

STAKEHOLDERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM ANALYSIS REPORT (FACULTY)

Academic Year: 2016-17

OBJECTIVE OF THE FEEDBACK:

The main objective of the institution is to provide the best possible environment of learning experience to students and encourage them gaining the knowledge and sprucing their skills to perform their full ability for academic achievement.

The stakeholders, students, teachers and employers play a critical role in the evolution, development and enhancement of excellent of this learning process.

In this connection institution subsequently collects feedback form all of the stakeholders (Students, Teachers, Alumni and Employers) for each academic year on curriculum /syllabi to assess its provider policies which can be revised and make changes as in line with stake holder's requirements.

TEACHER'S FEEDBACK:

Teacher is the maximum crucial person in curriculum design, implementation and development, feedback of faculty on curricular aspects are of utmost importance.

Teacher's feedback is collected through individually.

TEACHER'S FEEDBACK ANALYSIS:

9	8 7		6	5	4	3	2	1	
Extremel y Good	Very Good	Good	Moderatel y Good	Moderate	Somehow Tolerable		Very Poor	Extremely Poor	

IQAC



(AUTONOMOUS)


S.No	Parameters	Evaluation Scale								
		9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
1	The curriculum is as per the need of students.	4	9	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
2	The contents of the course have been presented from simple to complex form.	5	7	3	1	1	0	0	0	0
3	The curriculum provides opportunity for conducting research and project related activities.	2	9	5	1	0	0	0	0	0
4	The contents of the course are in conformity with the learning outcomes	3	8	4	1	0	1	0	0	0
5	The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge.	3	7	5	1	0	1	0	0	0
6	Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents.	4	8	3	2	0	0	0	0	0
7	The curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self-learning among the students.	3	10	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
8	The curriculum development procedure needs improvement.	3	8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0
9	The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of global standard	4	6	5	1	0	0	1	0	0
10	The opinion of the faculty members are taken in to account during curriculum revision.	4	8	3	1	1	0	0	0	0
11	I am given enough freedom to contribute my ideas on curriculum design and development	2	10	3	0	1	1	0	0	0
12	Departmental level subject expert committee meeting to review for syllabus.	3	8	5	1	0	0	0	0	0
13	The labs are adequately equipped (wherever applicable).	2	9	4	1	1	0	0	0	0
14	Employability is given weightage in curriculum design and development	3	8	4	1	1	0	0	0	0
15	Board of studies is taking care to ensure the current trends and relevance of the programme offering	2	10	3	1	1	0	0	0	C






Total Responses: 17

The curriculum is as per the need of students. Total Responses: 17




The contents of the course have been presented from simple to complex form. Total Responses: 17








The curriculum provides opportunity for conducting research and project related activities.




The contents of the course are in conformity with the learning outcomes Total Responses: 17







The curriculum is balanced with regard to the theoretical and practical knowledge. Total Responses: 17



Pedagogy proposed in the curriculum matches the contents. Total Responses: 17

A.Y.2016-17 0% 0% Extremely Good 12% 23% ■ Very Good Good 18% Moderately Good **Moderate** Somehow Tolerable Poor 47% ■ Very Poor Extremely Poor



6


Principal NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.




The curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self-learning among the students.

7 Total Responses: 17

8



The curriculum development procedure needs improvement. Total Responses: 17







Principal
NARASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE
(AUTONOMOUS)
NARASARAOPET - 522 601,



The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of global standard Total Resposes:17



The opinion of the faculty members are taken in to account during curriculum revision. Total Resposes:17








I am given enough freedom to contribute my ideas on curriculum design and development.

Total Resposes:17



Departmental level subject expert committee meeting to review for syllabus. Total Resposes:17

A.Y.2016-17


| Extremely Good | Very Good | Good | Moderately Good | Moderately Good | Moderate | Somehow Tolerable | Poor | Very Poor | Extremely Poor | Extremely Poor




12



The labs are adequately equipped (wherever applicable). Total Resposes:17




Employability is given weightage in curriculum design and development. Total Resposes:17










Feedback on curridulq/syllabus 2016-17 Teacher's Feedback Report







SUMMARY:

- ❖ From the graph 52.9% of the faculty gave feedback as very good on curriculum is as per the needs of the students.
- ❖ 58.8% of faculty gave feedback on curriculum as very good about the habit of self-learning among the student.
- ❖ 58.8% of faculty gave feedback on curriculum as very good in view of the freedom given to them to express their ideas in the preparation of curriculum design and development.
- ❖ 52.9% of faculty gave feedback on curriculum as very good regarding Board of studies taking care to ensure the current trends and relevance of the programme offering.

Principal NARIASARAOPETA ENGINEERING COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS) NARASARAOPET - 522 601.

Guntur (Dist.), A.P.

