STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

2016-17

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : N. Naveen

Course : B.Tech.

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

N. Naveen

Name of Student 1

N. Naveen

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : M. Mahesh

Course : B.Tech

Department : civil Engineering

Years/Semester : I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	M. Mahesh	M. Mahesh
	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : K. VAMSI

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil Engineering

Years/Semester : I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Vamsi
Name of Student

K. Vamsi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : P. Sravan kumar

Course : B-tech

Department : Civil engineering

Years/Semester : I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Sravan kumar

Name of Student

Sravan kumar.

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : D. Kiran

Course : B.Tech.

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : 2/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D. kiran
Name of Student

D. kiran
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : D. Nagus Bastia

Course : B.Tech

Department : CIVIL

Years/Semester : II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D. Nagus Basha
Name of Student

D. Nagus Basha
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC **NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE**
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017.

Name : A. Niharika

Course : B.Tech.

Department : civil

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

A. Niharika.
Name of Student

A. Niharika
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : Ch. Anil

Course : B.Tech.

Department : Civil Engineering.

Years/Semester : II/IV.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : K. Anuhya

Course : B-Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : III/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Anuhya
Name of Student

K. Anuhya
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Salma Sulaiman

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : III / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Salma Suleiman
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : J. Raja

Course : B. Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : III / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

J. Teja
Name of Student

J. Teja
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : T. SUBBA REDDY

Course : B-Tech

Department : Civil Engineering.

Years/Semester : III / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D. SUBBA REDDY.
Name of Student

D. SUBBA REDDY.
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 – 17

Name : P. Gopichand

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil Engineering

Years/Semester : IV – I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Gopichand
Name of Student

P. G. Chand
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : K. Chaitanya Reddy

Course : B. Tech

Department : Civil Engineering

Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Chaitanya Reddy
Name of Student

K. CP
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 -2017

Name : Ch. Jagan

Course : B.tech

Department : CIVIL

Years/Semester : IV / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student
Ch. Ch. Jagan

Signature
Ch. Jagan

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 – 2017

Name : N.Vijay krishna

Course : B-Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : 4/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student
N. Vijay Krishna

Signature
Vijay

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : K. Rajkumar
Roll no: 16471A0201
Department : EEE

Course : B Tech

Years/Semester : 1/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Raj kumar
Name of Student

K. Rajkumar
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : D. sai kumar
Roll No : 16471A0203
Department : EEE

Course : B-Tech

Years/Semester : 4/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D. Sai Kumar
Name of Student

D. Sai Kumar.
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : M. Mahesh Reddy.
Roll No: 16471A0905
Department : EEE

Course : B.tech.

Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	M. Mahesh Reddy.	
	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : K. Jaya Ram
Roll No:- 16471A0207
Department : EEE

Course : B.tech

Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Jaya Ram.
Name of Student

K. Jaya Ram.
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : B. Lokesh
Roll No: 16475A0210
Department : EEE

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : II/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

B. Lokesh
Name of Student

B. Lokesh
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : G. Triveen kumar
Roll No :- 15471A0211
Department : EEE

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	G. Triveen kumar Name of Student	G. Triveenkumar Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name : N. Jyothi
Roll No:- 15471A0288
Department : EEE

Course : B.Tech
Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

N. Jyothi
Name of Student

N. Jyothi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : K. Yesu Ratnam
ROLL NO: 16475A0233
Department : EEE

Course : BTech

Years/Semester : IV/VII

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Yesu Ratnam
Name of Student

K. Yesu Ratnam
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : N.V. Prasanna kumar
Roll no: 154T5A0204
Department : EEE

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : III/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

N.V Prasannakumar
Name of Student

N.V. Prasannakumar
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : R. Prema Nagini
Roll no: 14A1A0205
Department : EEE

Course : B.Tech
Years/Semester : III/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

R. Preeta Nagini
Name of Student

R. Preeta Nagini
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : G. Surendra
Roll No:- 15475A0210
Department : EEE

Course : B.Tech
Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G. Surendra
Name of Student

G. Surendra
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : S.K. Sarfaraz
Roll. NO: 14A1A0210
Department : EEE

Course : B.tech

Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

S.K. Sarfaraz
Name of Student

S.K Sarfaraz
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : P. Nazma
RollNo:-13471A0215
Department : EEE

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : 4/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Nazma
Name of Student

P. Nazma
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC **NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE**
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 – 17

Name : M. manikanta
ROLL. No: 14U75A0205
Department : EEE

Course : B. tech

Years/Semester : 4/1 [IV/I]

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

M. manikanta
Name of Student

M. manikanta
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : T. Gopi Thilak
Roll No :- 13471A0218
Department : EEE

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : 4/2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

T. Gopithilak.
Name of Student

T. Gopithilak
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name : N. Hari krishna.
Roll No : 14475A0224
Department : EEE

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : IV/2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

N. Hari Krishna.
Name of Student

N. Krishna
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: CHILAKALA HEMANTH

Course: B.TECH

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: III I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

CH. HEMANTH
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Ase Jahnavi

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : D. Giridhar Teja

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(a)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D. A. Teja
Name of Student

Teja
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : CHATTA SAIRAJA

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IV/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Ch. Sai Raja
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: CHALLA ASHA

Course: B.Tech

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Ch. Asha
Name of Student

Asha.Ch
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: KONETI RATNA SRI

Course: B.Tech

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Ratna Sri

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : PATHA NARENDRA

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

PATHA NARENDRA
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : PENDYALA GOPI

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IV II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Gopi
Name of Student

Gopi P.
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : DIVVE KAVITHA

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IV/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D. Kavitha
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Mekala Lakshmi

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IV/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

M. Lakshmi
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : BOINA SATEESH

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

B Satheesh
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : T. Gopi

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IV/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

T. Gopi
Name of Student

T. Gopi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : JAMPANI SAI KRISHNA

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

JAMPANI SAI KRISHNA

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : G.SREE VALLI

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G. SAEE VALLI
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : RAGALA ANUSHA

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

RAGALA ANUSHA
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : KAKANI HARINI

Course : B Tech

Department : E CE

Years/Semester : IV I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

KAKANI HARINI
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : B. BANU KALYANI

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : II / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

B. BANU KALYANI
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2017-18

Name: G. Vamsi

Course: B.Tech

Department: ECE

Years/Semester: I / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G. VAMSI
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name : A. Sai Anil

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Hands on experience should be Implemented

Date 20/9/16

A. Sai Anil

Name of Student

A. Sai Anil

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : N. Neeraja

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : I-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

curriculum is good

Date 20-9-16 Name of Student N. Neeraja Signature Neeraja.

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : S. PAVAN KUMAR Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE Years/Semester : I-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) —

Date 20-9-16

S. Pavan Kumar
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : K. Vaishnavi

Course : B Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 2-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Need to focus more on Skill development & and advanced concepts.

Date 20-9-16

K. Vaishnavi
Name of Student

K. Vaishnavi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : M. Bhagrathi

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Include subjects with future scope.

Date 21-09-16

M. Bharathi
Name of Student

M. Bharathi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : D. Ramya

Course : BTECH

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : II / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Need to focus on Skill Development.

Date 29-09-16

D. Ramya
Name of Student

D. Ramya
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : G. Saikanth

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 2-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

Date 21-09-16

G. Sai Kanth

Name of Student

Sai Kant

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : P. Sudarshan Reddy

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 2-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Provide more reliable subjects

Date 21-09-16 Name of Student P. Sudarshan Reddy Signature Sudarshan

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: P. Srujana

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 2-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Considering a new learning methods.

Date 21-09-16

P. Sruthana
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : SK. Madarbi

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Make advanced technology constant in course offered

Date 22/9/16 SK. Madarbi Name of Student Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : S. Raviteja

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Analyze student work

Date 22/9/16 Name of Student S. RAviteja S. Raviteja

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 – 2017

Name : K. Sai Teja

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : III – I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

provide revelent projects to the students

Date 22/9/16

K. Sai Teja
Name of Student

KSTeja
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : M. nizamuddin

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3.1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Introduce industry related courses

Date 22-09-16

M. nizamuddin

Name of Student

M. nizamuddin

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : V. Yamuna

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

The present circulam is Good.

Date 22-09-16

Y. Yamuna
Name of Student

Yamuna
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : SK. Mehana2.

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Introduce certification courses.

Date 22-09-16

SK. Mehanaz
Name of Student

SK. Mehanaz
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : K. ROSE mary

Course : B-tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Introduce some industrial subjects.

Date 22/9/16

K. Rose Mary
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 - 17

Name : Ch. Manyasri

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

necessary easily to understand

Date 22/9/16

Name of Student

Cr. Kavyasri

Signature

Kavyasri

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Kallam. Chaitanya

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

circulum was good

Date 23/9/16

kallam. chaitanya
Name of Student

K. chaitanya
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 – 2017

Name : S. Vasavi Sindhuja

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Introduce industry related courses.

Date 23-09-16

S. Vasavi Sindhuja
Name of Student

Vasavi Sindhuja
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : V. Navyasri

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) : Good

Date 23-09-16 Name of Student V. Navaya Sri Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Sk. Kasimulla

Course : B-Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Curriculum is good

Date 23/9/16

Sk. Kasimulla

Name of Student

Sk. Kasimulla

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : M. Manisha

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Introduce industry related courcey

Date 23-09-16 M. Manisha Name of Student Manisha Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : B. Deepak Jain

Course : B-Tech

Department : C.S.E

Years/Semester : 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Introduce new technologies in curriculum.

Date 23/09/16

B. Deepak Jain
Name of Student

B. Deepak Jain
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : G. SANDHYA

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)
Provide collaboration and socialization opportunities

Date 23-09-16

G. SANDHYA
Name of Student

G. Sandhya
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 16-17

Name: G. Sandhya

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: IV-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Introduce industry related Courses

Date 23/9/16

G. Sandhya
Name of Student

G. Sandhya
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : D. Aditya

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

It's better to have more labs than classes.

Date 23/9/16

D. Aditya

Name of Student

Aditya d.

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name: N. Priya Harika

Course: MBA

Department: MBA

Years/Semester: II-III

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 15/05/2017 Name of Student Priya Harika Signature Priya Harika

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : K. Rajitha

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : II - III

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 15/5/2017

K. Rajitha
Name of Student

K. Rajitha
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name : Sk. Salma Bhanu

Course : M.B.A

Department : M.B.A

Years/Semester : 2nd year 3rd Sem (II-III)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 15-05-2017 Name of Student : Sk. Salma Bhanu Signature Sk. Salma Bhanu

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : M. Vinay Kumar

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : II - III

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 15-05-2017 Name of Student M. Vinay Kumar Signature M. Vinay Kumar

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : T. Anusha

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : 2-3

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

15-05-2017
Date

T. Anusha
Name of Student

T. Anusha
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : P. Nagalakshmi

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : II-IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

15/05/2017
Date

P. Naga Lakshmi
Name of Student

P. Naga Lakshmi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name: CH. Pushpalatha

Course: MBA

Department: MBA

Years/Semester: II year - IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 15/05/2017 Name of Student CH. Puspa latha Signature CH. Puspa latha

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : M. Phanendra Kumar

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : II year - IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 15-05-2017 Name of Student M. phanendra kumar Signature M. Phanendra Kumar.

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name : M. sri devi

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : II / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 15/5/2017 Name of Student M. Sri devi Signature Sri Devi

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : A Yamini

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : II / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

15/05/2017
Date

A. Yamini
Name of Student

A. Yamini
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : Ch. Jhansi

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Department : M.B.A

Course : M.B.A

Years/Semester : I st year / I st semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 15 may 2017

ch. Jhansi
Name of Student

ch. Jhansi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Ch. Prasanna Kumari

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : I / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 15 May 2017

Ch. Prasanna Kumari
Name of Student

Ch. Prasanna Kumari
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : P. Hema sesha latha

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : 1 year, 1 sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) –

15/5/2017
Date

Name of Student P Hema Sesha Latha

P. Hema Sesha Latha
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : P. Hema HAneesha

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : 1year [1-1]

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 30 May 2017 Name of Student P. HemaNAneesha P. HemaNAneesha
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Y. Naga Lakshmi

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : I year I sem. [1-1]

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 30-05-2017 Name of Student Y. Naga Lakshmi Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : G. Hindhu Sai Priyanka

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 30-May-2017 Name of Student G. Hindhu Sai priyanka Signature G. Sai Priyanka

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : P. Hima Bindhu

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

30-05-2017
Date

P. Hima Bindu
Name of Student

P. Hima Bindu
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : A. Lavanya

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 15th may 2017 Name of Student A. Lavanya A. Lavanya
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : A. pavan kumar

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : I - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

A. Pavan Kumar

Date 15 May 2017 Name of Student A. Pavan Kumar Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 – 2017

Name : N. Ramu

Course : MBA

Department : MBA

Years/Semester : I – II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

N. Ramu

Date 15/05 Name of Student N. RAMU Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC **NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE**
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2026-2027

Name : G. Jaya Lalitha

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date Name of Student Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : J. Satish

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date Name of Student Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : D. Anusha

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

D. Anusha
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017

Name : SK. Fathima

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : I/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	5
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-2017.

Name : Ch. Mounika

Course : MCA

Department : MCA .

Years/Semester : II / III

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Ch. Monika
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016 - 2017

Name : V. Nagi Reddy

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : II/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date Name of Student Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : K. Ganesh Kumar

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : II/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K Ganesh Kumar
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : M. Veeranjaneyulu

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : II / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

M. Veeranjaneyulu
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : M. Satish

Course : MCA

Department : MCA

Years/Semester : II/IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	4
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

M. Satish
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : Tanniru Sai Manohar

Academic Year: 2016-17

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : I Year I Semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

10.2.2017
Date

Name of Student Tanniru Sai Mohan

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Dasari Sai Gopi

Course : I Year B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : I Year II sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

15-3-17 — Date

Dasari Sai Gopi — Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : A. Sukanya

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mech Engg

Years/Semester : 2nd Year 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	1

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

28-01-2017
Date

A. Sukanya
Name of Student
A. SUKANYA

A. Sukanya
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : K.V. Thirumala Reddy

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mech

Years/Semester : 2nd year 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

20-01-2017	K.V. Thirumala Reddy	K V Pi...
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : P. Pramod Kumar

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mech Engg

Years/Semester : II Year II Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

19-01-2017 — Date

P. Pramod Kumar — Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : M. Suresh Babu

Course : Mech. B.Tech

Department : ME

Years/Semester : II Year II Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

24-01-2017
Date

M. Suresh Babu
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Pathan Khasim

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : 3rd Year 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

3/1/17	Pathan Khasim	P Khasim
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Gudi Rushikesh Maruthi

Course : I Year I Sem B Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : I Year I Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

5/4/17
Date

Goli Rushikesh Maruthi
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Maddu Narasimha Rao

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : I Year I Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

5/3/17
Date

Maddu Narasimha Rao
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Guntupalli Gopi

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)

Any other suggestion(s)

5-8-16
Date

Guntupalli Gopi
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : B. Ravi Teja

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

6-7-16
Date

B. Ravi Teja
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Jampula Srinivas

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

5-8-16
Date

Tampula Srinivas
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Chattu Karthik

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : IV - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

10-3-17
Date

Chaitu karmik
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Shaik Saida

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : III - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(✓)

Any other suggestion(s)

4-3-17
Date

Shaik sadak
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name : Syed Adam Shafi

Academic Year: 2016-17

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : 3rd Year 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

2-1-17
Date

Syed Adam Shafi
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2016-17

Name : Ravella Nagarjuna

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : 3rd year 2nd sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

15-3-17
Date

Rawella Nagarjuna
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.