STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year 2019-20



Acader	nic Yea	r: 201°	1-2020
Course	: B-	Tech	
Years/S	emester	111	
4	3	2	1
ome how olerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
			Rating
lytical abi	lities, or	•	7
			8
			9

Name: ch Pyitha Department: CIVIL Engineering 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 6 Extremely Very Moderately Good Moderate Good Good Good S.No. **Particulars** Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, ana broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations 3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant Principal 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (| |)ARESARAOPET - 522 601 Suntai (Dist), M.P. 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) **6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 4) Poor 3) Inadequate 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
Rate the com	osition of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int		, 1101000101141 210	oure, open blee	are, rroject work (r v) ec i racticai
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
				The many at the case	
10. Rate the de	epth of the syllal	ous of the course in	n relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
-	1 -				
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	e 2		,	V (4)	
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
y other suggesti	on(s)		a **		
,					
2					
					0110
		T) HILL			- Viete
	C	h Pujitha ame of Student			ch Twith Signature

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Depai	unent.	Civil Engi	neering	}		Years/S	emester	: Zit/	î
			-	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extre
S.No.	Particula	ars				7			Dating
1	Learning	g value (in te ing perspecti	rms of sl	cills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or		Rating 8
2				l life situations	3				
3	Depth o	f the course of	ontent			- A contract of the contract o	208		9
4	Extent o	f coverage of	course		1		. •		8
5	Extent o	f effort requi	red by st	udents		*			9
6	Relevan	ce/learning v	alue of p	roject/ report	4				9
7	Overall	rating							9
l) High Releva	hly	2) Relevant	3)	rom the course Partly elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Con Irrelev	npletely ant	(1)
inani.	ate the sec	uence of uni	ts/modul	es of the cours	e		The second second	Constitution of the Consti	
4. R	ellent	2) Adequat	e 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor		(2)
l) Exc	10 a 2 19 40	tuissas)			Acres and a		The second second		
5. Ra	ate the ade	quateness of		book and refer	ence book m	entioned for t	he course	2	
5. Ra	ate the ade			book and refer) Inadequate	rence book me		he course ery poor		(1)
5. Ra) Exc 6. W	ate the ade	quateness of 2) Adequat	prescribe) Inadequate ed readings?					(1)
5. Ra) Exc 6. W	ate the ade	equateness of 2) Adequat	prescribe) Inadequate		5) Ve			(1)
5. Ra 1) Exc 6. W 1) Exc	ate the adecellent ere you atellent ate the des	2) Adequated to get the 2) Adequated and 2) Adequated and 2) Adequated and 2) Adequated and 3.	prescribe e 3) Inadequate ed readings?	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery poor		

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
a = = =		E 10	2 P X		
. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In	ternship etc.				p d
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			X X		
10. Rate the c	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	2 A			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
T _a = E	н с		5		
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
	A			· 16	
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	·	000		*	
y other suggest	ion(s)				

Name of Student

Residence Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acade	mic Yea	r: 2019-	-2020
Name	Mand	ini. Kot	9			Course	e: B-	Tech	
Depart	ment:	svil en	gîneer	ing	e.* e.	Years/S	Semester	: 1/2	*
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
3	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs) 				Rating
1		y value (in to ng perspect		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, or	•	8
. 2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	l life situations					Q
3	Depth of	the course	content		, c ox		1.0		- 3
4	Extent of	f coverage of	of course		1	1	S	-	6
5	Extent of	f effort requ	ired by st	tudents					0
6				project/ report					9
7	Overall r	ating				4			à
2. T	he syllabu	s was	×	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×		() (g)		21	
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	te 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant	(1)
		round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was	r r			
1) High		2) Relevar	nt 3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	/ (1)
Releva	nt		R	elevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	vant		
4. R	ate the seq	uence of ur	its/modu	les of the cours	e (the second second second second	The second	eronani.	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	Port Andrew	()
5. R	ate the ade	quateness o	f the text	book and refer	rence book m	nentioned for	the cour	rse	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	•	(2)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	* * * *			
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	ery poo	r	()
7. R	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	lf-learning	0		
1) Ver	y good	2) Good	3)) Average	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor	(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	7				
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / In		,	, ,	, -10,000 // 0111 (1 //) ce i ractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10 Data dlas	14 C4111-	1 6.1			
10 Rate the c	lenth of the sylla	bus of the course in	valation to the	competencies expected	
current glo	obal scenarios.	91			- 9 9
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\)
			12 20	4)	
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
5 0	2		-	* *	
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
	1 4		11 2	* * * * * * * *	
y other suggest	ion(s)		. 8.		
y other suggest	(-)				

Mandin. K Name of Student

Mandini (Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2019 - 2020

Kumbha Sai Kuman

Course:

R. Tech.

Department: Civil Enginee Sing

Years/Semester: 11-11

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

				0				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
	broadening perspectives)	्न
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	0
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(9)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Dolovent	2) D- 41-	10.36 11		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(9)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\mathfrak{Q})
AAAAAAA 編發於在高級機能發展 數學 医神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经神经	1		· · · · ·

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequ	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
			1	

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent 2)) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
-----------------	------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	-----

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Esciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practional Fraining / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by induscurrent global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	1) Variated					
Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by indus current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Pratraining / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industicurrent global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students						
Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Pratraining / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industicurrent global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (9) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	9. Rate the com	position of course i	n terms Humanit	es and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Training / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by indus current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course.	Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elec	tive. Project Work (PW	& Practical
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by indus current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students				,	,,) co i ractical
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by indus current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	F 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10				2 2 p	
current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	10. Rate the d	enth of the syllabi	is of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected l	by industry /
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students			is of the course in	relation to the C	competencies expected i	by maustry /
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students			1 2) A	1 4) D	(5) 17 · · · D	(a)
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	3) Very Poor	(१)
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students						
12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill developmen	nt		
12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	0 2 3	0			7	
	12.Evaluation	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
1) VELV 2000 12) GOOD 13) A VERAGE 14) POOT 13) VERV POOT 1	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) Very 1001	7 - 7 8 - 7	1-)	1 s) Triverage	1)1001	3) (61) 1 001	(2)
	ry outer suggest.	OH(2)				
ny other suggestion(s)	•					

C. So. TCumor Name of Student

C. S. Crumol Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acade	mic Year	: 2019	- 2020
Name	T. 6	nop:				Course	: B	Tech	
Depart	tment : C	ivil En	gineer	ing	J.	Years/S	Semester	T-	I
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extremely
	1000	Good		Good	a' n	Tolerable		Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs		*	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14		Rating
1	Learning	value (in to	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge.	analytical abi	ilities, or		
		ng perspect		, ,	8-,				8
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3 .*				8
3	Depth of	the course	content			2 2	ų ²		7
4	Extent of	f coverage of	of course		,		er ee		9
5	Extent of	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents					7
6				project/ report	×				8
7	Overall r			9	,				7
2. T	he syllabu	s was	8	N	3	Sec.			
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		2
2 17			~ .				Pl ft		
				from the course					
1) High		2) Relevai) Partly	4) Mostly		mpletely	(2)
Releva	int		R	lelevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	vant		
				les of the cours	se	The first	A Se		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate :	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor		())
	162 503	William Control	AD A STAIN			and the state of the state of the state of	The Marie		
5. R	ate the ade	equateness of	of the text	book and refe	rence book m	nentioned for	the cour	se	
				3) Inadequate			ery poor		(2)
6. W	ere vou al	ole to get th	e prescrit	ped readings?	9		2)		
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	-	(3)
7. R:	ate the des	ion of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning	2		
1) Ver		2) Good) Average	4) Poor		ry Poor		2)
-) , 61.	, 5004	2) 0000		,	1)1 301	13) 40	1 1 001		

. Rate the compos				8 8 8 2	
. Rate the compos					
	sition of course in	terms Humanities	and Social Science	ces, Engineering Scie	ences Basic
Sciences, Profes	ssional Course, P	rofessional Electi	ve, Open Elective	Project Work (PW)	& Practical
Training / Interr	nship etc.			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1) Very good 2	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10 Pate the dent	h of the gullahua	of the course in w	-1-2: 1-2:		
10. Rate the dept	h of the syllabus	of the course in r	elation to the com-	petencies expected by	v industry /
current global	scenarios.	T			
1) Very good 2	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curriculu	ım has focus on s	skill development	+		
) Very good 2	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
		19	,	e	
12.Evaluation of	the course is app	propriate to discri	minate the student	S	
) Very good 2	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			*		

Name of Student

T. GOP:

Signature



Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLUMNS

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						A	Acaden	nic Year	: 2019	-3030
Name :	Hd. 7	aheed				, C	Course	: B.T	ech	
Depart	ment : C	UIL ENG	NEERI	nca	. J	Y	ears/S	emester	工工工	
1. Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	4		3	2	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some Tolera		Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs		4	- =	·	1 2	10 20 40		Rating
1		yalue (in to		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytic	cal abil	ities, or	•	2
2				l life situations	3		-			7
3		the course								9
4		f coverage of		- Control of the Cont	i.		8	3.5		8
5	Extent of	f effort requ	ired by st	tudents						7
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report						9
7	Overall r	ating		Name of the state	h .					8
2. TI	he syllabu	s was						al Vi		
	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	** *	5) Irre	levant	(<u>2</u>)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was					
1) Higl	hly	2) Releva	nt 3) Partly	4) Mostly	* * *		npletely	/ (1)
Releva	nt		R	Lelevant	Irrelevant		Irrelev	ant		
4. R		uence of u	nits/modu	les of the cours	se	gentina representa	พระรรับลูกระเน	Training to claring as	ement when the	
	A comment of the second of	2) Adequ	1	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery Poo	r	(2)
				book and refe	rence book n	nention	ed for	the cour	·se	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	r	(2)
6 W	ere vou a	hle to get th	e prescrib	ped readings?				ST		
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	4, 1	5) V	ery poo	r	(1)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	course in t	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learn	ning			

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(1)

		g of elective in rela	tion to technology	advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			28	o = 1, es	
9. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering So	ciences Rasic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e, Professional Ele	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	V) & Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.		,	, 110jest Work (1)	, a Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	2	*	4.5		
10. Rate the d	lepth of the syllab	ous of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			emperencies expected	by madstry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	ulum has focus o	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		×		T.	1
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	ents	_
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			,	8 1	8
Any other suggesti	ion(s)				
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		e and the second of	
			* = **	(4) g	
		rd Jahred			
	•				Md Jall
Date	Na	ame of Student			Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



			_ 147			Acad	emic Yea	ar: 201	9-202
Name	P	Tilan						-Tech	•
Depar	tment: (Cruil a	Engine	eering		Years	Semeste	r: I	
				rse on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars	······································						Datina
1	Learning		erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical al	oilities, o	r	Rating externely (9) 900d
. 2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3				9
3	Depth of	f the course	content		i i		H 50		9
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course			7			q
5		f effort requ						161	9
6			value of p	project/ report	8				8
7	Overall r	ating		×		9			9
10/1/					1 2 2 1		,		
	he syllabu			\ T	T 0 D 11	1 -: -			
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Ir	relevant		(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was				
1) High		2) Relevan) Partly	4) Mostly	5) C	ompletel		
Releva		2) Iteleval	1	elevant	Irrelevant		ompieter. evant	у ((2)
		featonfea			1 III o i o valit	Inter	Svant		
4. R	ate the seq			les of the cours	e				
1) Exc	cellent (2)	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poo	Francisco and and a	(2)
	522 601.	- THROAP	A BABA	v.	, X		A d		, – ,
5. Ra	ate the ade	quateness o	f the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned fo	r the cou	rse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	r	(2)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	e ^r		٥	1	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	r	(2)
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning	8		
1) Very	y good	2) Good	3)	Average	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor	(1)
						267		·	

6. Rate the nex	ionity in choosin	g of elective in refa	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course			ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	,				/
	epth of the syllal	bus of the course in	n relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
l) Very good	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	appropriate to disc 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)
) very good	2) G000	3) Average	4) 1001	3) very Poor	(2)
y other suggest	ion(s)				
* *				20 k	
	9	P Tilami ame of Student			P. Ila
te	N	ame of Student			Signature

NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year:	2019-20	2 C
Course: Bric	ch	

Department: Civil Engineering

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

Name: Gr. Sci Teja

Years/Semester: \square/Γ

-	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs					i).	ž-	Rating
1		y value (in t ng perspect		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical a	bilities, o	r	7
. 2				al life situations	3	100			7.
3	Depth of	the course	content				258		FLANOR
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course		,		F gare		8
5	Extent of	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents	1				8
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	,				9
7	Overall r	ating	,	¥	,			**************************************	9
	he syllabu		g	-	2111 "		(A)	v	,
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)]	rrelevant		(1)
1) High Releva	nt	2) Releva	F) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	,	Completel levant	У	(3)
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate :	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poo	or	(2)
	Stl. A.R.	(a) ruhus	3			Personal Property of the Person Property of t	en Der Bill Höller selber beind de Desend	torical astrollicated	
5. R	ate the ade	equateness	of the text	t book and refe	rence book m	nentioned f	or the cou	ırse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	or	(1)
6. W	ere you a	ble to get th	e prescril	bed readings?	- j				
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	.5	Very poo	or	(1)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	ourse in	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning	e e		
1) Ver		2) Good) Average	4) Poor		Very Poor		(1)

	2) Cand	2) 4	4) D	(5) TY D	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
P. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	es and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iances Posio
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	e Professional Fle	tive Open Flec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Drostical
Training / In	ternship etc.	-,	arre, open Elec	ire, Project Work (1 W) & Fractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		a a			. ,
10 Rate the	lenth of the culla	hus of the course in	valation to the		
current al	obal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
			,		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11 001	culum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		
11. The curric	culum mas rocus				
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average			(1)
l) Very good	2) Good			dents	
1) Very good 12.Evaluation	2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	riminate the stud		(1)
12.Evaluation 1) Very good 1) Very good	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good 12.Evaluation	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	

IQAC

Date

Cr. Sou Teya Name of Student

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019 - 2020

Name: G. Poryanka.

Course: B. Tech

Department: CNIL Enginering.

Years/Semester: 11 17

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9.
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	q
7	Overall rating	1

U	Refevant	certearning value	or project/ report			q
7	Overall r	ating	7			1
2 т	The syllabu	e wae	×	,	9	
	allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for benefiti	ng from the course	e was	4	
1) Hig Relev	ghly ant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
4. R	Rate the sec	uence of units/mo	odules of the cours	e	4	
	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	=	3	text book and refer	rence book mention	ned for the course	
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. V	Were you al	ble to get the pres	cribed readings?	9	2	
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(9)

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)	

7. Rate the de	sign of the coul	rse in terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(i)
		8 4 4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.	,	1	(2)) co Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
				(K) g 2-1	
10. Rate the c	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected l	oy industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				.,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	2 :				
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
				3 4	
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	s appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	9				
y other suggest	ion(s)				

G. Priventia Name of Student

G. Pos Yanka -Signature

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019-2020

Name: P. GOP?

Course:

Department: CiviL

1) Very good

2) Good

Years/Semester:

5) Very Poor

(2)

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	0
	broadening perspectives)	0
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	5
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

s was				
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
round for benefit	ing from the course	e was		
2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(+)
quence of units/m	odules of the cours	e		
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
equateness of the	text book and refer	rence book mention	ned for the course	
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(᠘)
ble to get the pres	cribed readings?			
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
	2) Adequate round for benefiti 2) Relevant uence of units/m 2) Adequate equateness of the 2) Adequate	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate round for benefiting from the course 2) Relevant 3) Partly Relevant uence of units/modules of the course 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate equateness of the text book and reference and the course of the	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull round for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Relevant Irrelevant uence of units/modules of the course 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor equateness of the text book and reference book mentio 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor ble to get the prescribed readings?	2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant round for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Irrelevant Irrelevant quence of units/modules of the course 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor equateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor ple to get the prescribed readings?

4) Poor

3) Average

2) Good sition of course in ssional Course, P aship etc. 2) Good	3) Average terms Humanitie Professional Elect 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor nces, Engineering Sci re, Project Work (PW) 5) Very Poor mpetencies expected by	Practical (2) by industry /
ssional Course, Paship etc. 2) Good h of the syllabus scenarios.	3) Average of the course in	4) Poor	5) Very Poor mpetencies expected by	Practical (2) by industry /
ssional Course, Paship etc. 2) Good h of the syllabus scenarios.	3) Average of the course in	4) Poor	5) Very Poor mpetencies expected by	Practical (2) by industry /
ssional Course, Paship etc. 2) Good h of the syllabus scenarios.	3) Average of the course in	4) Poor	5) Very Poor mpetencies expected by	Practical (2) by industry /
h of the syllabus scenarios.	of the course in	relation to the cor	mpetencies expected b	by industry /
scenarios.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	by industry /
	3) Triverage	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	3) Average	I d'D	1200	
ım has focus on s	skill development		A	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
the course is ap	propriate to discri	iminate the studer		
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
(s)				
2) Good) Good 3) Average) Good 3) Average 4) Poor	

P GOP' Name of Student

P. 9421 Signature

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: A Sander Course: B. Teck Years/Semester: MJ 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 Extremely Very Good Moderately Good Moderatel Some how poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor Poor						<i>M</i>				
Department: Ciril 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 Extremely Very Good Good Moderately Moderate Some how Tolerable Poor Very Poor S.No. Particulars 1		7 - T					Acader	nic Yea	r: 201	9-2021
Department: Ciril 1. Please give a rating of your course on the following 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 Extremely Very Good Good Moderately Moderate Some how Tolerable Poor Very Poor S.No. Particulars 1	Name	- A	. S.	an deep	P		Course	: B	. Tech	
Stremely Good Good Moderately Good Moder						,	Years/S	emester	: B/	P
Extremely Good Good Good Moderately Good Moder	1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
Good Good Good Good Good Moderate Tolerable Poor Poor Poor Poor S.No. Particulars		-		7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) 2				Good		Moderate		Poor		Extremely Poor
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) 2	S.No.	Particula	ırs		. 2		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	e v		Rating
broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations 3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	1	Learning	value (in t	erms of sl	kills, concepts.	knowledge.	analytical abi	lities or	•	
2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations 3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	inio il reage,	analytical dol	irries, or		8
Depth of the course content Extent of coverage of course Filter	. 2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	l life situations	S .				Q
5 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	3					1	x	-20		
Extent of effort required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Irrelevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course		1	X ,			
6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by st	tudents	-				1 '
2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Irrelevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	6					5				1
2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	7			*						
1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (1) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Irrelevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	D-7	Sep. 11				g said fisficial				19
3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	2. T	he syllabu	s was							,
1) Highly Relevant 2) Relevant 3) Partly Relevant 5) Completely Irrelevant 5 Completely Irrelevant 6 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 7 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 7 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant		(1)
1) Highly Relevant 2) Relevant 3) Partly Relevant 5) Completely Irrelevant 5 Completely Irrelevant 6 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 7 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 7 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	e v		:2	
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor () 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor () 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor () 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning							5) Co	mnletely	,	(9)
4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent		•								
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (3) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	4. R	ate the sec	mence of ur	nits/modu	les of the cours	se		* 0		
5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning		9.85.85	THE PROPERTY AND				5) V	erv Poo	r	(2)
5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent	and the state of t	MANUAL MA	HIS AT 1990A	RABAMAN	,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1	A STATE OF THE STA	The second second	(3)
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (1) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	100 s 5. R	CALL TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	No.	of the text	book and refe	rence book m	entioned for	the cour	se	
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate 3				The state of the s	Service and the service and th	(t)
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	6. W	ere you al	ole to get th	e prescrib	ed readings?		***	2		
						4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(2)
	7. R	ate the des	sign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning			
(2)								v Poor		(2)
						1	1 - /	,		

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
				e la .	
9. Rate the com	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / Int					,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
*	5 to	194			
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllal	bus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	hy industry /
	bal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by madshy /
current gro	our sectiuitos.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	 	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average on skill developmen 3) Average			
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good ulum has focus o	on skill developme	nt	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus (2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	14) Poor	5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus (2) Good	on skill developme	14) Poor	5) Very Poor	(½)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good 12. Evaluation	2) Good ulum has focus of 2) Good of the course is	on skill developmed 3) Average appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good 12. Evaluation	2) Good ulum has focus of 2) Good of the course is 2) Good	on skill developmed 3) Average appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

A STATE OF THE STA

Date

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



Academic	Year:	201	9.	- 2	_0	20
----------	-------	-----	----	-----	----	----

Name: M. siva Poosad

Course: B-tech

Department: Civil Engine (8109

Years/Semester: TV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5 .	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

			30		
3. Your backgrou	and for benefiting	g from the course	was	2 2	
1) Highly 2)) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

Nate the sequence of units/modules of the course			grow, and a participation of the appear and participation of the contract of the state of the st			
1) Excellent 2) Adequate	te 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very P	oor	(2)	
(EUDMONOTUA)		(A) (A)	1 1 1 1 1			
THE SECTION OF THE SE	64		S Shorts			

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent
2) Adequate
3) Inadequate
4) Poor
5) Very poor
()

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) madequate	4) FOOI	3) Very poor	(-)
6. Were you a	ble to get the presc	ribed readings?			

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
		1			

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning									
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)				

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				V E	
. Rate the comp	osition of course i	n terms Humaniti	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Prof	ressional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / Inte	rnship etc.			are, areject work (1 w) & Tractica
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10.5					
10. Rate the de	pth of the syllabu	s of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected l	by industry /
current glob	pal scenarios.				
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Sau and Sau				2	
	lum has focus on	skill developmer	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				*	
	of the course is ap	propriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
†2. Evaluation) Very good	of the course is ap 2) Good	ppropriate to disc 3) Average	riminate the stud	dents 5) Very Poor	(2)

m. siverpressed.

Name of Student

m sivalla sad. Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019 - 20

Name: G. Khasim

Course: B. Tech

Department: Civil

Years/Semester: \mathbb{V}/\mathbb{Z}

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	Q
	broadening perspectives)	O
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	子
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2)

3. Your backg	ground for benefiti	ng from the course	was	0 8	
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	()
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	~~~())
(BUGNONOTUA)		1000	1.6	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2)) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

0				
1) Very good 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
181	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, 8	1,72001	3) very roor	
. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sa	ciences, Engineering Sc	
Sciences Pro	ofessional Cours	e Professional Fla	ctive Open Floor	tive, Project Work (PW	ciences, Basic
Training / In	ternship etc.	ic, i foressional Ele	ctive, Open Elec	uve, Project work (Pw) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2 1 Mg.			1,111	5) (61) 1 001	())
10. Rate the d	enth of the sylla	bus of the course is	. malatian to t1		
current alo	bal scenarios.	ious of the course if	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current gio	vai scenarios.				
1) 17 1	2) 0 1				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				5) Very Poor	(2)
		3) Average on skill developme 3) Average		× 1	(2)
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(Q)
11. The curric	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(Q)
11. The curric	ulum has focus 2) Good of the course is	on skill developme 3) Average s appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
11. The curric 1) Very good 12. Evaluation	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2) (1)
11. The curric 1) Very good 12. Evaluation 1) Very good	ulum has focus 2) Good of the course is 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average s appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
11. The curric 1) Very good 12. Evaluation	ulum has focus 2) Good of the course is 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average s appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	

Gr. Khasim

Name of Student

IQAC

Date

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

G. Khasim

Signature



Academic Year: 2019-20

Name: CH. ASHOK REDDY

Course: B. Tech

Department: CIVIL

Years/Semester: 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

	0							
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
	broadening perspectives)	8
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	2
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
--	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

	118.00110.101.0011111				
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
DMM HADDIZ AT FOARASANAT		8 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	And the work of the second	curativarioristic

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent 2) A	dequate 3) Ina	dequate 4) Po	oor :	5) Very poor	and the second	2)
		1				

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			2 0		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
--------------	---------	------------	---------	--------------	------

1) Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		į į		8 4 5 4	
9. Rate the con	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences Basis
Sciences, Pr	olessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	% Practice
Training / In	iternship etc.		, 1	, 110Joot 11 Olk (1 11) a Tractica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			2		, _ /
10. Rate the	depth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected l	by industry /
current gle	obal scenarios.	out of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected i	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2_)
1) Very good		10		5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good 11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good		10		5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good 11. The currie 1) Very good	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good 12. Evaluation	culum has focus 2) Good n of the course is	on skill developmed 3) Average s appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor ents	
1) Very good 11. The currie 1) Very good	culum has focus 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 11. The currie 1) Very good 12. Evaluation 1) Very good	culum has focus 2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	on skill developmed 3) Average s appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor ents	(1)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good 12. Evaluation	culum has focus 2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	on skill developmed 3) Average s appropriate to disc	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor ents	(1)

Name of Student ! CH. ASHOK REDDY

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEG
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019-2020

Name: N. Han Shavandhan Reddy

Course: B-tech

Department: Civil Engineeging

Years/Semester:

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	0
543	broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	q
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

	0	0			
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(()
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

Itale and	equence of annes, in	causes of the come			
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Acces to excess management	galagneany Salahna boshaarayn bira sania	114	gree	The second section of the second seco	
	TORO AND TENANCHER	4	1		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

3. Rate the adequateriess of t		5 N. S.	And the same of th	
1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(()

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
1 7			3 ,		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

/ · Itale the ac.	orgin or thre cours	• 111 0011110 01 01111	8		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	a a	
A. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ionosa Daria
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se, Professional Ele	ctive Open Flec	tive, Project Work (PW	lences, Basic
Training / In	ternship etc.	,	erre, open Erec	ave, Project Work (PW) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
15 15	5			7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	(()
10 D					
10. Rate the d	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	ov industry /
current glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo l) Very good	epth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	bus of the course in 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		by industry /
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)

N. Hanshavandhan Reddy Name of Student

N. Goslavan Rod

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019 - 2020

Name: Amarthaluri Padma Priyanka.

Course: B. Tech

ROLL NO %- 19471AD201 Department: EEE

Years/Semester: I/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
	broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
7 0 0	1	1	1 /		

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	2) Portly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(0)
1) Highly	2) Kelevalli	3) Partly	4) Mostly	3) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the se	quence of units/mo	dules of the cours	e e	DAME THE STREET THE PROTECTION OF THE PROTECTION	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
5. Rate the ac	lequateness of the	text book and refer	ence book men	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. Were you	able to get the pres	cribed readings?	- 40		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

_		0.1		1 101
7.	Rate the design	of the course	in terms of extra	learning or self-learning

1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 2)	_)
---	-----

) Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			9 9 9	0 0 4	(2)
). Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ionos Posic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	V & Drooting
Training / In	ternship etc.	,	are, spen bice	are, Project Work (1 W) & Flactica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(Y)
					(1)
10. Rate the d	enth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected l	
current glo	bal scenarios.	ous of the course if	relation to the C	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	(5) V D	
-) Say Book	2) 0000	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11 Th.	1	****		*	
		on skill developme	nt		
11. The curric	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
				5) Very Poor	(7)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	1 1	(7)
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor	dents	
1) Very good 12.Evaluation	2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor	1 1	(2)
12.Evaluation 12. Evaluation 1 Very good	2) Good of the course is 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents	
1) Very good 12.Evaluation	2) Good of the course is 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to disc	4) Poor	dents	

A. Padma Priyanka.
Name of Student

A. Priyanker.
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019 - 2020

Name: M. Stepheno Lidiya Roll. No: 1947/A0215 Department: EEE

Course: B. Tech

5) Very Poor

(1)

Years/Semester: 1 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

0	0 .							
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	
3.0	broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was 4) Dull 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 5) Irrelevant (1)3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely 1) Highly 2) Relevant (2)Irrelevant Irrelevant Relevant Relevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1)1) Excellent 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor 2) Adequate () 1) Excellent **6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor 1) Excellent (2)

4) Poor

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

2) Good

1) Very good

1) Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in rela 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
			10		
9. Rate the com	position of cour	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ionasa Daria
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	V & Drootical
Training / In	ternship etc.		or open bloom	are, Project Work (1 W) & Fractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
* 1	4			7 7 - 551	(2)
10. Rate the c	lepth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	(
current glo	obal scenarios.	or the course in	relation to the C	ompetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	*		1	-)	
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		
11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			7	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(1)
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor	lents	
 Very good Evaluation 	2) Good	3) Average sappropriate to disc	4) Poor		(1)
 Very good Evaluation 	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average sappropriate to disc	4) Poor	lents	

IOAC

Date

M. Stepheno Lidiya Name of Student

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					,1		1000		
						Aca	ademic Yea	r: 2019	-2020
Name	RAVIK	UMAR.	RAMYF	A			ırse: Bit		
Nepart Depart	Jo. 194	F F	Σ(, ,		ž	Yea	rs/Semester	:1-2	/
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
-	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some ho Tolerab		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs				0	и г	v.	Rating
1	-	value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical	abilities, or	r	8
2				al life situations	S		-		8
3		the course		a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	_ 2 2		24		8
4	Extent of	coverage	of course		,	-	1		
5	Extent of	f effort requ	ired by s	students					8 8
6	Relevano	e/learning	value of	project/ report	=				9
7	Overall r					.5 20,	and the second s		8
	he syllabu	s was 2) Adequa	ote 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5	Irrelevant		(2)
1) Cli	inenging	2) Aucqu	ale .) madequate	(4) Dull	3)	incicvant		
3. Y	our backg			from the cours		* a a			
1) Hig		2) Releva	· ·	3) Partly	4) Mostly		Completel	у	(2)
Releva		togicant?		Relevant	Irrelevant	Ir.	relevant	and the second	
No. of the last of	and the said of the last of the	uence of u		ules of the cour	se				
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	Esperanting and	5) Very Poo	r	(1)
	1.0	L. L. MARCHALL	Chillie	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	y 1 g2	14 V			
5. R	Rate the ade	equateness	of the tex	at book and refe	erencé book n	nentioned	for the cou	rse	
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very poo		(1)
6. V	Vere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?					29,1211,012,011
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very poo	or	(2)
7. F	Rate the des	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learnin	ıg	1	*
	ry good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		Very Poor		(2)

9. Rate the composition Sciences, Profession Training / Internship 1) Very good 2) C	on of course in onal Course, lip etc. Good of the syllabus	3) Average n terms Humanit. Professional Electronic (1988) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor iences, Engineering Sc ive, Project Work (PW 5) Very Poor	(2) siences, Basic (2) & Practical
Training / Internshi 1) Very good 2) C 10. Rate the depth of	ip etc. Good of the syllabus	3) Average	ctive, Open Elect	iences, Engineering Sc ive, Project Work (PW	iences Posio
Training / Internshi 1) Very good 2) C 10. Rate the depth of	ip etc. Good of the syllabus	3) Average	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
Training / Internshi 1) Very good 2) C 10. Rate the depth of	ip etc. Good of the syllabus	3) Average	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	(1) & Practical
1) Very good 2) C 10. Rate the depth of	Good of the syllabus	3) Average) & Tractical
10. Rate the depth o	of the syllabus		4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
10. Rate the depth of	of the syllabus enarios				()
10. Rate the depth of	of the syllabus				
	enarios.	s of the course in	relation to the co	omnetencies expected i	by industry /
current global sce				ompotencies expected (by maustry /
1) Very good 2) C	Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curriculum h	has focus on	skill developmer	nt		
	Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			- A		
12. Evaluation of the	e course is ap	propriate to disc	riminate the stud	ents	
1) Very good 2) G	Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			5		
ny other suggestion(s)					
				. xi * 8.8	
				2 1	
	Rid	Ramya, le of Student			Remyo Signature
te		e of Student			No.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



			Student	Feedback I	form on C	urricululi	<u>.</u>		
					J.	Acade	mic Yea	r: 201°	7-2020
Name:	1).5	iva Kun	100			Course	e: [3. Tech r: III	`
Depart:	ment: E	HA023	0		<i>p</i>	Years/S	Semeste	r : <u>III</u>	T
1. Pl	ease give	a rating of	your cour	rse on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2 .	1
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs				5			Rating
1		value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, o	r	9
2	Applicab	oility/releva	ince to rea	al life situation	S			· ·	8
3	Depth of	the course	content			* A 2			٩
4	Extent of	fcoverage	of course		,		.5.4		9
5	Extent of	f effort requ	uired by s	tudents					9
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of	project/ report	ll .		School of the second se		9
7	Overall r	ating		- 6		9			8
2. T	he syllabu	s was				a a y			
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequ	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Ir	relevant		()
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	se was	***;	(set		
1) Hig Releva		2) Releva		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		omplete evant	ly	(1)
4. R	ate the sec	quence of u	nits/modu	ales of the cour	se	The street of the	A A		and the state of t
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Po	or	
5. R		equateness		at book and refe	erence book i	mentioned fo	r the co	ırse	
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		Very po		(2)
6. V	Vere you a	ble to get t	he prescri	ibed readings?	¥				
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very po	oor	(1)
7. R	Rate the de	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or s	elf-learning	12	•	
	ry good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ery Poo	r	(1)
	, 6				<u> </u>	* ,			

1) Very good	2) Const	ng of elective in rela		y advancements	
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ressional Cours	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering So tive, Project Work (PW	ciences, Basic 7) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
carrent gio	bai sectiatios.		relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric 1) Very good	ulum has focus (2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average		(5) XI D	
7 3 8000	2) 3004	J) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggestion	on(s)				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
te	N	ame of Student	7		D. Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.R



Academic Year: 209 - 2020

Name: Sk. Nagur Basha Roll No: 186775 AD 214 Department: EEE

1) Very good

2) Good

Course: 13 Tech

5) Very Poor

(1)

Years/Semester: 111/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	a
	broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	q
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was 1) 1) Challenging 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 4) Mostly 5) Completely (2)1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly Irrelevant Irrelevant Relevant Relevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor ()5) Very Poor 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor 3) Inadequate (2)**6.** Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor 7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

4) Poor

3) Average

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				9 0×5 4	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering So	nianana Dania
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elec-	tive, Project Work (PW	/\ Proptices, Dasic
Training / In	ternship etc.	, :::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	ouve, open Elec	ave, Project Work (PW	() & Fractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
X 55 X X				77 . 513 1 001	()
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	ibus of the course in	relation to the o	compotonoi o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	1
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	abus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
10.Rate the d current glo 1) Very good	epth of the sylla bal scenarios. 2) Good	0			
current gro	bai scenarios.	abus of the course in 3) Average	relation to the c	5) Very Poor	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good ulum has focus	3) Average	4) Poor		
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	

Sk. Nagur Basha Name of Student

Sk. Nagar Sylo Signature

IQAC

Principat NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019 - 2020)
Course: B-TECH	

Name: J. HARI TEJA. Rool No: 17471A0209 Department: EEE

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

Years/Semester: 1 /1

5) Very Poor

(1)

1. Please give	1. Please give a rating of your course on the following									
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
Extremely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely		

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

5	Extent of	f effort required b	y students			9
6	Relevano	ce/learning value	of project/ report			7
7	Overall r	ating	3	*	.15	8
20 17	LANK	¥	10	4	n 4 8	
2. T	The syllabu	s was				
l) Cha	allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Y	Your backg	round for benefiti	ing from the course	e was		
l) Hig	ghly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relev	ant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	
1) Ex	Rate the sec	quence of units/me 2) Adequate	odules of the cours 3) Inadequate	ee 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. F			text book and refe	rence book mention	ned for the course	
l) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
6. V	Were you a	ble to get the pres	scribed readings?			
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

4) Poor

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
, , ,	1 /) Trivilage	1)1001	3) Very Foor	()
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ofessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social Societive, Open Elect	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
l) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
12.Evaluation	of the course is	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
y other suggesti	on(s)				

HAPI TEJA Name of Student Hoori Jy'a Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019 - 2020

Course: B. Tech

Years/Semester: III/II

Name: M. Radesh Roll No: 18475A0226 Department: EEE

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

)	or our time rotto	.,				
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	a
4	Extent of coverage of course	d
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	a

O	Relevan	ce/learning value	of project/ report			8
7	Overall 1	rating		Just a 11	. 1	9
4 6	4 1	3	2	CELY		
2. T	he syllabu	s was				
1) Cha	allenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for benefiti	ng from the course	e was	a a	
1) Hig	shly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Releva	ant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	1 2
20. 42.	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF	uence of units/mo	odules of the cours	se		The state of the s
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	622 603	THROAMARA	AM			
5. R	ate the ade	equateness of the t	ext book and refer	rence book mentic	oned for the course	
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
6. W	Vere you al	ble to get the preso	cribed readings?	8	5 7 W	
1) Exe	cellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the course	in terms of extra le	earning or self-lea	rning	
	y good		3) Average	1) Poor	5) Vary Door	(•)

o. Rate the Hex	ibility in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		Ŧ	*		
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering So	ciences Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In		,		in e, i reject work (i w) a Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
	7 ,				
10. Rate the d	lepth of the syllab	us of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.				-,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			1		
11. The curric	culum has focus or	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		s		= .	
12. Evaluation	n of the course is a	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
	T = 4		-		
ny other suggest	ion(s)				
				# #10 (P x) 11 (B)	
			, ,	la e	
	· M	1. Rajesh			M(.):
		The Color			1 1 Lager
ite.	Na	me of Student			Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019 - 2020

Name	Siva	prasad	Meko	Ja		Course	e: R.	Tech	
		475A02	05		z.				
Depart	ment:	EEE				Years/	Semester	: 14/	•
4 2									L
1. P				se on the follo					
End	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extreme
	oou	Good		Good		Tolerable		Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particula	irs					*		Rating
1	Learning	value (in t	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analytical ab	ilities or		Rating
		ng perspect		inis, concepts,	inio i reage,	ariary trour ao	micros, or		9
2				al life situations	3		-		8
3		the course							9
4		f coverage of			,		9 E E		9
5		f effort requ		tudents					9
6				project/ report	¥	ti di			
7	Overall r			orojeca report					9
	e3 x 97	8		1 1111	474 F. C. C.				8
2. T	he syllabu	s was							
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant	(1)
				* - * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3. Y	our backgr	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was				
1) High	nly	2) Relevan	nt 3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	(1)
Releva			R	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele		e sumani seni sul la Mantida	
	100	septement 4		11.06		17.4	· 3. A	114	The state of the s
20 40 14 14	ALCO ALCO ALCO ALCO ALCO ALCO ALCO ALCO			les of the cours	se		<i>y</i> = 1		A STANFORD
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequ	ate :	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	ery Poor		(1)
1	- 822 60	CHAOPE	是各种科的		2 2	So the section to the section of	# 1.95.00 THE 66 12 W	1,000 10 000 000 000 000	
		Hur (Dist.							
				t book and refe		nentioned for	the cours	se	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	8	(2)
L									
6 11	/ a.u.a.u.a.u.a.l	-1-4441-		1 1' 0					
				ped readings?	1 A) D	15\1	· · ·		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate .	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poor		()
7. R	ate the des	ion of the c	ourse in t	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning			
1) Very		2) Good) Average	4) Poor		ry Poor	(1)
, .	_	/		,	1		J	1	. /

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				E E E	
. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / In					
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				0 p) c y 1 ,(1 2 °	
10. Rate the c	lepth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				- 5
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			nt		
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developmen	III		
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				5) Very Poor	()
1) Very good	2) Good		4) Poor		()
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(1)
1) Very good 12. Evaluation	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	dents	. (1)
1) Very good 12. Evaluation	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	dents	(1)

Siva prasad mekala Name of Student

M. Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						1	Academ	ic Year	r: 2019	- 2020
Name	V. Sage	of Sallas	a				Course :			0000
Rollin	10. 17	WEAM?	05			- (course:	13.1	ech	
Depart	tment :				, t	· Y	ears/Se	mester	: III	
	E.	tt				-		11100101	FI	
			your cou	rse on the follow	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	1	1	3	2	1
	remely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some		Poor	Very	Extremely
	Good	Good		Good	Wiodelate	Toler	able	1 001	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particula	ire				-				Tp. (:
1		1900	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowladaa	on alveti	aal ahili	tion on	-	Rating
1 .	broadeni	ng perspect	ives)	kins, concepts,	knowledge,	anaryti	cai abiii	ties, or	•	3
2				al life situations	,			<u> </u>		
3		the course		al life situations)	-				8
4		f coverage of			,			-		9
5		f effort requ								9
6				project/ report						\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
7	Overall r		value of	project/ report		-				y
100	Overani	ating			with the	-				9.
2. T	he syllabu	s was								
	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	- i	5) Irrel	avont		
1) 0114	inonging	2) ridoque	10) madequate	T) Dull		3) 11161	evani	(
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the course	e was					
1) Hig	hly	2) Relevan	nt 3	3) Partly	4) Mostly	,	5) Com	pletely	/ ((1)
Releva	ınt		F	Relevant	Irrelevant		Irreleva	int		
							2			
				iles of the cours	- The second sec	3				
1) Exc				3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ry Pooi	r	()
		longya			, * n	NA Y			15.	
- n		AGPET -			1		A Brown	A A	Marie a mari in an Last revision	Y Monte and a
5. R	ate the ade	quateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book m	nention	ed for the	ie cour	se	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ry pooi		(2)
							_	****	And the second of the second second	-
6. W	ere you al	ole to get th	e prescri	bed readings?						
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ry poo	r	(1)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
7. R	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learr	ning			
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor	11 10011	5) Very	Doon		•

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosin	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			*		
. Rate the comp	position of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.		• •		A
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	by industry /
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
		on skill developme			
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
other suggesti	on(s)		20		
			9		60.00
	K	. Sacrat Salloja			K. Sagar Sa Signature
e	N	ame of Student			Signature

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019 - 20 20.

Name: P. Sai Kumage ROII NO: 17495A0212 Department: EEE

Course: B-TECH

Years/Semester: 17/11

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	2
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

10000					4
Thurs.					
2. The syllabu			, a , a	2	
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	round for benefiti	ng from the course	e was		
1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	. 2'
	iegiani19		8		
4. Rate the sec	quence of units/mo	odules of the cours	e	gitted total non-terroristic personal process personal	Nillman of Automotigin walkings
1) Excellent		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
· STA STE	RASARAGMET	建			
	Guntur (Dist.)			The same of the sa	
5. Rate the ade	equateness of the	text book and refer	rence book mention	ned for the course	Color
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
			× ×	- Andrew	
6. Were you a	ble to get the prese	cribed readings?	g		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
	* C				1 '1 '
7. Rate the des	sign of the course	in terms of extra le	earning or self-lear	ning	
	2) 0 1		(A) D	C) II D	7 4 5

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(t)
F - 2	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	x = -		2 2 2 2	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / Int				, - , -	,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
- V	7 * 3				
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected l	oy industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				,
1) 17 1	2 2				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		(3) Average on skill development		5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric				5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		()
11. The curric	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developme	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
11. The curric	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric 1) Very good 12. Evaluation	ulum has focus 2) Good of the course is	on skill developme 3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor dents	(1)
11. The curric 1) Very good 12. Evaluation	ulum has focus 2) Good of the course is 2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor dents	(1)

P. Sai Kumaz Name of Student

IQAC

Date

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019 - 2020

Name: D. Sai Kuras. Roll-No: 1647/A0203

Department : EEE

Course: B. Tech

Years/Semester: 74/11

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

_		0	,						
L	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	q
	broadening perspectives)	1
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	q
5	Extent of effort required by students	Ý
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	q,

-	mi	1		
Z.	The	SV	labus	Was
	1 110	0,1	iuous	WUS

- 6						
	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)
legionit	4				

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

	1) Excellent (2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\)
108	NAOPET - 822	NAMASA			3 1 6	- A

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
, 2	2) Tracquate	3) madequate	1)1001	3) very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
			-5		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				3 9 CS	
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.				,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo	bal scenarios.		. Teramon to the c	competencies expected	by madstry /
i) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	3) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				* ,	-
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
				an ,	
	ion(a)				
other suggest	1011(5)				

D. Sai Kumas.

Name of Student

Signature

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2020-21

Name: SK. Allabaksh. ROII NO: 18475A0210 Department: EEE

Course: B. Tech

Years/Semester : $\widehat{\mathbb{N}} - \widehat{\mathbb{I}}$

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	0

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

or rour outling	round for benefitti	ing from the course	, was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 3) Inadequate 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

5 Pote the ada		avt book and refer	ana's baals man	tioned for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	()

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)

7. Rate the de	sign of the course	in terms of extra le	earning or self-lear	ning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average			7
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
Training / Int	ternship etc.				,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2	2 9				
current glo	bal scenarios.	us of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11 70	1 1 0			2 B	
	ulum has focus or		nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				*	
12. Evaluation	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(.5.)
	- 0 5	# X .		V as g	
ny other suggesti	ion(s)				

Date 15/2/21

Sk. Alabaksh.
Name of Student

Sk. Alabakeh Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.R.



1) Very good

2) Good

						A	Acaden	nic Yea	r: 2019	-20
		ma Pilya	nka.				Course	: B.	Tech.	
RDI(•1 Depart	w: 1943 ment: ele	1140201 2481000 0	nd elec-	honics Engin	neering,	Y	ears/S	emester	: I-I	
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing					
, a a	9	8	7	6	5	4		3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some Toler		Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs		(4)			***************************************			Rating
1	1 2	y value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytic	cal abil	ities, or	•	·7
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3					8
3	Depth of the course content							9		
4	Extent of	f coverage	of course	1	·		* ,			q
5	Extent of effort required by students							7		
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report							8		
7	Overall r	ating		14.8	Landard Land	i pil i si				8
1) Cha	he syllabu	2) Adequa) Inadequate	4) Dull	, I	5) Irre	levant		(1)
1) Hig Releva	hly	2) Releva	nt 3	from the cours) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		5) Cor Irrelev	npletely ant	y	(2)
	ate the sec		nits/modu	les of the cour	se					
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	1	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery Poo	T Same	(2)
5. R	ate the ade	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nention	ed for	the cou	rse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate :	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	r	(1)
6. W	Vere you al	ble to get th	ne prescril	ped readings?	n x	, * ::		FI .		
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	2 0	5) V	ery poo	or	(2)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learr	ning			
1) 17-		2) Can 1	200130 111	\ A	1) D	II Icuii	5) 11	D		(1)

	donny in choosing	of elective in rela	tion to technolog	gy advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				iz s ov j	
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.			and a late of the late) ee Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	3 .		2 3 K 1 K 1	By var a well of	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	us of the course ir	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				<i>y</i>
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	ulum has focus or	n skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	la la	0		,	
12.Evaluation	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	in a		5	ns y	
ny other suggest	ion(s)				
				and the second	
	4				
	A.	Padma Priya	oha.		A. D. Q. a.
	· · ·	at 1118ca	1 acc		M. Iriga

IQAC

Name of Student

Date

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



		1	Studer	it Feedback	form on C	urricul	<u>um</u>		
					,)	Aca	idemic Yea	ar: 2019	1-2020
Name	Hemas	oth kun	rar k	ondareddy		Coı	irse : B .T	nch	
Rollin	MAD " IT U	41/1/12 0							1
Depart	ment : E	loctrica	and	Electeories	Engineel	ing Yea	rs/Semeste	er: 25t	I sem.
						8			
1. P	lease give	_		irse on the follo				T	
Evrt	9	8 Vorus	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some ho		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
4				7 3004		Torciao		1001	1 001
S.No.	Particula	rs				α			Rating
1				skills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical	abilities, o	or	0
		ng perspect				(i)	* **		न
2				eal life situations	3	1		7	8
3 Depth of the course content								7	
4 Extent of coverage of course							8		
5 Extent of effort required by students								9	
6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report								न	
7	Overall r	rating					-,		8
2 T	ha svillahu						, v		
	he syllabu llenging	2) Adequa	te	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant	T	(2)
1) Спа	inclights	2) Macque		3) madequate	1 7) Dull		Tircicvani		(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	g from the cours	e was				
1) High		2) Relevan		3) Partly	4) Mostly	5)	Completel	v	())
Releva		,		Relevant	Irrelevant		elevant		
						,	у.		
The state of the s	The second second second second			ules of the cours	se				
								(1)	
-	WOWON								
		RASARA			1.	Dayster off.	le marie		
				xt book and refe					
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	(i) Very poo	or	(2)
				·		L	MICHAEL CHENNESS		
				ibed readings?	-,-				
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very poo	or	(1)
7. R	ate the des	ign of the c	ourse in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learnin	g		
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		Very Poor	•	(2)

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			4 4	1 8 2 5 B	
P. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive. Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	1) & Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.		ur e s by album) a Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the co	ompetencies expected	by industry /
			relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by muustry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average		5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good			5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developmen	nt		(1)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus (2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	at 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus (2) Good	on skill developmen	at 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

K. Hemanth Kumar Name of Student

& Demanth Knog. Signature

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019-2020

Name: M. Stepheno Lidiya Roll. No: 1947/A0215 Department: EEE

Course: B. Tech

Years/Semester : $\widehat{1} - \widehat{1}$

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	0
	broadening perspectives)	1
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	Q.

•	T 1	4.		
2.	The	SV	labus	was

1	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	~

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

The state of the s							
1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)			
(2008ONOTUA)							
NARABARAOPET - 522 501-							

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)					
			*							

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

1) Very good	xibility in choosir 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		()
-)) 8000	2) 0000	3) Average	4) 1001	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.) ce i ractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	* a .				(-)
10. Rate the	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	obal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(-)
-) 11) 8004	12) 0004	3) Average	4)1001	3) very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			£		
12.Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	n of the course is	3) Average			(1)
		appropriate to disc 3) Average	4) Poor	dents 5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good				(1)
	2) Good				(1)

M. Stepheno Lidiya Name of Student

M. S. hidry



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019 - 20

Name: Kavikumar, Kamya Roll No: 1947/40 221 Department: EEE

Course : B'tech

Years/Semester: T-1

Department : EEE

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8'

2. The syllabus was

			and the second s		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	_

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1. Table the sequence of units/modules of the codise							
1) Excellent 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very	Poor	(1)		
the second of th	u o a E	a 8 9a	The second	All Land	A receipt		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
10				1	

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

or were year and to got the prosented remainings.								
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)			
8 2			i e	1				

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

	0		8			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
P. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanitie	es and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ive. Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / Int	ternship etc.	,,	, open 2.00	are, rroject work (r w	Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1					
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	ous of the course m	relation to the c	competencies expected	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		-) - I - o - o - o - o - o - o - o - o - o	1)1001	3) Very 1 001	
	1 1 0	on skill davalanman	•		
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skin developmen			
11. The curric				5) Very Poor	())
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	,	(2)
1) Very good 12.Evaluation	2) Good of the course is	3) Average appropriate to discr	4) Poor iminate the stud	dents	()
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	,	(2)
1) Very good 12.Evaluation 1) Very good	2) Good of the course is 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to discr	4) Poor iminate the stud	dents	(1)
1) Very good 12.Evaluation	2) Good of the course is 2) Good	3) Average appropriate to discr	4) Poor iminate the stud	dents	()

R. Ramya. Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLUMNS
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					,	Aca	demic Yea	ar: 201	9-20
Name	: G. F	rem Ki	lman	Engineuri		Cou	irse: B	. Tec	h
Depart	ment:	nechar	ical	Engineeri	ng	Year	rs/Semeste	r : <u>II</u> -	1
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	se on the follo	wing				
. 0	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some ho Tolerabl		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	irs		4		[9	14		Rating
1		y value (in t ng perspect		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical	abilities, o	r	8
2	2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations								9
3									q
4		f coverage			. *		5 44 00		8
	5 Extent of effort required by students								8
6	6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report								9
7	Overall 1	ating				4			9
2 T	he syllabu	c was					r ·		
	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(+)
				from the cours		1 2			
1) Hig		2) Releva		3) Partly	4) Mostly	5)	Completel	у	(2)
Releva	ant		F	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irr	elevant	(4)	
4. R	ate the sec	uence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cour	se	# # # #	# W Test	1	
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poo	or	The state of the s
	25/18/33		- Change	<u> </u>	g = 9°	fan e		\$ 8°	
			m manager	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned	for the cou	ırse	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	(i) Very poo	or	(2)
6. W	Vere you a	ble to get th	e prescri	bed readings?	. 1	, v	e e		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very poo	or	(1)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	ourse in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learnin	g		
1) Ver	y good	2) Good	3	3) Average	4) Poor	5)	Very Poor	•	(1)

8. Rate the flex		ng of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
P. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ofessional Cours	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sociales of Soc	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences Basic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		, h	11/1/19	TA TERRITA	
current glo	bal scenarios.	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmen 3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc		,	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
y other suggesti	on(s)				
te 18/12/10) N	ame of Student (G. Premku	man	Ci Jou

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARA®PET - 522 661.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acad	iemic yea	ar:	317-20
Name	5	her &	Bo	aji		Cour	se:	toch	Brech
Depart	ment:	lea	havea	Eng		Year	s/Semeste	r: <u>[]</u>	Jean Tiger
1. Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extr	remely	Very	Good	Moderately	Madausta	Some hov	\$7	Very	Extremely
G	ood	Good	Good	Good	Moderate	Tolerable		Poor	Poor
									•
S.No.	Particula	ırs				#1	+		Rating
1	Learning	value (in t	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge.	analytical a	bilities, o	r	3
*		ng perspect		, ,				•	1
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations								
3									
4	Extent of coverage of course								Y
5	Extent of effort required by students								9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report								9
7	Overall r	ating				- A			Ý
	- A-1						, ,	7 11	
2. Tl	he syllabu	s was							
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)]	rrelevant		(1)
			,			2 4	TH.	13	
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the course	e was	10 X			
1) Higl	hly	2) Relevan	nt 3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) (Completel	y	(2)
Releva	nt		R	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irre	levant		
4 R:	ate the sec	mence of m	nits/modu	les of the cours	Se.	5 6 8			
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poo	Semple of the semi-	200
,		2) radequ			7)1001		v Cly 1 Oc		
	1.33.176	The state of the	**************************************	Att michel		2 7 2	1	7.0	
		The second second second	A STATE OF STATE OF	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned f	or the cou	irse	ore promised
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	or	(1)
C 11						•			
				ped readings?					
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	Very poo	or	(1)
					1				
7. Ra	ate the des	sign of the c	course in t	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning			
1) Very		2) Good) Average	4) Poor		Very Poor		(2)
11					A. C.				

omity in choosin	g of elective in rela	tion to technology	y advancements	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
osition of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering Sc	ciences, Basic
tessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
		2		
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	H			
oth of the syllah	ous of the course in	relation to the c	omnetencies expected	by industry /
pal scenarios	and of the course in	i i ciation to the c	ompetencies expected	by muusiry /
<u> </u>	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Vary Page	(.)
2) 0000	3) Average	14)1001	3) very Poor	()
1	1.117 1 1			'
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
2) Good				(1)
			77 / 027 2002	
on(s)				
(5)				
				
				0.0
_	Shar Ra	7		KABONK
		J	0 m	Signature
N	ame of Student			Signature
	2) Good position of course fessional Course fessional Course fernship etc. 2) Good poth of the syllabolal scenarios. 2) Good alum has focus of 2) Good of the course is 2) Good	2) Good 3) Average position of course in terms Humanit fessional Course, Professional Electronship etc. 2) Good 3) Average poth of the syllabus of the course in the polar scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average pullum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average of the course is appropriate to discussed and the course is appr	2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor consistion of course in terms Humanities and Social Social Social Social Course, Professional Elective, Open Electronship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor consistion of course in terms Humanities and Social Soci	position of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PWernship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor epth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected bal scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor alum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

Date

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLIFCE (AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



						Acade	emic Yea	r: 20 l	9-20
Name	: G.	Proucou Mechan	sh			Cours	e: B	.Tech	
Depart	ment:	Mechan	rical 8	ings	J.	Years	Semester	: []	1 Dem
1. Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cour	rse on the follow	wing				
9	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula						# N		Rating
1		g value (in t ng perspect		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical al	oilities, or	٢	7
. 2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	, a			8
3 Depth of the course content								٩	
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course		,		* ***		8
5	Extent o	f effort requ	aired by s	tudents	•				9
6	Relevan	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	5				8
7	Overall 1	rating				Ч			- 3
	he syllabu								
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	(5) Ir	relevant		(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	10 g 10 g 10 g		a	
1) High Releva	-	2) Releva) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	Y **	ompletely evant	у	(1)
4. R	ate the sec	quence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cours	se	* ************************************	**.	1	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poo	r	(ν)
5. R	ate the ade	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book n	nentioned fo	r the cou	rse	* A Transact
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	r	(2)
6. W	/ere you a	ble to get th	ne prescril	bed readings?		2 2 3	-	4	
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	or	(2)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learning	=		
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ery Poor		(1)
12-		***************************************			3	2 :	1 4		

		ig of elective in rela		y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				2 2	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	& Practica
Training / In	ternship etc.		, 1	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,) co i ractica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2_)
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.		relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		1 -) - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	1)1001	3) VCI y 1 001	
11 The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				8	•
12.Evaluation		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggesti	on(s)				
				90 P O D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	
				2 1	
				· (1)	1 (/
10-19		G. Praicash			akun
nte	N	ame of Student		V	0:
	. 18	aine of Student			Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year:	20	19-20
----------------	----	-------

Name: V. Venkara Shanm Wh

Course: B Jell

Department: Mech Engs

Years/Semester: 3rd Year 2nd Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	9
50	broadening perspectives)	1 2
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	19
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
----------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(1)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
SE P. SECTION	1110 7110		F 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	1 1 1	

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

	8		8		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

o. Nate the flex	ionity in choosin	g of elective in rela	tion to technology	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ofessional Course	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sc ctive, Open Elect	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glo	epth of the syllal bal scenarios.	ous of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The currice 1) Very good	ulum has focus of 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	1 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1-)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
y other suggesti	on(s)				
5-10-19 te		Venicara Sl	om mulch		J. Slam. Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					.)					
							Acaden	nic Yea	r: 20	19-20
Name	Borr	, tu ch	outan	ya		(Course	: B	. Tech	
	ment :				2.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ears/S	emester	·: [[]	- Il Sem
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing					
	9.	8	7	6	5	. 2	4	3	2	1
	remely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some		Poor	Very	Extremely
G	ood	Good	0000	Good	1.100011110	Tole	rable	1001	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs								Rating
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analyti	cal ahi	lities or	r	Ruthig
•		ng perspec		Kiiis, concepts,	Mio wiedge,	unui j ti	our uor	nties, or		9
2				al life situations	3		·			0
3		the course		ar ine situation		Tee 1	1,	a F		9
4		f coverage	the second secon							8
5		f effort requ								9
6				project/ report						9
7	Overall r		varue or	projecti report						8
	Overani	atting								0
2. T	he syllabu	s was								
	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	elevant		(1)
	7			*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was					
1) Hig	hly	2) Releva	nt 3	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5.11	5) Co	mpletel	у	(1)
Releva	int		I	Relevant	Irrelevant		Irrele	vant		V
4 R	ate the sec	mence of m	nits/modu	ıles of the cour	se			41 2		
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery Poo	or	(2)
I) LA	C. Jake	a Wild Land	MANA-A	ilall	1)1001		3) (01 1 00		
	18.334	107 11 133	iat	8 2		. 20		The state of the second	o are any - white and	Parameter Apariga (1907)
		-		t book and refe		nentior			1	
1) Exe	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) V	ery poo	or	2
6. W	Vere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?		n	- 6	,		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	4. 21	5) V	ery poo	or	(†)
7 D	ate the day	eign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	lf_loor	ning	¥		
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor	, ii icai		ry Poor		(1)
1) V CI	<i>j</i> 5000	2) 0000		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1)1001		3) 10	. , 1 001		

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			2.4	8 00	
Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Rasio
Sciences, Pro	diessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practica
Training / Int	ternship etc.		1	on (1)) co i ractica
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	X .				(-) .
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.		relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by maustry /
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1 2	8. 1			-)	
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			92		
12. Evaluation	of the course is	s appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
	71 - 18 1 - 11 - 11				(1)
other suggesti	on(s)				
				* ** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
				Ta .	
11-2019	-	Santu Chaira		Mal	a Proper trans

Name of Student

IQAC

Date

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



						Ac	ademic Yea	ar: 2019	1-20 2/1)
Name	CHA	nd8a g	sekka	8			urse: B-7		
Depart	ment : M	ECH AN	TCAL	-	. ,	Yea	ars/Semeste	r: 1 - 7	<u>)</u>
1. Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cour	rse on the follow	ving				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some he Tolerab		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			8	9.5		Rating
1		y value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytica	l abilities, c	or	9
. 2	Applical	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations	3	·			8
3		f the course		2 2	9		41		8
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course		1		91 ,4 4		9
- 5	Extent o	f effort requ	uired by s	tudents					9
6	Relevan	ce/learning	value of	project/ report	0 8				9
7	Overall	rating			,	127	***************************************		8
W		0:		× -	W				·
2. T	he syllabu	s was							
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant		(()
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	7.18	*		
1) Hig Releva		2) Releva		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	1 2) Complete relevant	ly	(1)
4. R	ate the sec	quence of u	nits/modu	ales of the cours	se		5 12 5	eg.	
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very Po	or	(2)
5. R	ate the ad	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	rence book r	nentionec	l for the co	urse	
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very po	or	(2)
6. V	Vere you a		ne prescri	bed readings?	£	* .			
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very po	or	(5)
7. R	late the de	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or so	elf-learnii	ng		
	ry good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor) Very Poo	r	(1)
		1			1		2		

1) Very good	2) Good	and of elective in relation 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
8		, , , ,		3) (61) 1 001	(()
0 D-4-4		5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2			
9. Rate the comp	osition of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Bas
Sciences, Pro	fessional Cours	se, Professional Ele	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practic
Training / Int	ernship etc.			11. c, 110ject Work (1 W) & Tractic
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Y		7)	1)1001	3) Very 1 001	()
10 Data the d		1 0.1		Burn Mark of the	
10. Rate the de	epth of the sylla	ibus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry
current glo	bal scenarios.				=3-70
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
* 1		, ,	1,71001	3) Very 1 001	(1)
11 The currie	ulum has farm	1-111-1			
11. The curren		on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(/)
			. J.		
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	denta	
1) Very good	2) Good				
1) very good	2) G00d	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
				15 x	
ny other suggestion	on(s)				
T. Committee of the com					
10-		Chandre full		,	

IQAC



						Acade	mic Year	r: 20	19-20
Name	7. Vine	ay Kuma lechani	r					Tech.	, ,
Depart	ment : N	lechani	Cal			Years/S	Semester	: /- 8	
1. Pl	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow					
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Rating
1		g value (in t ng perspec		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	ilities, or	•	9
2	Applicat	oility/releva	ince to rea	al life situations	3	, No.			9
3	Depth of	the course	content	= X 11 2	10		6		9
4	Extent of	f coverage	of course		1	9	ax X		8
5	Extent o	f effort requ	uired by s	tudents					9
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	5				8
7	Overall 1	rating				9_			8
2. T	he syllabu	s was		*					
	llenging	2) Adequ	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	relevant		0
3. Y		round for b		from the cours) Partly	e was 4) Mostly	5) C	ompletel	v (2)
Releva		2) Reieva		Relevant	Irrelevant		evant	`	
4. R	ate the sec		nits/modu	iles of the cour	se	100	N N		1
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poo)Face the second	
			el indaasia	74HC0					* *
	ate the ad	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe					
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	or	(2)
6. V	Vere you a	ble to get the	he prescri	bed readings?	*	*	21 3		
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ıate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	or	(()
7. R	ate the de	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learning	1		
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		ery Poor		
E I				-		2.0		•	

7- Vinay.

2) Good		ion to technology		
	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
sition of course i	n terms Humaniti	es and Social Scie	ences Engineering Sc	iences Basic
ssional Course,	Professional Elec	tive, Open Electiv	ve. Project Work (PW	% Practica
nsnip etc.			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,) co i ractica
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		4	9 3 3	, , ,
th of the syllabu	s of the course in	relation to the cor	mpetencies expected	by industry /
scenarios.			1	oy madony /
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
		6		
ım has focus on	skill developmen	t		
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
		3.76		
the course is ap	propriate to disci	iminate the stude	nts	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		
		ri et		
(s)		n *5 8		
* * * * * *	X 1			
-				
Nam	ne of Student			Signature
t	ch of the syllabut scenarios. 2) Good The of the syllabut scenarios. 2) Good The course is apply Good (s)	ch of the syllabus of the course in scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average ch of the syllabus of the course in scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average ch as focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 3) Average 3) Average 3) Good 3) Average	ssional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Ship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor th of the syllabus of the course in relation to the could scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor the syllabus of the course in relation to the could scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor The course is appropriate to discriminate the stude (2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor (s)	2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor th of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor The course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (s)

T. Vinoy Kumar,

IQAC

07/02/201



Academic Year: 2019-2020

Name: VETARLA AVINASH

Course: B. Tech

Department: Mechanical

1) Very good

2) Good

Years/Semester : I D

	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Ext	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some hov Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
0	·								
S.No.	Particula								Rating
1		y value (in t ng perspec		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical a	bilities, o	r	9
2	Applicat	oility/releva	ince to re	al life situations	3		9		9
3	Depth of	the course	content	Z P I	7	1 F 18	5 4		?
4	Extent o	f coverage	of course		3		8		9
5	Extent o	f effort requ	uired by s	tudents					9
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of	project/ report		5			9
7	Overall 1	rating				-			9
	he syllabu				d dee	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		* , =	
1) Cha	allenging	2) Adequ	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) 1	relevant		(()
					The state of the s				
				from the cours		5) ('omnletel	V	
1) Hig	ghly	round for b	nt 3	from the cours 3) Partly Relevant	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant		Completel levant	У	()
1) Hig Releva	ghly ant	2) Releva	int 3	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant			У	(1)
1) Hig Releva 4. R	ghly ant	2) Releva	nt 3	3) Partly	4) Mostly Irrelevant	Irre			
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Ex	ghly ant Rate the sec cellent	2) Relevanduence of u 2) Adequ	nt 3	3) Partly Relevant ales of the cour	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	Irre 5)	Very Poo	or	
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Ex	ghly ant Rate the sec cellent	2) Relevanduence of u 2) Adequ	nits/modulate	3) Partly Relevant ales of the cours 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	Irre 5)	Very Poo	or	
1) Hig Releva 4. R 1) Ex 5. R 1) Ex	cellent Cate the second cellent	2) Relevanduence of u 2) Adequateness 2) Adequateness	nits/modulate of the text	Relevant ales of the cours 3) Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant se 4) Poor	Irre 5)	Very Poo	or	(1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

()

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios.	W) & Practical
Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (Praining / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios.	W) & Practical
Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (Praining / Internship etc. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios.	W) & Practical
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios.	(()
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor 10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios.	(()
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected current global scenarios.	d by industry /
current global scenarios.	d by industry /
current global scenarios.	d by industry /
1) 1/2 (1) (2) (1)	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	
	()
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	(1)
12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students	
1) Vome and 1 2) C 1	
1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor	

Date 15/2/2020

V-AVINAS L Name of Student

V. Avinash Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2019-20

<u>Student</u>	reeudack i	orm	on	Curriculum
		,		

Name	: 13.E	3056				Cour	se : 8.76	ech	
Depart	ment : N	lechan	ical			Years	/Semester	: end.	-15E
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremel
	bood	Good	Good	Good	Moderate	Tolerable	1001	Poor	Poor
S.No.	Particula	ire				* * *			D. c
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowlodgo	onalystical a	-:1:4:		Rating
.1	broadeni	ng perspect	ives)	kiiis, concepts,	kilowiedge,	anaiyiicai a	offitties, or		8
2				al life situations	0		27		
3		the course		ii iiie situationi		Sec.			9
4		f coverage of			,				8
5		f effort requ		tudanta	·				
6				project/ report		0			9
7	Overall i		value of p	oroject/ report	2		-		8
	Overall	atting		* 30.8					9
2. T	he syllabu	s was							
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Ir	relevant	((2)
	2 2	81		*		7,7	ži		
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the cours	e was	* 1			
1) High		2) Relevai	nt 3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) C	ompletely	((1)
Releva	nt		R	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrel	evant		
4. R	ate the sec	uence of ur	nits/modu	les of the cours	se		3		
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poor		(2)
		Girantaen			1	1 10 10 1	A	2 3	
	1234 727 4	The one of	Arriv, Constan	(-				7 7	
5. R	ate the ade	equateness of	of the text	book and refe	rence book m	entioned fo	r the cours	se	
	cellent	2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		Very poor		(Q)
	t delta clear	Frank The Stiller		,			, F		(.Q
				14		-	×		
		ole to get th	e prescrit	ped readings?	- 9		8		
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poor		())
		2							
7 D	4 41 1		· · · · · ·	6		101			
				erms of extra l					
1) Very	y good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (y other suggestion(s) R-chandra Rose	1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industr current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development (3) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (4) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students (4) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (5) Very Poor (6) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (6) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (7)	Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sociales of Soc	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic) & Practica
current global scenarios. 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 11. The curriculum has focus on skill development 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) 2) other suggestion(s) Rochandra Rose			3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curriculum has focus on skill development) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (other suggestion(s) R. Chandra Rose			bus of the course in	n relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) vother suggestion(s) R. Chandra Rose	11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2) Very good 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (2)) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
other suggestion(s) 1.2/19 B. Chandra Rose B. B.	12.Evaluation	n of the course is	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1,2/19 B. chandra Rose B. Bs.) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
	other suggest	ion(s)				
				* 1		
	12/19		3. chandra T	Rose		Signature



		4	n * 11 1			
		,	Acade	mic Year	: 201	7- 2020
sai			Cours	e: B.	rech	
Mechonical		É	Years/	Semester	: 2rd	- 18+
a rating of your c	ourse on the follow	ving				
8 7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Very Good Goo	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
ars				- 111		Rating
	of skills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical ab	oilities, or		8
bility/relevance to	real life situations	3		B		9
						8
				- 100 E		9
		9		X	7	8
		3 3				9
	or project report					8
2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Ir	relevant		(1)
2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant			у	(2)
quence of units/m	odules of the cour	se	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	., %		
	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very Poo	Page 12 S	(1)
2) Adequate	3) madequate	4) 1001				
2) Adequate		4) 1001				
	A PROPERTY.		nentioned fo		rse	(2)
lequateness of the	text book and refe 3) Inadequate	rence book n	nentioned fo	r the cou	rse	•
	8 7 Very Good ars g value (in terms of the course content of coverage of course for the course rating was 2) Adequate ground for benefit 2) Relevant	e a rating of your course on the follow 8	a rating of your course on the following 8 7 6 5 Very Good Moderately Good Moderate ars g value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, sing perspectives) bility/relevance to real life situations of the course content of coverage of course of effort required by students nce/learning value of project/ report rating as was 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull ground for benefiting from the course was 2) Relevant 3) Partly Relevant 4) Mostly Irrelevant	Years/ Years/ Techonical Techonical Tea rating of your course on the following 8 7 6 5 4 Very Good Good Moderately Good Moderate Tolerable Tolerable	Years/Semester Years/Semester	ra rating of your course on the following 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 Very Good Good Moderately Good Moderately Good Hood Foor Hoor Hoor Foor Hoor Hoor Hoor Hoor

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(2)

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosis	ng of elective in rela	tion to technolog	v advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Int	ressional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sociales of Sociales	siences, Engineering Setive, Project Work (PW	cianaos Dasia
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current gro	bai scenarios.	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curricu	ulum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		-
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
Any other suggestion	on(s)				
Date 19.12.19	N	Sri, Sou			Signature

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Signature



			Studen	reeuback	rorm on C	urriculum			
					,	Acader	nic Ven	r. 201	9-20
			_	^ ^		Acadel	inc i ca	1. 200	1 2-
Name	JL	okesh 1echan	1 Rec	ldy		Course	: B-	Tech	?
Depart	ment : 🏲	1echan	nical			Years/S	Semester	: I.	ーエ
1. P	lease give	a rating of	vour cour	rse on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Exti	remely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely
G	ood	Good	Good	Good	Moderate	Tolerable	1 001	Poor	Poor
CN	D (' 1					* (5) x			D-4:
S.No.	Particula			1.111	1 1 1	1.1.1.1.	11.71		Rating
1		g value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, of	ſ	8
2				al life situation	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		9
3		the course		ar me situation		7			a
4		f coverage			1		Japan		9
5		f effort requ		tudents					8
6				project/ report					9
7	Overall i		varue or	projecti report	7 (8
3/30	Overall	ating			L Buc		2 2		
2. T	he syllabu	s was							
	llenging	2) Adequ	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		(2)
	<u> </u>					e g			
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was				
1) Hig	hly	2) Releva	int 3	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletel	у	(()
Releva	ant		I	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	vant		
		*merina	w Der Cl						
	and the second second second	The state of the s	the state of the s	iles of the cour		2 4-4		A STREET, VIN A STREET,	P. J. S. Sandara
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(1)
			1 T T C	e Prikiri		A. Service	e Arian ion Litera		Aceteria and
				t book and refe					
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	or	(()
L	_								
6. V	Vere you a	ble to get th	he prescri	bed readings?	,				
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ıate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	Very poo	or	(2)
1	- 9					А			
_				2		101			
_				terms of extra			T)		()
1) Ver	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor	(5) Ve	ery Poor		(2)

o. Rate the flex	ionity in choosii	ng of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
. Rate the comp	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Pasia
Training / Int	ressional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\)
			2	PIN MONITOR	
current gior	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected l	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
11. The curriculty Very good	ulum has focus 2) Good	on skill developmen 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
y other suggestion	on(s)		**************************************		
			~ ^ ^		
/12/2019		J. lokegh	12edds		I lokel
e	N	ame of Student			Signature

IQAC



						Acader	nic Year	r: 201	9-20	
Name	MO	addu 1	Vara	inhalao				rech		
Depart	ment :	Jech on	0		<i>*</i> .	Years/S	Semester		-1	
				se on the follow	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate Some how Tolerable Poor Poor E					
S.No.	Particula	ırs				i i			Rating	
1	broadeni	ng perspect	tives)	kills, concepts,		analytical abi	lities, or	!	7	
2				l life situations	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. =		8	
3		the course			,		05		8	
4		f coverage of) (A. C.		1	
5		f effort requ							1	
6			value of p	project/ report					8	
7	Overall 1	ating			14				8	
2. T	he syllabu	s was								
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		()	
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was			14		
1) High Releva		2) Relevan) Partly Lelevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Co Irrele	mpletely vant	/	(2)	
4. R	ate the sec	uence of u	nits/modu	les of the cours	se	* 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
	cellent	2) Adequ	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(1)	
5. R	ate the ade	equateness (of the text	book and refe	rence book n	nentioned for	the cour	se		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	())	
6. W	ere you a	ble to get th	e prescrib	ed readings?	,t		±			
1) Exc		2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(1)	
7. R	ate the des	sign of the o	course in t	erms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learning	1	,		
1) Ver	y good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor		()	
		3								

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		x 2	400 M		
. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	iences, Engineering Sc	iances Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course.	Professional Elec	ctive Open Flect	tive, Project Work (PW	Ne Practice
Training / Int	ernship etc.	Troitessional Ele	ouve, open Elect	ive, Project Work (1 W) & Tractica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2	= ,				
10. Rate the d	enth of the syllabi	is of the course in	relation to the o	ompetencies expected	l ! d /
zoritate the u	open of the sylladi	as of the course if	i relation to the C	ompetencies expected	by industry /
current alo	hal scenarios				
	bal scenarios.		T 10/2	12,00	1
	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)
1) Very good	2) Good			5) Very Poor	(7)
1) Very good				5) Very Poor	(L)
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good				(L)
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good ulum has focus or	skill developme	nt	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(Y)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus or 2) Good	skill developmen 3) Average	1 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus or	skill developmen 3) Average	1 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

3-7-19 Date Maddy Nayas: who Ras Name of Student

Signature





(AUTONOMOUS)

Academic Year: 2019-20

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Name	: Boir	a Venu	1		Course: Brey					
Depart	ment : N	1 echon	SCI		- / .	Years/S	emester	: 15 -	-2	
1. P	lease give	a rating of y	our cou	se on the follo	wing					
27 (NII)	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	remely lood	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely Poor	
	1000	Good	, tt	Good	Tolerable Poor P					
S.No.	Particula	ırs				Rating				
1	Learning	value (in te	erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or			
٠,		ng perspecti							8	
. 2	Applicat	oility/relevar	nce to rea	al life situations	S	N_ 1	a 8		8	
3	Depth of	the course	content		5.	2 g V	n ²		9	
4	Extent of	f coverage o	f course		,	Α .			8	
5		f effort requ						-	8	
6			value of p	project/ report					8	
7	Overall r	ating							1	
0.00	VEG				- Chian h. A.					
	he syllabu				T =				,	
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	te 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(
3. Y	our backg	round for be	nefiting	from the cours	e was	0 E				
1) High		2) Relevan) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	′ (L)	
Releva	int		F	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev	vant			
4. R	ate the seq	uence of un	its/modu	les of the cour	se					
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	te	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poor	and the second	(L)	
2 A	100	romanistra.		145 A440153DF			ALC: Y			
5. R	ate the ade	equateness o	f the tex	t book and refe	rence book m	nentioned for	the cour	se		
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ite	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	•	()	
6. W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescril	ped readings?	, a		185			
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequa	ite	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	r	()	
7. R	ate the des	ign of the co	ourse in	terms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning	:			
	y good	2) Good) Average	4) Poor		ry Poor	(12	

		g of elective in rela	tion to technology	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
				a 4 g w	
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW	& Practica
Training / Int	ernship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(L)
			Topics II		
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	us of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	hy industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			omported onpotica	oy maastry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
- 2	3				
11. The curric	ulum has focus or	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12. Evaluation	of the course is a	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	1 2	8 .			
any other suggesti	on(s)		* **		
				Section 50 and and	
					a 1
010					
8-19 Pate		Borra Ven			150 en

IQAC



Academic Year: 2019-10

Name	: Crop	n Maech	aut	4 /J kie	Course: B Tech					
Depart	tment:	n Maer	u		<i>f</i> .	Years/S	Semester	r: <u>IV</u> –	I	
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	remely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely	
U	lood	Good		Good	7.4	Tolerable	1001	Poor	Poor	
S.No.	Particula	ırs				0	<u>0</u>		Rating	
1			erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analytical ab	lities o	,	Rating	
		ng perspect		kins, concepts,	Kilowicuge,	anary near ao	intics, o		9	
2				al life situations	;	,			.,	
3		the course				Part I	2.0		8	
4		f coverage of			1				9	
5		f effort requ		tudents					Q	
6				project/ report	9				-	
7	Overall r		r	green repose	V 1		organization and the same		+ 3	
V 11 1	AL.			. 7 3	Cally your				18	
2. T	he syllabu	s was								
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant			
	3 1	1		· · ·		a				
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the cours	e was					
1) High	hly	2) Relevan	nt 3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletel	y	(1)	
Releva	ınt		R	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	vant			
4 P	ate the sec	ulence of ur	vita/modu	les of the cours	10		8 1			
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate		5) 1	The second	tion to the second of the second	(2)	
I) Exc	enent	2) Adequa	SHALKAR	5) madequate	4) Poor	(3) V	ery Poo	r	(2)	
7	7/31	CHITTOL	ITAL				Sand The	2 4		
5. R	ate the ade	equateness o	of the text	book and refe	rence book m	entioned for	the cou	rce		
		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poo		(2)	
I) LAC	orient *	2) Macqua) madequate	4) 1 001	3) V	ery poo	1	(2)	
,						·				
6. W	ere you al	ble to get th	e prescrit	ed readings?						
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	ery poo	r	()	
				,1	,,		ory poo		()	
							5.			
7. R	ate the des	sign of the c	ourse in t	erms of extra l	earning or se	lf-learning				
1) Ver	y good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor			
					1	1 1	-			

		g of elective in rela			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
					1
. Rate the comp	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.		****		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
2 *	= ,		-		2 1
10. Rate the de	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	hy industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			ompetences empeted	oy maasay i
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curricu	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12. Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	2 4 1		= -		
other suggestie	on(s)				
				grande and	
	·	2			$\sim \Lambda \cdot \int$
20		uspu Madhau ame of Student	re Krishi -		Cut M.
e	N	ame of Student			Signature

IQAC



						Acader	nic Year:	20	1 7 - W
Name	: Sin	golo (isp; Re	29 5		Course	: <u>B</u>	.Teu	L
Depart	tment :	Mecha	na			Years/S	Semester :	TV -	-2
1. P	lease give	a rating of y	our cour	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula						e E e		Rating
1	Learning broadeni	y value (in te ng perspecti	erms of slives)	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or		9
. 2				l life situations	3		100		9
3		the course of		Ditaction		No. 1			
4		f coverage o			1				8
5		f effort requi		tudents					8
6				project/ report					9
7	Overall r		r	region report			-		9
2. TI	he syllabus								8
	llenging	2) Adequat	te 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(2)
3. Y	our backgr	ound for be	nefiting	from the course	e was		8		
) High		2) Relevan) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Cor	npletely	(1)
Releva	5			elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev		()
4. Ra	ate the seq	uence of uni	its/modul	es of the cours	e		Make an interface by many or any	en tunigation yes	1 min man
	ellent	2) Adequa	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery Poor		())
5. Ra	ate the ade	quateness of	f the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for t	he course	er nei sie et en tem est.)	A Company of the Comp
	ellent	2) Adequat) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor		(<u>2</u>)
6. W	ere you ab	le to get the	prescrib	ed readings?			e .		
) Exc	ellent	2) Adequat	te 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ery poor		(1)
7. Ra	ite the desi	gn of the co	ourse in to	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning			
) Very	good	2) Good		Average	4) Poor	5) Ver	v Poor	1	()
				3-	,	13) (01	, 1001		1)
	14								

l) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	$(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$
1= + *		6 to 4.		a the second	
9. Rate the comp	position of course in	n terms Humaniti	es and Social Sc	eiences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course, I	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / Int	ernship etc.	en			7
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1//	× 31			g	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabus	s of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	epth of the syllabus bal scenarios.	s of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios.	3) Average	4) Poor		by industry /
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(₍)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus on	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus on	3) Average skill development 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	by industry /
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus on 2) Good	3) Average skill development 3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	by industry / $()$ $()$ $()$

5-10-19 Date Singale Copi Roja

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC



Academic Year: 2019-2020

Course: B.Tech

Department: Mechanical

Name: Bilal

Years/Semester: 1-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2.	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
					TOTOTAGIC		1 001	1001

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	3
7	Overall rating	0

/ Overall i	rating				Q
2. The syllabu	s was		a		
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
	round for benefitin	ig from the course	e was		
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(-2)
	uence of units/moo	dules of the cours	e		
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ade		ext book and refer	ence book mention	ed for the course	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)
	ple to get the prescr	ribed readings?		φ	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the de	esign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	learning or self	f-learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	\rightarrow

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int	ternship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
10. Rate the d	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
-)					
		-			
		on skill developme	nt		
		on skill developme 3) Average	nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	culum has focus			5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	eulum has focus 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(2)
11. The curric	eulum has focus 2) Good		4) Poor		(2)
11. The curric	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor criminate the stu	dents	(2)
11. The curric	2) Good n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor criminate the stu	dents	(2)

Date 06/02/2020

Name of Student SK-Md-Bilal.

Signature

IQAC



(AUTONOMOUS)

	Student Fo	eedback Forn	1 for the A	cademic Yea	r. 2016	-2020	
	300epu Ramo	astoreyou.		e: B.Tech			
Department:	BCE		Years/	Semester:			
1. Please g	rive a rating of your	r course on the fa	.11				
	8 7	6					
Extremely Good	Very Good	Moderately	5 Moderate	Some how	3	2	1
	Good	Good	1110 donate	Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extremely.
S.No. Partic	ulars		1			Poor	Poor
		C 1 111					Rating
broad	ing value (in terms ening perspectives)	of skills, concep	ots, knowledg	ge, analytical abi	lities, or		Railing
2 Applie	cability/relevance t) 			-, -2		8
3 Depth	of the course conte	o real life situation	ons				
4 Extent	of coverage of cov	CIII					-
5 Extent	of effort required	hr 1					
6 Releva	ince/learning value	of students					9
7 Overal	l rating	of project/ repor	rt				9
							-
2. The syllab	ous was						F
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	1050				
				5) Irre	levant	("	3)
3. Your back	ground for benefit	ing from the cour	roa waa				
	2) Relevant	3) Partly					.e.;
Relevant		Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	, , , ,	pletely	(2	2)
A Big is				t Irreleva	ant		-
4. Rate the se	quence of units/mo	odules of the cou	rse				
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) 37			
		, , ,	1,71 001	3) Ve	ry Poor	(2)
5 Patathand							
Excellent	equateness of the t	ext book and refe	erence book	mentioned for th			
Lacement	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ver	y poor		9
				3) VEI	y poor		2)
6. Were you at	hle to got the						
) Excellent	ble to get the presc	ribed readings?					
, and the	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ver	y poor	1	2)
	L				J POOI	(2)
7. Rate the des	ign of the course is						
Very good	ign of the course in 2) Good	2) A	earning or se	lf-learning			
		3) Average	4) Poorting	5 Very	Poor	(2))
- Va			Principal			7	
I A		NARASARAC		ERING COLLEGE		0.5	
IUA		(1	AUTONOMO	OUS)		12 You	aridhlu.
		,	PADAODET				

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

8. Rate the flexi	bility in choosing o	of elective in relation	n to technology ad	vancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	fessional Course, I			ces, Engineering So , Project Work (PW	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	epth of the syllabus bal scenarios. 2) Good	s of the course in r 3) Average	elation to the com 4) Poor	petencies expected 5) Very Poor	by industry /
11.The curric	ulum has focus on	skill development			(0)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is an	opropriate to discri	minate the studen	ts	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggesti	on(s)				

Date 21-12-2021

Name of Student Bodelo Ramorane Jak.

B. Rand Jane Vell. Signature



			Stu	dent Fee	dback Form	for the A	cadem	ic Yea	r 202	6- 20	90	•
			- Say	hom	C			,tec			-0	
	Depar	tment	: Ec	E		Years/S	Semeste	er:				
	1. F	lease	give a ratin	o of your	course on the fo							
			8	7	6							
1	Extreme		Very	Good	Moderately	5 Moderate	0	4	3	2	1	
9	Good		Good		Good	Moderate	Some Tolera		Poor	Very	Extren	nely
	S.No.	Darti	culars		•		101016	1010		Poor	Poo	r
	1			<i>(</i> '							Dating	7
	1	broad	dening pers	(in terms o	f skills, concep	ts, knowledg	e, analy	tical abi	lities, or		Rating	-
	2								-, 01		9	
	3	Dent	h of the co	urse conten	real life situation	ons					8	1
	4	Exter	of cover	age of cour	<u>it</u>						8	-
	5	Exter	t of effort	required by	se							-
	6	Relex	ance/learn	in a seal	students			,		-	2	1
	7	Overs	all rating	mg value o	of project/ repor	t					7.	
	L		an rating								a	D.
	2. Th	e sylla	ibus was	*:							9.	ļ
	1) Chal	lengin	g 2) Ade	Quate	3) Inadequate	100 11						
						-		5) Irre	levant	(2)	×
	3. Yo	ur bac	kground fo	or benefitin	g from the cour	rce was						
	1 1 111811	Ly	2) Rele	evant	3) Partly			100				
1	Relevan	t			Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevan		5) Con	npletely	(ر)	,
	4.5							Irrelev	ant			
	4. Kat	te the s	equence o	f units/mod	lules of the cou	rse			*			
	1) Exce	llent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Va	ry Poor			ř
					•	,		3) 10	1 y 1 001		(1)	
ı,	5. Rate	e the a	dequators									
.]	1) Excel	lent	2) Ada	ss of the tex	xt book and refe	erence book	mentior	ned for th	ne course	;		
	-,	TOIL	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ry poor	.	2)	
		***			140					,	2)	
,	6. Wer	e you	able to get	the prescri	bed readings?							
- 1	1) Excel	lent	2) Adec		3) Inadequate	(4) D-		T => ==				
					5) maucquate	4) Poor		5) Ve ₁	ry poor	(1)	
	~ ~				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Γ	7. Rate	the de	sign of the	course in	terms of extra l	earning or se	elf-learn	nina				
L	1) Very g	ood	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		5) Very	Poor	,	,	
		A	0	State Control of the State Con		Ma		-) very	1001	())	
			Y			Principa						
	T	A VA			MADACADA	OPETA ENGINE	ERINGO	COLLEGE			Sai Zon	
						AUTONOM				1.	Jai hon	now

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601,

1) Very good	2) Good	g of elective in rela			/ A `
1) very good	2) G000	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / Int	fessional Cours	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Ele	ies and Social Sc ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basio () & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)
current glo	bal scenarios.			competencies expected	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
		on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(<u>)</u>
		s appropriate to dis	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)
ny other suggest	ion(s)				

IQAC



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

Name :	K. Solo	the	edback Form					
		lina		Course	: B.Te	ch		
	ent: ECE				Semester:			
1. Plea	se give a rating	of your	course on the fo	llowing				
Extremely	8	7	6	5	4	3		T
Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely
			1 0000	· · ·	Tolerable		Poor	Poor
	articulars							
1 L	earning value (in terms	of skills, concep	ts, knowledg	e analytical a	hilitia		Rating
		-CC11 4 C3 1			c, analytical a	onnes, or		9
2 A	oplicability/rele	evance to	real life situation	ons				1
	epth of the coun	rse conte	nt	4.				8
	tent of coverag	ge of cou	rse			50		8
6 Re	tent of effort re	equired b	y students					7
7 0	erall rating	ig value	of project/ repor	t				8
	- Tutting							9
2. The s	yllabus was	¥		(x)				
1) Challen	ging 2) Adec	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	155			
3. Your			ng from the cour		5) In	elevant	(2)
1) Highly	2) Relev	/ant	2) Postler					
Relevant	-) 11010	u m	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly	, ,	mpletely	(2)
14.				Irrelevan	Irrele	vant		-
4. Rate th	e sequence of	units/mo	dules of the cou	rse				
1) Exceller	t 2) Adeq	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) 7	Zama D		
				1,1001	3) V	ery Poor		
5. Rate th	e adequateness	of the te	ext book and ref					
l) Excellen	2) Adeq	uate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor				
) madequate	4) 1001	(5) V	ery poor	(2)
6 337			4	<u> </u>				
) Free!!	ou able to get t	he prescr	ibed readings?					
) Excellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	1. /	
						-J Pool		1)
7. Rate the	design of the	0011#5-						
Very good	2) Good	Course in	terms of extra l	earning or se				
	<u> </u>		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Ver	y Poor	()
				A MIA				

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				ciences, Engineering Sc	
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
10.Rate the c	lepth of the sylla	abus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
	obal scenarios.			•	,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
11. The curric	2) Good	on skill developme 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	n of the course i	s appropriate to disc	criminate the st	udents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggest	tion(s)				,
			. 1	2	
1/12/81		K. Salla	the.		K. S&10
ate .	1	Name of Student			Signature

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

IQAC



	Student	Feedback Forn	1 for the A	cadomia V.	Sal	(- 2019	
Name:	*· Shabbe	\checkmark	Course	e: Bleet	ar <u>= 20.12</u> 7		
Department	: ECE			Semester:			
1. Please				ocinester:			
9	8 7	our course on the fo	llowing			8	
Extremely	Very Goo	6	5	4	3		
Good	Good	- Loud of attery	Moderate	Some how	Poor	2 	1
(0)		Good	· · ·	Tolerable	1 001	Very Poor	Extremel
	culars					•	Poor
1 Lear	ning value (in tern	ns of skills, concepes)	. 1				Rating
broad	dening perspective	es)	ts, knowledg	e, analytical ab	ilities, or		Rainig
2 Appl	icability/relevance	to real life situati					8
Dopu	of the course con	itent	ons				8
4 Exter	it of coverage of c	Ollrea					<u> </u>
Exten	t of effort require	d by stadent			L E		7
6 Relev	ance/learning val	ne of project/ repor					-
7 Overa	ll rating	le of project/ repor	t				8
							-
2. The sylla	bus was	. 31					2
1) Challenging	2) Adequate	100					
	- June	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		5,
3. Your back	ground for benefi	iting from the cour			- vanit	(3	5)
	2) Relevant	3) Partly					
Relevant		Relevant	4) Mostly		npletely	(2	1
7			Irrelevant	Irrelev	ant	(2	7
4. Rate the se	equence of units/n	nodules of the cour				-	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	2) In a					
	Josephanc	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor	1	
¥							2)
5. Rate the ad	equateness of the	text book and refe					
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	2) I and refer	rence book n	nentioned for th	ne course		
	,quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ver	ry poor	1	
					J POOI	1 (2)
6. Were you a	ble to get the pres	cribed rocaling					
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	2) In 1	7				
) - racquare	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ver	y poor	(5	
					J P 001		2_)
7. Rate the des	ign of the course	in terms of extra le					
1) Very good	2) Good	terms of extra le	arning or sel	f-learning			
	, 0004	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very	Poor	()	
· ·	1		My			(2)	
(//		F	Principal		, 1	0	
		BIRDAGABAGET	CA CRIOIS CEDI	UA AALLE OF		11	



NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE, Shallow (AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

	J 01100011	- S or elective ill ICIG			
1) Very good	2) Good	ag of elective in relaction 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
Training / In	ternship etc.	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Ele	ies and Social Social Societive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering S tive, Project Work (PV	ciences, Basic V) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current ale	1 1 · · ·	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	her. i 1 / /
1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		•
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good ulum has focus o	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus (3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	•

29/11/2021 Date

Sk. Shabber
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC



(AUTONOMOUS)

			Stu	dent Fee	dback Form	for the A	cademic Yea	ir -2015	-2019)		
Name: P - SO 10249												
Department: ECE					Years/Semester: IV / II							
	1. P	lease g	give a ratin	g of your c	ourse on the fo	llowing		•	9			
	9		8	7	6	5	4	3				
	Extremely Good		Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Evtr	emely	
			Good		Good	,· ·	Tolerable		Poor	P	oor	
	S.No.	.No. Particulars										
	1											
		 Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) Applicability/relevance to real life situations 										
	2											
	3	Depth of the course content										
	4	Extent of coverage of course										
	5	- To void ge of course										
	6	Relev	ance/learn	ing value o	of project/ repor	rt				8		
	6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 7 Overall rating									6	_	
		2. The syllabus was										
	1) Chal	allenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (<u>a)</u>	7		
	3. Yo		2)									
1) Highly 2) Relevant					3) Partly (1) Mostley (5) C				1	<u> </u>	7	
	Relevant				Relevant	Irrelevar				22		
	4. Ra	te the	sequence o	of units/moo	dules of the co	urse	L					
	1) Excellent		2) Ade	equate	3) Inadequate		5) V	ery Poor		(1)		
	5. Rat	te the a	dequatene	ess of the te	ext book and re	ference book	mentioned for	the cours				
	1) Exce	llent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ery poor		(,)		
l							, .	ory poor	'	(1)		
	6. We	re you	able to ge	t the prescr	ibed readings?							
	1) Exce	llent	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate		5) V	ery poor		(2)		
_	7. Rate	e the d	esign of th	IP COURSE in	terms of extra	1	101					
Γ	1) Very	good	2) Good	d Course In	3) Average							
_		^	1		J) Average	4) Poor	15) Ver	ry Poor	(2	}		
		1	1			D	ingipal					
	TI	7	A	1	MAR			ULLEGE				
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE												

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

1) Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in relation and 3) Average	1) Danie		
, , , ,	1) 0000	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
) D 4	8				
. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	riences Dasia
e cremees, 11	oressional Cours	e, Professional Ele	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	/) & Prostical
Training / III	ternship etc.		,	of the state of th) & Flactical
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
			1,71001	3) very 1 001	(2)
10. Rate the d	enth of the svilla	bus of the	1		
current als	shal a :	ous of the course if	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
carrent gre	odi secharios.			_	,
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				, , , ,	
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developme	nt	34.1	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average		(5) XI D	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	12) 0000	J) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(z)
13 E 1	0.1				
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(,)
				10) + 613 1 661	
other suggesti	on(s)				
other suggesti	on(s)				

Date 26/4/2019

Name of Student PATHUKI, SVINIYA

Surrich falleni

IQAC



		Stu	ident Fee	edback Forn	1 for the A	cadon	nio Voc	20	6-209	
	Name:	Y. Nav	een			e: E		r	<u> </u>	e
	Departm	ent: 12.	1.0						1	
					Years/	, Semeste	er: {	alse	dout	-
	1. Plea	se give a ratin	of your o	course on the fo	llowing		1	10,00	·	
-	Extremely		,	6	5				•	
	Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some	how.	3	2	1
		7 3004		Good		Tolera	able	Poor	Very	Extremely
		articulars							Poor	Poor
	1 L	earning value	(in terms o	f skills, concep						Dating
	br	oadening pers	pectives)	skins, concep	ts, knowledg	e, analy	tical abi	lities, or		Rating
	2 A	oplicability/re	levance to	rool life it				,		8
		Put of the col	Irse conten	t	ons					
	- EX	tent of covera	ge of cours							8
- 1	EX	tent of effort i	required by	nt-1			٠			8
	Ne.	evance/learni	ng value of	f project/ report						8
L	7 Ov	erall rating		project repor						8
	2 ~~									8
Г	2. The sy	llabus was								
L	1) Challeng	ing 2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull					
	2 37 .						5) Irrel	evant	()
Г	3. Your b	ackground for	r benefiting	from the cour	Se was				`	
	1) Highly Relevant	2) Relé	vant	3) Partly	4) Mostly		5 \ 5			
	recievant		1	Relevant	Irrelevant		5) Com	pletely	()
2.	4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course									-
) Excellent	sequence of	units/modu	iles of the cour	se					
-	DACCHEIL	2) Adeq	ruate :	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) 1/-			
					,		5) Ver	y Poor	()
	5. Rate the	adequateness		book and refer						
1)	Excellent	2) Adequ	or the text	entione	ed for the	COurse				
		2) Adeq	uate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very	noor	T ,	
	,						-, . 019	POOL	()
	6. Were you	able to get the	he presonih	od 1' o	100					
1)	Excellent	2) Adequ								
		, , ,	atte 3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very	poor	1	
								1 - 01	()
	7. Rate the o	lesign of the	ourse in te	rms of extra lea						
1)	Very good	2) Good	3)	Average	arning or sel	f-learning	1g			
	Δ	1		Average	4) Poor	5	Very P	oor	()	
					W	By,				
-	V	0			Princ	1				
M	A A			nine near	11116	ACE R				



8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	of elective in relati	ion to technology a	dvancements	
1) Very good	2) Gøod	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
9. Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Int	resolution Course,	in terms Humanitic Professional Elect	es and Social Scier tive, Open Elective	nces, Engineering S e, Project Work (PV	ciences, Basic V) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
current glol 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	petencies expected 5) Very Poor	by industry /
11. The curricu	ulum has focus on	skill developmen	t	¥	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
12.Evaluation 1) Very good	of the course is a	ppropriate to discr			()
i) very good	2) Goød	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
y other suggestic	on(s)				

Date 20-11-2021

Name of Student Y. Naveell.

Signature

IQAC



			Stu	ident F	eedback Forn	n for the A	cademia Va	201	1 900	
	Name		1.0 pa	thu 1	Rafey		e: B Tecl		62022	2
	Depart	ment	RO	2	2		a.	Λ.		
	1 n	l'ess se es					Semester:			
	1. P	ease g	give a ratin	g of you	r course on the fo	llowing				
	Extremel	V		/	6	5				
	Good	,	Very Good	Good	- Loud of all y	Moderate	Some how	3	2	1
			3000		Good		Tolerable	Poor	Very	Extremely
		Partic							Poor	Poor
	1	Learn	ing value	(in terms	of skills, concep					Datin
		broad	ening pers	pectives	of skills, concep	its, knowledg	ge, analytical ab	ilities, or		Rating
	2	Appli	cability/re	levance t	O real life site			-, 01	1	0
		- opui	or me cor	ITSE cont	ant	ons				2
	7	extent	of covera	ge of con	Irca					5
		extent	of effort	equired !	h1	3		(FC		6
	1	celeva	nce/learni	ng value	of project/ repor				-	7
	7 (Overal	l rating	-B varac	of project repor	<u>t</u>				8
	2. The									6
ſ	1) Challe	nging								,
		-8-11-8	2) Ade	quate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	T	
	3. You	back	Pround for	- L		,	() HIC	levant	1 (/)
Γ	1) Highly		2) Relev	benenti	ng from the cour	se was			,	
_	Relevant		2) Kele	vant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Con	npletely		
					Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev	ant	(2)
_	4. Rate	the sec	uence of	IInito/m-	dules of the cour					-
	1) Excelle	nt	2) Adeq	liste	dules of the cour					
			-) 11dcq	uale	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor	7	,
									1 (2)
	5. Rate t	he ade	quateness	of the te	ext book and refer					
1) Exceller	nt	2) Adequ	late	2) In al	rence book n	nentioned for th	e course		
			, 1		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ver	y poor		`
	C ***								1 2)
	6. Were y	ou ab	le to get th	he prescr	ibed readings?					
1)	Excellen	t	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	T 4) D				
					o) madequate	4) Poor	5) Ver	y poor		7
	7. Rate the	e desid	m of 41.				<u> </u>			
1)	Very good	d design	2) Good	course in	terms of extra le	arning or self	f-learning			
	7 8000		-) 000a	3	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very 1	200=	- 1	
		A					1-7 10:91	001	(\mathcal{L})	
		Vo					My	/		
	T	A	A	1			Principat			
		N L	A	`		NARASARI	AOPETA ENGINE	RING COLL	LEEE	

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

o. Rate the her	kibility in choosii	ng of elective in rela	tion to technolog	IV advancement-	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
9. Rate the com Sciences, Pro Training / In	aposition of cours ofessional Cours ternship etc.	e in terms Humanit e, Professional Elec	ies and Social S ctive, Open Elec	ciences, Engineering Stive, Project Work (P	Sciences, Basic W) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
		bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	l by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
11. The curric 1) Very good	ulum has focus	on skill developmer			
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
Any other suggesti	on(s) No				
25(11/2021 Date	Na	M.V. Pollul ame of Student	(Papli).		M-V. P. Raf Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year:	2019-	2020
----------------	-------	------

Name: P. ISrayeiu

1) Very good

2) Good

Course: B. Tech

5) Very Poor

						· 13 ·	1 ech		
Department:	CSE		•		Years/S	Semester	: <u>I</u>	-I	
1. Please gi	ve a rating of	your çou	rse on the follo	wing					
9.	8	7	6	5	4	3	2		
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme	
S.No. Particu	ılars								
		erms of si	kills, concepts,	lem avvil a dia a	. 1 / 1 1 1 1			Rating	
broade	ning perspect	ives)	ans, concepts,	knowledge,	anaiytical abil	lities, or			
			l life situations					8	
3 Depth	- P								
	Extent of coverage of course								
	Extent of effort required by students								
6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report									
7 Overall rating									
				•	· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			8	
2. The syllab	us was				•		Ł		
) Challenging		te .3`	Inadequate	4)·Dull	5) Irrel	event	<u> </u>	2)	
•				1 -7	1 3) 11101	io,varit		2)	
3. Your back	ground for be	nefiting f	rom the course	was					
) Highly	2) Relevan		Partly	4) Mostly	5) Con	npletely	1 (3)	
elevant		R	elevant	Irrelevant	Irreleva		f ·	3)	
4. Rate the se	quence of un	its/modul	-> es of the cours	e	rg-rya'	147 mm	A PARTER APPEAR SINGLE	**************************************	
Excellent	2) Adequa	te: -3:) Inadequate "	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor		5 % ; % (1) 8	
• • •	"The Secon	13 8 3	Maria Anna ann		1 2 3	The state of			
5. Rate the ac	﴾، مندخ lequateness o	f the text	book and refere	encé book me	entioned for the	ie cours	**************************************	- Alexander d	
Excellent	2) Adequa	te 3) Inadequate	4) Poor		ry poor	_	(2)	
6. Were you a	able to get the	prescribe	ed readings?	.!				1	
Excellent	2) Adequa) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry poor		(3)	
7. Rate the de	sign of the co	urse in te	rms of extra lea	arning or solf	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Very good	2) C1		Aviana an			-	1		

3) Average 4) Poor

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
				X X X	
. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ciences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course	, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	/) & Practical
Training / Int	ternship etc.		, <u>,</u>		· / cc · ructiour
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
8 9				1, , , , , ,	` /
10.Rate the d	epth of the syllah	us of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by industry /
3 5 -0		as of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	by maustry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good			5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good	3) Average n skill developmen 3) Average		5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	
1) Very good 11. The curric	2) Good ulum has focus o	n skill developmer	nt		(\mathcal{E})
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus o	n skill developmer 3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\mathcal{E})
1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	2) Good ulum has focus o	n skill developmer	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\mathcal{E})

P. Isrosein

Date 28-10-19

Name of Student

P. Israyelu

Signature



Principal
MARASARAOPETA ENGINHERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



			١ ،		1-114		Acade	mic Yea	ar: 2010	9-2020
Name	: A.	'Kakes	h Kur	max Re	ady		Course			ě
Depar	tment : C	SE			Į.		Years/S	Semeste	r: 2-)
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing					
4,	9	8	7	6	5		4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate		e how rable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particul	ars				-				
1	-		erms of s	kills, concepts,	knowledge	analyt	igal ahi	1:4:		Rating
	broaden	ing perspect	ives)	inis, concepts,	knowledge,	anaryt	icai aui.	inties, of	,	8
. 2				al life situations	S	-	1	*		9
3	Depth o	f the course	content	75/50	/11-		T X	1		
4		f coverage o		1						8
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents						7
6			alue of p	project/ report						788
7	Overall 1	rating				۸,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			8
2. Tl	ne syllabu	s was			*		,		***************************************	
	llenging	2) Adequat	te 3) Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant		())
3. Yo	our backg	round for be	nefiting	from the course	e was					
1) High Relevan	nly	2) Relevan	t 3) Partly Lelevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		5) Con Irrelev	npletely ant		(3)
				les of the cours	e		-		1	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	te 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	2 d	5) Ve	ry Poor	1 6	(3)
-	133	O DAM G	181	4			1		N. S.	
5. Ra	ite the ade	quateness of	the text	book and refer	encé book m	ention	ed for t	he cours	se	Transmitted to the second
1) Exce	ellent	2) Adequat	te 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Ve	ry poor		(2)
6. We	ere you ab	ole to get the	prescrib	ed readings?	.1	=	. ,	01		
1) Exce		2) Adequat	1) Inadequate	4) Poor	4	5) Ve	ery poor		()
7. Ra	te the desi	gn of the co	urse in te	erms of extra le	arning or sel	f-learn	ino			7
1) Verv	boog	2) Good		Average	1) Poor	1 100111	E) 377-	. D		1

8. Rate the flex	kibility in choosing of	of elective in relati	on to technology a	dvancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	())
		2 W V		# 0.01 n	
9. Rate the com	position of course in	n terms Humanitie	es and Social Scien	nces, Engineering S	ciences. Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,	Professional Elect	ive, Open Elective	e, Project Work (PV	V) & Practical
Training / Int	ternship etc.			, 4. 54 B.C.	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	200				
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabu	s of the course in	relation to the com	petencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	g ¹⁴		•	,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill developmen			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			The second	3	
12.Evaluation	of the course is ap	propriate to discr	iminate the studen	ts	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
ny other suggesti	ion(s) Need	to dev	ielop mo	re comm	unication

Date 28 - 10 - 10-

Name of Student

A. Rakesh kumax Reddy

Signature A. Dayel

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Name: K. P.L. Noras? La

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

Academic Year: 2019, 2020

Course: B. Teel

	9	8	7	rse on the follo	wing 5	1				
	remely	Very	<u> </u>	Moderately		Som	4 ne how	3	2	1
(Good	Good	Good	Good	Moderate		erable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula					-				Rating
1	Learning	g value (in to	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analyt	tical abi	lities or		Rating
	broaden	ing perspect	ives)			•				9
. 2	Applical	bility/releva	nce to rea	l life situations	3	9				8'
3		f the course								8
4		f coverage of				,		. 1		8
5		f effort requ			,	-				G
6	Relevan	ce/learning	value of p	roject/ report			-			97
7	Overall i	rating	**							7
2. T	he syllabu	s was			oc.		,		1	* .
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	te 3)	Inadequate	4) Dull		5) Irre	levant		(2)
							-) 1110	10,10110		(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for be	nefiting f	rom the course	1		, , , , , ,			(<u>L</u>)
l) Higl	nly	round for be 2) Relevan	it 3)	rom the course Partly elevant	1			npletely		(1)
l) Higl Releva	nly nt	2) Relevan	t 3)	Partly elevant	was 4) Mostly Irrelevant		5) Con	npletely	-	(1)
l) Higl Releva	nly nt nte the seq	2) Relevan	its/modul te 3	Partly elevant es of the cours) Inadequate	was 4) Mostly Irrelevant		5) Con Irrelev	npletely		(1)
High Releva 4. Ra D Exc 5. Ra	nt ate the sequellent	2) Relevan	its/modul te 3	es of the cours Inadequate	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor	ention	5) Con Irrelev	npletely ant ery Poor	e	(1)
1) High Releva 4. Ra 1) Exc	nt ate the sequellent	2) Relevan	its/modul te 3 f the text	Partly elevant es of the cours) Inadequate	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor	ention	5) Con Irrelev	npletely ant ery Poor	e	(1)
1) High Releva 4. Ra 1) Exc 5. Ra 1) Exc	nt the sequellent ate the ade	2) Relevantuence of un 2) Adequateness of	its/modul te 3 f the text te 3	es of the cours Inadequate book and refer Inadequate	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor ence book me	ention	5) Con Irrelev	npletely ant ery Poor he cours	e	(1)

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

 Very good 	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
d 0		n 9 2			()
9. Rate the con	mosition of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Coolal Co	ciences, Engineering Sc	·
Sciences Pr	ofessional Cours	e Professional Fla	etiva. Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	iences, Basic
Training / Ir	iternship etc.	c, i folessional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			1)1001	3) (61) 1 001	(2)
10 Rate the	denth of the sulla	bus of the same	1 41 41		
Aurrent al	abal accounts	ous of the course if	relation to the	competencies expected l	by industry /
	obal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			;	1 2) 1 2 2 2 2 2	(, ,
			minaimata tha ata	1	
12 Evaluation	n of the course is			aents	
	n of the course is				
12.Evaluatio	n of the course is 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
1) Very good	2) Good				(1)
1) Very good y other suggest	2) Good				(1)
1) Very good	2) Good				(1)

K.P. I. Norasinho

Name of Student

IQAC

Date 28-10-19

Principal

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE

(AUTONOMOUS)

NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.D.

K, Ph. Nam. Signature



	1					Aca	demic Ye	ar: 2010	7 - 2021
		Trfan	kha	m					
Depart	ment: (cse			, i	Year	s/Semeste	tech	R.
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	irse on the follo	owing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	1 2	
	emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how	V D	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particul	orc			,	* * 1			
1				1 '11			1		Rating
	broaden	ing perspect	ives)	skills, concepts,		analytical a	bilities, o	r	8
. 2	Applica	bility/releva	nce to re	al life situations	S .				7
3		f the course					1.0		8
4	Extent o	f coverage c	f course	. ,	-		5 ₁₀ (0) :		7
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by s	students					8
6	Relevan	ce/learning v	alue of	project/ report					80
7	Overall 1	rating							d
911					X x x				
	e syllabu	s was							
1) Chal	lenging	2) Adequa	te 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) II	relevant		(2)
3. Yo	ur backg	round for be	nefiting	from the course	e was	3 4 7		7	
1) High	ly	2) Relevan) Partly	4) Mostly	5) (ompletely	, (2
Relevan	it			Relevant	Irrelevant	1	evant	(3)
4. Rat	te the seq	uence of uni	ts/modu	les of the cours	e		1		
1) Exce	llent	2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	. [5]	Very Poor		(5)
16 A	1.1	tion or you	angre d	7	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		very roor		2
5. Rat	e the ade	quateness of	the text	book and refer	encé book me	entioned fo	r the cours	20	The second
1) Exce	llent	2) Adequat	e 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor		Very poor		(2)
6. We	re you ab	le to get the	prescrib	ed readings?					
1) Exce		2) Adequat		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poor		(2)
	7	,		,	1) 1 001	3)	very poor		(2)
7. Rate	e the desi	gn of the co	urse in to	erms of extra le	arning or self	-learning	8		
1) Very	good	2) Good		Average	4) Poor		ery Poor	1	24
-					,	13) 10	1 1 001		2)

o. Nate the flex	idinty in choosing (of elective in relati	ion to technology a	advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		g as as		1 1 2 2	
9. Rate the com	position of course in	n terms Humanitie	es and Social Scie	ences, Engineering S	Sciences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course, l	Professional Elect	tive, Open Electiv	e, Project Work (P	W) & Practical
Training / Int					7
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
4	* * * .			* 5	
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabus	s of the course in	relation to the cor	mpetencies expecte	d by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.			1	an angel an analysis and great
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
					
11. The curric	ulum has focus on	skill developmen	t		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
			2	5 0	
12. Evaluation	of the course is ap	propriate to discr	iminate the stude	nts	×
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
ny other suggesti	on(s)	-	*,		
Add	core Si	bilely	more	in the	Sullabul
					()
	9				
	P 72	Lan L.L.			P X8tan Lh
1. 1.	v. In	fan khem ne of Student	L .		P. Flfon kh
ate 14/10/19	Nan	ne of Student			Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



1. Ple Extre Go	emely	a rating of y							
Extre Go	emely	a rating of y				Years/S	Semester	: II/I	
Extre Go	emely		our cours	se on the fol	lowing				
S.No.		8 Very	7	6	5	4	3	2 1	
	,ou	Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extre
	Particular	'S						1001	Po
			rms of als	:11-					Rating
1	broadenin	g perspectiv	ves)	ins, concepts	s, knowledge, a	analytical abil	ities, or		rating
. 2	Applicabi	lity/relevano	ce to real	life situation					8
3 I	Depth of t	he course co	ontent	me situation	1S				9
4 E	Extent of o	coverage of	Course						8
5 E	Extent of e	effort requir	ed by stu	dente		3	. *		
6 R	Relevance	/learning va	lue of pro	oject/ report					7 7
7 , C	verall rat	ing	or pre	geen report					8
					,	* .			8
2. The	syllabus v	vas				*		1	
1) Challer	nging 2) Adequate	(3) I	nadequate	4) Dull	(C) T :		V	
2 **						5) Irrele	evant	(2)
3. Your	backgrou	and for bene	fiting fro	m the course	e was				
l) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) P	artly	4) Mostly	5) Com	1 . 1	1	
Celevani			Rele	evant	Irrelevant	5) Comp Irreleva	pletely	(2	<u>'</u>
1 Data	+l					Titeleval	ill *		
) Excelle	ne seque	nce of units/	modules 'modules	of the cours	e		n		
) Excelle	ent 2) Adequate	3) Iı	nadequate	4) Poor	5) Very	Poor		
f- \$- 1	1 47 1 APP	171 dequate	TATA ANA	STAR		3) VCI	7 1 001		(1)
5. Rate t	he adeque	tenass -f.	() tipo			The state of	1	7	
) Exceller	nt 2	A day	e text boo	ok and refere	ence book men	tioned for the	COUrse	a secondaria	and the
	(2)	Adequate	3) In	adequate	4) Poor	5) Very	poor	1	2)
							F01		2)
6. Were	ou able t	o get the pre	ecoribad -						
Excellen	nt 2)	Adequate			T .: -				
		quato	3) III	adequate	4) Poor	5) Very	poor	(3)
	1								<i>>'</i>
7. Rate th	e design o	of the cours	e in terms	of extra las	rning or self-le				
Very goo	d 2)(Good	3) Ave	or extra lea	arning or self-le 4) Poor	arning			

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	of elective in relat	ion to technology	advancements	(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
, , , , ,			8 2 2		
0 Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humaniti	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
Training / In	ternship etc.		·		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
10.Rate the	lepth of the syllab	as of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
current glo	obal scenarios.		,		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curri	culum has focus or	n skill developme	nt		(2)
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	*				×
		anneanriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
12.Evaluatio	n of the course is	appropriate to disc			
12.Evaluatio	on of the course is a 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Date 10 10 10

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year:	2019	- 20

S. Nagaziuna Reddy

Course:

B. Tech

1) Very good

2) Good

3) Average

S.No. Particulars Rating	Depar	tment:	CSE			Ţ.	Years/S	Semester	: 2-	1
S.No. Particulars Rating	1. P									
S.No. Particulars Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) Q Applicability/relevance to real life situations Q S. Extent of coverage of course S. Extent of effort required by students Q Extent of effort required by students Q Poor S. Poor	Post	9		7		5	4	3	2	1
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)		Good Good Moderately Moderate Some how Poor Very								Extremely Poor
Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives) 2 Applicability/relevance to real life situations 3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)	S.No.	Particula	ars	~~~			3 3 N			Datin
Street the sequence of units/modules of the course Street the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course Street the sequence Street the adequate Street the sequence Street the s	1	Learning	yalue (in to	erms of sk	cills, concents	knowledge	analytical abil	lities or		Rating
3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)	3.	broadeni	ing perspect	ives)	, солооры,	idio viicage, i	anarytical aun	ittles, or		9
3 Depth of the course content 4 Extent of coverage of course 5 Extent of effort required by students 6 Relevance/learning value of project/ report 7 Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)	2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to rea	l life situations	3				9
Extent of coverage of course Extent of effort required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Irrelevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)	3							11		
A Relevant of error required by students Relevance/learning value of project/ report Overall rating 2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course		,				
2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly Irrelevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?							, T			
2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?		Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	roject/ report			W71		
2. The syllabus was 1) Challenging 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Dull 5) Irrelevant (2) 3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly 2) Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely Irrelevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	7	Overall r	ating		· 10			-		
3. Your background for benefiting from the course was 1) Highly Relevant 3) Partly 4) Mostly 5) Completely (1) Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?										
1) Highly Relevant 2) Relevant 3) Partly Relevant 5) Completely Irrelevant 1. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	1) Clia	nenging	2) Adequa	te (3)	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(2)
Relevant Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	3. Y	our backgr				was	30 A			
Relevant Irrelevant Irrelevant 4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings? 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2)			2) Relevan	,			5) Con	npletely	(1)
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very Poor (1) 5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	Releva	nt		Re	elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev	ant		
5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	4. Re	ate the seq								
5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course 1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very poor (2) 6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	1) Exc	ellent	Not the state of the	* 1) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor		(()
6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	5. Ra	ate the ade	quateness o	f the text		ence book me	entioned for the	he cours	e	
1) Eveellant 2) A.I	1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	te 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry poor		(<u>2</u>)
1) Excellent 2) Adequate 3) Inadequate 4) Poor 5) Very 2007			le to get the	prescribe	ed readings?	, i				
3) Madequate (1) 1001 (3) Very poor (2)	1) Exce	ellent	2) Adequa	te 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry poor		(2)
7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning	7. Ra	te the desi	gn of the co	ourse in te	rms of extra le	arning or self	f-learning			ý

4) Poor

8. Rate the flex	kibility in choosing	g of elective in rela	tion to technolog	gy advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			* F 2	2 2	
9. Rate the com	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences Basic
Sciences Pr	ofessional Course	Professional Fle	ctive Open Flec	tive, Project Work (PW	N & Practical
	ternship etc.	, Troicosional Bic	etive, open Elec	are, Project Work (1 W) & Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
0.2	1		- die		
10. Rate the	lepth of the syllab	ous of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected	hy industry /
	obal scenarios.		relation to the	competencies expected	by madstry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.)200.	7 7 7 613 1 661	(3)
11. The curric	culum has focus o	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			d.	1 (
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
ny other suggest	ion(s)		do.		
Need		ups on	Chill .	tevelor men	-
NCCA	10	900	ZMIII	Jevelor men	
					0,
	S.	Nagazjur	100 Redd	4	S.N. Rel
ate 14/10/1	NI	ame of Student		-	
110 110 11	ING	une of Student			Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COULFEE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019 - 20

Name: K. Nago Vishnusa?

Course: B. TECH

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 2-1

1. Please give a rating of	your course on the following
----------------------------	------------------------------

	0	5	4	3	2	1
Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how	Poor	Very	Extremely Poor
1	Good	Good Interest	1 UUUU	1 U000 a . J Moderate John How	1 UUUU - Moderate Some now D-	1 UUUU ~ VOID TOWN D VEIV

S.No.	Particulars	Datin
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	Rating
	broadening perspectives)	9
. 2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
3	Depth of the course content	9,
4	Extent of coverage of course	1 4
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	0
7	Overall rating	2

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)	7
					(-)	- 1

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly 2) Relevant Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)
--------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	-----

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)	
--------------	-------------	---------------	---------	--------------	-----	--

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

	equatorioss of the t	cat book and refer	ence book men	moned for the course	A STATE OF THE STA
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(<mark>&</mark>)
			a l		

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

		The state of the s	rearring or se	ii-icaiiiig	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
9. Rate the comp	position of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	ciences, Engineering So	ciences, Basic
				tive, Project Work (PW	
Training / Int	ernship etc.		•		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
1	2	•			*
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllab	us of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
	bal scenarios.			•	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
2 2	=				
11. The curric	ulum has focus or	n skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		^			
12. Evaluation	of the course is a	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		. 3			
	/ \		*10		
ny other suggesti	on(s)				

Date Julioli

Kinago Vishvusos Name of Student

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 19-20

Name: Ch	" HOWN	cl			Course	$_{\cdot}$ $_{\cdot}$ $_{\cdot}$ $_{\cdot}$	Tech	•
Department:	CSE			.*			:2-1	
1. Please giv	e a rating of	your çour	se on the follo	wing				
9.	8	7	. 6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No. Particu	lars	- -						
1 Learnin	ng value (in t	erms of sl	cills, concepts,	knowledge (omolytical al-	11.71		Rating
broade	ning perspect	ives)	and, concepts,	kilowicuge, a	anaryncar aoi	iițies, or		9
2 Applie	ability/releva	nce to rea	l life situations	3 3				+ 6
3 Depth	of the course	content	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		***			-8-
4 Extent	of coverage of	of course				. , .		a
5 Extent	of effort requ	ired by st	udents		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
6 Releva	nce/learning	value of p	roject/ report			· <u></u>		7
7 Overall	rating							10
2. The syllab	us was			ŗ			į +	<u> </u>
1) Challenging	2) Adequa	te 3)	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(1)
3. Your back	ground for be	nefiting f	rom the course	: was				
1) Highly	2) Relevan		Partly	4) Mostly	5) Cor	npletely	(()
Relevant		Re	elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev			\
4. Rate the se	quence of un	its/modul	es of the cours	e		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1) Excellent	2) Adequa	le i si si);Iiiadeginate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry Poor		(2)
ុកិត្ត	and - There	地界及是生	AAG	<u> </u>		 	1/2	
5. Rate the ad	equateness o	the text	book and refer	encé book me	entioned for t	he cours	e ~~~	~ - Z
1) Excellent	2) Adequa	te 3)	Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry poor		(1)
6. Were you a	ble to get the	prescribe	d readings?				 l	
1) Excellent	2) Adequat		Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Ve	ry poor		(1)
7. Rate the des	sign of the co	urse in te	rms of extra le	arning or self	Llearning			
1) Very good	2) Good		Average	4) Poor	5) Very	Poor		2)
	•			<u> </u>	1 27 1019			0-1

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosir	ng of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		- 3		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering S	ciences Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Cours	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PV	V) & Practical
Training / Int	ernship etc.	,	, 1	,,,, (2)	, jee Tractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10.Rate the decurrent glo	epth of the sylla bal scenarios.	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	competencies expected	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		on skill developme		8	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	æ
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
ory other suggesti	on(s)	ulum is	good.		
ate pulcolo	C	h. Hanni ame of Student			ch. boin

Date 14/10/19

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019-2020

Name: SK. Mahammad Sharukh

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

Vears/Semester .

-	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars						7001	
1			erms of al	cilla concenta	1 1 1				Rating
160	broadem	ing perspect	ives)	cills, concepts,	*	analytical abi	lities, or		9
2	Applicat	oility/relevar	nce to rea	l life situations	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		0
3	Depth of	the course	content						8
4	Extent of	f coverage o	f course			3	. 3		7
5		f effort requ		udents					
6	Relevano	e/learning v	alue of p	roject/ report			774.21		8
7	Overall r	ating	1	-J					9
a			A 40						7
	ne syllabus		1	1 9, 1					¥
() Chal	lenging	2) Adequat	te (3)	Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(1)
3. Yo	our backgr	ound for be	nefiting f	rom the course	was	* 8			
l) High Relevar	ıly	2) Relevan	t 3)	Partly	4) Mostly	5) Con	npletely	(2)

Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
5. Rate the ac	lequateness of the to	ext book and refer	ence book men	tioned for the course	
Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

				•	
7. Rate the d	esign of the cou	rse in terms of extra	learning or se	lf-learning	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				8 28 2	
9. Rate the comp	osition of course i	n terms Humaniti	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW	& Practical
Training / Inte	ernship etc.		* 1.		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
	0 a				
		s of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected l	oy industry /
current glob	pal scenarios.	_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
11. The curricu	lum has focus on	skill developmen	nt	3.7	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	х			190	
12. Evaluation	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	riminate the stu	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		(3)		8	
ny other suggestion	on(s)		*.		

Date [4/(0)[0]

Name of Student SK. Mahammad. Shadukh

Signature Shoulth



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.)



(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2019-20

Poor

Poor

Name:

A-HARSHITHA

Course: B. Tech

Department: CSE

1) Very good

2) Good

Years/Semester: 1V-

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following Very Good Extremely Moderately Some how Very Good Extremely Moderate Good Poor Good Tolerable

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	0
3	Depth of the course content	g
4	Extent of coverage of course	0
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	a
7	Overall rating	0

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)
3. Your backg	round for benefiti	ng from the course	e was	e e e	
1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	())
4. Rate the seq	quence of units/mo	odules of the cours	e e	\	
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
5. Rate the ade	equateness of the	text book and refer	ence book mention	oned for the course	or real ways
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
6. Were you at	ole to get the pres	cribed readings?			
	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

3) Average

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(1)

) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
P. Rate the comp	osition of course	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sci	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	fessional Course	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	tive, Project Work (PW) & Practica
Training / Int	ernship etc.				1
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
10 Pate the de	enth of the syllal	bus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected l	by industry /
10. Kate the di	epui of the syma	ous of the course if			- J
	bal scenarios.				
		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
current glo 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good		4) Poor		(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric	bal scenarios. 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(1)
current glo 1) Very good 11. The curric 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good ulum has focus (2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor nt 4) Poor	5) Very Poor 5) Very Poor	(())

Date 16/10/90

A. HARSHITHA Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COULCOE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 5: 0.0 14
Guntur (Dist.),



(----)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

						Acade	mic Yea	r: 2019	-20
Name	B.C	hi ranj.	eevi			Course	: t	1CA	
Depart	ment:	MCA				Years/S	Semeste	r: In	-
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars							Rating
1	Learning broaden	y value (in t	erms of sl tives)	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or	r	q
2				l life situations	3	2	2		7
3	Depth of	the course	content	* 2		N 10	2		7
4		f coverage			1		es 9		6
5	Extent o	f effort requ	uired by st	tudents	*			H	8
6	Relevano	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	10				8
7	Overall 1	ating				F 2			7
1) Cha	ne syllabu llenging our backg	2) Adequa) Inadequate from the course	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant	(
1) High	nly	2) Relevan) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletely	v ((7)
Releva		,	1 1	elevant	Irrelevant	Irrelev		Marie Comment	- The cary
				les of the cours	e				
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	r	(3)
5. Ra	ate the ade	quateness o	of the text	book and refer	ence book m	entioned for	the cour	se	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poor	r	(8)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get th	e prescrib	ed readings?		3 N	ti.	p	
1) Exc		2) Adequa) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	r	(2)
7. Ra	ite the des	ign of the c	ourse in to	erms of extra le	earning or sel	f-learning			
1) Very		2) Good		Average	4) Poor		y Poor	(3)
						1 -7 , -1	, - 30.		

1) 1/2 1				vancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(\)
				a to a	
. Rate the compo	osition of course ir	terms Humanities	and Social Science	es, Engineering So	ciences. Basic
				Project Work (PW	,
Training / Inte			, - P		. ,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
	0 0			8	
10. Rate the dep	oth of the syllabus	of the course in r	elation to the com	petencies expected	by industry /
current glob					-5
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
			K (4	n 0	
11. The curricu	lum has focus on	skill development			
\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	([)
) Very good	,				(()
) very good	,				(()
			minate the student	* .	(()
			minate the student 4) Poor	* .	(2)

Date

B. Chiranjeev! Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING CONFIGE (AUTONONIOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (DISE), A.F.



Academic Year: 2019-20

Name: K. Sai Sravanthi

2) Good

3) Average

1) Very good

Course: MCA

Depart	tment : M	.cA			- 7	Yea	rs/Semester	II	
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
. 1	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Ext ₁	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some he Tolerab	DW Door	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars		в — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	2	8 (8) 8			Rating
1	broadening perspectives)						8		
2				al life situations			7		7
3		f the course		5 2 2					
4	Extent o	f coverage of	of course		1		·		8 7
5	Extent o	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents					9
6			value of p	project/ report	1				
7	Overall	rating					<u> </u>		8
2. Tl	he syllabu	s was				4	*		
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ite 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(1)
3. Y	our backg	round for be	enefiting	from the course	e was		*		
1) High Releva	hly	2) Relevan	nt 3) Partly elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		Completely elevant	′	(2)
4. Ra	ate the sec	uence of un	its/modu	les of the cours	e	, es	po no de trendo so	ng dia no menjani	
1) Exc	ellent	2) Adequa	ite 3	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor		(2)
5. Ra	ate the ade	equateness o	of the text	book and refer	ence book m	nentioned	for the cour	se	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor) Very poor		(2)
6. W	ere you al	ole to get the	e prescrib	ed readings?	3		5.		
1) Exc		2) Adequa		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	r	(1)
7. Ra	ate the des	ign of the co	ourse in t	erms of extra le	earning or sel	lf-learning	ŗ		

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(1)

				y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
		H _ H _ H _ H _ H		3 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	=
9. Rate the com	position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences, Engineering Sc	iences. Basic
				ive, Project Work (PW	
Training / In			•		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
10. Rate the	lepth of the sylla	bus of the course ir	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.				
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			* 5	x 2 6	
11. The curric	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt	*	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
			•		
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
1) Very good	2) Good	J) HVClage	1)1001	3) V CI Y I OOI	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Hverage	1)1001	3) Very 1 001	(2)
1) Very good ny other suggest		3) Tivelage	1)1001	3) very roor	(2)

Date

K. Sai Sparawthi Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019-20

SK. Rulesana

Course:

MCA

Department:

Years/Semester: III

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1_	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	٦
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7-6
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

r						
	1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(9_)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(3)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	100

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
-1.	SERVE STATE OF THE			Million of the American Conference on the Confer	the state of the s

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

	are to get the prese.				
1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)
				l .	

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
		a	1 6		
9. Rate the con	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc	ionaas Dasia
Sciences, Pr	ofessional Cours	e Professional Fle	ctive Open Flec	tive, Project Work (PW	\ & Prooficel
Training / In	ternship etc.	e, i roressionar Lie	etive, Open Lice	dve, i toject work (i w) & Fractical
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
	1 1 9				
10. Rate the	depth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the o	competencies expected	by industry /
current glo	obal scenarios.	ous of the course in	i relation to the t	competencies expected	by maustry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
7 7 0		10)11/01/80	1,71001	3) (01) 1001	(3)
11. The currie	culum has focus	on skill developme	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
			4 4 4		
12. Evaluation	n of the course is	s appropriate to disc	criminate the stud	dents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	P. 2				(3)
y other suggest	ion(s)		****		
	. ,				
3				vin " #6 C	

Date

Name of Student

Signature



Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLUMBS
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019-20

Name: y, Naga Mani

Course: MCA

Department: McA

Years/Semester: 1110

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

	0	,						
9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or	7
	broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	_5
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging 2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)
----------------------------	---------------	---------	---------------	-----

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly	2) Relevant	3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Completely	(2)
Relevant		Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrelevant	

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor (2)	
30219	State (SCIE) 3 ACCEPT	898.26888	n 2 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1		

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)
	1				

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

			U		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Signature

8. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	ng of elective in rela	tion to technolog	y advancements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Rate the comp Sciences, Pro Training / Int	itessional Cours	se in terms Humanit se, Professional Elec	ies and Social Sociales of Sociales	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	ciances Dosio
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current gio	bal scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the c	competencies expected	by industry /
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2_)
		on skill developmer	nt		
) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation		appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	dents	
Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
other suggestion	on(s)				
e	N	y Naga Mar ame of Student	Ĉ	Z	N Se O

Date

(AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 661. Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



4) Poor

4) Poor

4) Poor

4) Poor

3) Inadequate

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

3) Inadequate

3) Inadequate

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

3) Average

Name: M. Pavan Icalyan

2) Adequate

2) Adequate

2) Adequate

2) Good

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

Department: MCA

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging

1) Highly

Relevant

1) Excellent

1) Excellent

1) Excellent

1) Very good

Extremely

Good

S.No.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

					Acaden	nic Yea	r: 2019	-20
M.P	avan la	Calyar)		Course	: ^	1CA	
M. Pavan Icalyan Course: MCA Years/Semester: II M								
ase give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing				
)	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
emely ood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor
				k				
Particula	ırs		š			9.8		Rating
Learning	g value (in to	erms of sl	kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical abi	lities, or	r	
broadeni	ing perspect	tives)		-	0 30			5
Applical	oility/releva	nce to rea	al life situations					5
Depth of	f the course	content	45 H G		54 SF SF	E.		6
Extent o	f coverage of	of course		1	9	14.7		7
Extent o	f effort requ	ired by s	tudents	> 6	-			6
Relevan	ce/learning	value of p	project/ report	× 2 5	an and an analysis of the second			7
Overall			er		2 .			7
e syllabu	s was	-			n ' 1 e			
lenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irre	elevant		(2)
our backg			from the course	e was	a a a			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ly	2) Relevan	nt 3) Partly	4) Mostly	5) Co	mpletel	у	(2)
nt	,	F	Relevant	Irrelevant	Irrele	vant		
te the sec	quence of u	nits/modu	les of the cours	se	# ************************************		era de la company	Owner
			3) Inadequate	1) Poor	5) V	ery Poc	r A h	(0)

(2)

(2)

(2)

5) Very poor

5) Very poor

5) Very Poor

Signature

8. Rate the flex 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor		(0)
, , ,	1 2) 0000	3) Hverage	4)1001	5) Very Poor	(2)
O Doto the					
Saigness Due	cosition of course	in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sci	ences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Training / Int	ressional Course,	Professional Elec	ctive, Open Electi	ive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
Training / Int		100			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
current glol 1) Very good	bal scenarios. 2) Good			ompetencies expected	
1) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
	ulum has focus or	skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12.Evaluation	of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	riminate the stude	ents	-
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
y other suggestion	on(s)				·

NARASARAOPET - 522 601. Guntur (Dist.), A.r.



			Studen	t recuback i	orm on C	uiiicuiuii			
					,1	Acader	nic Yea	r: 2019.	-20
Name	N.R	amy				Course	: Mc	A	
Depart	ment:	1CA			li,	Years/S	Semester	·· TIV	-
- 1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follow	wing				
5	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremel Poor
S.No.	Particula	rs			1 ~ ×				Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)								7
2	Applicab	ility/releva	nce to re	al life situations	S .	Page 1	×		6
3	Depth of	the course	content	e	7.0	60 P g G	å.		
4	Extent of	fcoverage	of course		1	(se	.g. w		8
5	Extent of	f effort requ	uired by s	students					6
6	Relevano	e/learning	value of	project/ report					7
- 7	Overall r				-				7
	he syllabu Illenging	s was 2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irr	elevant		(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was	2 6			
1) Hig Releva		2) Releva		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		mpletel vant	у	(1)
4. R	ate the seq	uence of u	nits/mod	ules of the cour	se	i je veneta	erige o <mark>v</mark> er sta	and the second	
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery Poo	or	(2)
5. R	ate the ade	equateness	of the tex	at book and refe	erence book r	nentioned for	the cou	rse	offer in
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) V	ery poo	or	(1)
6. V	Vere vou a	ble to get the	ne prescri	bed readings?	= 1				
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) \	Very poo	or	(2)
7. R	ate the des	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learning	a	1	

4) Poor

3) Average

1) Very good

2) Good

5) Very Poor

(2)

1) Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in rela			
i) very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Property Rate the compact of the Com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sc tive, Project Work (PW	eiences, Basic
Training / Int	ternship etc.	se, i foressional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	iive, Project Work (PW	() & Practica
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
current glo	bal scenarios.	bus of the course in	relation to the c	ompetencies expected	by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
		on skill developme	nt		-
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12.Evaluation	of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
y other suggesti	on(s)				
to.	[Ramu			A
te	N N	ame of Student			Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Academic Year: 2019-10

Name: M. Rausech

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

Course: MCA

Department : MCA

1) Very good

2) Good

Years/Semester : 11 10

	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	
	remely lood	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor	
S.No.	Particula	ars			*	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Rating	
1	broadening perspectives)									
2	Applicat	oility/releva	nce to re	al life situation	S	1,0			2	
3										
4	Extent of coverage of course									
5	Extent of effort required by students								- - - 6	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report							8		
7	Overall rating							5		
3. Y 1) Hig Releva	hly	ground for b	nt 3	from the cours 3) Partly Relevant	e was 4) Mostly Irrelevant		ompletel evant	у	(1)	
4. R	ate the sec	quence of u	nits/modu	ules of the cour	rse		į "į.			
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)-\	Very Poo	or	(2)	
5. R	ate the ad		of the tex	at book and refe	erencé book r	mentioned for	the cou	rse		
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		Very poo		(1)	
6. V	Vere you a	ible to get the	ne prescri	bed readings?			in .			
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5)	Very poo	or	(1)	

4) Poor

5) Very Poor

(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning 3) Average

9. Rate the compose Sciences, Profest Training / Interral 1) Very good 2	ssional Course, J	Professional Elec	es and Social Scitive, Open Electric	5) Very Poor iences, Engineering Sci ive, Project Work (PW)	ences, Basic
Training / Interr 1) Very good 2	ssional Course, Inship etc.	Professional Elec	es and Social Sci tive, Open Electi	iences, Engineering Sci ive, Project Work (PW)	ences, Basic
Training / Interr 1) Very good 2	ssional Course, Inship etc.	Professional Elec	es and Social Sci tive, Open Electi	iences, Engineering Sci ive, Project Work (PW)	ences, Basic
Training / Interr 1) Very good 2	ssional Course, Inship etc.	Professional Elec	tive, Open Electi	ive, Project Work (PW)	& Practica
1) Very good 2	iship etc.		tive, Open Electi	ive, Project work (PW)	& Practica
1) Very good 2		2) 4			
	2) Good				
10 =		3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(7)
40 -					
III. Rate the dent	h of the cyllabus	of the course in	mala4!a 4 41		
current global	soonoming	of the course in	relation to the co	ompetencies expected b	y industry /
1) Very good 2	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)
	1				
11 The curriculu	m has focus on	skill developmen	1.		
				and the second second	
1) Very good 2	() Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
12. Evaluation of	the course is an	nronriate to discr	riminate the stud	ents	
1) Very good 2) Good				
1) very good 2) 000u	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
ny other suggestion((s)				
	i w	,			
	10.4	Rowy h			
ate	T	a of Charles		6	a c

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.S.



					<i>*</i> .				2	
						1	Academ	ic Yea	r: 2019	1-20
Name	P. S	yam Si	va Red	dy		(Course		MCA	7
Depart	ment : $ ho$	ICA			*	Y	ears/Se	emester	: ML	D.
1. P	ease give	a rating of	your cour	se on the follow	wing					
	9	8	7	6	5	- 2	1	3	2	1
	emely	Very	Good	Moderately	Moderate	Some		Poor	Very	Extremely
G	ood	Good	Good	Good	1110401410	Toler	able	1001	Poor	Poor
C M-	Dantianla						5 4	ž.		Dating
S.No.	Particula			1-:11-	lui avuladaa	14	1 -1-:1	141		Rating
1				kills, concepts,	knowledge,	anaiyti	cai abii	ities, of		.8
		ng perspect		11:6:44:						-
2				al life situations	3					
3	A .	the course		-						8
4	and the same of th	f coverage		. 1	-					7
5		f effort requ								17
6			value of p	project/ report	×	-				
7	Overall r	ating				1	7-1			8
2. T	he syllabu	s was			* 8	5 0				
1) Cha	llenging	2) Adequa	ate 3) Inadequate	4) Dull	e st	5) Irre	levant		(2)
3. Y	our backg	round for b	enefiting	from the cours	e was					
1) Hig	hly	2) Releva	nt 3	3) Partly	4) Mostly		5) Cor	npletel	у	(3)
Releva	int	TO STATE OF	Y I	Relevant	Irrelevant		Irrelev	ant	S. Commission	and managed any
4. R	ate the sec	quence of u	nits/modu	iles of the cour	se	- e				
1) Exc	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	i la,	5) V	ery Poo	or	(2)
5. R	ate the add	equateness	of the tex	t book and refe	erence book r	nentior	ned for	the cou	rse	
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	4	5) V	ery poo	or	(2)
6. V	Vere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?	1, 3	× 3		15 20		
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	iate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	c	5) V	ery poo	or	(3)
7. R	ate the de	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-lear	ning			
	y good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor			ry Poor		(2)
,								-		

1) Very good	2) Good	ng of elective in rela	uon to technolog		
1) 1019 good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
0.5					
9. Rate the com	position of cours	se in terms Humanit	ies and Social So	ciences, Engineering Sci	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	oressional Cours	se, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elec	tive, Project Work (PW)	& Practical
Training / In	ternsnip etc.				,
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(9)
9 4 4	*****			4	2
10. Rate the d	epth of the sylla	bus of the course in	relation to the	competencies expected b	w industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.		relation to the	competencies expected (by industry /
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	
e la			1)1001	3) VCI y 1 001	
11. The curric	ulum has focus	on skill developmer	nt .		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average		(5) W D	
1) 1019 8000	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
12 Evaluation	- C 41				
1) Vamana 1	of the course is	s appropriate to disc			
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
Any other suggesti	on(s)				
A e					
) \
) 0 0	10 0 1		
	ſ ·	Seven Siva	Reddy		80 Mm
Date	N	lame of Student			Signatura
					Signature
			,		
	A				
				. 11	

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COURSE

(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



					<i>)</i>	Aca	demic Yea	r: 2019	7-20
Name	: P. V	atsalya 1.C.A				Cou	ırse : M-	C.A	
Depart	tment : L	1.C.A			3	Year	rs/Semester	r: A/D	
1. P	lease give	a rating of	your cou	rse on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	- 4	3	2	1
	remely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some ho Tolerabl		Very Poor	Extremely Poor
S.No.	Particula	ırs				x 2	20 00		Rating
1		y value (in t		kills, concepts,	knowledge,	analytical	abilities, o	r	9
2	Applical	oility/releva	nce to re	al life situations	3	top o			7 8
3		f the course		* ************************************		2 70	H		8
4		f coverage					* 1 + 1		8
5		f effort requ							9
6			value of	project/ report	9				
7	Overall	rating			*	9			9
2. T	he syllabu	s was					. *		
	allenging	2) Adequa	ate 3	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5)	Irrelevant		(2)
	,			from the cours	-	· .	3		
1) Hig Releva		2) Releva		3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant		Completel elevant	У	(1)
4. R	Rate the sec	quence of u	nits/modu	ules of the cour	se	Y		militare (Merchang	
0 883	4247	CONT. 713	8.	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	(i) Very Poo	or	(2)
					,	Automobile Com		and a second	
				t book and refe					
1) Ex	cellent	2) Adequ	ate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5	5) Very poo	or	(1)
6. V	Vere you a	ble to get th	ne prescri	bed readings?					
	cellent	2) Adequ		3) Inadequate	4) Poor		5) Very poo	or	())
7. R	Rate the de	sign of the	course in	terms of extra	learning or se	elf-learnin	g		
	ry good	2) Good		3) Average	4) Poor		Very Poor		(2)

		tion to technology	y advancements	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(()
position of cours	e in terms Humanit	ies and Social Sc	iences Engineering Sc	iences Rasio
ofessional Cours ternship etc.	e, Professional Elec	ctive, Open Elect	ive, Project Work (PW) & Practical
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
bal scenarios.				by industry /
ulum has focus			5) (6) 1001	(/)
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
of the course is	appropriate to disc	riminate the stud	lents	
2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
on(s)		***		
	2) Good position of cours of sistematic feets f	2) Good 3) Average position of course in terms Humanit ofessional Course, Professional Elec- ternship etc. 2) Good 3) Average epth of the syllabus of the course in bal scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average ulum has focus on skill developmen 2) Good 3) Average of the course is appropriate to disc 2) Good 3) Average	2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor position of course in terms Humanities and Social Scoressional Course, Professional Elective, Open Electronship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor epth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the course in scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor ulum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the student course is appropriate to discriminate the stude	position of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Scofessional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW ternship etc. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor epth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected bal scenarios. 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor ulum has focus on skill development 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students 2) Good 3) Average 4) Poor 5) Very Poor

Date

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.



Nama						Acadel	nic Yea	r: 20 /9-	20
TVallie	G. A.	Sai Ray	мус	-		Course	: M	A	
Depar	tment:	MCA			3	Years/S	emester	: MI	
1. P	Please give	a rating of	your cours	se on the follo	wing				
	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
	tremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extreme Poor
S.No.	Particula	ars		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	n x 1		-	D .:
1	Learning	value (in te	erms of sk	ills, concepts,	knowledge	analytical abil	141		Rating
	broaden	ing perspect	ives)			anaryticar aon	ities, or		7
2	Applical	oility/relevar	nce to real	life situations	3	× -			6
3	Depth of	the course	content	p 2		- W	-		
4	Enterit of coverage of course								6
5	Extent of	f effort requ	ired by stu	udents					7
6	Relevano	ce/learning v	alue of pr	roject/ report					6
7	Overall r	ating		J 1			-	-	6
1) Cha	he syllabus llenging our backgr	2) Adequat		Inadequate om the course	4) Dull	5) Irre	levant	(2)
1) High	1				1140				
Releva		2) Relevan		Partly elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Con Irrelev	npletely	(٤)
Releva 4. Ra	nt ate the seq	uence of uni	Re ts/module	•	4) Mostly Irrelevant	Irrelev	ant	All a transcription of the second	۷)
Releva 4. Ra	ate the sequellent	uence of uni 2) Adequat	ts/module te 3)	elevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	Irrelev		All a transcription of the second	(۱)
4. Ra 1) Exc 5. Ra	ate the sequellent	uence of uni 2) Adequat	ts/module te 3)	elevant es of the cours	4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor	Irrelev 5) Ve	ant ry Poor		٤)
4. Ra 1) Exc 5. Ra	ate the sequellent	uence of uni 2) Adequat	ts/module te 3)	elevant es of the cours Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor	5) Ve	ant ry Poor		(1)
4. Ra 1) Exc 5. Ra 1) Exc	ate the sequellent ate the ade	uence of uni 2) Adequat quateness of 2) Adequat	ts/module 3) The text be 3)	elevant es of the cours Inadequate cook and refer Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor ence book me	5) Ve	ry Poor		(1)
4. Ra 1) Exc 5. Ra 1) Exc	ate the sequellent ate the ade ellent ere you ab	uence of uni 2) Adequat	ts/module te 3) the text te 3) prescribe	elevant es of the cours Inadequate cook and refer Inadequate	4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor ence book me	5) Ve	ry Poor		(1)
4. Ra 1) Exc 5. Ra 1) Exc 6. W 1) Exc	ate the sequellent ate the ade ellent ere you ab ellent ate the desi	quateness of 2) Adequat 2) Adequat le to get the 2) Adequat	ts/module te 3) the text be 3) prescribe e 3)	elevant es of the course Inadequate book and refer Inadequate d readings?	4) Mostly Irrelevant e 4) Poor ence book me 4) Poor 4) Poor	5) Veentioned for the 5) Ve	ry Poor ne cours ry poor		(1)

. Rate the flex	ibility in choosing	of ciccurc in iciat	ion to teemiology	advaricements	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
E ,		8			
Rate the com	position of course i	in terms Humaniti	es and Social Scie	ences, Engineering Sc	iences, Basic
Sciences, Pro	ofessional Course,	Professional Elec	tive, Open Electiv	ve, Project Work (PW) & Practical
Training / Int				¥ 1 1 1 ×	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)
*			3		
10. Rate the d	epth of the syllabi	as of the course in	relation to the co	mpetencies expected	by industry /
current glo	bal scenarios.	*		0 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
11. The curric	culum has focus or	skill developmen	nt		
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)
				·	
12.Evaluation	n of the course is a	ppropriate to disc	riminate the stude	ents	
1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	()
	1.0 A E	# 8 # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #			
			. 148		
ny other suggest	ion(s)				

Date

G. A. Sai Ramya Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLIFGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.