STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year

2020-21

NEC **NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE**
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : Lella. Sindhu

Course : B.Tech

Department : CIVIL ENGINEERING

Years/Semester : I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Lella. Sindhu
Name of Student

L. Sindhu.
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020–2021

Name : KOTHA. GOPI KRISHNA

Course : B.Tech

Department : CIVIL ENGINEERING

Years/Semester : I / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Gopi Krishna
Name of Student

Signature

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : SHEIK. DARIYA HUSSAIN

Course : B-Tech

Department : CIVIL ENGINEERING

Years/Semester : I/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Sk. Dasiya Hussain
Name of Student

Sk. Dasiya Hussain
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : SALMA SULEIMAN SHAIK

Course : B. TECH

Department : CIVIL ENGINEERING

Years/Semester : I / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

SALMA SULEIMAN SHAIK
Name of Student

Salma Suleiman
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : G. C. Sai Sumanth

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil Engineering

Years/Semester : II/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

G.L.Sai Sumanth
Name of Student

G.L.Sai Sumanth
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : J. Indira

Course : B. Tech

Department : Civil Engineering

Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

J. Indira
Name of Student

J. Indira
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : K. Naga Yasaswini

Course : B.Tech

Department : civil engineering

Years/Semester : II / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Nagayasaswini
Name of Student

K. Nagayasaswini
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020 -21

Name : Khadimalla. Subrahmanyam

Course : B.Tech

Department : civil engineering

Years/Semester : III/II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

T. Subrahmanyam

Name of Student

T. Subrahmanyam.

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : CH. Nagaraju

Course : B-Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : IV-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Ch. Nagaraju
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020 - 2021

Name : N Vijaykumar

Course : B-Tech

Department : Civil Engineering

Years/Semester : III/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

N. Vijay Kumar
Name of Student

N. Vijay
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : T. Madhubabu

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : III / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

T. Madhubabu

Name of Student

T. Madhubabu

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : K. Nagendra rao

Course : B.Tech

Department : civil engineering

Years/Semester : III - I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc. .

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

K. Nagendra Babu
Name of Student

K. [illegible]
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-21

Name : V. Mangamma

Course : B.Tech

Department : civil Engineering

Years/Semester : IV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

V. Mangamma
Name of Student

V. Mangamma
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020 - 2021.

Name : M. Kiran Kumar

Course : B-Tech

Department : Civil Engineering

Years/Semester : IV - / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

M. Kiran Kumar
Name of Student

M. Kiran Kumar.
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : A. Manikanta.

Course : B. Tech

Department : Civil Engineering

Years/Semester : IV / I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	Good
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Very good
3	Depth of the course content	Good
4	Extent of coverage of course	Very good
5	Extent of effort required by students	Extremely good
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	Very good
7	Overall rating	Good.

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date	A. Manikanta Name of Student	A. Manikanta Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : A. Sandeep

Course : B.Tech

Department : Civil

Years/Semester : IV/I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

A. Sandeep
Name of Student

A. Sandeep
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : Kandula. Gopi
Reg.No : 19471A0211
Department : EEE

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : 2/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 15/2/21

Name of Student
Kanchula Gopi

Signature
K. Gopi

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : Poorna chandra Rao
Roll.NO: 17471A0210
Department : EEE

Course : B.Tech

Years/Semester : IV - II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

15/2/21
Date

Poorna chandra rao
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : Ch. Harsha
Roll.No: 18475A0223
Department : EEE

Course : BTech

Years/Semester : IV / 1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 15/2/21

CH. Harsha
Name of Student

Ch. HashaBabu
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020 - 2021

Name : R. Satish Babu.
Roll.No: 17471A0290
Department : EEE

Course : B Tech.

Years/Semester : 4/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

15-2-2021
Date

R. Satish Babu
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020 – 2021

Name : Y. Jeevan kumar
ROLLNO: 20475A0248
Department : EEE

Course : B.tech

Years/Semester : 2/1 [II/I]

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 15/2

Y. Jeevan kumar
Name of Student

Y. Jeevan
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : Basireddy Mythili
ROLL NO:- 20475A0251
Department : EEE

Course : B.tech

Years/Semester : 2/1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

DAQI

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date: 15-02-21

B. Mythili
Name of Student

B. Mythili
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : Purna Chandra Rao

Course : Btech

Department : mechanical Engineering

Years/Semester : 1st year / 1st sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 13/02/2021

Name of Student
Ramachandra Rao.

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : Y. Sai Kiran reddy

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical engeering

Years/Semester : 1st year - 1st sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 20-2-2021

Name of Student Y. Sai Kiran Reddy

Signature Y. Sai Kiran Reddy

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-21

Name : S. Ranendra Vamshi

Course : B.Tech

Department : MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Years/Semester : 1st year, 1st sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

2021, february, 19	S. Ranendra Vamshi	S.V. Naidu
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 20-2021

Name : B. Narendra Reddy.

Course : B.Tech.

Department : Mechanical Engineering

Years/Semester : 1st year/first sem.

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 14/02/2021 Name of Student B. Narendra Reddy Signature B. Reddy.

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : Riyaz

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : 2-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 12/06/2021 Name of Student S K. Md. Riyaz Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-21

Name : V. Yaswanth Sai

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : 2 - 2nd semester

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date: 10/6/21

Name of Student: V. Yaswanth Sai

Signature: V. Yaswanth

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : VEJARLA AVINASH

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 10/6/2021 V. Avinash Name of Student V. Avinash Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-21

Name : G.RAVI

Course : B-Tech

Department : MECHANICAL

Years/Semester : IV / II

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 10/6/2021 Name of Student G.RAVI G.RAVI Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : D.MD.HUSSEN REEZA

Course : B.Tech

Department : MECHANICAL

Years/Semester : 3rd - 1st

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

D.MD.HUSSEN REEZA

Date 19.12.2020 . Name of Student

D. md. hussen

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-21

Name : Pedda vamsi Krishna Kalluri

Course : B Tech

Department : Mechanical Engineering

Years/Semester : 3-1

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 19/12/2020 Name of Student Pedda vamsi krishna Kalluri K. Vamsi Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-21

Name : Ch.V.L. Janardhan.

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : 3rd - 1st

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

19-12-2020
Date

Ch.V.L.Janardhan
Name of Student

Ch.V.L.Janardhan
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-21

Name : K. Ravi Varma

Course : B-Tech.

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : III-I

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

19/12/2020	K. Ravi Varma	K. Ravi Varma
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-21

Name : D. Naga Raju

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical

Years/Semester : IV Year I Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

18-08-2020
Date

D. Naga Raju
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-21

Name : Indla Naveen

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mechanical Engg

Years/Semester : IV - I sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

16-11-2020
Date

Indla Naveen
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-21

Name : Syed Nuruddin

Course : B.Tech

Department : Mech Engg

Years/Semester : IV Year I Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(✓)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

25-10-2020	Syed Nuruddin	SNuru
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-21

Name : M. Mahesh

Course : B.Tech

Department : M E

Years/Semester : IV Year I sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(✓)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

15-19-20
Date

M. Mahesh
Name of Student

M. Mahesh
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021

Name: K. Venkata Subramanyam Course: B-Tech

Department: ECE Years/Semester: IV / II Sem

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 18/09/21 Name of Student K. Venkata Subramanyam Signature K. V Subra-my

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021

Name : P. Nagalakshmi Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : 8 semesters

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

29/09/2021
Date

Dande. Nagalakshmi
Name of Student

D. Nagalakshmi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -2021

Name: J.keerthi Course: ECE

Department: ECE Years/Semester: 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

29/09/2021
Date

J. keerthi
Name of Student

J. keerthi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year -2021

Name: N. Umamaheswari Course: ~~E C E~~ B.Tech

Department: E C E Years/Semester: 4 | 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

N. Umamaheswari

Date 18/09/21 Name of Student N. Umamaheswari Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021

Name : G. Thirupathi Rao Course : B.Tech

Department : E CE Years/Semester : 4-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 18/09/2021 Name of Student G. Thirupathi Rao Signature G. Thirupathi Rao

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021

Name: V. yasaswi Course: B.tech

Department: ECE Years/Semester: 4-2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 18/09/20 Name of Student V. yasaswi Signature V. yas

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-21

Name: G. Srinivasa Rao Course: B.Tech

Department: ECE Years/Semester: 4/8

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) —

Date 4/10/21. Name of Student G. Srinivasarao Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 20-21

Name : M. Sai Ram Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE. Years/Semester : (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.#	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) dull	5) irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) highly relevant	2) relevant	3) partly relevant	4) mostly irrelevant	5) completely irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate of sequence of units/modules of the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate one flexibility in choosing of effective in relation to technology advancements

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Modified the EDC circulcum and include the MOSFET analysis.
Communication lab experiment split in two parts part-A & part-B.

Date

M. Sai Ram
Name of Student

Sai Ram
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 20-21

Name : Shaik. Sameer Course : B.tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.#	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) dull	5) irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) highly relevant	2) relevant	3) partly relevant	4) mostly irrelevant	5) completely irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate of sequence of units/modules of the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate one flexibility in choosing of effective in relation to technology advancements

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

college organise the hardware workshop and seminar for improving the hardware knowledge and also organized the sports with in a college.

Date

Name of Student shaik. sameer

S. sameer
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 20-21

Name : Koppuravuri Jeevan Jithendra Course : ECE

Department : ECE Years/Semester : (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.#	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) dull	5) irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) highly relevant	2) relevant	3) partly relevant	4) mostly irrelevant	5) completely irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate of sequence of units/modules of the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate one flexibility in choosing of effective in relation to technology advancements

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Add the new topic on Switching theory and logic design Syllabus has to be elaborated Add minimization of Switching functions.

Date	K. Jeevan Jithendra Name of Student	K. Jeevan. Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2020-21

Name : 17471A04F3 Course : ECE B.tech.

Department : ECE Years/Semester : (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.#	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) dull	5) irrelevant	( )

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) highly relevant	2) relevant	3) partly relevant	4) mostly irrelevant	5) completely irrelevant	( )

4. Rate of sequence of units/modules of the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate one flexibility in choosing of effective in relation to technology advancements

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

college organise the cultural fest, cultural events for all round development of the students.

Date

G. Kranthi.
Name of Student

G. Kranthi.
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 20-21

Name : GOSULA THIRUPATHI RAO Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.#	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) dull	5) irrelevant	( )

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) highly relevant	2) relevant	3) partly relevant	4) mostly irrelevant	5) completely irrelevant	( )

4. Rate of sequence of units/modules of the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate one flexibility in choosing of effective in relation to technology advancements

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

College organise the cultural fests, cultural events for all round development of the students

Date

G. Thirupathi Rao
Name of Student

G. Thirupathi Rao.
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 20-21

Name : VAKA GOPI CHAND Course : B.tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.#	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) dull	5) irrelevant	( )

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) highly relevant	2) relevant	3) partly relevant	4) mostly irrelevant	5) completely irrelevant	( )

4. Rate of sequence of units/modules of the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate one flexibility in choosing of effective in relation to technology advancements

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

College organise the cultural fest, cultural events for alt round development of the Students.

VAKA GOPI CHAND

Date | Name of Student | vaka gopi chand Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ---2021

Name : Gopalam Navya Sri Course : ~~B.Tech~~ B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : (---IV---) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

.

Sl.#	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) dull	5) irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) highly relevant	2) relevant	3) partly relevant	4) mostly irrelevant	5) completely irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate of sequence of units/modules of the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate one flexibility in choosing of effective in relation to technology advancements

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Introduce the more software labs in our Circulum. So we, Complete computer Science Students for Company placements

Date	G. Navya Sri Name of Student	G. Navya Sri. Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 5[illegible]601.
Guntur (Dist.), A...

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 20-21

Name : DORAGACHARLA pavan kumar Reddy Course : B.tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.#	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) dull	5) irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) highly relevant	2) relevant	3) partly relevant	4) mostly irrelevant	5) completely irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate of sequence of units/modules of the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate one flexibility in choosing of effective in relation to technology advancements

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Introduce the more Software labs in our circular, so coc complete computa science students for company placements

Date	D. Pavan kumar reddy Name of Student	D. pavan kumar reddy Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 20-21

Name :

Course : B-tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester : (---------) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.#	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) dull	5) irrelevant	( )

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) highly relevant	2) relevant	3) partly relevant	4) mostly irrelevant	5) completely irrelevant	( )

4. Rate of sequence of units/modules of the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	( )

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate one flexibility in choosing of effective in relation to technology advancements

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

college organize the technical workshops for improving technical skills and college more focus about co-circular activies for holistic elevelopment of the students

Date

M. manoj kumar
Name of Student

m. manoj kumar
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2021

Name : Panchomarthi dileepkumar Course : B-tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.#	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) dull	5) irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) highly relevant	2) relevant	3) partly relevant	4) mostly irrelevant	5) completely irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate of sequence of units/modules of the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate one flexibility in choosing of effective in relation to technology advancements

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

College organize the technical workshops for improving technical skills and college more focus about Co-Circular activities for holistic development of the students.

Date

P. dileep kumar
Name of Student

P. dileep
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 20-21

Name : AKULA ASHOK KUMAR Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : (IV) I / II / III / IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

Sl.#	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) challenging	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) dull	5) irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) highly relevant	2) relevant	3) partly relevant	4) mostly irrelevant	5) completely irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate of sequence of units/modules of the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) excellent	2) adequate	3) inadequate	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate one flexibility in choosing of effective in relation to technology advancements

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) very good	2) good	3) average	4) poor	5) very poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Collage organise the spoken english classes for improving the communication skills & collage also organise work shops, seminars

Date	AKULA ASHOK KUMAR.	A. Ashok.
	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : G. Pavan Kalyan Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : 4/8

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

14-12-21	G. Pavan kalyan	G. Pavan kalyan
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year - 2017-2021

Name : M. Vishnu Babu Course :

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

3/12/2021
Date

Name of Student M. Vishnu Babu

M. Vishnu Babu
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017 - 2021

Name : CH. Leahyan Umar. Course : [illegible]

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 4/12/2021 Name of Student Ch. Vidyadhar Signature Ch. Vidyadhar

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : N. Tejaswi

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

N. Tejaswi

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

04/12/2021
Date

N. Tejasri
Name of Student

N. Tejasri
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : SK. Afsin

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

SK. Afsin

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

04/12/2021
Date

SK. Afsin
Name of Student

SK. Afsin
Signature

IQAC

Principal
SARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ---------

Name : A-syam prasad Course :

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

A-syam Prasad

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 06/12/2021 Name of Student A. Syam Prasad A. Syam Prasad
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : V. Mamatha Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) NO

25/11/2021
Date

V. Mamatha.
Name of Student

V. Mamatha.
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017 - 2021

Name : T. Bhu

Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

T. Bhu

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/12/2021

T. Bharath
Name of Student

T. Bharath
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : K. Archica

Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2

Any other suggestion(s)

K. Achica

Date: 29/12/2021

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-21

Name : Sk. moulali Course : B-Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A...

Sk. moulali

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

4-12-21
Date

Y. P.
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : K.Krishna Mohan Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

K.Krishna mohan.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

03-12-2021	K. Krishna mohan	K. Krishna mohan
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : R. Ramchadan Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

03-12-2021
Date

R. Ramchandran
Name of Student

R. Ramchandran
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-21

Name : G.V.S.Dileep Kumar Reddy Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

G.V.S.D.K.Reddy

2

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

27-11-21
Date

G.V.S. Dileep Kumar Reddy
Name of Student

G.V.S.D.K. Reddy
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : K. Chakri Sai VC. Course : B.Tech.

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

27-11-2021 K. Chakri SVC

Date Name of Student Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : Y. Naga Madan Datta Kumar Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(5)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

2

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

Any other suggestion(s)

27/11/21	Y. Naga Madan Datha Kumar	Y.N.M.D.Kumar
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : K. Manjubhargavi Course : B.tech.

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	10
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	10
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9.

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

K. Manju bhargavi

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

07/12/21
Date

K. Manjubhargavi
Name of Student

K. Manju Bhargavi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year --2017-2021

Name : D. Sasank Chandra Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

Name of Student D. Sarath Chandra

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : Gouse Momith Baig Course : B.Tech.

Department : ECE. Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 22/11/21 Name of Student Gouse Moorthy Baig Signature Gouse Moorthy Baig

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2017~~ - 2021

Name : Shaik. Ruksana Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : passed out

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	( )

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	( )

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( )

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( )

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	✓ 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	✓ 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

✓ 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

✓ 1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	✓ 2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/11/21 Name of Student Shaik. Ruksana Signature Shaik. Ruksana

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ---2017-2021

Name : Ch. Kasi Maithri Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

26-11-2021
Date

Ch.Kasi Maithri
Name of Student

Ch.KasiMaithri
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2017~~ 2021

Name : Y. Navya Course : BTech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Y. Navya

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

27-11-21
Date

Y. Navya
Name of Student

Y. Navya
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ~~2021~~ 2017-2021

Name : N.Rachana Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

N.Rachana

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 27/11/21 Name of Student N. Rachana Signature N. Rachana

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2018-2021

Name : Sk. M. Shaida Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	10
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Sk. M. Shaida

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 8/12/21 Name of Student Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : Y. prathap Course : B.tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

Y. Prathap

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

4-12-2021
Date

Y. Prathap
Name of Student

Y. Prathap
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-21

Name : Sk. Moulali Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

Sk. Moulali

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

4-12-21
Date

Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : K. Krishna mohan Course : B Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

K. Krishna mohan.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

03-12-2021
Date

K. Krishna mohan
Name of Student

K. Krishna mohan
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2012-2021

Name : R.Ramcharan

Course : B tech

Department : ECE

Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

03-12-2021
Date

R Ramchandran
Name of Student

R Ramchandran
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-21

Name : G.V.S.Dileep Kumar Reddy Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

G.V.S.D.K.Reddy

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

27-11-21
Date

G.V.S. Dileep Kumar Reddy
Name of Student

G.V.S.D.K. Reddy
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : K. Chakri Sai VC.

Course : B.Tech.

Department : ECE

Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

27-11-2021 — Date

K. Chakri SVC — Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : Y. Naga Madan Datta Kumar Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(5)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(6)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

Any other suggestion(s)

27/11/21
Date

Y. Naga Madan Datha Kumar
Name of Student

Y.N.M.D.Kumar
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
NEC
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : K. Manjubhargavi

Course : B.tech.

Department : ECE

Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	10
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	10
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

K. Manju bhargavi

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

07/12/21
Date

K. Manjubhargavi
Name of Student

K. Manju Bhargavi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : Gouse Momith Baig Course : B.Tech.

Department : ECE. Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.) A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 22/11/21 Name of Student Gouse Moinuddin Baig Signature Gouse Moinuddin Baig

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-2021

Name : Shaik. Ruksana Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : passed out

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	( )

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	( )

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( )

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	( )

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 24/11/21 Name of Student Shaik. Ruksana Signature Shaik Ruksana

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year ---------

Name : Kalangi Krishna Akhil Course : B.Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 20/11/21 Name of Student Kalangi Krishna Akhil Signature K Akhil

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017-21

Name : P. Sai Pavan Course : B. Tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester : 4th

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	1
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2
3	Depth of the course content	3
4	Extent of coverage of course	4
5	Extent of effort required by students	5
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	3

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	( )

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date

P. Sai Pavan
Name of Student

P. Sai Pavan
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2017 – 2021

Name : chandan Battula Course : B.tech

Department : ECE Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	
3	Depth of the course content	
4	Extent of coverage of course	
5	Extent of effort required by students	
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	
7	Overall rating	

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 20/11/21 Name of Student B. Chandan Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : N. Vijaya krishna

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3).

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting you to Provide Internship.

Date 28/6/21

Vijay kuthia
Name of Student

Vijay
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : K. Sai Mourya Gupta

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	3
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting to Provide Internship

Date 28/6/21

K. Sai Mounya Gupta
Name of Student

Mounya
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : Y. EV Pratap Kumar Kuchi

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Providing you to Request Internship

Date 28/6/21

Pratap Kumar Kuchi
Name of Student

Pratap
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : G. Pavan Thirisesh

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting you to Provide Internship

Date 28/6/21

Name of Student
Pavan Thinesh

Signature
Pavan

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : P. Jayasree

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Practical Involvement like Internship.

Date 28/6/21

P Jayasree
Name of Student

jayasree
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : Sk. Gouse Mastanvali

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting to provide Internship.

Date 28/6/21

Sk. Mastan vali
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : T. Vamsi Samuel

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting to provide workshop

Date 28/6/21

Vamsi Samuel
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : G. Indupriya

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s) Practical Involvement like Internship.

Date 28/6/21

G. Indupriya
Name of Student

G. Indu
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : B.Vijaya Lakshmi

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	6
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting to provide Workshop.

Date 28/6/21

B. Vijayalakshmi
Name of Student

b. Vijaya
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : V. Mani Teja

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s) Provide Internship

Date 28/6/21

Name of Student: Mani Teja

Signature: Mani Teja

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : K. Naga Bhuvaneswari

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	6
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting to provide Internship

Date 28/6/21

Name of Student
K. Naga Bhuvaneswari

K. N. Bhuvana
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : G. Sushma

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(4)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(4)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting to provide workshop.

Date 28/6/21

G. Sushma
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : R. SNV Sai Kiran

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(4)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting to provide workshop

Date 28/6/21

R. Sai Kiran
Name of Student

Sai Kiran
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : S. Vijaya Lakshmi

Course : B. Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Industrial applications.

Date 28/6/21

S. Vijay Lakshmi
Name of Student

Vijaylakshmi
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : G. Sai Akhil

Course : B.Tech

Department : C.S.E

Years/Semester : IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 11/02/2021 G. Sai Akhil Name of Student G. Sai Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name: P. Aditya Lakshmi Manasa

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

Any other suggestion(s) Practical involvement like Internship

---

11/2/21	P. Lakshmi Manasa	Manasa
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name: K. RaviTeja

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	6

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(5)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting to Provide workshop

11/2/21
Date

K. RaviTeja
Name of Student

Raviteja
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name: V. Naga Sai Vidhyadhari

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	6
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting to provide workshop

11/2/21	V. Nagasai Vidhyadhari	V. Vidhydhari
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name: A. V.N.S. Aparna pravallika

Course: B Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	6
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	5
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	6
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(4)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting to provide Internships

11/2/21	A.V.N.S. Aparna Pravallika	Aparna.
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name: G. Hari Naga Anusha.

Course: B.Tech

Department: CSE

Years/Semester: 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	6
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(5)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting to provide Internship

11/2/24	G. Hari Naga Anusha	G.Anusha
Date	Name of Student	Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : B. Soumya Reddy

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	8
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(3)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(4)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(3)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(3)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Requesting to provide workshop

11/2/21
Date

B. Sowmya Reddy
Name of Student

B. Sowmya
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020 - 2021

Name : P. Venkata Nivas

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV -

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	8

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

Any other suggestion(s) Circulam is good But need to be implement some more real-time technologies.

Date 11/02/2021

P. Venkat Nivas
Name of Student

P. Venkat Nivas
Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : YEV prathap kumar kuchi

Course : BTech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 11/02/2021 Name of Student Prathap Kumar Reddy Signature Prathap K.

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : S. Vijaya lakshmi

Course : B. Tech

Department : C.S.E

Years/Semester : IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 11/02/2021 Svijayalakshmi Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2020-2021

Name : K. LakshmiSruthi

Course : B.tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester :

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	9
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Circulam is good But need to decrase theory. Increase pratical Knowledge.

Date 11/02/2021 K. lakshmi Sruthi Name of Student Sruthi Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : Y. C. P. Himaja

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good ✓	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	7
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	7
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Nothig

Date 11/02/2021 Y. C.P. Himaja Name of Student Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 20 - 21

Name : T. PURNA vamsi krishna naidu Course : B.Tech

Department : C.S.E Years/Semester : 4

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) good

Date 11/2/2021 T. Rosna vamsi krishna Name of Student T. Rosna vamsi krishna Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA
NEC ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : Sk. Gouse mastavali

Course : B. Tech

Department : C.S.E

Years/Semester : IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	8
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	7
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(1)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s)

Date 11/02/2021 Sk. Gouse Name of Student Sk. Gouse Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 20 - 21

Name : GRANDHI KOFILA

Course : BTECH

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : 4 - 2

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	9
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	9
3	Depth of the course content	9
4	Extent of coverage of course	8
5	Extent of effort required by students	9
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	8
7	Overall rating	9

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(1)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(3)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(1)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Good

Date 11/02/2021 Kotila Name of Student Kotila Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

NEC NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)

Student Feedback Form on Curriculum

Academic Year: 2021

Name : V. Veerendra

Course : B.Tech

Department : CSE

Years/Semester : IV

1. Please give a rating of your course on the following

9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
Extremely Good	Very Good	Good	Moderately Good	Moderate	Some how Tolerable	Poor	Very Poor	Extremely Poor

S.No.	Particulars	Rating
1	Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspectives)	8
2	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	7
3	Depth of the course content	7
4	Extent of coverage of course	7
5	Extent of effort required by students	8
6	Relevance/learning value of project/ report	9
7	Overall rating	7

2. The syllabus was

1) Challenging	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Dull	5) Irrelevant	(2)

3. Your background for benefiting from the course was

1) Highly Relevant	2) Relevant	3) Partly Relevant	4) Mostly Irrelevant	5) Completely Irrelevant	(2)

4. Rate the sequence of units/modules of the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

5. Rate the adequateness of the text book and reference book mentioned for the course

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

6. Were you able to get the prescribed readings?

1) Excellent	2) Adequate	3) Inadequate	4) Poor	5) Very poor	(2)

7. Rate the design of the course in terms of extra learning or self-learning

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing of elective in relation to technology advancements

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

9. Rate the composition of course in terms Humanities and Social Sciences, Engineering Sciences, Basic Sciences, Professional Course, Professional Elective, Open Elective, Project Work (PW) & Practical Training / Internship etc.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

10. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

11. The curriculum has focus on skill development

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(2)

12. Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students

1) Very good	2) Good	3) Average	4) Poor	5) Very Poor	(1)

Any other suggestion(s) Nothing

Date 11/02/2021

V. Veerendra
Name of Student

Signature

IQAC

Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601.
Guntur (Dist.), A.P.