12. Evaluation	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	dents	(2)
1) Very good  12. Evaluation	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	dents	(2)

Name of Student P. Hemall Ancesha

IQAC

Date 30 May 2017

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING 6911 995
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



(ACTONOMOUS)

# Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name: V. Maga Can Department: MBA	our cour	Ø A	4			MBA	
Department: MBA	our cour		7				
Department: MBA	our cour						
				Years/	Semeste	r: 14	lar I sem
1. Please give a rating of you		se on the follow	wing			V	[1-
9 8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Very Good Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No. Particulars	No.				2		Rating
1 Learning value (in ter broadening perspective	ms of sl res)	cills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, o	r	9
2 Applicability/relevance	e to rea	l life situations			,		8
3 Depth of the course co	ontent		×				9
4 Extent of coverage of	course		1		è		8
5 Extent of effort requir	ed by st	udents			į.		9
6 Relevance/learning va	lue of p	project/ report					7
7 Overall rating	-			4			8
2. The syllabus was	- 8 -	1. 1.	,	)			
1) Challenging 2) Adequate	3)	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant		( )
3. Your background for ben	efiting f	from the course	was				
1) Highly 2) Relevant		) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletel	v	(2)
Relevant	R	elevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	-		. • /
4. Rate the sequence of unit	s/modul	les of the cours	e				
1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(1)
5. Rate the adequateness of	the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for	the cou	rse	
1) Excellent 2) Adequate		) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poo		(2)
6. Were you able to get the	orescrib	ed readings?	. 4		-		
1) Excellent 2) Adequate		) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(1)
7. Rate the design of the cou	ırse in te	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning	a		
1) Very good 2) Good		Average	4) Poor		ry Poor	1	
, , , , , , , , ,			1) 1 001	3) 40	19 1 001		

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
					*
. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In					<b>'</b> ,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1 ym - 1				1	
40 -	.1 6.1 11.1	C.1	1.4		l
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllai	bus of the course in	i relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	epth of the syllal	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				
current glo  1) Very good	bbal scenarios.  2) Good		4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bbal scenarios.  2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bbal scenarios.  2) Good  culum has focus of	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good	bbal scenarios.  2) Good  culum has focus of 2) Good	3) Average on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good	bbal scenarios.  2) Good  culum has focus of 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

Date 30 - 05 - 2017

Name of Student

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P,



Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name: Gr. Hindhu Sai Priyanka

Course: MBA

Department: MBA

Years/Semester :  $\widehat{1} - \widehat{1}$ 

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9 8 7 6 5 4

Extremely Very Good Moderately Moderate Teleproble Po

G	ood	Good	Good	Good	Moderate	Tolerable	Poor	Poor	Poor
-						8			
S.No.	Particula	ırs							Rating
1	Learning broadeni	y value (in t ng perspec	erms of sl tives)	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or	1	q
2	Applicab	ility/releva	ince to rea	l life situations	3 ,		-		0
3	Depth of	the course	content	at Agran			=	1)	9
4	Extent of	f coverage	of course		,	34	ja F		9
5	Extent of	f effort requ	ired by st	udents	-	£			8
6	Relevano	e/learning	value of p	project/ report	22				9
7	Overall r					2 W			q

		of project report			9
7 Overall	rating	****			9
2. The syllabu	ıs was	1. 4. 11			* • , , ,
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	ground for benefit	ing from the cours	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/m	odules of the cours	se	1,	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ad-	equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book mentic	oned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you a	ble to get the pres	scribed readings?			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( 1 )
7. Rate the des	sign of the course	in terms of extra l	earning or self-lea	rning	
	2) 0 1		100	T	

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning							
1) Very good		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)		

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sci	iences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int			4 F. 34	17.4	* 1
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1.1	· • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
40		1 0.1	1 . 41 4 41/		
10. Rate the d	enth of the sylla	bus of the course ir	i relation to the c	competencies expected i	nv industry /
		ibus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected l	by industry /
	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.  2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.			5) Very Poor	
current glo  1) Very good	bal scenarios.  2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios.  2) Good		4) Poor		
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios.  2) Good  ulum has focus	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo 1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios.  2) Good  ulum has focus  2) Good	3) Average on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo 1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios.  2) Good  ulum has focus  2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)

Date 30-May-2017 Name of Student Gr. Hindhu Sai Priyanka Signature Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COULTER;
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year:	2016-2017

Name:

P. Hima Birdhu

Course:

MBA

Department:

MBA

course.

Years/Semester: 1 - 11

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

		,		5				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	= 2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	0
	broadening perspectives)	0
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	1 9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	Q

-	-	**		
Z.	The	SV	labus	Was
	1 110	U , I	uuuu	W us

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	( )
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
-----------------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
# U 40					

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			25		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

		9 01 0011	100111115	
1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

essional Course, P nship etc. 2) Good	Professional Election 3) Average	ive, Open Elective  4) Poor	5) Very Poor  ces, Engineering Scient, Project Work (PW)  5) Very Poor  spetencies expected by  5) Very Poor	& Practical (2)
essional Course, Proship etc.  2) Good  th of the syllabus al scenarios.	3) Average of the course in r	4) Poor relation to the com	5) Very Poor petencies expected b	(2)  by industry /
essional Course, Proship etc.  2) Good  th of the syllabus al scenarios.	3) Average of the course in r	4) Poor relation to the com	5) Very Poor petencies expected b	(2)  by industry /
essional Course, Proship etc.  2) Good  th of the syllabus al scenarios.	3) Average of the course in r	4) Poor relation to the com	5) Very Poor petencies expected b	(2)  by industry /
2) Good  th of the syllabus al scenarios.	of the course in r	relation to the com	petencies expected b	y industry /
th of the syllabus	of the course in r	relation to the com	petencies expected b	y industry /
l scenarios.	* ***		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		1 /	7) 1015 1001	
		1	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
um has focus on s	skill development			
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
f the course is ap	propriate to discri	iminate the studen	ts	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
n(s)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
	2) Good f the course is ap 2) Good	2) Good 3) Average  f the course is appropriate to discre 2) Good 3) Average	f the course is appropriate to discriminate the studen 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor	2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor f the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 5

30-05-2017 Date

P. Hina Bindu Name of Student

Signature

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acade	mic Year	r: 201	6-17
Name	A.	Lavar	rya			Course	: ~	1BA	
Depart	tment :	MBA			J	Years/S	Semester	: 1-	2
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	rse on the follo	wing				
/	9	8	7	- 6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars	<del></del>	9 (8)				-	Rating
1	Learning broadeni	y value (in to	erms of sl tives)	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or		8
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	S	9.			9
3		f the course		o 10 10 11			4		8
4		f coverage of					us d		8
5		f effort requ			0 2			-	
6			value of p	project/ report					9
7	Overall r	ating				al .			8
2 T	he syllabu	C MOC				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		
-)		2) 1100qua	10 15	) madequate	T) Dull	3) 1116	revant		(2)
<b>3.</b> Y	our backgr	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was				
1) Higl		2) Relevar		) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Cor	mpletely		(8)
Releva	int		R	elevant				,	~ )
				.cic vaiit	Irrelevant	Irrelev	vant		(2)
<b>4.</b> Ra	ate the seq	uence of un	nits/modul	les of the cours		Irrelev	vant		2)
4. Ra 1) Exc		uence of un 2) Adequa					ery Poor		(1)
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	les of the cours  3) Inadequate	se 4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor		
1) Exc	cellent ate the ade	2) Adequa	ate 3	les of the cours	se 4) Poor rence book m	5) Volentioned for	ery Poor	se	
<ol> <li>Exc</li> <li>Ra</li> <li>Exc</li> </ol>	ate the ade	2) Adequateness of 2) Adequateness of 2) Adequateness of 2)	of the text ate 3	les of the cours  3) Inadequate  book and refer	se 4) Poor rence book m	5) Volentioned for	ery Poor	se	
5. Ra 1) Exc	ate the ade	2) Adequateness of 2) Adequateness of 2) Adequateness of 2)	of the text ate 3 e prescrib	les of the cours  3) Inadequate  book and refer  3) Inadequate	se 4) Poor rence book m	5) Volumentioned for 5) Volumentioned for	ery Poor	se	
5. Ra 1) Exc 6. W 1) Exc	ate the adecellent  Were you abovellent	2) Adequateness of 2)	of the text ate 3 e prescrib	les of the cours  3) Inadequate  book and refer  3) Inadequate  bed readings?	rence book m 4) Poor 4) Poor	5) Volumentioned for 6) Volume	ery Poor the cours	se	

A-Lavanya Signature

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	$(\ \ )$
Tr.	S sea To	a	5 to	F2 8 U.S.	,
Rate the com	nosition of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	the state of the s
Training / In		se, i roressional Elec	ctive, open Elec	ave, Project Work (1 W	) & Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
					0
10. Rate the d	enth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	hy industry /
	bal scenarios.	ious of the course h	r relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by madshy /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
, , ,	1 - )	1 - )	1 .7 =	10) .000	
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
			,	,	- C
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	H. C.		
y other suggest	ion(s)		· ***		
,	(-)				

IQAC

Name of Student

Date 15 may 2014

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					, ,	define 1 ea	ar: 901	6-2017
Department .	pauan l	kuma	M		Cou	rse : N	IBA **	
Department.	MBA			.*	Year	s/Semeste	r: <b>1</b> -	II.
1. Please giv	e a rating of y	our cours	se on the follo	wing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable		Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No. Particu			-					Rating
1 Learni broade	ng value (in ten	rms of sk ves)	ills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical a	abilities, o	r	9
	ability/relevan		life situations	3				
	of the course c							8
	of coverage of			1		*		7
	of effort requi		udents					8
	nce/learning v							
	l rating	1	-J 1-p		1.5			9
2. The syllab	2) Adequat		Inadequate	4) Dull	5) I	rrelevant		
	ground for ber				3 ,			
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant		Partly elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		Completely levant	у	(3)
4. Rate the se	equence of uni	ts/modul	es of the cours	se				
1) Excellent	2) Adequat	te 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poo	r	(   )
	dequateness of	the text	book and refer			or the cou	rse	
5. Rate the a	acquateriess of	the text	book and refer	rence book m	ientionea id	of the coun		
5. Rate the act 1) Excellent	2) Adequat		) Inadequate	4) Poor		Very poo	r	( )
1) Excellent		e 3)	) Inadequate				r	( )
1) Excellent	2) Adequat	prescribe	) Inadequate		5)			(1)
<ul><li>6. Were you</li><li>1) Excellent</li></ul>	2) Adequat	prescribe te 3	) Inadequate ed readings? ) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo		

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
				40 A B S	
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In	ternship etc.				<u> </u>
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
					t
10. Rate the c	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
	obal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1 1 2 2 2	=			2 * "	
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	41 (4)			, ac	
y other suggest	ion(s)		2 6 ° ° ° ° °		

Name of Student A. Pavan Kumar

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name: N. Ramu

Course: MBA

Department: MBA

Years/Semester: <u>T</u>-<u>T</u>

1. Please give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
, 9,	8	7	6	5	-4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	G
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	1
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	<u> </u>
7	Overall rating	9

				<u> </u>
us was		3	•	F4 21
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
ground for benefit	ing from the course	e was		
2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	()
	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	, , ,
4 14 1		<del></del>	**	
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( <b>2</b> )
equateness of the	text book and refe	rence book men	tioned for the course	
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
ible to get the pres	cribed readings?			
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( )
		. 101		1
sign of the course	in terms of extra le	earning or self-le	earning	
	2) Adequate ground for benefit 2) Relevant  quence of units/m 2) Adequate  equateness of the 2) Adequate  able to get the press 2) Adequate	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate  ground for benefiting from the course 2) Relevant 3) Partly Relevant  quence of units/modules of the course 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate  equateness of the text book and reference 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate  able to get the prescribed readings? 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull ground for benefiting from the course was  2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Irrelevant  quence of units/modules of the course  2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor  equateness of the text book and reference book ment  2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor  able to get the prescribed readings?  2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant  ground for benefiting from the course was  2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Irrelevant  quence of units/modules of the course  2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  equateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course  2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor  ble to get the prescribed readings?

(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
*		1 2 E	8 8	B 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
. Rate the com	osition of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Scie	ences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
				ve, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int				i i umo	(1.18)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
*				1.00	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	us of the course ir	relation to the co	mpetencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	× , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
- a" v " a	18			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	n skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stude	ents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, 20 g	
y other suggesti	on(s)				
F		*			
					_

Date 15/05

Name of Student N. RAMU

Signature

II O A CONTRACTOR DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLECE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name: 61. Jaya blitha

Course:

MCA

Department: MCA

Years/Semester: I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

	- 0	,	or our time route	********				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	(
4	Extent of coverage of course	1
5	Extent of effort required by students	-
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	( 2)
--	---------------	------

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant	(3)
--	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	H 7 8	N S	, u , a , a , a , a , a , a , a , a , a		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

			9		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(,3)

<b>8.</b> Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technology	advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	ofessional Course			iences, Engineering Sc ive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	bal scenarios.	n		ompetencies expected	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggest	ion(s)				
					And
ite	Na	ame of Student			Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name: J. Sotish

1) Very good

2) Good

Course: MCA

5) Very Poor

X 1.	9	8	7	se on the follo	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars					9		Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)								7
2				l life situations	}				
3		the course						0	76
4	Extent of	f coverage of	of course		1	3	ar ii		7
5		f effort requ							7
6			value of p	project/ report				6	6
7	Overall r	ating				4			7
	he syllabu Illenging		ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant		(0)
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa		Inadequate from the course	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant		(2)
1) Cha	Illenging  Our backgoon	2) Adequa	enefiting int 3	) Inadequate from the course ) Partly elevant		8 ° ,	npletely		(2)
1) Cha  3. Y 1) Hig Releva	our backgr hly	2) Adequation of the 2) Relevant	enefiting int 3	from the course Partly	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Cor	npletely		
1) Cha 3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R	our backgr hly	2) Adequation of the 2) Relevant	enefiting that 3 R	from the course ) Partly elevant	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Cor Irrelev	npletely	/	
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc	our backgrant ate the sequential	2) Adequation of the country of the	enefiting and a second	from the course ) Partly elevant les of the course ) Inadequate	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  e  4) Poor	5) Cor Irrelev	npletely vant ery Poor	r	(1)
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc	our backgrant ate the sequential	2) Adequation of the country of the	enefiting that 3 R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	from the course Partly elevant  les of the cours	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  e  4) Poor	5) Cor Irreleventioned for the	npletely vant ery Poor	r	(1)
3. Y 1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Exc 5. R 1) Exc	four backgrant  ate the sequellent  ate the adecellent	2) Adequation of the country of the	enefiting and a second	from the course ) Partly elevant les of the course ) Inadequate book and refer	e was  4) Mostly Irrelevant  e  4) Poor	5) Cor Irreleventioned for the	npletely vant ery Poor	r	(1)

4) Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

Signature

1) Vary good			tion to technology		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
P. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humaniti	es and Social Sci	ences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Electi	ve, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10. Rate the d	lepth of the syllab	ous of the course in	relation to the co	ompetencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.			X	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	culum has focus o	n skill developmer	nt		
	1 0 0 1	2) 4	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) 1001	3) Very Foor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) 1001	3) Very 1 001	(2)
		appropriate to disc		,	(2)
				,	(2)
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	of the course is 2) Good	appropriate to disc	riminate the stude	ents	
12.Evaluation	of the course is 2) Good	appropriate to disc	riminate the stude	ents	

Name of Student

IQAC

Date

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CONTECT
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



a graff		
Academic Year:	2016-	2027

Name: D. Anusha

Course: McA

Department: MCA

1) Very good

2) Good

Years/Semester: 7 /11

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 3 Extremely Very Moderately Some how Very Extremely Good Moderate Poor Good Good Good Tolerable Poor Poor S.No. **Particulars** Rating Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations 6 3 Depth of the course content 5 Extent of coverage of course 6 5 Extent of effort required by students 78 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely ( | )Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1)**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate

4) Poor

4) Poor

5) Very poor

5) Very Poor

()

1)

3) Inadequate

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

o. Rate the nex	donney in choosii	ng of elective in refa	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ciences Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In		,	, .,		,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		n 2		
10. Rate the d	lepth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.			T	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
4	- A				
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt	3	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		3			
12. Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	× v	n	· .	1 0 1 × 0	
y other suggest	ion(s)				
				<u>4</u> = - 1	
				5	
					01
					TORAL -
	. 1	D. Anus ha			Jan Broke
te	1	Name of Student			Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Moderate

4) Dull

4) Mostly

Irrelevant

4) Poor

4) Poor

4) Poor

4) Poor

Moderately

Good

3) Inadequate

3) Inadequate

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

3) Inadequate

3) Inadequate

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

3) Partly

Relevant

Name: SIC. Fathiura

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Relevance/learning value of project/ report

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

Good

Very

Good

broadening perspectives)

Depth of the course content

Extent of coverage of course

2) Adequate

2) Relevant

2) Adequate

2) Adequate

**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

2) Adequate

2) Good

Extent of effort required by students

Department: MCA

**Particulars** 

Overall rating

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging

1) Highly

Relevant

1) Excellent

1) Excellent

1) Excellent

1) Very good

Extremely

Good

S.No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

Academic Year: 2016-2017 Course: MCA Years/Semester: 2/1 4 3 Some how Tolerable Very Extremely Poor Poor Poor Rating Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or 7 5 8 6 5) Irrelevant (9 5) Completely (S)Irrelevant 5) Very Poor ( | )

5) Very poor

5) Very poor

5) Very Poor

(3)

(3)

(2)

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosin	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(   )
	ofessional Course			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	epth of the syllal	ous of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	ulum has focus of 2) Good	on skill developme  3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ) )
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1)
y other suggest	ion(s)				
te	S. N	A Falthu	ge-		Signature

Date

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



(ACTONOMOUS)

### **Student Feedback Form on Curriculum**

					<i>y</i> .	Acade	nic Year	r: 201	6-2017
		Journilea				Course	: MC	A	
Depar	tment : M	ICA -			7	Years/S	Semester	: T/M	=======================================
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars					<u>×</u>		Rating
1	Learning	g value (in t	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or		
	broaden	ing perspect	ives)		0 /				7
2	Applical	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	And the second			6
3	Depth of	f the course	content			* a "	-		8
	4 Extent of coverage of course							8	
	5 Extent of effort required by students								6
	6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report								
7	7 Overall rating								8
	he syllabu	s was	и в		4.01,54		S. 40		
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant		(2)
				from the course	e was	2 <sup>10</sup>			
1) Hig	•	2) Relevar		) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Cor	npletely		(2)
Releva	ınt		R	elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev	ant		7
		uence of ur	its/modu	les of the cours	e	×	3 E		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor		( ) )
<b>5.</b> R	ate the ade	equateness o	of the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for	he cours	se	
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor		(1)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	. 8	2,			
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(2)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

( | | )

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

1) Very good

2) Good

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Scient	ences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
		e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elective	ve, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / Int	ernship etc.				*
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( <b>&amp;</b> )
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( [)
current glo	bal scenarios.			mpetencies expected	(1)
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stude	ents	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	- x				
1) Very good  ny other suggesti	on(s)		A Ann		

Date

Name of Student

Signature

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016 - 2011 Course: MCA Years/Semester: [[] [[] 5) Irrelevant (2)5) Completely (2)Irrelevant 5) Very Poor (1) 5) Very poor 

Name: V. Nag, Reddy

Department: MCA

1. Please give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
	broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	Q.

2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Relevant Relevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

7. Rate the des	sign of the course	in terms of extra	learning or self-le	arning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

				y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			A STATE OF THE STA	W P B G	
. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
Training / In			•	1	,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
V	*	,	1.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
10. Rate the d	lepth of the syllal	ous of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
current glo	obal scenarios.				- ,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9 11 2 E	P *	5			
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	sie A		- x	n* n * n * n	
y other suggest	ion(s)				

Date

Name of Student

Signature

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING EGILEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: k. Ganeth Kuwar

Course: HCA

Department : MCA

1) Very good

2) Good

Years/Semester:

1. P		a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
<u>.</u>	9.	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
	r <del>"</del> .	·					<del></del>		
S.No.	Particula								Rating
1	Learning	g value (in t	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or		
	broaden	ing perspect	ives)			_			7
2				ıl life situations	3 .				6
3	Depth of	<del>-</del>	6						
4	Extent of coverage of course								
5	Extent of effort required by students								
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report								6
7	Overall r	ating		-				·	7
	ne syllabu			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	,			
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(	2)_
		round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was				
1) High		2) Relevar	nt 3	) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Coi	npletely	(	2)
Releva	nt		R	elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev		,	~ /
		uence of ur	its/modu	les of the cours	se .				y - 3
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor		(2)
<b>5.</b> Ra	ate the ade	quateness o	of the text	book and refer	rence book m	entioned for	the cours	e	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(2)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	*	··			
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(2)
								i	

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

		g of elective in rela	tion to technology	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
9 9	•			iences, Engineering Sc	eiences, Basic
Training / Int		e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	() & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			đ	- 1	
	epth of the syllab bal scenarios.	ous of the course in	n relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		on skill developme		CV	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
any other suggest	ion(s)				
		K Garesh Ku ame of Student	west		Can
Date	N	ame of Student			Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING GOUEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

Name: M. Vecranjanezuly

Course: MCA

	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars					<u> </u>		T D. et
1		Salarano.	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analytical ahi	litios on		Rating
	broaden	ing perspect	tives)	anis, concepts,	Miowicage,	analytical aut	inties, or		8
2				l life situations	3				17
3		Depth of the course content							
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course		1				8
5		f effort requ			+				9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report								1
7	Overall rating								9
	he syllabu llenging	s was  2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant		( 1 )
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was				
) High		2) Relevan		) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Cor	npletely		(2)
Releva	int			elevant	Irrelevant Irrelevant		1	(")	
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	uence of ur	nits/modu	les of the cours	se.		К.	7	
	cellent	2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor		(2)
5. R:	ate the ade	equateness o	of the text	book and refer	rence book m	entioned for	the course		
	cellent	2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor	ie	(1)
6. W	ere vou al	ale to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?			-		
	Vere you able to get the prescribed readings?  cellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor						(2)		

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
, cry good	2) 5300	5) Tivelage	1)1001	5) VOIY 1 001	
Data tha a		a in tanna II			
				iences, Engineering Sc	
		e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
Training / In		12) 4	1 A) B	15.11	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
, i					
10. Rate the d	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	2	7		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
, .v	E E				
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
			1		
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ( )
	F	X 2 2 2	0 y		
y other suggest	ion(s)		8		
, ,,,					
				the state of the s	
			1		, A
	N. Carlotte	1 Jeorgia	neighbe		- Nel .
te		Veranja Vame of Student		N	Cignotura
.0	r	variie of Student			Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COULFEE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



(AUTONOMOUS)

### **Student Feedback Form on Curriculum**

Academic Year: 2	016-	4
------------------	------	---

Name: M. Satoch

Course: M CA

5) Very Poor

Department: MCA

1) Very good

2) Good

Years/Semester : 1

	9	8	7	se on the follo	5	4	3	2	1		
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor		
S.No.	Particula	ars			2				Τ		
1			erms of sl	kills, concepts,	len avuladas	1-4' 1 1'	11.1		Rating		
	broaden	ing perspect	tives)	kiiis, concepts,	kilowiedge,	anaiyticai abi	lities, or	•	a		
2	Applical	Applicability/relevance to real life situations									
3		Depth of the course content									
4		Extent of coverage of course									
5	Extent of effort required by students										
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report								5		
7	Overall rating								7		
1) Cha	he syllabu llenging our backg	2) Adequa		) Inadequate from the course	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant	(			
1) Higl Releva	nly	2) Relevan	nt 3	) Partly elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Cor Irrelev	mpletely vant	′ (	3)		
<b>4.</b> Ra	ate the sec	quence of ur	nits/modu	les of the cours	e		8	(A. )			
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor	,	(2)		
<b>5.</b> Ra	ate the ade	equateness o	of the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for	the cour	se			
l) Exc		2) Adequa		) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor		(3)		
<b>6.</b> W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	9		5				
l) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(2)		

4) Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

9. Rate the compos			4) Poor es and Social Scient	5) Very Poor ences, Engineering Sci	())
Sciences, Profe			es and Social Sci	ences. Engineering Sci	ences Basic
		Professional Elec	tive, Open Electi	ve, Project Work (PW)	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current globa		s of the course in  3) Average	relation to the co	5) Very Poor	
	l.			3) Very Foor	(2)
	2) Good	skill developmer		5) V D	(7)
1) Very good	2) 0000	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
12. Evaluation o	f the course is ap	opropriate to disc	riminate the stude	ents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggestion	*	3) Average	(4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Date

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name	: Ga	nnivu.	Sai M	amohav			ademic Yea		
Depart	tment:	Mecha	mry	iomohav			1		ear Inne
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some h Toleral	ow Door	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	urs		<u>6</u>		3			Dating
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analytica	l abilities o	•	Rating
	broadeni	ng perspect	ives)	anis, concepts,	kilowicuge,	anarytica	aummes, o	±	8
2				al life situations	2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0
3		the course		Tito bitaation		<u> </u>	- 0		
4		f coverage of			1		B (20 E		9
5		f effort requ		tudents					1
6				project/ report	A				0
7	Overall r			reject report					1
	he syllabu			·			,		
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	te 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		( W
3 V									
J. 1	Our backer	round for be	nafitina	from the course					
				from the course	7	1.5	C		
1) High	hly	round for be 2) Relevar	nt 3	) Partly	4) Mostly	1	Completely	/	( 1 )
	hly		nt 3		7	1	Completely relevant	/	(1)
1) High Releva	hly int	2) Relevar	nt 3 R	) Partly elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	1		/	(1)
1) High Releva	hly int ate the seq	2) Relevar	its/modu	) Partly	4) Mostly Irrelevant	Ir			(1)
1) High Releva 4. Ra 1) Exc	hly ant ate the sequellent	2) Relevar	its/modu ite 3	) Partly elevant les of the cours 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant	Ir	o) Very Poo	r	
1) High Releva 4. Ra 1) Exc 5. Ra	ate the sequent	uence of un  2) Adequa	at 3 R  its/modu ate 3	) Partly elevant les of the cours	4) Mostly Irrelevant  e 4) Poor  rence book m	Ir :	o) Very Poo	r	
1) High Releva 4. Ra 1) Exc 5. Ra 1) Exc	ate the sequence at the ade	uence of un  2) Adequa  equateness of  2) Adequa	at 3 R  its/modu ate 3  f the text ate 3	) Partly Lelevant les of the cours B) Inadequate book and refer	4) Mostly Irrelevant  e 4) Poor  rence book m	Ir :	5) Very Poo	r	(1)
1) High Releva 4. Ra 1) Exc 5. Ra 1) Exc	ate the sequellent ate the adecellent	uence of un  2) Adequa  equateness of  2) Adequa	at 3 R iits/modu ite 3  If the text ite 3	) Partly Lelevant les of the cours B) Inadequate book and refer B) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant  e 4) Poor  rence book m	entioned	5) Very Poo	r	(1)
1) High Releva 4. Ra 1) Exc 5. Ra 1) Exc 6. W 1) Exc	ate the sequellent  ate the adecellent  Are you absellent  ate the des	2) Relevar	at 3 R  its/modu tte 3  of the text tte 3  e prescrib	elevant  les of the cours  les	4) Mostly Irrelevant  4) Poor  ence book m 4) Poor  4) Poor	entioned	for the cour 5) Very poor	r	(V)

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	g of elective in relati	ion to technology	advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				e la la	
9. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanitie	es and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e, Professional Elec	tive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	) & Practical
Training / Int				a series y series	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(, )
	* .		2 % %	9 3	-
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	ous of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2/)
	N			1	
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developmen	it .		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		2/	,	a v	
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disci	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(V)
	1 (c)		E	8	9
y other suggest	ion(s)				
1		2 2			
				1.0	
2017					9 1.
0.2-2017					davis
te	N	ame of Student T	annivuso	Momohan	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



				0		Acade	mic Yea	r: 201	6-1)
Name	. Do	bouting S	a ho	ρ.		Course	e: I	Year	Birech
Depar	tment : 🏲	1 echom	61		e*	Years/S	Semester	:: IY	BTech Can II SK
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	se on the follo	wing				
, ž.	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely	Very	Good	Moderately		Some how	10.0	Very	Extremel
C	Good	Good	Good	Good	Moderate	Tolerable	Poor	Poor	Poor
CN	D							7	
S.No.	Particula		TO THE STATE OF TH			-	4)		Rating
1	Learning broadeni	g value (in t ng perspect	erms of sitives)	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	ilities, or		8
. 2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3				8
3	Depth of	the course	content	2 2 00	11 H	T (4)			9
4	Extent of	f coverage of	of course		,				8
5	Extent of	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents			,		9
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	V - 11.12 - 12.22 - 12				
7	Overall r								8
	77.1						7 7		0
2. T	he syllabu	s was							
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		1)
	1.6			7					
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was				
1) High	hly	2) Relevan	nt 3	) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	/ (	1)
Releva	nt		R	elevant	Irrelevant	Irrele		,	1 2
<b>4.</b> Ra	ate the seq	uence of ur	nits/modu	les of the cours	e				
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor	•	(2)
<b>5.</b> Ra	ate the ade	quateness o	of the text	book and refer	ence book m	nentioned for	the cour	se	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	•	(2)
6. W	ere you at	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	T.		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(1)
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	lf-learning	10.		
1) Very		2) Good		) Average	4) Poor		ry Poor	. (	2)
					1		•		

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				8 F S 2	
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In	ternship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	<del></del>	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good		<del></del>	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good  11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developme	nt		(1)
1) Very good  11. The curric	2) Good		<del></del>	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(7)
1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme  3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor dents	2
1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good  12. Evaluation	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good n of the course is	on skill developme 3) Average s appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good  11. The curric 1) Very good  12. Evaluation	2) Good culum has focus 2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average s appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor dents	2

15-3-17 Date Dasari sai Copi

Name of Student

Signature

I QAC

Principal NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING EMILICE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 60% Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						A	cademi	ic Year	r: 20	6-17
		ılcanya				C	ourse :	13.	Tech	
Depart	ment:	Tech	Eng			Ye	ears/Se	mester	: 2 no	Year 2 h
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	vour cour	se on the follo	wing					
120	9	8	7	6	5	4		3	2	1
Ext	remely	Very	C 1	Moderately		Some I	how		Very	Extremely
G	food	Good	Good	Good	Moderate	Tolera		Poor	Poor	Poor
	<b>P</b>						r. k			
S.No.	Particula	ırs						8		Rating
1	Learning	g value (in t	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytica	al abilit	ties, or	8	0.
	broadeni	ng perspect	tives)							9
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations						8
3	Depth of	the course	content		y 1 - 0			X3		
4	Extent of	f coverage of	of course		,		× 5 K			a
5		f effort requ		tudents						8
6				project/ report						8 8
7	Overall r			rejeen repert						9
		8						×		
2. T	he syllabu	s was								
	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	15	5) Irrele	want		
	0 0		1.5	) madequate	1) Dun		) III CIC	vanit		
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was					
1) High		2) Relevan		) Partly	4) Mostly	- 15	5) Com	nlataly	,   ,	· \
Releva		2) 1000 141		elevant	Irrelevant		rreleva		'	(2)
					111Cic valit		Ticicva			
4. R	ate the sec	uence of ur	nits/modu	les of the cours	ie.					
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ver	y Poor	.	(1)
-,		2) ridoqui		) madequate	7)1001		<i>3)</i> VCI	y 1 001		( )
		1			-	37. 7				
5. Ra	ate the ade	equateness of	of the text	book and refer	rence book m	nentioned	d for th	e cour	se	
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ver			(2)
		, ,		,	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		<i>-</i> ) . •.	) poor		
					*	·	*			
6. W	ere you al	ole to get th	e prescrib	ed readings?						
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	T	5) Ver	y poor		(   )
200						5 5	,	, I		
				the same of the same of the same		L				
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	lf-learnii	ng			
1) Very		2) Good		) Average	4) Poor		() Very	Poor	(	2
n										

8. Rate the flex	sibility in choosir	ng of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Cours			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
10. Rate the d	lepth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course in	n relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
		on skill developme			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
		s appropriate to disc			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
8-01-2017		A Julian			A Jure
ite	N	lame of Student			Signature
		M.SUKANYI	9		
	H	4	NARASARAOPE (AU	Principal TA ENGILEERING COURCE TONOMOUS)	<u> </u>
	JAN.	and the same of th	NARASA	RAOPET - 522 601. tur (Dist.), A.P.	



						Acader	nic Yea	r: 20	16 - 17
Name	: K.V	Thiru	mala	Reddy				.Tell	
Depart	tment : 🏲	lech			£.,	Years/S	emester	:2 nd	Year 2 <sup>n</sup>
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
V <sub>2</sub>	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars							Datin
1			erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analytical abi	lition or		Rating
	broadeni	ing perspect	tives)	xiiis, concepts,	knowledge,	anarytical abi	intes, or		8
. 2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	l life situations	5				q
3	Depth of	the course	content	* 1 2	g 21 - 5 83		0.1		a
4	Extent of	f coverage of	of course	The state of the s	1		i.e. if		8
5	Extent of	f effort requ	ired by st	udents					q
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report					0
7	Overall r								8
	he syllabu	s was	÷			7			<i>D</i>
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(	1)
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was				
1) High		2) Relevar		) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Cor	npletely	, (	
Releva	-	2) 1010 (41		elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev		(	2
<b>4.</b> Ra	ate the seq	uence of un		les of the cours		miciev	dit		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor	r	(2)
<b>5.</b> Ra	ate the ade	quateness o	of the text	book and refer	rence book m	entioned for t	the cour	se	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery poor	•	( )
<b>6.</b> W	ere you at	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?					
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	r	(2)
					_1				
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the co	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning			
7. Ra		ign of the co		erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning 5) Ver	v Poor	(	<u></u>

o. Rate the nex	idility in choosii	ig of elective in refa	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
				ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int		e, i foressional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	uve, Project Work (P W	) & Fractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
11. The curric	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	1 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
12.Evaluation	of the course is	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
any other suggesti	ion(s)				
	2				
0-01-2017		K.V. Thirluma	la Reddy		KVPin
Date	1	Name of Student			Signature

I Q A C

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGIMEERING COURCE,
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					,				
	1	· .				Acade	mic Yea	r: 201	6-17
Name	: P. F	ramod	Kum	an		Course	: B	Tech	
Depar	tment :	Mech	Eng	1		Years/S	Semester	r: 11 /	ear D se
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1 -
	remely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremel
	Good	Good		Good	Tyrodorato	Tolerable	1 001	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars							D
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowladga	analytical abi	1:4:		Rating
n n	broaden	ing perspect	ives)	kins, concepts,	kilowiedge,	anaryticai abi	itties, or		a
2				al life situations	2	v.	-		-
3		f the course		if the situation	3				8
4		f coverage of			1	-			8
5		f effort requ		tudents	7/		Е		9
6				project/ report					8
7	Overall		varae or p	rojecu report					1
	o veran	ding		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-1-1		* *		8
2. T	he syllabu	s was							
	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant		
		1		7	1 17 - 5.5.		TOVALITE		
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was				
1) High		2) Relevar		) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	/ (	2
Releva	nt		R	elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev		,	
		1							
4. R	ate the sec	uence of un	its/modu	les of the cours	se				
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor	-	( )
	3 50 0			1000 100		20° 10			
_							T.		
				book and refer	rence book m	entioned for	the cour	se	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(2)
							4		
( 11)	fa	1							
				ed readings?	T	* 100 ps			
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite   3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	r	(2)
		"							
7 R	ate the des	ion of the o	ourse in t	erms of extra le	anning on cal	f 100mg!			
1) Very		2) Good					n. De	7	
1) VCI	good	2) 000u	] 3,	) Average	4) Poor	(3) Ver	y Poor		)

8. Rate the flexi	ibility in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
	fessional Course			ciences, Engineering So tive, Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
current glo	bal scenarios.	ous of the course ir		competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
		n skill developme		5.W B	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
		appropriate to disc		dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
ny other suggesti	on(s)	. 8,			
3-01-2017		Pramod K	u mav		Don

IOAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLECT
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					,)				
						Acade	nic Year	20	16-17
Name	: M.	Sure	Sh B	abu					35 ech
Depart	tment:	ME			*	Years/S	Semester	: D Y	ear Il
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	se on the follo	wing				
8.5	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Doting
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analytical abi	lities or		Rating
**	broadeni	ing perspect	tives)	, сопсеры,	idio irredge,	anary treat abi	incs, or		a
. 2				al life situations	3				8
3		the course		8 8 9					
4		f coverage of			7				9
5		f effort requ		tudents		*			9 8060
6				project/ report					å
7	Overall r		1	- Jeen op eer					8
	ne syllabu		lă.				* 3		
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(	1)
3 V	our backer	round for be	enefiting	from the course	, was	2 2 3			
1) High		2) Relevar		) Partly	4) Mostly	5) (2	1.1		
Releva	-	2) Keleval		elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev	npletely ant	(	2)
		uence of ur	nits/modu	les of the cours	e			=	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor		(2)
<b>5.</b> Ra	ate the ade	equateness o	of the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for	the cours	e	
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor		( )
<b>6.</b> W	ere you at	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	E				
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor		(   )
7. Ra	ite the des	ign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning			
1) Very		2) Good		) Average	4) Poor	5) Ver	y Poor	(	7)
ē.					L		-		

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technology	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
		E 0 F		9 316 ug	
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
				ive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int		, 11010001011111 210	, open 2	2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2	) cc i ractica.
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
10. Rate the de	enth of the syllah	ous of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	hy industry /
	bal scenarios.	as of the course if	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by madsity ?
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
, , , ,				1 - 7 - 1 - 2	
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
	. "				
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
	- 0 5	The second secon	ta i		
ny other suggesti	on(s)		8		
				9 42 Se 9.5	
Y.					
					110
					M 868_
4-01-2017	<b>+</b>	1- Suresh Bo	26h		V Van
ate	Na	ame of Student			Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COULEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acad	emic Yea	r: 201	6-17
Name	· Pou	thom K	heli, m					Tech	
Depart	tment:	1eth on	wed		#	Years	/Semester	: 350	Year 2
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
-	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars	·		r ()		30 6		Rating
1	Learning	g value (in ting perspec	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical a	bilities, or	•	Turng
2				l life situations	2				
3		f the course		ii iiio situutioik		- 194 - N			8
4		f coverage		·	1				8
5		f effort requ		udents	<del></del>				9
6				project/ report					9
7	Overall 1		r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1.5			8
101		3		2					
2. TI	he syllabu	s was							
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Ir	relevant		1)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting t	from the course	e was		×		
1) High Releva	nly	2) Relevan	nt 3	) Partly elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	100	ompletely evant	′ (	1)
<b>4.</b> Ra	ate the seq	uence of ur	nits/modul	les of the cours	e	10 2 8 9 2	4) 		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poor	•	( <b>q</b> )
5. Ra	ate the ade	equateness of	of the text	book and refer	rence book m	entioned fo	r the cour		
1) Exc		2) Adequa		) Inadequate			Very poor		( 1)
6. W	ere vou al	ole to get th	e prescrib	ed readings?	1				
1) Exc		2) Adequa		) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poor	-	(2)
7. Ra	ate the des	ion of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	flagrains	2		
1) Very		2) Good		Average	4) Poor		ery Poor	1	1
-,	3	2, 0004		Tivolugo	1) 1 001	3) V	cry 1 001		

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
P. Rate the comp	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering So	ciences, Basi
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	/) & Practic
Training / Int	ernship etc.				i i
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10. Rate the de	epth of the syllab	ous of the course ir	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry
current glo	bal scenarios.	·			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	11				
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developme	nt		
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	×		,	· *	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
, , ,	* = *	X 2 3	*	e e e	
, , ,					
y other suggesti	on(s)				
	on(s)				
	on(s)			ese a	
		uthan 16 hasîn	8		

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

			1 2100	A Mayu	HIW			1. 20	
Name:	Gu	sti Ru	JM ICE	gh Maru	<b>5</b> 1.	Course	: 2	Year	Issum B Ge
Departr	ment:	1 echon	NCM		£.	Years/S	Semester	: 74	Issum B Ge eon Issum
<b>1.</b> Plo	ease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extremely
	oou	Good		Good		Tolerable		Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs							Rating
1				kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or	r .	
_		ng perspect		11'0 ' '					
2				al life situations	3	***	+ 1		-8
3		the course			-	* *	3.6		9
4		f coverage of				·	74 ft		8
5		f effort requ			- N				q
6			value of p	project/ report					9
7	Overall r	ating				F 9:	-, -,		8
2. Th	ne syllabus	s was							
	lenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irro	elevant		(1)
<b>3.</b> Yo	our backgr	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was	E a	9	,	
1) High		2) Relevan		3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletel	v	( <b>A</b> )
Relevar				Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	-		( )
<b>4.</b> Ra	ate the seq	uence of ur	nits/modu	iles of the cours	se	8 8			
1) Exce		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(2)
11				× 2	<u> </u>		All III		
<b>5.</b> Ra	ite the ade	equateness of	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	the cour	rse	
1) Exce	ellent	2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(1)
6 W	ara vay al	ala ta gat th	المحمدة	had madinas?		,			
1) Exce				bed readings?	4) Door	5) 3	<i>I</i>		
1) Exc	enem	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	3) \	ery poo	or	(1)
7. Ra	ite the des	ign of the c	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learning	=		
		2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ry Poor		( 2)
1) Very	/ 2000 I	21 0000							

Signature

ssional Cours ship etc. 2) Good th of the sylla	e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Societive, Open Elective, 4) Poor	ciences, Engineering Science, Project Work (PW	ciences, Bas 7) & Practic
ssional Cours ship etc. 2) Good th of the sylla	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	( ) & Practic
th of the sylla		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( , )
th of the sylla			/	
l scenarios.	bus of the course ir		competencies expected	by industry
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	on skill developme	nt		
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
(s)				
G	De Rush Iron	Man		am
1	im has focus 2) Good  f the course is 2) Good  (s)	am has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average  The course is appropriate to disc 2) Good 3) Average  (s)	am has focus on skill development  2) Good  3) Average  4) Poor  5 the course is appropriate to discriminate the stud  2) Good  3) Average  4) Poor	am has focus on skill development  2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  6 the course is appropriate to discriminate the students  2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor  (s)

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



## $\underline{\textbf{Student Feedback Form on Curriculum}}$

					ζ.			12-X 17-22-1	. 4 - 17
				. 0.		Acader	nic Year	r: 20	16-17
Name	Ma	ddu N	arouin	whom I caso				.Tell	
Depart	ment:	Mecha	maj		F.	Years/S	emester	: 2 4	lear Du
1. P	lease give	a rating of	vour cour	se on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars					E E		Rating
1	Learning	g value (in t	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or	•	
*,		ing perspect							9
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	Tax is	ē =		8
3	Depth of	f the course	content	2 0 ° 8	1				9
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course		,		- e. *i		81
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents					9
6	Relevan	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	n				9
7	Overall	rating		-		5 W			8
		7				*			
	he syllabu	s was	<i>i</i> -			- H - H -			
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		( <u>\f\</u> )
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was		,		
1) Hig		2) Releva		) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	/	(2)
Releva	•	,	1	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele			
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	uence of u	nits/modu	les of the cours	se	2 2 0	10		
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(1)
-		1				2 × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×	* 1 * 2		
				t book and refe					
1) Exe	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(   )
6. W	Vere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	ped readings?			(c	56	
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(2)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learning	·		*
	y good	2) Good		) Average	4) Poor		ry Poor		(1)
1) Ver	y good	2) Good	3	) Average	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor		( ( )

1) Very good	2) Good	ag of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( <b>v</b> )
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1)1001	3) VOI 9 1 001	()
0 D					
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	) & Practica
Training / In	7		* 0		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	A .				
10. Rate the d	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected l	av industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	ous of the course h	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	(5) W D.	
1) tery good	2) 0000	3) Average	4) 1001	5) Very Poor	(1)
11 70					
		on skill developme	nt	V	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
3 2 3		, ,	1,7200	5) (6) 1001	(V)
ny other suggesti	ion(s)		i lan		
ly outer buggest	ion(s)				
				* **	
3/17		ame of Student	Sand O		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1000 a Mara	mallan		1 01 2
te	N	ame of Student			Signature

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COULERE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



## $\underline{\textbf{Student Feedback Form on Curriculum}}_{j}$

						Acaden	nic Year	: 201	6-17
Name	Gur	, rupall;	Copi			Course	: B.	Tech	
Depart	ment:	Mechan	n d		2,	Years/S	emester	: 19 -	1
<b>1.</b> Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs			8		е ,		Rating
1			erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge.	analytical abi	lities or		Rating
		ng perspect		,,					1
2				l life situations	3				8
3		the course					-		8
4		f coverage of			1		yar fi		q
5		f effort requ		tudents		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
6				project/ report	(F				0
7	Overall r								1
	he syllabu								/
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant		
2 37	1	1.0-1	c.·	C					
				from the course	T	5.0			
1) High Releva		2) Releva		) Partly Lelevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	Irrele	mpletely vant	/	( / )
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	uence of u	nits/modu	les of the cours	se		*		-
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(2)
5. R	ate the ade	equateness	of the text	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	the cour	·se	
		T		3) Inadequate			ery poo		(1)
6. W	ere you a	ble to get th	e prescrit	ped readings?		· · ·	,		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(3)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learning			
1) Ver	y good	2) Good	3	) Average	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor		(2)

8. Rate the flex	sibility in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
P. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	ofessional Course	in terms Humanit , Professional Elec	ies and Social Sc ctive, Open Elect	siences, Engineering Scitive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic ) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)
current glo	bal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected by	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
		n skill developme			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( ) )
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggest	ion(s)				
2-16 te	G Na	untupall: Cume of Student	op:	6	Signature

Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING GOLUEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Aca	demic Year	ir: 201	6-17
Name	B.1	Law; To 1echan	yo			Cou	rse: B	Tech	
Depart	ment:	1 echan	n d		<i>7</i> ,	Year	s/Semeste	r: 1 <u>V</u>	-I
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5 .	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some hor Tolerable		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs					- 12		Rating
1		y value (in to		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical	abilities, o	r	8
- ,2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	The second	8 8		9
3		the course					et		
4		f coverage of			,		" or "		8
5		f effort requ			11				8
6			value of	project/ report					9
7	Overall	ating		*					17
	he syllabu	s was	a	en d	*		. *		,
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(   )
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the course	e was		**************************************		
1) Hig Releva		2) Releva	1	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		Completel elevant	У	( ))
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	quence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cours	se	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2			
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poo	or	(2)
5. R	ate the ade	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned t	for the cou	ırse	
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		) Very poo		(2)
6. W	/ere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	÷ A		21 500		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	) Very poo	or	()
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learning	×	- L	22
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		Very Poor		(2
-					1	<u>'</u>			

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	ng of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1 )
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Int	offessional Cours	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sc ctive, Open Elect	iences, Engineering Scive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic ) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1 )
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	ulum has focus (2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	1 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(-1)
ny other suggesti	on(s)				
7-16 and	BN	Ravi Tysame of Student			Signature

6

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLECT
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acad	emic Yea	r: 201	6-17
Name	Jam	pula a	sin: vo	4			e: B		
Depart	ment:	18 chaw	J'Col		#	Years	Semeste	r: [V -	-1
<b>1.</b> Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula								Rating
1	broadeni	ng perspect	ives)	kills, concepts,		analytical a	oilities, o	r	7
2				al life situations	3	ta i		•	3
3	-	the course					= 0		8
4		f coverage of			,		0 (x) X		6
5		f effort requ							7
6			value of p	project/ report					9
7	Overall r	ating				*-			8
2. T	he syllabu	s was	٥	1,157	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) I	relevant		(   )
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	* x x		0	
1) Hig Releva		2) Releva		) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		ompletel evant	У	(2)
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	uence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cour	se	- 1 1 1 2 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4			
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poo	or	( )
<b>5.</b> R	ate the add	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned fo	or the cou	ırse	a <sup>1</sup>
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	or	( ) )
6. W	Vere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	. 8		== == •		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very po	or	(2)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learning	×	,	
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ery Poor		(2
3		•				257 ×			

o. Rate the flex	ibility in choosir	ng of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Int	itessional Cours	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Social Societive, Open Elect	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basi ) & Practic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.	2 2	, ,	ompetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( / )
		on skill developmer			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggestion	on(s)			e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
8-16	N	I ampula Sin ame of Student	niva		I fy Signature

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLECE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



			<del>otuuti</del>	e i ceasueir	OIM OH C	urrieurum	•		
					,1	Acader	nic Yea	r: 20	16-17
Name:	chat	tu Ican	1 this					Teu	
Departme	ent:	tu Ican	med		.*	Years/S	Semester	: []	-I
1. Plea	se give	a rating of	vour com	rse on the follow	wing				
9	8	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extren Goo		Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No. F	Particula	rs		***************************************					Rating
1 L		value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or	•	- Timeg
. 2 A	Applicab	ility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3		1.		8
3 I	Depth of	the course	content				125		8
4 E	Extent of	coverage (	of course			x			9
		effort requ							8
			value of	project/ report					9
7 (	Overall r	ating							8
2 The	avillaby								
1) Challe	syllabus	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		(2)
1) Chanc	inging	2) Hacque	110	) madequate	( +) Duii	3) 1110	Cicvant		
3. You	ir backgi	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was				
1) Highly		2) Releva		3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	/	( ( )
Relevant			F	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	vant		
4. Rate	e the seq	uence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cours	se	0 N7 3 1 8	\$		
1) Excel	lent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	( ک )
5. Rate	e the ade	quateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	the cour	·se	
1) Excel		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poo		(1)
6. Wer	e you at	ole to get th	e prescri	bed readings?	i ji	e e	5		
1) Excel		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(1)
7. Rate	e the des	ign of the o	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learning	£		
1) Very g		2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ry Poor		(1)

1) Vami and		ig of elective in rela			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ofessional Course	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Ele	ies and Social Sociive, Open Elect	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic ) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good	epth of the syllal bal scenarios.  2) Good	bus of the course in  3) Average		competencies expected	
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( 1 )
	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggesti	on(s)				
3-17		habtu kann	l~ik		Melo

IQAC.

Principal NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLECT (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					۴,			- ^	1/ 17
						Acade	mic Yea	r: 20	16-17
Name	: 5h	aik so 1 echan	ida					Tech	
Depart	ment:	1 echon	n Cd			Years/S	Semester	: <u>I</u>	-11
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely
L G	food	Good		Good	Tyrodoraco	Tolerable	1 001	Poor	Poor
CN	D ( 1				8	* .			
S.No.	Particula		0.1						Rating
1				kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, or	•	3
		ng perspect					31		1
2				al life situations	3	Face of			9
3	-	the course		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			*:5		8
4		f coverage of			7		3 K *		8
5		f effort requ							9
6			value of p	project/ report	n				9
7	Overall 1	rating				4.4			8
<b>2.</b> T	he syllabu	s was	F2						
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant		(1)
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	1 X		8	
1) Hig Releva		2) Releva		) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	mpletely	У	(2)
				£					
<b>4.</b> R	ate the sec	uence of u	nits/modu	les of the cours	se				
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(/)
<b>5.</b> R	ate the ade	equateness	of the text	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	the cou	rse	
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poo		(1)
6. W	Vere you a	ble to get th	e prescril	ped readings?	1		: 0 :		
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	Very poo	or	(1)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learning	[H		
1) Ver	y good	2) Good	3	) Average	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor		(1)
12					-				

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		e s	2 2		
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	iences, Engineering Sc	·
Sciences Pro	ofessional Course	e Professional Fla	ctive Open Floot	ive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic
Training / In	ternship etc.	e, i roressionai Lie	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project work (Pw	) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				1 2 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
10. Rate the d	lepth of the syllal	bus of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected l	av industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	o de est time course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected i	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			1,71001	3) (01) 1 001	
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )
, 11, 8004	2) 3000	3) Hverage	4)1001	3) very Poor	(1)
12 Evaluation	of the course is				
) Very good		appropriate to disc			
) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	$(\mathcal{V})$
y other suggesti	ion(s)				
	1 2	× 1	* F	Maria Cara Maria Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara Cara	
				* a	
					0
3-17		Shairsand	1		210
J-11		) nuik soud	e		an V
e		ame of Student			

Principa NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLUMNS (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2016-17

Name	: Sy	yed Ad Mechan	am S	40/0		Course	: B.	5 ech	
Depart	ment:	Mechan	~ (d		<i>*</i> .	Years/S	Semester	: 3rd	Year 2 no
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs							D-4
1	Learning		erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or		Rating
.2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	l life situations	S .				8
3	Depth of	the course	content	*	1 1 1		kii		- E
4		f coverage of		ilon	,				a
5	Extent of	f effort requ	ired by st	udents					2
6	Relevano	e/learning	value of p	project/ report					9
7	Overall r	ating		P 2			-		-
	ne syllabus	s was  2) Adequa	te 3	) Inadequate	(4) Dull	5) I	1		
1) Cha	iteliging	2) Adequa	110 1 3	madequate	4) Dull	(5) Irre	levant	(	2)
3. Yo	our backgr	round for be	enefiting t	from the course	e was				
1) High		2) Relevar		) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Cor	npletely		
Releva		_) = 1010 · ui		elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev		(	1)
<b>4.</b> Ra	ate the seq	uence of un	-	es of the cours	Manufacture Control of the Control o		unt		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor		() )
				book and refer	rence book m	entioned for t	he cours	e	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery poor		(2)
6. W	ere you ab	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	į.		10		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery poor		( 1)
7. Ra	ite the desi	ign of the co	ourse in te	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning			
1) Very		2) Good		Average	4) Poor	5) Ver	y Poor	(	()
					4		-		

Signature

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	ig of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	2000
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				4 8 8 8 9 N	
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In	ternship etc.		200		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	8	*			
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(, )
, E					
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	, =			2	
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)
	en e				
y other suggest	ion(s)				
Į.				Bat " 10 " "	
1					
					^

Sycs Adam Shapi Name of Student

IQAC

2 - 1-17 Date

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING GOUSCE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



			-			Acad	emic Yea	r: 201	6-17
Name	: Raw	ella 1	jagar)	una		Cours	se: B	Tell	
Depart	tment :	ella M	ial		. J	Years	/Semester	r: 3rd)	lean 2 n
<b>1.</b> P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
NI <sup>X</sup>	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs		B 8		9	* ±		Rating
1		y value (in t ng perspect		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical al	oilities, o	r	
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	The second			8
3	Depth of	the course	content	s * 1 d		1. r w		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course		1 -		** 14. <sup>14</sup>		0,
5	Extent of	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents					9
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	ß v				8
7	Overall r	ating				X I a			9
2. T	he syllabu	s was		1		,	e d		1
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Ir	relevant		(1)
<b>3.</b> Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the course	e was				
1) Hig		2) Relevan		) Partly	4) Mostly	5) C	ompletel	v	
Releva				Lelevant	Irrelevant	1 -	evant		
<b>4.</b> R	ate the seq	uence of ur	nits/modu	les of the cours	se	* * * * * *		1	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poo	r	(2)
<b>5.</b> R	ate the ade	equateness (	of the text	book and refe	rence book m	nentioned fo	r the cou	rse	
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		Very poo		(   )
6. W	ere you al	ole to get th	e prescrib	ped readings?					
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	or	( ک_ )
7 R	ate the des	ign of the o	ourse in t	erms of extra l	earning or co	If learning	×		
1) Ver		2) Good		) Average	4) Poor		ery Poor		1)
-) (01	, 500 <b>u</b>	2) 0000		Tronage	1 7) 1 001	-   3) V	cry r oor		1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				a KA S	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	es and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Rasi
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e. Professional Elec	ctive Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practice
Training / Int	ernship etc.	.,	, op	ares, project work (1 w	) ex Tractic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)
	97	-		33	i
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry
current glo	bal scenarios.				oj madon,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	B 1				
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(*)
			,	e*	
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	2 2 2		2,,	A STATE OF THE STA	7-
	on(s)				
ny other suggesti					
ny other suggesti					
ny other suggesti			3 <u>2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3</u>		
ny other suggesti					O 1 A

Principal

NARASARAGPETA ENGINEERINGCOURGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.